News in Quarkonium Physics

NORA BRAMBILLA



PHYSIK DEPARTMENT TUM
T30F

- the physics of quarkonium and its present relevance

- state of the art theory tools, experimental results

- selected examples of new results

- experimental/theoretical challenges and opportunities

The November revolution in 1974: the J/ψ discovery



$\Gamma \sim 90\,\mathrm{KeV}$

Aubert et al. BNL 74

The November revolution in 1974: the J/ψ discovery



Aubert et al. BNL 74

$\Gamma \sim 90\,\mathrm{KeV}$

Samuel Ting: "It is like to stumble on a village where people live 70000 years"

The November revolution in 1974: the J/ψ discovery



$\Gamma \sim 90\,\mathrm{KeV}$

Aubert et al. BNL 74

Samuel Ting: "It is like to stumble on a village where people live 70000 years"

it has been the confirmation of the charm quark prediction and the foundation of QCD

narrow width and asymptotic freedom
annihilation at large scale controlled by small α_s

first discovery of a quark of large mass moving "slowly"

The November revolution in the '70s: more quarkonia



Eichten et al . 75, 78, 80



bbar and ccbar energy levels in comparison to Coulomb and linear potential energy levels

Variety of potential models used

Confinement and asymptotic freedom--> QCD

Since then Quarkonium is remained
a golden system to study strong interactions
and to understand several QCD challenges

Heavy quarks offer a privileged access





with $Q, \bar{Q} = c, b, t$

$m_c \sim 1.5$GeV

$m_b \sim 5$GeV

$m_t \sim 170$GeV

Heavy quarks offer a privileged access





with $Q, \bar{Q} = c, b, t$

$m_c \sim 1.5$GeV

$m_b \sim 5$GeV

$m_t \sim 170$GeV

A large scale $m_Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ $\alpha_s(m_Q) \ll 1$

Heavy quarks offer a privileged access





with $Q, \bar{Q} = c, b, t$

$m_c \sim 1.5\text{GeV}$

$m_b \sim 5\text{GeV}$

$m_t \sim 170\text{GeV}$

A large scale $\quad m_Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \qquad \alpha_s(m_Q) \ll 1$

Heavy quarkonia are nonrelativistic bound systems: multiscale systems



Electromagnetic bound states: atoms, molecules,

Heavy quarks offer a privileged access





with $Q, \bar{Q} = c, b, t$

$m_c \sim 1.5$GeV

$m_b \sim 5$GeV

$m_t \sim 170$GeV

A large scale $m_Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ $\alpha_s(m_Q) \ll 1$

Heavy quarkonia are nonrelativistic bound systems: multiscale systems

many scales: a challenge and an opportunity



Electromagnetic bound states: atoms, molecules,

Quarkonium scales



Normalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$

$$^{2S+1}L_J$$



The mass scale is perturbative

$$m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$$

$$m_b \simeq 5\,\text{GeV};\, m_c \simeq 1.5\,\text{GeV}$$

Quarkonium scales



Normalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$

$$^{2S+1}L_J$$



THE SYSTEM IS NONRELATIVISTIC(NR)

$$\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$$

$$v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$$

THE MASS SCALE IS PERTURBATIVE

$$m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$$

$$m_b \simeq 5\,\mathrm{GeV}; m_c \simeq 1.5\,\mathrm{GeV}$$

Quarkonium scales



Normalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$

$$^{2S+1}L_J$$



NR bound states have at least 3 scales

$$m \gg mv \gg mv^2 \quad v \ll 1$$

The system is nonrelativistic(NR)

$$\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$$

$$v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$$

The mass scale is perturbative

$$m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$$

$$m_b \simeq 5\,{\rm GeV}; m_c \simeq 1.5\,{\rm GeV}$$

Quarkonium scales



Normalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$

$^{2S+1}L_J$



NR bound states have at least 3 scales

$$m \gg mv \gg mv^2 \qquad v \ll 1$$

$$mv \sim r^{-1}$$

The system is nonrelativistic(NR)

$$\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$$

$$v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$$

The mass scale is perturbative

$$m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$$

$$m_b \simeq 5\,\mathrm{GeV}; m_c \simeq 1.5\,\mathrm{GeV}$$

Quarkonium scales



Normalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$

$^{2S+1}L_J$



NR bound states have at least 3 scales

$$m \gg mv \gg mv^2 \qquad v \ll 1$$

$$mv \sim r^{-1}$$

and $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

The system is nonrelativistic(NR)

$$\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$$

$$v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$$

The mass scale is perturbative

$$m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$$

$$m_b \simeq 5\,{\rm GeV}; m_c \simeq 1.5\,{\rm GeV}$$

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

The rich structure of separated energy scales makes QQbar an ideal probe

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

The rich structure of separated energy scales makes QQbar an ideal probe

At zero temperature

- The different quarkonium radii provide different measures of the transition from a Coulombic to a confined bound state.



- Godfrey Isgur PRD 32(85)189

quarkonia probe the perturbative (high energy) and non perturbative region (low energy) as well as the transition region in dependence of their radius r

When we heat matter
at finite Temperature (T)
in heavy ion collisions



we need clear
probes

A simulated collision of lead ions, courtesy the
ALICE experiment at CERN

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

Matsui Satz 1986

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

Matsui Satz 1986

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

Matsui Satz 1986

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

$$r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow$$ Bound state dissolves

Matsui Satz 1986

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

$$r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow$$ Bound state dissolves

Matsui Satz 1986

quarkonia dissociate at different temperature in dependence of their radius: they are a Quark Gluon Plasma thermometer

Quarkonium as a confinement and deconfinement probe

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

$$r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow$$ Bound state dissolves

Matsui Satz 1986

quarkonia dissociate at different temperature in dependence of their radius: they are a Quark Gluon Plasma thermometer



Quarkonium Today is

a golden system to study strong interactions many experimental data and opportunities

Quarkonium Today is
a golden system to study strong interactions

new theoretical tools:
Effective Field Theories (EFTs) of QCD
and progress in lattice QCD

Today: new data

B-FACTORIES: Heavy Mesons Factories

CLEO-c BESIII tau charm factories

CLEO-III bottomonium factory

Fermilab CDF, D0, E835

Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60

Charmonium
the present revolution



bottomonium: the present revolution



THE COLLIDER DETECTOR AT FERMILAB

DØ

BELLE

BABAR Collaboration Home Page

BES

CLEO

ATLAS

CMS Compact Muon Solenoid

LHCb

Quarkonium-like states at threshold

TABLE 10: Quarkonium-like states at the open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the C-parity is given for the neutral members of the corresponding isotriplets.

