



Dr. Marco Ruggieri Università degli Studi di Catania, Catania (Italy)

COLLECTIVE FLOWS AND VISCOSITY OF QUARK-GLUON PLASMA

QCD@work 2014

Collaborators:

- Vincenzo Greco
- Salvatore Plumari
- Francesco Scardina



In this talk:



- Transport theory for heavy ion collisions
- Thermalization and Isotropization
- Collective Flows
- Conclusions



Heavy Ion Collisions



Impact parameter direction

Collision (flight) direction



Collision direction

FIREBALL:

Hot and dense expanding **parton mixture QUARK-GLUON-PLASMA (QGP)** T about 10¹² K, t about 10⁻²³ seconds



A,B: Cu, Au (RHIC@BNL) Pb (LHC@CERN).

 \sqrt{s} up to $200 \times A$ GeV , RHIC \sqrt{s} up to $2.76 \times A$ TeV , LHC

Boltzmann equation and QGP

In order to *simulate* the temporal evolution of the fireball we solve the *Boltzmann equation* for the parton distribution function *f*:



Collision integral: change of **f** due to collision processes in the phase space volume centered at (**x**,**p**).

We map by C[f] the phase space evolution of a fluid which **dissipates with a** given value of η/s , like in hydrodynamics calculations.

One can expand C[f] over microscopic details (2<->2,2<->3...), but in a hydro language this is irrelevant: **only the global dissipative effect of C[f] is important.**

We use **Boltzmann equation** to simulate a fluid at **fixed eta/s** rather than fixing a set of microscopic processes.

Total Cross section is computed in each configuration space cell according to Chapman-Enskog equation to give the wished value of eta/s.



(.) Collision integral is gauged in each cell to assure that the fluid dissipates according to the desired value of eta/s.

(.) Microscopic details are not important: the specific microscopic process producing eta/s is not relevant, only macroscopic quantities are, in analogy with hydrodynamics.

Hydro
Dynamical evolution governed by macroscopic quantities

Why transport for uRHICs?

$$\left\{p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \left[p_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + m\partial^{\mu}m\right]\partial_{\mu}^{p}\right\}f(x,p) = C[f]$$

Starting from 1-body distribution function f(x,p) and not from $T_{\mu\nu}$:

- Implement non-equilibrium implied by CGC-Qs scale (beyond $\varepsilon_{\rm x}$)
- Include off-equilibrium at high and intermediate p_T:
 Relevant at LHC due to large amount of minijet production
- freeze-out self-consistently related with $\eta/s(T)$
- > It's not an expansion in η /s:

- valid also at high η /s -> LHC (T>>T_c)
- Appropriate for heavy quark dynamics

f(x,p) and kinetic equations are useful to grasp informations about early glasma evolution

Initial condition: Glauber

(Almost) Geometrical description of the fireball:



Assuming a nucleon distribution in the parents nuclei (typically a *Woods-Saxon*), one counts *how many particles* from each nucleus are present in the *overlap region*; among them, the *participants* are the nucleons that effectively can have an interaction (in fact, the particles that *are in the overlap region* but *do not interact*, are not considered).

For a review see: Miller et al., Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 57, 205 (2007)

Initial condition: "CGC"-KLN

(f)KLN spectrum



Nardi *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A**747**, 609 (2005) Kharzeev *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B**561**, 93 (2003) Nardi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B**507**, 121 (2001) Drescher and Nara, PRC**75**, 034905 (2007) Hirano and Nara, PRC**79**, 064904 (2009) Hirano and Nara, Nucl. Phys. A**743**, 305 (2004) Albacete and Dumitru, arXiv:1011.5161[hep-ph]

Gluon production is *damped* for momenta *below the saturation scale*

This spectrum models gluons produced after the shattering of the color glass condensate

M. R. *et al.*, PLB727 (2013) M. R. *et al.*, PRC 89 (2014)

Initial spectra



Our novelty:

For fKLN we consider the *initial spectrum given by the theory at small transverse momenta*.

M. R. *et al.*, PLB727 (2013) M. R. *et al.*, PRC 89 (2014)

Thermalization

AuAu@200A GeV



We have dynamics in the early stages of the simulation, which prepares the momentum distribution to build up the elliptic flow.

Similar results for Pb-Pb collisions

Thermalization in *about* 1 *fm/c*, in agreement with: Greiner *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A**806**, 287 (2008).





