

#### QCD@Work

International Workshop on Quantum Chromodynamics

# Sum rules and spectral density flow in QCD and in superconformal theories

Luigi Delle Rose

Physics Department, Salento University

18 June 2014 – Giovinazzo, Bari

#### Outline

- Chiral and conformal anomalies in QCD and its supersymmetric extension
- $\checkmark$  Explicit computations in perturbation theory
- Anomalous spectral densities are characterized by convergent sum rules
- Evidence of new effective degrees of freedom as a consequence of anomalies

#### Review: The AVV diagram and the pion

The anomalous AVV diagram with an axial-vector current (A) and two vector currents (V) is characterized by a massless singularity



The pole structure clearly describes the pseudoscalar pion  $\pi$ 

the anomalous spectral density in the AVV possesses a sum rule

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty 
ho(s, m^2) ds = f$$
 Horejsi. Phys. Rev. D32, 1029 (1985)

this is a general feature of anomalies (chiral, conformal, superconformal)

#### Sum rules

The existence of a sum rule implies a particular behaviour of the spectral density

we consider a sum rule with a mass deformation parameter m to control the spectral density away from the chiral/conformal point

 $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \rho(s, m^2) ds = f$ 

with f ≠ 0 and mass independent

- the spectral density is integrable
- its scale dimension is fixed
- $\rho(s, m^2)$  flows towards a  $\delta(s)$  as m goes to zero
- a pole-like behaviour appears also in the UV

anomalies are IR/UV phenomena

this corresponds to a massless state propagating in the theory  $\lim_{k^2 \to \infty} k^2 F(k^2, m^2) = f$ 

#### The conformal anomaly case

- Conformally anomalous correlators possess spectral densities with a convergent sum rule
- As the AVV case, it has been shown that the TVV correlator, (T is the energymomentum tensor EMT) shares a similar behaviour dictated by the conformal anomaly this has been proved in QED, QCD and in EW sector of the SM

this can be interpreted as an effective scalar, the **dilaton**, coupling to the trace of the EMT, in full analogy with the pion

exchange of a conformal anomaly pole

## Conformal anomalies and QFT

Quantum field theories examined in the context of conformal anomalies:

Giannotti, Mottola, Phys.Rev.D79 (2009)
 Abelian gauge field theory (QED) 045014,

Armillis, Corianò, L. D. R., Phys.Rev.D81 (2010) 085001

• Exact non abelian gauge field theory (QCD)

Armillis, Corianò, L. D. R., Phys.Rev.D82 (2010) 064023

• Electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM)

Corianò, L. D. R., Quintavalle, Serino, Phys.Lett.B700 (2011) 29-38 Corianò, L. D. R., Serino, Phys.Rev.D83 (2011) 125028

• N=1 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills

Corianò, Constantini, L. D. R., to appear on JHEP

In the following the superconformal anomaly case is considered, in which

chiral, conformal and superconformal symmetries are treated in a unified way

#### Anomalies in N=1 Super Yang-Mills

Consider a N=1 Super Yang-Mills (for instance SuperQCD)

vector (gauge) supermultiplet chiral (matter) supermultiplet  $V = (A^a_\mu, \lambda^a, D^a)$  $\Phi = (\phi_i, \chi_i, F_i)$ 

we can introduce the Ferrara-Zumino (FZ) hypercurrent

$$\mathcal{J}_{A\dot{A}} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\bar{W}_{\dot{A}}e^{V}W_{A}e^{-V}\right] - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\Phi}\left[\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bar{\nabla}}_{\dot{A}}e^{V}\nabla_{A} - e^{V}\bar{D}_{\dot{A}}\nabla_{A} + \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bar{\nabla}}_{\dot{A}}\stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}_{A}e^{V}\right]\Phi$$
$$W_{A} = 2gW_{A}^{a}T^{a} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^{2}e^{-V}D_{A}e^{V}$$

which describes a chiral supermultiplet containing the **EMT**, the **R**-current and the supersymmetric current  $\mathcal{J} = (R^{\mu}, T^{\mu\nu}, S^{\mu})$ 