State	M, MeV	Γ, MeV	J^{PC}	Process (mode)	Experiment (#σ)	Year	Status
$X(3872)$	3871.68 ± 0.17	< 1.2	1^{++}	$B \to K(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$	Belle [772, 992] (>10), BaBar [993] (8.6)	2003	Ok
				$p\bar{p} \to (\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) \ldots$	CDF [994, 995] (11.6), D0 [996] (5.2)	2003	Ok
				$pp \to (\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) \ldots$	LHCb [997, 998] (np)	2012	Ok
				$B \to K(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi)$	Belle [999] (4.3), BaBar [1000] (4.0)	2005	Ok
				$B \to K(\gamma\, J/\psi)$	Belle [1001] (5.5), BaBar [1002] (3.5)	2005	Ok
					LHCb [1003] (> 10)		
				$B \to K(\gamma\, \psi(2S))$	BaBar [1002] (3.6), Belle [1001] (0.2)	2008	NC!
					LHCb [1003] (4.4)		
				$B \to K(D\bar{D}^*)$	Belle [1004] (6.4), BaBar [1005] (4.9)	2006	Ok
$Z_c(3885)^+$	3883.9 ± 4.5	25 ± 12	1^{+-}	$Y(4260) \to \pi^-(D\bar{D}^*)^+$	BES III [1006] (np)	2013	NC!
$Z_c(3900)^+$	3891.2 ± 3.3	40 ± 8	$?^{?-}$	$Y(4260) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ J/\psi)$	BES III [1007] (8), Belle [1008] (5.2)	2013	Ok
					T. Xiao *et al.* [CLEO data] [1009] (>5)		
$Z_c(4020)^+$	4022.9 ± 2.8	7.9 ± 3.7	$?^{?-}$	$Y(4260, 4360) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_c)$	BES III [1010] (8.9)	2013	NC!
$Z_c(4025)^+$	4026.3 ± 4.5	24.8 ± 9.5	$?^{?-}$	$Y(4260) \to \pi^-(D^*\bar{D}^*)^+$	BES III [1011] (10)	2013	NC!
$Z_b(10610)^+$	10607.2 ± 2.0	18.4 ± 2.4	1^{+-}	$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi(\pi\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle [1012–1014] (>10)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P))$	Belle [1013] (16)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(B\bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle [1015] (8)	2012	NC!
$Z_b(10650)^+$	10652.2 ± 1.5	11.5 ± 2.2	1^{+-}	$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle [1012, 1013] (>10)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P))$	Belle [1013] (16)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(B^*\bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle [1015] (6.8)	2012	NC!

arXiv:1404.3723v1

arXiv:1404.3723v1

TABLE 12: Quarkonium-like states above the corresponding open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the C-parity is given for the neutral members of the corresponding isotriplets.

State	M, MeV	Γ, MeV	J^{PC}	Process (mode)	Experiment (#σ)	Year	Status
$Y(3915)$	3918.4 ± 1.9	20 ± 5	$0/2^{?+}$	$B \to K(\omega J/\psi)$	Belle [1050] (8), BaBar [1000, 1051] (19)	2004	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(\omega J/\psi)$	Belle [1052] (7.7), BaBar [1053] (7.6)	2009	Ok
$\chi_{c2}(2P)$	3927.2 ± 2.6	24 ± 6	2^{++}	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(D\bar{D})$	Belle [1054] (5.3), BaBar [1055] (5.8)	2005	Ok
$X(3940)$	3942^{+9}_{-8}	37^{+27}_{-17}	$?^{?+}$	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi\,(D\bar{D}^*)$	Belle [1048, 1049] (6)	2005	NC!
$Y(4008)$	3891 ± 42	255 ± 42	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$	Belle [1008, 1056] (7.4)	2007	NC!
$\psi(4040)$	4039 ± 1	80 ± 10	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}(\pi))$	PDG [1]	1978	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\eta J/\psi)$	Belle [1057] (6.0)	2013	NC!
$Z(4050)^+$	4051^{+24}_{-43}	82^{+51}_{-55}	$?^{?+}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+\chi_{c1})$	Belle [1058] (5.0), BaBar [1059] (1.1)	2008	NC!
$Y(4140)$	4145.8 ± 2.6	18 ± 8	$?^{?+}$	$B^+ \to K^+(\phi J/\psi)$	CDF [1060] (5.0), Belle [1061] (1.9),	2009	NC!
					LHCb [1062] (1.4), CMS [1063] (>5)		
					D0 [1064] (3.1)		
$\psi(4160)$	4153 ± 3	103 ± 8	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)})$	PDG [1]	1978	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\eta J/\psi)$	Belle [1057] (6.5)	2013	NC!
$X(4160)$	4156^{+29}_{-25}	139^{+113}_{-65}	$?^{?+}$	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi\,(D^*\bar{D}^*)$	Belle [1049] (5.5)	2007	NC!
$Z(4200)^+$	4196^{+35}_{-30}	370^{+99}_{-110}	1^{+-}	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+ J/\psi)$	Belle [1065] (7.2)	2014	NC!
$Z(4250)^+$	4248^{+185}_{-45}	177^{+321}_{-72}	$?^{?+}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+\chi_{c1})$	Belle [1058] (5.0), BaBar [1059] (2.0)	2008	NC!
$Y(4260)$	4250 ± 9	108 ± 12	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (\pi\pi J/\psi)$	BaBar [1066, 1067] (8), CLEO [1068, 1069] (11)	2005	Ok
					Belle [1008, 1056] (15), BES III [1007] (np)		
				$e^+e^- \to (f_0(980) J/\psi)$	BaBar [1067] (np), Belle [1008] (np)	2012	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi^- Z_c(3900)^+)$	BES III [1007] (8), Belle [1008] (5.2)	2013	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\gamma\, X(3872))$	BES III [1070] (5.3)	2013	NC!
$Y(4274)$	4293 ± 20	35 ± 16	$?^{?+}$	$B^+ \to K^+(\phi J/\psi)$	CDF [1060] (3.1), LHCb [1062] (1.0),	2011	NC!
					CMS [1063] (>3), D0 [1064] (np)		
$X(4350)$	$4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1}$	13^{+18}_{-10}	$0/2^{?+}$	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(\phi J/\psi)$	Belle [1071] (3.2)	2009	NC!
$Y(4360)$	4354 ± 11	78 ± 16	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S))$	Belle [1072] (8), BaBar [1073] (np)	2007	Ok
$Z(4430)^+$	4458 ± 15	166^{+37}_{-32}	1^{+-}	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+\psi(2S))$	Belle [1074, 1075] (6.4), BaBar [1076] (2.4)	2007	Ok
					LHCb [1077] (13.9)		
				$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+ J/\psi)$	Belle [1065] (4.0)	2014	NC!
$X(4630)$	4634^{+9}_{-11}	92^{+41}_{-32}	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (\Lambda_c^+\bar{\Lambda}_c^-)$	Belle [1078] (8.2)	2007	NC!
$Y(4660)$	4665 ± 10	53 ± 14	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S))$	Belle [1072] (5.8), BaBar [1073] (5)	2007	Ok
$\Upsilon(10860)$	10876 ± 11	55 ± 28	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (B^{(*)}_{(s)}\bar{B}^{(*)}_{(s)}(\pi))$	PDG [1]	1985	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi\pi\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S))$	Belle [1013, 1014, 1079] (>10)	2007	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (f_0(980)\Upsilon(1S))$	Belle [1013, 1014] (>5)	2011	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi Z_b(10610, 10650))$	Belle [1013, 1014] (>10)	2011	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\eta\Upsilon(1S,2S))$	Belle [948] (10)	2012	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1D))$	Belle [948] (9)	2012	Ok
$Y_b(10888)$	10888.4 ± 3.0	$30.7^{+8.9}_{-7.7}$	1^{--}	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS))$	Belle [1080] (2.3)	2008	NC!