Pressure isotropization

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{E} f(x,p)$$

$$P_T = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d^2 x_{\perp} d\eta \; \frac{T_{xx} + T_{yy}}{2}$$
$$P_L = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d^2 x_{\perp} d\eta \; T_{zz} \; ,$$

t=1/Qs≈0.1-0.2 fm/c -> P_L/P_T > 0 Gelis & Epelbaum arXiV:1307.2214



CYM (IP-Glasma) spectrum: Courtesy of B. Schenke & R. Venugopalan

Pressure isotropization

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{E} f(x,p)$$

$$P_T = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d^2x_{\perp} d\eta \frac{T_{xx} + T_{yy}}{2},$$

$$P_L = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d^2x_{\perp} d\eta T_{zz},$$

$$t = 1/Qs \approx 0.1 - 0.2 fm/c -> P_L/P_T > o$$

$$Gelis \& Epelbaum$$

$$arXiV:1307.2214$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

$$(--)$$

Free longitudinal streaming : zero longitudinal pressure

Pressure isotropization

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{E} f(x,p)$$

$$P_T = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d^2 x_{\perp} d\eta \; \frac{T_{xx} + T_{yy}}{2}$$
$$P_L = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d^2 x_{\perp} d\eta \; T_{zz} \; ,$$

t=1/Qs≈0.1-0.2 fm/c -> P_L/P_T > 0 Gelis & Epelbaum arXiV:1307.2214



CYM (IP-Glasma) spectrum: Courtesy of B. Schenke & R. Venugopalan

Strong dynamics removes pressure anisotropy Fast isotropization: τ around 1 fm/c

Pressure isotropization



↔ For η/s > 0.3 one misses fast isotropization in P_L/P_T (τ about 2-3 fm/c) ↔ For η/s ≈ pQCD no isotropization

For large viscosity the system is not able to remove anisotropy efficiently

Ollitrault, PRD46 (1992)

Elliptic flow in RHICs

 $2v_2(y, p_T)\cos 2\phi$]

Particle multiplicity in phase space

$$\frac{d^3N}{dyp_Tdp_Td\phi} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 N}{dy p_T dp_T} \left[1 \right]$$

Elliptic flow: leading contribution to anisotropy in momentum space

$$v_2 = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_T^2} \right\rangle$$



Immediately after the collision, **pressure gradient** along **X** is larger than that along **Y**. As a consequence, **the medium expands preferentially along the short axis of the ellipse,** creating a **flow**.



Ollitrault, PRD46 (1992)

Elliptic flow in RHICs

 $+2v_2(y, p_T)\cos 2\phi$]

Particle multiplicity in phase space

$$\frac{d^3N}{dyp_Tdp_Td\phi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2N}{dyp_Td\phi}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 N}{dy p_T dp_T} \left[1 \right]$$

Fourier decomposition in terms of *harmonics* in the transverse plane.

Elliptic flow: leading contribution to anisotropy in momentum space

$$v_2 = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_T^2} \right\rangle$$



Immediately after the collision, pressure gradient along X is larger than that along Y. As a consequence, **the medium expands preferentially** along the short axis of the ellipse, creating a flow.

Strong coupling (liquid behaviour) is mandatory to develop such a flow: a perfect gas would not develop any flow.

Elliptic flow: exp. data



M. R. et al., PLB727 (2013) M. R. et al., PRC 89 (2014) Elliptic flow from Transport

Au-Au collision RHIC energy



Results in fair agreement with hydro: Song *et al.*, PRC8₃ (2011)





M. R. et al., PLB727 (2013) M. R. et al., PRC 89 (2014) Elliptic flow from Transport

Au-Au collision RHIC energy



Results in fair agreement with hydro: Song *et al.*, PRC8₃ (2011)





Elliptic flow from Transport Pb-Pb collision LHC energy





Elliptic flow computations show this quantity is **very sensitive** to the **initial conditions**:

.) Initial anisotropy (eccentricity).) Initial momentum distribution

Measurements of elliptic flow in experiments might permit to identify the best theoretical initial conditions.

M. R. et al., in preparation

Triangular flow from Transport

Initial state anisotropy parametrization

$$\frac{dN}{x_{\perp}dx_{\perp}d\phi} = \frac{dN}{x_{\perp}dx_{\perp}} \left[1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(x_{\perp})\cos\left(n(\phi - \Psi_n)\right) \right]$$

Au-Au collision, b=7 fm dN/dyd^2x_T





M. R. et al., in preparation

Triangular flow from Transport



Elliptic and Triangular flows of MCKLN turns out to be in agreement with the MCGlauber ones, both with minimal viscosity.