• in a classical superconformal theory the FZ hypercurrent is conserved  $\bar{D}^A \mathcal{J}_{A\dot{A}} = 0$ 

the chiral superpotential  $\mathcal W$  must be cubic or vanishing

#### Anomalies in N=1 Super Yang-Mills

in the quantum mechanical framework, the FZ develops a superconformal anomaly

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{A}}\mathcal{J}_{A\dot{A}} = a_n D_A W^2 \qquad \qquad a_n = -\frac{2}{3}g^2 \frac{3T_G - \sum_f T(R_f)}{16\pi^2}$$

The components of the FZ supermultiplet are

$$\begin{split} R^{\mu} &= \bar{\lambda}^{a} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \lambda^{a} + \frac{1}{3} \left( -\bar{\chi}_{i} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \chi_{i} + 2i \phi_{i}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}_{ij}^{\mu} \phi_{j} - 2i (\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{\mu} \phi_{j})^{\dagger} \phi_{i} \right), \\ S^{\mu}_{A} &= i (\sigma^{\nu\rho} \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\lambda}^{a})_{A} F^{a}_{\nu\rho} - \sqrt{2} (\sigma_{\nu} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \chi_{i})_{A} (\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{\nu} \phi_{j})^{\dagger} - i \sqrt{2} (\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{i}) \mathcal{W}_{i}^{\dagger} (\phi^{\dagger}) \\ &- i g (\phi_{i}^{\dagger} T_{ij}^{a} \phi_{j}) (\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\lambda}^{a})_{A} + S^{\mu}_{IA}, \\ T^{\mu\nu} &= -F^{a\,\mu\rho} F^{a\,\nu}{}_{\rho} + \frac{i}{4} \left[ \bar{\lambda}^{a} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} (\delta^{ac} \vec{\partial}^{\nu} - g t^{abc} A^{b\nu}) \lambda^{c} + \bar{\lambda}^{a} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} (-\delta^{ac} \vec{\partial}^{\nu} - g t^{abc} A^{b\nu}) \lambda^{c} + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right] \\ &+ (\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{\mu} \phi_{j})^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}_{ik}^{\nu} \phi_{k}) + (\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{\nu} \phi_{j})^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}_{ik}^{\mu} \phi_{k}) + \frac{i}{4} \left[ \bar{\chi}_{i} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} (\delta_{ij} \vec{\partial}^{\nu} + ig T_{ij}^{a} A^{a\nu}) \chi_{j} \right] \\ &+ \bar{\chi}_{i} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} (-\delta_{ij} \vec{\partial}^{\nu} + ig T_{ij}^{a} A^{a\nu}) \chi_{j} + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right] - \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + T_{I}^{\mu\nu}, \\ \text{and satisfy the anomaly equations} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\mu} R^{\mu} &= -\frac{a_{n}}{2} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{a\,\mu\nu} \\ T^{\mu}_{\mu} &= \frac{3}{4} a_{n} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} \\ \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} S^{\mu} &= 3i a_{n} \bar{\lambda}^{a} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Perturbative computation

We look at the three correlation functions responsible for the appearance of the superconformal anomaly

$$\begin{split} \delta^{ab} \, \Gamma^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{(R)}(p,q) &\equiv \langle R^{\mu}(k) \, A^{a\,\alpha}(p) \, A^{b\,\beta}(q) \rangle & \langle RVV \rangle \,, \\ \delta^{ab} \, \Gamma^{\mu\alpha}_{(S)\,A\dot{B}}(p,q) &\equiv \langle S^{\mu}_{A}(k) \, A^{a\,\alpha}(p) \, \bar{\lambda}^{b}_{\dot{B}}(q) \rangle & \langle SVF \rangle \,, \\ \delta^{ab} \, \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{(T)}(p,q) &\equiv \langle T^{\mu\nu}(k) \, A^{a\,\alpha}(p) \, A^{b\,\beta}(q) \rangle & \langle TVV \rangle \end{split}$$

they are constrained by the vector current conservation

$$p_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{(R)}(p,q) = 0, \quad q_{\beta} \Gamma^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{(R)}(p,q) = 0,$$
$$p_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu\alpha}_{(S)}(p,q) = 0,$$
$$p_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{(T)}(p,q) = 0, \quad q_{\beta} \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{(T)}(p,q) = 0$$