above threshold

QCD and strongly coupled gauge theories: challenges and perspectives

N. Brambilla*†,[1] S. Eidelman†,[2,3] P. Foka†‡,[4] S. Gardner†‡,[5] A.S. Kronfeld†,[6]
M.G. Alford‡,[7] R. Alkofer‡,[8] M. Butenschön‡,[9] T.D. Cohen‡,[10] J. Erdmenger‡,[11] L. Fabbietti‡,[12]
M. Faber‡,[13] J.L. Goity‡,[14,15] B. Ketzer‡§,[1] H.W. Lin‡,[16] F.J. Llanes-Estrada‡,[17]
H.B. Meyer‡,[18] P. Pakhlov‡,[19,20] E. Pallante‡,[21] M.I. Polikarpov‡,[19,20] H. Sazdjian‡,[22]
A. Schmitt‡,[23] W.M. Snow‡,[24] A. Vairo‡,[1] R. Vogt‡,[25,26] A. Vuorinen‡,[27] H. Wittig‡,[18]
P. Arnold,[28] P. Christakoglou,[29] P. Di Nezza,[30] Z. Fodor,[31,32,33] X. Garcia i Tormo,[34] R. Höllwieser,[13]
M.A. Janik,[35] A. Kalweit,[36] D. Keane,[37] E. Kiritsis,[38,39,40] A. Mischke,[41] R. Mizuk,[19,42]
G. Odyniec,[43] K. Papadodimas,[21] A. Pich,[44] R. Pittau,[45] J.-W. Qiu,[46,47] G. Ricciardi,[48,49]
C.A. Salgado,[50] K. Schwenzer,[7] N.G. Stefanis,[51] G.M. von Hippel,[18] and V.I. Zakharov[11,19]

We highlight the progress, current status, and open challenges of QCD-driven physics, in theory and in experiment. We discuss how the strong interaction is intimately connected to a broad sweep of physical problems, in settings ranging from astrophysics and cosmology to strongly-coupled, complex systems in particle and condensed-matter physics, as well as to searches for physics beyond the Standard Model. We also discuss how success in describing the strong interaction impacts other fields, and, in turn, how such subjects can impact studies of the strong interaction. In the course of the work we offer a perspective on the many research streams which flow into and out of QCD, as well as a vision for future developments.

Today: new data

B-FACTORIES: Heavy Mesons Factories

CLEO-c BESII tau charm factories

CLEO-III bottomonium factory

Fermilab CDF, D0, E835

Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60

Discovery of New States, New Production Mechanisms, Exotics, New decays and transitions, Precision and high statistics data

Today: new data

B-FACTORIES: Heavy Mesons Factories

CLEO-c BESII tau charm factories

CLEO-III bottomonium factory

Fermilab CDF, D0, E835

Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60

Discovery of New States, New Production Mechanisms, Exotics, New decays and transitions, Precision and high statistics data

BESIII

CMS ATLAS LHCb

ALICE

and in the future BELLEII, PANDA

B-factories: most famous papers

Belle:

Belle S.K. Choi Gyeongsang Natl. U. *et al.*

Detailed record - Cited by 865 records

Belle K. Abe *et al.*

Published in Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001) 091802
e-Print: hep-ex/0107061

Detailed record - Cited by 689 records

BaBar:

Observation of a narrow meson decaying to $D^+_s \pi^0$ at a mass of 2.32-GeV

BABAR Collaboration (Bernard Aubert (Annecy, LAPP) *et al.*). Apr 2003. 7 pp. hep-ex/0304021,SLAC-PUB-9711,BABAR-PUB-03-011.
Published in **Phys.Rev.Lett. 90 (2003) 242001**
e-Print: **hep-ex/0304021**

Detailed record - Cited by 655 records

2. **Observation of CP violation in the B^0 meson system.**

BABAR Collaboration (Bernard Aubert (Annecy, LAPTH) *et al.*). Jul 2001. 8 pp.
hep-ex/0107013,SLAC-PUB-8904,BABAR-PUB-01-18.
Published in **Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001) 091801**
e-Print: **hep-ex/0107013**

Detailed record - Cited by 661 records

B-factories: most famous papers

Belle:

Belle S.K. Choi Gyeongsang Natl. U. *et al.*

Detailed record - Cited by 865 records

X(3872)

Belle K. Abe *et al.*

Published in Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001) 091802
e-Print: hep-ex/0107061

Detailed record - Cited by 689 records

BaBar:

Observation of a narrow meson decaying to $D^+_s \pi^0$ at a mass of 2.32-GeV
BABAR Collaboration (Bernard Aubert (Annecy, LAPP) *et al.*). Apr 2003. 7 pp. hep-ex/0304021,SLAC-PUB-9711,BABAR-PUB-03-011.
Published in **Phys.Rev.Lett. 90 (2003) 242001**
e-Print: **hep-ex/0304021**
Detailed record - Cited by 655 records

Dsj*(2317)

2. **Observation of CP violation in the B^0 meson system.**
BABAR Collaboration (Bernard Aubert (Annecy, LAPTH) *et al.*). Jul 2001. 8 pp.
hep-ex/0107013,SLAC-PUB-8904,BABAR-PUB-01-18.
Published in **Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001) 091801**
e-Print: **hep-ex/0107013**
Detailed record - Cited by 661 records

B-factories: most famous papers

Belle:

Belle S.K. Choi Gyeongsang Natl. U. *et al.*

Detailed record - Cited by 865 records

X(3872)

Belle K. Abe *et al.*

Published in Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001) 091802
e-Print: hep-ex/0107061

Detailed record - Cited by 689 records

BaBar:

Observation of a narrow meson decaying to $D^+_s\pi^0$ at a mass of 2.32-GeV
BABAR Collaboration (Bernard Aubert (Annecy, LAPP) *et al.*). Apr 2003. 7 pp. hep-ex/0304021,SLAC-PUB-9711,BABAR-PUB-03-011.
Published in **Phys.Rev.Lett. 90 (2003) 242001**
e-Print: **hep-ex/0304021**
Detailed record - Cited by 655 records

Dsj*(2317)

2.**Observation of CP violation in the B^0 meson system.**
BABAR Collaboration (Bernard Aubert (Annecy, LAPTH) *et al.*). Jul 2001. 8 pp.
hep-ex/0107013,SLAC-PUB-8904,BABAR-PUB-01-18.
Published in **Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001) 091801**
e-Print: **hep-ex/0107013**
Detailed record - Cited by 661 records

First Discovery at LHC:

Observation of a new χ_b state in radiative transitions to $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ at ATLAS.
ATLAS Collaboration (Georges Aad *et al.*). Dec 2011. 4 pp.
CERN-PH-EP-2011-225.
Published in **Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 152001**
e-Print: **arXiv:1112.5154 [hep-ex]**

$$\chi_b(3P)$$

QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$

QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



$$\frac{g^2}{p^2}\left(1 + \frac{m\alpha_s}{p}\right)$$

QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



$$\frac{g^2}{p^2}\left(1 + \frac{m\alpha_s}{p}\right)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{E - \left(\frac{p^2}{m} + V\right)}$$

QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



$$\frac{g^2}{p^2}\left(1 + \frac{m\alpha_s}{p}\right)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{E - (\frac{p^2}{m} + V)}$$

- From $(\frac{p^2}{m} + V)\phi = E\phi \to p \sim mv$ and $E = \frac{p^2}{m} + V \sim mv^2$.

QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



$$\frac{g^2}{p^2}\left(1 + \frac{m\alpha_s}{p}\right)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{E - \left(\frac{p^2}{m} + V\right)}$$

- From $(\frac{p^2}{m} + V)\phi = E\phi \rightarrow p \sim mv$ and $E = \frac{p^2}{m} + V \sim mv^2$.



QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



$$\frac{g^2}{p^2}\left(1+\frac{m\alpha_s}{p}\right) \sim \frac{1}{E-\left(\frac{p^2}{m}+V\right)}$$

- From $(\frac{p^2}{m}+V)\phi = E\phi \to p \sim mv$ and $E=\frac{p^2}{m}+V \sim mv^2$.

multiscale diagrams have a complicate power counting and contribute to all orders in the coupling



QCD theory of Quarkonium: a very hard problem

Close to the bound state $\alpha_s \sim v$



$$\frac{g^2}{p^2}\left(1 + \frac{m\alpha_s}{p}\right)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{E - (\frac{p^2}{m} + V)}$$

- From $(\frac{p^2}{m} + V)\phi = E\phi \rightarrow p \sim mv$ and $E = \frac{p^2}{m} + V \sim mv^2$.

multiscale diagrams have a complicate power counting and contribute to all orders in the coupling



Difficult also for the lattice!

$$L^{-1} \ll \lambda \ll \Lambda \ll a^{-1}$$

QCD Effective Field Theories

To address the research fronteer of strong interactions we need to construct effective field theories



- Heavy quark effective theory (HQET): $\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} = \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m}$





Lattice QCD $\equiv$ Effective Field Theory ($\Lambda = \pi/a$).

QCD Effective Field Theories

To address the research fronteer of strong interactions we need to construct effective field theories



CONSTRUCTED to be EQUIVALENT to QCD

- Heavy quark effective theory (HQET): $\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} = \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m}$





Lattice QCD $\equiv$ Effective Field Theory ($\Lambda = \pi/a$).

Quarkonium with NR EFT

Color degrees of freedom
3X3=1+8
singlet and octet QQbar



Hard

Soft
(relative
momentum)

Ultrasoft
(binding energy)

Quarkonium with NR EFT

Color degrees of freedom
3X3=1+8
singlet and octet QQbar



Hard

Soft
(relative
momentum)

Ultrasoft
(binding energy)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_n c_n(E_\Lambda/\mu)\frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda}$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT

Color degrees of freedom
3X3=1+8
singlet and octet QQbar



Hard

Soft
(relative
momentum)

Ultrasoft
(binding energy)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \sum_n c_n(E_\Lambda/\mu)\frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda}$$

$$\langle O_n \rangle \sim E_\lambda^n$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT

Color degrees of freedom
3X3=1+8
singlet and octet QQbar



Hard

$$\frac{E_\lambda}{E_\Lambda} = \frac{mv}{m}$$

Soft
(relative
momentum)

Ultrasoft
(binding energy)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_n c_n(E_\Lambda/\mu)\frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda} \qquad \langle O_n\rangle \sim E_\lambda^n$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT

Color degrees of freedom
3X3=1+8
singlet and octet QQbar



Hard

$$\frac{E_\lambda}{E_\Lambda} = \frac{mv}{m}$$

Soft (relative momentum)

$$\frac{E_\lambda}{E_\Lambda} = \frac{mv^2}{mv}$$

Ultrasoft (binding energy)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_n c_n(E_\Lambda/\mu)\frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda}$$

$$\langle O_n \rangle \sim E_\lambda^n$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT: Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)





Quarkonium with NR EFT: Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)





Quarkonium with NR EFT: Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)





$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NRQCD} = \sum_n c(\alpha_{\rm s}(m/\mu)) \times \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{m^n}$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT: potential NonRelativistic QCD (pNRQCD)





Quarkonium with NR EFT: potential NonRelativistic QCD (pNRQCD)





Quarkonium with NR EFT: potential NonRelativistic QCD (pNRQCD)





$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm pNRQCD} = \sum_k \sum_n \frac{1}{m^k} c_k(\alpha_{\rm s}(m/\mu)) \times V(r\mu', r\mu) \times O_n(\mu', \lambda)\, r^n$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT: potential NonRelativistic QCD (pNRQCD)





$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{pNRQCD}} = \sum_k \sum_n \frac{1}{m^k} c_k(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(m/\mu)) \times V(r\mu', r\mu) \times O_n(\mu', \lambda)\, r^n$$

Quarkonium with NR EFT: pNRQCD



Quarkonium with NR EFT: pNRQCD



In QCD another scale is relevant Λ_{QCD}

Quarkonium with NR EFT: pNRQCD





In QCD another scale is relevant Λ_{QCD}

Quarkonium with NR EFT: pNRQCD





A potential picture arises at the level of pNRQCD:

- the potential is perturbative if $mv \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$
- the potential is non-perturbative if $mv \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$

In QCD another scale is relevant Λ_{QCD}

Quarkonium with NR EFT: pNRQCD



weakly coupled pNRQCD

strongly coupled pNRQCD



A potential picture arises at the level of pNRQCD:

- the potential is perturbative if $mv \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$
- the potential is non-perturbative if $mv \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$

In QCD another scale is relevant Λ_{QCD}

Quarkonium with EFT



Caswell, Lepage 86,
Lepage, Thacker 88
Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage 95......