Conclusions

- Kinetic Theory permits to compute elliptic flow of plasma, as well as its thermalization times and isotropization efficiency.
- Initial distribution in momentum space affects the flow and the building up of momentum anisotropy.
- Elliptic and Triangular flows of MCKLN turns out to be in agreement with the one of MCGlauber, both with the same viscosity.





Good wood does not grow in comfort: the stronger the wind, the stronger the tree is.

We use **Boltzmann equation** to simulate a fluid at **fixed eta/s** rather than fixing a set of microscopic processes.

Total Cross section is computed in each configuration space cell according to Chapman-Enskog equation to give the wished value of eta/s.



A **smooth kinetic freezout** is implemented in order to gradually reduce the strength of the interactions as the temperature decreases below the critical temperature.

We use **Boltzmann equation** to simulate a fluid at **fixed eta/s** rather than fixing a set of microscopic processes.

Total Cross section is computed in each configuration space cell according to Chapman-Enskog equation to give the wished value of eta/s.



There is agreement of hydro with transport also in the non dilute limit

We use **Boltzmann equation** to simulate a fluid at **fixed eta/s** rather than fixing a set of microscopic processes.

Total Cross section is computed in each configuration space cell according to Chapman-Enskog equation to give the wished value of eta/s.





El, Xu, Greiner, Phys.Rev. C81 (2010) 041901

There is agreement of hydro with transport also in the non dilute limit

We use **Boltzmann equation** to simulate a fluid at **fixed eta/s** rather than fixing a set of microscopic processes.

Total Cross section is computed in each configuration space cell according to Chapman-Enskog equation to give the wished value of eta/s.



Bhalerao *et al.*, PLB627 (2005)

There is agreement of hydro with transport also in the non dilute limit

Few remarks on fKLN

- fKLN is not glasma [Blaizot et al., NPA846 (2010)]
- We neglect initial field dynamics, which however *should decay* within 1/Q_s
- It is not our purpose to insist on exact reproduction of experimental data [See instead IP-Glasma calculations, Gale et al., PRL110 (2013)]
 Rather, we want to solve another problem, namely compute the role of the initial nonequilibrium distribution in momentum space,

often neglected in hydro and hybrid calculations

 Hydro widely uses KLN, and we are interested to compare the two approaches

> Viscometer: Schen et al., arXiv1308:2111 Thermometer: Schen et al., arXiv1308:2440 Flow computations: Hirano and Nara, PRC79 (2009) Hirano and Nara, NPA743 (2004)

Various η/s used in hydro



Temperature dependence of eta/s already used in hydro simulations recently.



H. Niemi et al., PRC86 (2012), PRL106 (2011)

Shen and Heinz, PRC83 (2011)

Are micro-details important?



M. R. et al., in preparation

M. R. *et al.*, in preparation M. R. *et al.*, work in progress Invariant distributions



M. R. et al., in preparation

Elliptic flow from Transport Au-Au collision RHIC energy Summary of the effect on differential v,



For more central collisions the effect on v2 becomes milder.

Cross section and freeze-out



- η/s increases in the cross-over region, realizing a smooth f.o. selfconsistently dependent on h/s:
- Different from hydro that is a sudden cut of expansion at some T_{f.o.}



$$S^{*} = g(a)S_{tot} \gg \frac{1}{15} \frac{\overline{p}}{r} \frac{1}{h/s}$$

$$\rho(\tau_{o}) = 23 \text{ fm}^{-3}, \eta/s = 0.08 \Rightarrow \sigma_{ToT} = 6 \text{ mb}$$

$$S_{pQCD} = \frac{9pa_{s}^{2}}{m_{D}^{2}}, \quad a_{s} = \frac{4p}{11/n_{c}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{2pT\ddot{0}}{L}\dot{\delta}}, \quad m_{D}^{2} = 4pa_{s}(T)T$$

$$T_{o} = 340 \text{ MeV} \Rightarrow \sigma_{pQCD} = 3.6 \text{ mb}$$

Pressure isotropization



- ↔ For η/s > 0.3 one misses fast isotropization in P_L/P_T (τ about 2-3 fm/c)
- ↔ For η/s ≈ pQCD no isotropization

Semi-quantitative agreement with Florkowski *et al.*, PRD88 (2013) 034028 ours is **3+1D** and *full collision integral*; however *no gauge fields*

Comparisons

Ryblewski and Florkowski, PRD88 (2013)



M. R. *et al.*, PRC 89 (2014)



M. R. et al., PLB727 (2013) M. R. *et al.*, PRC 89 (2014) Elliptic flow in RHICs



Theoretical computation of elliptic flow depends on viscosity.

Comparison with experimental data leads to estimate of the viscosity of the QGP.

10