 $A^{b\,\beta}(q)$   $S^{\mu}_{A}(k)$   $\bar{\lambda}^{b}_{\dot{B}}(q)$   $T^{\mu\nu}(k)$   $A^{a\,\alpha}(p)$   $A^{a\,\alpha}(p)$   $A^{b\,\beta}(q)$ 

and by the conservation of the supercurrent and of the EMT

$$i k_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mu\alpha}_{(S)}(p,q) = -2p_{\mu} \sigma^{\mu\alpha} \hat{\Gamma}_{(\lambda\bar{\lambda})}(q) - i\sigma_{\mu} \hat{\Gamma}^{\mu\alpha}_{(AA)}(p) ,$$
  

$$i k_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{(T)}(p,q) = q_{\mu} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha\mu}_{(AA)}(p) \eta^{\beta\nu} + p_{\mu} \hat{\Gamma}^{\beta\mu}_{(AA)}(q) \eta^{\alpha\nu} - q^{\nu} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}_{(AA)}(p) - p^{\nu} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}_{(AA)}(q)$$

#### Perturbative computation

Samples of the one-loop perturbative expansion of the three anomalous correlators



#### Explicit results

- We show the chiral matter contribution in the on-shell gauge currents kinetimatic region
- A massive chiral supermultiplet is employed to stay away from the conformal point and to study the flow of the spectral densities

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{(R)}(p,q) &= i \frac{g^2 T(R)}{12\pi^2} \Phi_1(k^2,m^2) \frac{k^{\mu}}{k^2} \varepsilon[p,q,\alpha,\beta] \,, \\ \Gamma^{\mu\alpha}_{(S)}(p,q) &= i \frac{g^2 T(R)}{6\pi^2 k^2} \Phi_1(k^2,m^2) \, s_1^{\mu\alpha} + i \frac{g^2 T(R)}{64\pi^2} \Phi_2(k^2,m^2) \, s_2^{\mu\alpha} \,, \\ \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{(T)}(p,q) &= \frac{g^2 T(R)}{24\pi^2 k^2} \Phi_1(k^2,m^2) \, t_{1S}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) + \frac{g^2 T(R)}{16\pi^2} \Phi_2(k^2,m^2) \, t_{2S}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) \,, \end{split}$$

#### Explicit results

- We show the chiral matter contribution in the on-shell gauge currents kinetimatic region
- A massive chiral supermultiplet is employed to stay away from the conformal point and to study the flow of the spectral densities

superconformal

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{(R)}(p,q) &= i \frac{g^2 T(R)}{12\pi^2} \Phi_1(k^2,m^2) \frac{k^{\mu}}{k^2} \varepsilon[p,q,\alpha,\beta], & \text{anomaly poles} \\ \Gamma^{\mu\alpha}_{(S)}(p,q) &= i \frac{g^2 T(R)}{6\pi^2 k^2} \Phi_1(k^2,m^2) s_1^{\mu\alpha} + i \frac{g^2 T(R)}{64\pi^2} \Phi_2(k^2,m^2) s_2^{\mu\alpha}, \\ \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{(T)}(p,q) &= \frac{g^2 T(R)}{24\pi^2 k^2} \Phi_1(k^2,m^2) t_{1S}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) + \frac{g^2 T(R)}{16\pi^2} \Phi_2(k^2,m^2) t_{2S}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) \end{split}$$

The first form factor is the anomaly contribution

 $\Phi_1(k^2, m^2) = -1 - 2 m^2 C_0(k^2, m^2),$  this is interpreted as the residue of the pole  $\Phi_2(k^2, m^2) = 1 - \mathcal{B}_0(0, m^2) + \mathcal{B}_0(k^2, m^2) + 2m^2 C_0(k^2, m^2)$ 