Pineda, Soto 97, N.B. et al, 99,00,
Luke Manohar 97, Luke Savage 98,
Beneke Smirnov 98, Labelle 98
Labelle 98, Grinstein Rothstein 98
Kniehl, Penin 99, Griesshammer 00,
Manohar Stewart 00, Luke et al 00,
Hoang et al 01, 03->

established in a series of papers:
Pineda, Soto 97, N.B., Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99
N.B. Vairo, Pineda, Soto 00--014
N.B., Pineda, Soto, Vairo Review of Modern Physis 77(2005) 1423

Physics at the scale m : NRQCD

since end of the nineties gives the theoretical framework to study quarkonium production at TEVATRON and LHC

framework to study quarkonium decays where singlet IR divergences are cancelled by colot octet conyributions

Physics at the scale mv and mv^2 : pNRQCD

bound state formation

pNRQCD is today the theory used to address quarkonium bound states properties

- Spectra

  high order perturbative calculations
  Resonances

- Decays

  Inclusive& seminclusive decays
  theory of M1 and E1 transitions
  Electromagnetic widths, Lines Shapes

- Doubly charmed baryons and QQQ
- Standard model parameters extraction

  c and b masses, alpha_s

- Gluelumps and Hybrids
- Threshold ttbar cross section (for the ILC)
- Nonperturbative potentials for the lattice
- potential and spectra at finite T

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT has been constructed (away from theshold)

*Work at calculating higher order perturbative corrections in v and alpha_s
*Resumming the log
*Calculating/extracting nonperturbatively the low energy quantities
*Extending the theory (electromagnetic effect, 3 bodies)

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT has been constructed (away from theshold)

*Work at calculating higher order perturbative corrections in v and alpha_s
*Resumming the log
*Calculating/extracting nonperturbatively the low energy quantities
*Extending the theory (electromagnetic effect, 3 bodies)

The issue here is precision physics and the study of confinement

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT has been constructed (away from theshold)

*Work at calculating higher order perturbative corrections in v and alpha_s
*Resumming the log
*Calculating/extracting nonperturbatively the low energy quantities
*Extending the theory (electromagnetic effect, 3 bodies)

The issue here is precision physics and the study of confinement

- Precise and systematic high order calculations allow the extraction of precise determinations of standard model parameters like the quark masses and alpha_s
- The eft has allowed to systematically factorize and to study the low energy nonperturbative contributions

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT is being constructed (Finite T)

Laine et al, 2007, Escobedo, Soto 2007 N. B. et al.2008-2014

*Results on the static potential hint at a new physical picture of dissociation

*Mass and width of quarkonium at m alpha^5(Y(1S) bbar at LHC)

N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri, Vairo Soto, 2010-2014

*Polyakov loop calculation N. B. et al. 2010

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT is being constructed (Finite T) Laine et al, 2007, Escobedo, Soto 2007 N. B. et al.2008-2014

*Results on the static potential hint at a new physical picture of dissociation

*Mass and width of quarkonium at m alpha^5(Y(1S) bbar at LHC) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri, Vairo Soto, 2010-2014

*Polyakov loop calculation N. B. et al. 2010

The eft allows us to discover new, unexpected and important facts:

- The potential is neither the color singlet free energy nor the internal energy
- The quarkonium dissociation is a consequence of the apparence of a thermal decay width rather than being due to the color screening of the real part of the potential

We have now a coherent and systematical setup to calculate masses and width of quarkonium at finite T for small coupling

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT is being constructed (Finite T)

Laine et al, 2007, Escobedo, Soto 2007 N. B. et al.2008-2014

*Results on the static potential hint at a new physical picture of dissociation

*Mass and width of quarkonium at m alpha^5(Y(1S) bbar at LHC) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri, Vairo Soto, 2010-2014

*Polyakov loop calculation N. B. et al. 2010

The eft allows us to discover new, unexpected and important facts:

- The potential is neither the color singlet free energy nor the internal energy
- The quarkonium dissociation is a consequence of the apparence of a thermal decay width rather than being due to the color screening of the real part of the potential

We have now a coherent and systematical setup to calculate masses and width of quarkonium at finite T for small coupling

The EFT has not yet been constructed (Exotics close to threshold)

*Degrees of freedom still to be identified

pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand

The EFT is being constructed (Finite T)

Laine et al, 2007, Escobedo, Soto 2007 N. B. et al.2008-2014

*Results on the static potential hint at a new physical picture of dissociation

*Mass and width of quarkonium at m alpha^5(Y(1S) bbar at LHC) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri, Vairo Soto, 2010-2014

*Polyakov loop calculation N. B. et al. 2010

The eft allows us to discover new, unexpected and important facts:

- The potential is neither the color singlet free energy nor the internal energy
- The quarkonium dissociation is a consequence of the apparence of a thermal decay width rather than being due to the color screening of the real part of the potential

We have now a coherent and systematical setup to calculate masses and width of quarkonium at finite T for small coupling

The EFT has not yet been constructed (Exotics close to threshold)

*Degrees of freedom still to be identified

*ongoing applications to the hybrid spectrum

M. Berwein, N.B., J. Tarrus, A. Vairo 2014

Quarkonium systems with small radius

$$r \ll \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{-1}$$

pNRQCD for quarkonia with small radius $r \ll \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{-1}$

Degrees of freedom that scale like mv are integrated out:



NRQCD pNRQCD

pNRQCD for quarkonia with small radius $r \ll \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{-1}$

Degrees of freedom that scale like mv are integrated out:



- If $mv \gg \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$, the matching is perturbative

- Degrees of freedom: quarks and gluons

  Q-$\bar{Q}$ states, with energy $\sim \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}, mv^2$ and momentum $\lesssim mv$
  $\Rightarrow$ (i) singlet S (ii) octet O

  Gluons with energy and momentum $\sim \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}, mv^2$

- Definite power counting: $r \sim \dfrac{1}{mv}$ and $t, R \sim \dfrac{1}{mv^2}, \dfrac{1}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}$

  The gauge fields are multipole expanded:
  $A(R, r, t) = A(R, t) + \mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} A(R, t) + \dots$

  Non-analytic behaviour in $r \rightarrow$ matching coefficients V

weak pNRQCD $\quad r \ll \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\,a} + \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} + \mathrm{O}^\dagger \left(iD_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_o \right) \mathrm{O} \right\}$$

LO in r

S singlet field

O octet field

singlet propagator

octet propagator

Pineda, Soto 97; Brambilla, Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99-

weak pNRQCD $\quad r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\, a} + \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} + \mathrm{O}^\dagger \left(iD_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_o \right) \mathrm{O} \right\}$$

LO in r

$$+ V_A \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{O}^\dagger \mathbf{r}\cdot g\mathbf{E}\, \mathrm{S} + \mathrm{S}^\dagger \mathbf{r}\cdot g\mathbf{E}\, \mathrm{O} \right\}$$
$$+ \frac{V_B}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{O}^\dagger \mathbf{r}\cdot g\mathbf{E}\, \mathrm{O} + \mathrm{O}^\dagger \mathrm{O}\mathbf{r}\cdot g\mathbf{E} \right\}$$
$$+ \cdots$$

NLO in r

S singlet field

O octet field

singlet propagator

octet propagator

Pineda, Soto 97; Brambilla, Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99-

weak pNRQCD $\quad r \ll \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{-1}$

Singlet static potential

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\, a} + \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} \right.$$
$$\left. + \, \mathrm{O}^\dagger \left(iD_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_o \right) \mathrm{O} \right\}$$

LO in r

Octet static potential

$$+ V_A \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{O}^\dagger \mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\, \mathrm{S} + \mathrm{S}^\dagger \mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\, \mathrm{O} \right\}$$
$$+ \frac{V_B}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{O}^\dagger \mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\, \mathrm{O} + \mathrm{O}^\dagger \mathrm{O} \mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E} \right\}$$
$$+ \cdots$$

NLO in r

S singlet field

O octet field

singlet propagator

octet propagator

Pineda, Soto 97; Brambilla, Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99-

QCD singlet static potential



The potential is a Wilson coefficient of an EFT.
In general, it undergoes renormalization, develops scale dependence and satisfies renormalization group equations, which allow to resum large logarithms.