#### Anomalous spectral density

Spectral densities can be computed using cutting rules or exploiting directly the analytic continuations of the two- and three-point scalar integrals

The spectral density of the anomalous form factor  $\chi(k^2, m^2) \equiv \Phi_1(k^2, m^2)/k^2$   $\rho_{\chi}(s, m^2) = \frac{1}{2i} \text{Disc } \chi(s, m^2) = \frac{2\pi m^2}{s^2} \log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\tau(s, m^2)}}{1 - \sqrt{\tau(s, m^2)}}\right) \theta(s - 4m^2)$   $\tau(k^2, m^2) = \sqrt{1 - 4m^2/k^2}$ 

Representatives of the family of spectral densities plotted versus s in units of m<sup>2</sup>.

The family flows towards the s=0 region becoming a  $\delta(s)$  function as m<sup>2</sup> goes to zero.



### Anomalous spectral density

• The spectral density is integrable and satisfies a convergent sum rule

 $\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{4m^2}^\infty ds \rho_\chi(s,m^2) = 1$ 

the anomaly coefficient has been factorized for convenience

- The discontinuity of the anomalous form factor is characterized by a cut for k<sup>2</sup> >4 m<sup>2</sup>.
   There is any resonant state of the pole at k<sup>2</sup>=0 in the massive case (decoupling)
- The spectral density flows towards a  $\delta(s)$  as m goes to zero  $\lim_{m \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \rho_{\chi}(s, m^2) = \delta(s)$

anomaly accounts for the appearance of massless states in the spectrum,

one for each component of the superconformal hypercurrent



#### Non-anomalous spectral density

The non-anomalous form factor  $\Phi_2$ 

- needs a subtraction for its integrability (is affected by renormalization)
- does not possess a sum rule
- tends to a uniform distribution as m goes to zero

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{m \to 0} \rho_{\Phi_2}(k^2, m^2) = 1$$

there is a sort of **duality** between the two spectral densities for m->0





#### Conformal anomaly in QCD

The anomalous correlators (TVV) expands onto *three* form factors

 $\Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) = \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_q(p,q) + \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_g(p,q) \qquad \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{q/g}(p,q) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_{i\,q/g}(k^2,m^2)\,\phi^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_i(p,q)$ 

The explicit results in quark sector are

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{1\,q}(k^2,m^2) &= \frac{g^2}{6\pi^2 k^2} \bigg\{ -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{m^2}{k^2} - m^2 \mathcal{C}_0(k^2,m^2) \bigg[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2m^2}{k^2} \bigg] \bigg\}, \quad \text{anomalous} \\ \Phi_{2\,q}(k^2,m^2) &= -\frac{g^2}{4\pi^2 k^2} \bigg\{ \frac{1}{72} + \frac{m^2}{6k^2} + \frac{m^2}{2k^2} \mathcal{D}(k^2,m^2) + \frac{m^2}{3} \mathcal{C}_0(k^2,m^2) \bigg[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m^2}{k^2} \bigg] \bigg\}, \\ \Phi_{3\,q}(k^2,m^2) &= \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} \bigg\{ \frac{11}{72} + \frac{m^2}{2k^2} + m^2 \mathcal{C}_0(k^2,m^2) \bigg[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m^2}{k^2} \bigg] + \frac{5m^2}{6k^2} \mathcal{D}(k^2,m^2) + \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{B}_0^{\overline{MS}}(k^2,m^2) \bigg\} \bigg\} \quad \text{non anomalous} \end{split}$$

$$\rho_{2q}(s,m^2) = -\frac{g^2}{24\pi} \frac{m^2}{s^2} \left[ 3\sqrt{\tau(s,m^2)} - \left(1 + \frac{2m^2}{s}\right) \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{\tau(s,m^2)}}{1 - \sqrt{\tau(s,m^2)}} \right] \theta(s - 4m^2)$$

This spectral density is not anomalous, but is integrable and with a convergent sum rule

> another massless state in the spectrum?