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_s(r,\mu) \quad = \quad & -C_F \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^2 \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{16\,\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \, \ln r\mu + a_3 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^3 \\
& \left. + \left(a_4^{L2} \ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9} \pi^2 \, C_A^3 \beta_0 (-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_4 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^4 \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_s(r,\mu) \quad = \quad & -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^2 \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{16\,\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \ln r\mu + a_3 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^3 \\
& \left. + \left(a_4^{L2} \ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9}\pi^2 \, C_A^3 \beta_0 (-5 + 6\ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_4 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^4 \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

a_1 Billoire 80

a_2 Schroeder 99, Peter 97

coeff $ln r\mu$ N.B. Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99

a_4^{L2}, a_4^L N.B., Garcia, Soto, Vairo 06

a_3 Anzai, Kiyo, Sumino 09, Smirnov, Smirnov, Steinhauser 09

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_s(r,\mu) \;=\; & -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r}\Bigg[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{16\,\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \,\ln r\mu + a_3\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^3 \\
& + \left(a_4^{L2}\ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9}\pi^2\, C_A^3 \beta_0(-5 + 6\ln 2)\right)\ln r\mu + a_4\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^4\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
$$

a_1 Billoire 80

a_2 Schroeder 99, Peter 97

coeff $ln r\mu$ N.B. Pineda, Soto,

3LOOPS REDUCES TO 1LOOP IN THE EFT

a_4^{L2}, a_4^L N.B., Garcia, Sot

4LOOPS REDUCES TO 2LOOPS IN THE EFT

a_3 Anzai, Kiyo, Sumino 09, Smirnov, Smirnov, Steinhauser 09

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_s(r,\mu) \quad = \quad & -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^2 \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{16\,\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \, \ln r\mu + a_3 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^3 \\
& \left. + \left(a_4^{L2} \ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9}\pi^2 \, C_A^3 \beta_0 (-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_4 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^4 \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Two problems:

1)Bad convergence of the series due to large beta_0 terms

2) Large logs

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$V_s(r,\mu) = -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r}\left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^2 \right.$$
$$+\left(\frac{16\,\pi^2}{3}C_A^3 \ln r\mu + a_3\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^3$$
$$\left. +\left(a_4^{L2}\ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9}\pi^2 C_A^3 \beta_0(-5+6\ln 2)\right)\ln r\mu + a_4\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^4\right]$$

for long it was believed that such series was not convergent problem for any phenomenological application

Two problems:

1) Bad convergence of the series due to large beta_0 terms

2) Large logs

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$V_s(r,\mu) = -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r}\left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{16\,\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \ln r\mu + a_3\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^3 + \left(a_4^{L2}\ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9}\pi^2 C_A^3 \beta_0(-5+6\ln 2)\right)\ln r\mu + a_4\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi}\right)^4\right]$$

for long it was believed that such series was not convergent problem for any phenomenological application

Two problems:

1) Bad convergence of the series due to large beta_0 terms

2) Large logs

The eft cures both:

1) Renormalon subtracted scheme

Beneke 98, Hoang, Lee 99, Pineda 01, N.B. Pineda Soto, Vairo 09

2) Renormalization group summation of the logs

up to N^3LL $(\alpha_s^{4+n} \ln^n \alpha_s)$ N. B Garcia, Soto Vairo 2007, 2009, Pineda, Soto

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010

Yellow band : uncertainty in alpha_s (r_0*Lambda_QCD_MS)

Green band: uncertainty in higher order terms

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010

Yellow band : uncertainty in alpha_s (r_0*Lambda_QCD_MS)

Green band: uncertainty in higher order terms

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010

Yellow band : uncertainty in alpha_s (r_0*Lambda_QCD_MS)

Green band: uncertainty in higher order terms

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010

Yellow band : uncertainty in alpha_s (r_0*Lambda_QCD_MS)

Green band: uncertainty in higher order terms

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010

Yellow band : uncertainty in alpha_s (r_0*Lambda_QCD_MS)

Green band: uncertainty in higher order terms

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LL in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



- Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



- Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series
- The lattice data are perfectly described from perturbation theory up to more than 0.2 fm

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points Necco Sommer 2002)



- Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series
- The lattice data are perfectly described from perturbation theory up to more than 0.2 fm
- Allows to rule out models: no string contribution at small r !

Quarkonium singlet static energy at N^3LI in comparison with lattice data (red points

Necco Sommer 2002)



- Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series
- The lattice data are perfectly described from perturbation theory up to more than 0.2 fm
- Allows to rule out models: no string contribution at small r !
- Allows precise extraction of fundamental parameters of QCD

$$r_0\Lambda_{\bar{M}S} = 0.622^{+0.019}_{-0.015}$$

N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010)

QQbar singlet static energy at N^3LL in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points)

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3LL in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points)

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3LL in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points)

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points)

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points)

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points)

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012



Good convergence to the lattice data

Lattice data less accurate in the unquenched case

α_s extraction



We obtain an extraction of alphas at N^3LO plus leading log resummation at the lowest energy scale (at the m_c mass)!

$$\alpha_s(\rho = 1.5\,\mathrm{GeV}, n_f = 3) = 0.326 \pm 0.019$$

corresponding to

$$\alpha_s(M_z, n_f = 5) = 0.1156^{+0.0021}_{-0.0022}$$

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, update under work 2014

$$\rho = 3.14 r_0^{-1}$$

Applications to Quarkonium physics: systems with small radius

for references see the QWG doc

arXiv:1010.5827

- c and b masses at NNLO, N^{3}LO*, NNLL*;
- B_c mass at NNLO; Penin et al 04
- B_c^*, η_c, η_b masses at NLL; Kniehl et al 04
- Quarkonium 1P fine splittings at NLO;
- $\Upsilon(1S)$, η_b electromagnetic decays at NNLL;
- $\Upsilon(1S)$ and J/ψ radiative decays at NLO;
- $\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma\eta_b$, $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta_c$ at NNLO;
- $t\bar{t}$ cross section at NNLL;
- QQq and QQQ baryons: potentials at NNLO, masses, hyperfine splitting, ... ; N. B. et al 010
- Thermal effects on quarkonium in medium: potential, masses (at $m\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^5$), widths, ...;

$$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.6 \pm 1.1)\%$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma\eta_b(1S)) = (2.85 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$$

N. B. Yu Jia A. Vairo 2005

$$\Gamma(\eta_b(1S) \to \gamma\gamma) = 0.54 \pm 0.15 \text{ keV}\,.$$

$$\Gamma(\eta_b(1S) \to \text{LH}) = 7\text{-}16 \text{ MeV}$$

Y. Kiyo, A. Pineda, A. Signer 2010

Quarkonium systems with large radius

$$r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$$

– Hitting the scale Λ_{QCD} $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

— Hitting the scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ $\quad r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$



$(Q\bar{Q})_1$



$(Q\bar{Q})_1$ + Glueball



$(Q\bar{Q})_8 G$

hybrid

Hitting the scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ $\quad r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$



$(Q\bar{Q})_1$



$(Q\bar{Q})_1 + \text{Glueball}$



$(Q\bar{Q})_8 G$

hybrid

Static qcd spectrum

Lattice





Symmetries of a diatomic molecule + C.C.

a) $|L_z| = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$
$= \Sigma, \Pi, \Delta \ldots$

b) CP (u/g)

c) Reflection $(+/-)$
(for Σ only)

Juge Kuti Mornigstar 98-06 Static NRQCD

Quarkonium develops a gap to hybrids

Bali et al. 98



- $mv \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$
- integrate out all scales above mv^2
- gluonic excitations develop a gap $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ and are integrated out

Quarkonium develops a gap to hybrids

Bali et al. 98



- $mv \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$
- integrate out all scales above mv^2
- gluonic excitations develop a gap Λ_{QCD} and are integrated out

$\Rightarrow$ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv^2 is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

strongly coupled pNRQCD $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow$ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv^2 is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

strongly coupled pNRQCD $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow$ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv^2 is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

- A potential description emerges from the EFT

strongly coupled pNRQCD $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow$ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv^2 is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

- A potential description emerges from the EFT
- The potentials $V = \mathrm{Re}V + ImV$ from QCD in the matching: get spectra and decays

strongly coupled pNRQCD $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow$ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv^2 is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ \mathrm{S}^\dagger \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathrm{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

- A potential description emerges from the EFT
- The potentials $V = \mathrm{Re}V + ImV$ from QCD in the matching: get spectra and decays
- V to be calculated on the lattice or in QCD vacuum models

Quarkonium singlet static potential

$$V = V_0 + \frac{1}{m} V_1 + \frac{1}{m^2} (V_{SD} + V_{VD})$$

Quarkonium singlet static potential

$$V = V_0 + \frac{1}{m}V_1 + \frac{1}{m^2}(V_{SD} + V_{VD})$$

$$V_s^{(0)} = \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{i}{T}\ln\langle W(r\times T)\rangle = \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{i}{T}\ln\langle \boxed{} \rangle$$

$$W = \langle \exp\{ig\oint A^\mu dx_\mu\}\rangle$$



○ Koma Koma NPB 769(07)79

Quarkonium singlet static potential

Potentials are given in a factorized form as product of NRQCD matching coefficients and low energy terms. These are gauge invariant wilson loop with electric and magnetic insertions

Quarkonium singlet static potential

Potentials are given in a factorized form as product of NRQCD matching coefficients and low energy terms. These are gauge invariant wilson loop with electric and magnetic insertions



○ Koma Koma Wittig PoS LAT2007(07)111

$$\frac{V_s^{(1)}}{m} = -\frac{1}{2m}\int_0^\infty dt\, t \,\langle \quad \rangle$$



Brambilla et al 00

QCD Spin dependent potentials

$$V_{\rm SD}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{r}\left(c_F \epsilon^{kij} \frac{2r^k}{r} i \int_0^\infty dt\, t \left\langle \overset{E}{\underset{B}{\boxed{i \quad j}}} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} V_s^{(0)\prime} \right) (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)\cdot \mathbf{L}$$

$$-{c_F}^2 \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j i \int_0^\infty dt \left(\left\langle \boxed{i \quad j} \right\rangle - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \left\langle \boxed{} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$\times \left(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 - 3(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2}{3} {c_F}^2 i \int_0^\infty dt \left\langle \boxed{} \right\rangle - 4(d_2 + C_F d_4)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2$$

Eichten Feinberg 81, Gromes 84, Chen et al. 95 Brambilla Vairo 99 Pineda, Vairo 00

QCD Spin dependent potentials

$$V_{\rm SD}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{r}\left(c_F \epsilon^{kij} \frac{2r^k}{r} i \int_0^\infty dt\, t \,\langle \boxed{i \quad j} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} V_s^{(0)\prime} \right) (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2)\cdot \mathbf{L}$$

$$-{c_F}^2 \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j i \int_0^\infty dt \left(\langle \boxed{i \quad j} \rangle - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \langle \boxed{\quad} \rangle \right)$$

$$\times \left(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 - 3(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2}{3} {c_F}^2 i \int_0^\infty dt \,\langle \boxed{\quad} \rangle - 4(d_2 + C_F d_4)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2$$

Eichten Feinberg 81, Gromes 84, Chen et al. 95 Brambilla Vairo 99 Pineda, Vairo 00

-factorization; power counting; QM divergences absorbed by NRQCD matching coefficients

Spin dependent potentials



Koma Koma Wittig 05, Koma Koma 06

Terrific advance in the data precision with Lüscher multivel algorithm!

Spin dependent potentials



Koma Koma Wittig 05, Koma Koma 06

Terrific advance in the data precision with Lüscher multivel algorithm!

Such data can distinguish different models for the dynamics of low energy QCD

Wilson loops can be calculated in different models for confinement: e.g. effective string models

N. B., H. Martinez, A. Vairo 2014

Confirmed in the spectrum, e.g. no long range spin-spin interaction

h_c, h_b



$M_{h_c} = 3524.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$ MeV ○ CLEO PRL 95 (2005) 102003

$M_{h_c} = 3525.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$ MeV, $\Gamma < 1$ MeV ○ E835 PRD 72 (2005) 032001

$M_{h_c} = 3525.40 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.18$ MeV, $\Gamma < 1.44$ MeV ○ BES PRL 104 (2010) 132002

To be compared with $M_{\text{c.o.g.}}(1P) = 3525.36 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$ MeV.

- Also

$M_{h_b} = 9902 \pm 4 \pm 1$ MeV ○ BABAR arXiv:1102.4565

To be compared with $M_{\text{c.o.g.}}(1P) = 9899.87 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.31$ MeV.

Quarkonium systems close or above

threshold

no Λ_{QCD} gap: close and above threshold even the case without light quark is difficult

even the case without light quark is difficult

Gluonic excitations

A plethora of states built on each of the hybrid potentials is expected. These states typically develop a width also without including light quarks, since they may decay into lower states, e.g. like hybrid → glueball + quark-antiquark.



Juge Kuti Morningstar 00 03

even the case without light quark is difficult

Gluonic excitations

A plethora of states built on each of the hybrid potentials is expected. These states typically develop a width also without including light quarks, since they may decay into lower states, e.g. like hybrid → glueball + quark-antiquark.



Juge Kuti Morningstar 00 03

Gluelumps and hybrids in pNRQCD

more symmetry!