#### Extra pole cancellation in susy theories

Supersymmetric theories force the extra pole to cancel

In a general Yang-Mills theory the contribution to the non-anomalous form factor with the pole-like behaviour is

$$f_{2}(k^{2}) = \frac{N_{f}}{2} f_{2}^{(f)}(k^{2}) + N_{s} f_{2}^{(s)}(k^{2}) + N_{A} f_{2}^{(A)}(k^{2}) \qquad \text{Bose}$$

$$= \frac{g^{2}}{144\pi^{2} k^{2}} \left[ -\frac{N_{f}}{2} T(R_{f}) + N_{s} \frac{T(R_{s})}{2} + N_{A} \frac{T(A)}{2} \right]$$

Bosonic and fermionic contributions have opposite signs

 $f_2^{(f)}$  for a Weyl fermion,  $f_2^{(s)}$  for a complex scalar,  $f_2^{(A)}$  for a gauge vector

- chiral supermultiplet  $N_f = 1, N_s = 1, N_A = 0$   $T(R_f) = T(R_s)$
- vector supermultiplet  $N_f = 1, N_s = 0, N_A = 1$   $T(R_f) = T(A)$

there is no ambiguity in a susy theory

Superconformal anomalies



Anomaly poles (massless states)

#### Conclusions

- ✓ Analysis of chiral, conformal and superconformal anomalies and their sum rules in perturbation theory
- $\checkmark$  Anomalous spectral densities flow towards massless states
- Axion-dilaton-dilatino supermultiplet naturally emerges from the quantum breaking of the superconformal symmetry
- ✓ Unambiguous interpretation in a supersymmetric context:
   one-to-one correspondece between anomalies and anomaly poles

# Backup slides

The N=1 supersymmetric Lagrangian in the component formalism is  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + i\lambda^{a} \sigma^{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{ab}_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}^{b} + (\mathcal{D}^{\mu}_{ij} \phi_{j})^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}_{ik\,\mu} \phi_{k}) + i\chi_{j} \sigma_{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu}_{ij}^{\dagger} \bar{\chi}_{i}$   $-\sqrt{2}g \left( \bar{\lambda}^{a} \bar{\chi}_{i} T^{a}_{ij} \phi_{j} + \phi^{\dagger}_{i} T^{a}_{ij} \lambda^{a} \chi_{j} \right) - V(\phi, \phi^{\dagger}) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{i} \chi_{j} \mathcal{W}_{ij}(\phi) + h.c. \right)$ 

The component expansion of the superfields are

$$\Phi_{i} = \phi_{i} + \sqrt{2}\theta\chi_{i} + \theta^{2}F_{i}$$

$$W_{A}^{a} = \lambda_{A}^{a} + \theta_{A} D^{a} - (\sigma^{\mu\nu}\theta)_{A}F_{\mu\nu}^{a} + i\theta^{2} \sigma_{A\dot{B}}^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\bar{\lambda}^{a\dot{B}},$$

$$V^{a} = \theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}A_{\mu}^{a} + \theta^{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}^{a} + \bar{\theta}^{2}\theta\lambda^{a} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{2}\bar{\theta}^{2} (D^{a} + i\partial_{\mu}A^{a\mu})$$

The terms of improvement, necessary only for a scalar field, are

$$S_{IA}^{\mu} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3}i \left[\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}(\chi_{i}\phi_{i}^{\dagger})\right]_{A}$$
$$T_{I}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3}\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^{2} - \partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\right)\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}$$

These ensure the vanishing of the classical trace of the EMT and of the gamma-trace of the supercurrent for a classical superconformal theory The two-point functions appearing in the Ward identities are

$$\Gamma^{(AA)}_{\mu\nu}(p) = -i\delta^{ab} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2}\right) \Sigma^{(AA)}(p^2)$$
  
 
$$\Gamma^{(\lambda\bar{\lambda})}_{A\dot{B}}(p) = i\delta^{ab} p_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}} \Sigma^{(\lambda\bar{\lambda})}(p^2) ,$$

with

$$\Sigma^{(AA)}(p^2) = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} p^2 \left\{ T(R) \mathcal{B}_0(p^2, m^2) - T(A) \mathcal{B}_0(p^2, 0) \right\}$$
  
$$\Sigma^{(\lambda\bar{\lambda})}(p^2) = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ T(R) \mathcal{B}_0(p^2, m^2) + T(A) \mathcal{B}_0(p^2, 0) \right\}.$$

Two- and three-point scalar integrals

$$\mathcal{B}_{0}(p_{1}^{2}, m_{0}^{2}, m_{1}^{2}) = \frac{1}{i\pi^{2}} \int d^{n}l \frac{1}{(l^{2} - m_{0}^{2})((l + p_{1})^{2} - m_{1}^{2})},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{0}((p + q)^{2}, p^{2}, q^{2}, m_{0}^{2}, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}) = \frac{1}{i\pi^{2}} \int d^{n}l \frac{1}{(l^{2} - m_{0}^{2})((l - p)^{2} - m_{1}^{2})((l - p - q)^{2} - m_{2}^{2})},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{0}(p_{1}^{2}, m^{2}) \equiv \mathcal{B}_{0}(p_{1}^{2}, m^{2}, m^{2}) \qquad \mathcal{C}_{0}((p + q)^{2}, m^{2}) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{0}((p + q)^{2}, 0, 0, m^{2}, m^{2}, m^{2})$$

tensor structures in the susy computation

$$\begin{split} s_1^{\mu\alpha} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}k_{\nu}\,\sigma^{\rho}k_{\rho}\,\bar{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}p_{\beta} \\ s_2^{\mu\alpha} &= 2p_{\beta}\,\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^{\mu} \,. \\ t_{1S}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) &\equiv \phi_1^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) = (\eta^{\mu\nu}k^2 - k^{\mu}k^{\nu})u^{\alpha\beta}(p,q) \,, \\ t_{2S}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) &\equiv \phi_3^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) = (p^{\mu}q^{\nu} + p^{\nu}q^{\mu})\eta^{\alpha\beta} + p \cdot q(\eta^{\alpha\nu}\eta^{\beta\mu} + \eta^{\alpha\mu}\eta^{\beta\nu}) - \eta^{\mu\nu}u^{\alpha\beta}(p,q) \\ &- (\eta^{\beta\nu}p^{\mu} + \eta^{\beta\mu}p^{\nu})q^{\alpha} - (\eta^{\alpha\nu}q^{\mu} + \eta^{\alpha\mu}q^{\nu})p^{\beta} \,, \end{split}$$

tensor structures in the qcd computation

$$\begin{split} \phi_{1}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) &\equiv t_{1}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) = (k^{2}\eta^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu}k^{\nu})u^{\alpha\beta}(p,q), \\ \phi_{2}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) &\equiv t_{3}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) + t_{5}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) - 4t_{7}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) = -2u^{\alpha\beta}(p,q)[k^{2}\eta^{\mu\nu} + 2(p^{\mu}p^{\nu} + q^{\mu}q^{\nu}) \\ &- 4(p^{\mu}q^{\nu} + q^{\mu}p^{\nu})], \\ \phi_{3}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) &\equiv t_{13}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(p,q) = (p^{\mu}q^{\nu} + p^{\nu}q^{\mu})\eta^{\alpha\beta} + p \cdot q(\eta^{\alpha\nu}\eta^{\beta\mu} + \eta^{\alpha\mu}\eta^{\beta\nu}) - \eta^{\mu\nu}u^{\alpha\beta}(p,q) \\ &- (\eta^{\beta\nu}p^{\mu} + \eta^{\beta\mu}p^{\nu})q^{\alpha} - (\eta^{\alpha\nu}q^{\mu} + \eta^{\alpha\mu}q^{\nu})p^{\beta}, \end{split}$$