	$L=1$	$L=2$
$\Sigma_g^{+\prime}$	$\mathbf{r}\cdot(\mathbf{E})$	
Σ_g^-		$(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{B})$
Π_g	$\mathbf{r}\times(\mathbf{E})$	
Π_g'		$\mathbf{r}\times((\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{D})\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{B}))$
Δ_g		$(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{D})^i(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{B})^j+$ $+(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{D})^j(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{B})^i$
Σ_u^+		$(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{E})$
Σ_u^-	$\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{B}$	
Π_u	$\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{B}$	
Π_u'		$\mathbf{r}\times((\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{D})\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{E}))$
Δ_u		$(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{D})^i(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{E})^j+$ $+(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{D})^j(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{E})^i$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

$$\langle H^a(\tfrac{T}{2})\phi_{ab}^{\rm adj}H^b(-\tfrac{T}{2})\rangle^{\rm np} \sim h\, e^{-iT\Lambda_H}$$

$$E_H(r) = V_o(r) + \Lambda_H$$

pNRQCD hybrids multiplets predictions



M. Berwein, N.B., J. Tarrus, A. Vairo 2014

CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be absorbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order Λ_{QCD} with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be asborbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

States made of two heavy and light quarks

CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order Λ_{QCD} with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be asborbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

States made of two heavy and light quarks

- Pairs of heavy-light mesons: $D\bar{D}$, $B\bar{B}$, ...

CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order Λ_{QCD} with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be asborbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

States made of two heavy and light quarks

- Pairs of heavy-light mesons: $D\bar{D}$, $B\bar{B}$, ...
- Molecular states, i.e. states built on the pair of heavy-light mesons.
  - Tornqvist PRL 67(91)556

CLOSE
TO
THRES
HOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be asborbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

States made of two heavy and light quarks

- Pairs of heavy-light mesons: $D\bar{D}$, $B\bar{B}$, ...

- Molecular states, i.e. states built on the pair of heavy-light mesons.
  - Tornqvist PRL 67(91)556

- Tetraquark states. MAIANI, PICCININI, POLOSA ET AL. 2005--
  - Jaffe PRD 15(77)267
  - Ebert Faustov Galkin PLB 634(06)214

Having the spectrum of tetraquark potentials, like we have for the gluonic excitations, would allow us to build a plethora of states on each of the tetraquark potentials, many of them developing a width due to decays through pion (or other light hadrons) emission. Diquarks have been recently investigated on the lattice.

- Alexandrou et al. PRL 97(06)222002
- Fodor et al. PoS LAT2005(06)310

CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order Λ_{QCD} with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be asborbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

States made of two heavy and light quarks

- Pairs of heavy-light mesons: $D\bar{D}$, $B\bar{B}$, ...
- Pairs of heavy-light baryons.
  - Qiao PLB 639 (2006) 263
- Molecular states, i.e. states built on the pair of heavy-light mesons.
  - Tornqvist PRL 67(91)556
- Tetraquark states.

MAIANI, PICCININI, POLOSA ET AL. 2005--

  - Jaffe PRD 15(77)267
  - Ebert Faustov Galkin PLB 634(06)214

Having the spectrum of tetraquark potentials, like we have for the gluonic excitations, would allow us to build a plethora of states on each of the tetraquark potentials, many of them developing a width due to decays through pion (or other light hadrons) emission. Diquarks have been recently investigated on the lattice.

  - Alexandrou et al. PRL 97(06)222002
  - Fodor et al. PoS LAT2005(06)310

CLOSE TO THRESHOLD

The QCD spectrum with light quarks

- We still have states just made of heavy quarks and gluons. They may develop a width because of the decay through pion emission. If new states made with heavy and light quarks develop a mass gap of order Λ_{QCD} with respect to the former ones, then these new states may be asborbed into the definition of the potentials or of the (local or non-local) condensates.
  - Brambilla et al. PRD 67(03)034018
- In addition new states built using the light quark quantum numbers may form.
  - Soto NP PS 185(08)107

States made of two heavy and light quarks

- Pairs of heavy-light mesons: $D\bar{D}$, $B\bar{B}$, ...
- Pairs of heavy-light baryons.
  - Qiao PLB 639 (2006) 263
- Molecular states, i.e. states built on the pair of heavy-light mesons.
  - Tornqvist PRL 67(91)556

(hadro-quarkonium). Voloshin

- Tetraquark states.

MAIANI, PICCININI, POLOSA ET AL. 2005--

  - Jaffe PRD 15(77)267
  - Ebert Faustov Galkin PLB 634(06)214

Having the spectrum of tetraquark potentials, like we have for the gluonic excitations, would allow us to build a plethora of states on each of the tetraquark potentials, many of them developing a width due to decays through pion (or other light hadrons) emission. Diquarks have been recently investigated on the lattice.

  - Alexandrou et al. PRL 97(06)222002
  - Fodor et al. PoS LAT2005(06)310

Coupled channels

An important (and unsolved) issue is how all the different kind of states (with and without light quarks) interact with each other.

A systematic treatment does not exist so far. For the coupling with two-meson states, most of the existing analyses rely on two models, which are now more than 30 years old:

- the Cornell coupled-channel model;
  - `Eichten et al. PRD 17(78)3090, 21(80)313`
  - `Eichten et al. PRD 69(04)094019, 73(06)014014, 73(06)079903`
- and the $^3P_0$ model.
  - `Le Yaouanc et al. PRD 8(73)2223`
  - `Kalashnikova PRD 72(05)034010`

Steps towards a lattice based approach have been undertaken

- `SESAM PRD 71(05)114513`



States near or above threshold:"exotics" !

hybrids, molecular states, tetraquarks

No systematic treatment is available; lattice calculations are inadequate

In some cases it is possible to develop an EFT owing to special dynamical condition

- An example is the $X(3872)$ intepreted as a $D^0\,\bar{D}^{*\,0}$ or $\bar{D}^0\,D^{*\,0}$ molecule. In this case, one may take advantage of the hierarchy of scales:

$$\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \gg m_\pi \gg m_\pi^2/M_{D^0} \approx 10\ \text{MeV} \gg E_{\rm binding}$$
$$\approx M_X - (M_{D^{*0}} + M_{D^0}) = (0.1 \pm 1.0)\ \text{MeV}$$

Systems with a short-range interaction and a large scattering length have universal properties that may be exploited: in particular, production and decay amplitudes factorize in a short-range and a long-range part, where the latter depends only on one single parameter, the scattering length. An universal property that fits well with the observed large branching fraction of the $X(3872)$ *decaying into* $D^0\bar{D}^0\pi^0$ *is* $\mathcal{B}(X \to D^0\bar{D}^0\pi^0) \approx \mathcal{B}(D^{*\,0} \to D^0\pi^0) \approx 60\%$.

Pakvasa Suzuki 03, Voloshin 03, Braaten Kusunoki 03 Braaten Hammer 06

Heating quarkonium systems

$$T > 0$$

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential $V(r,T)$ dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

$$T \gg gT \gg g^2T \ldots$$

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

$$?$$

$$T \gg gT \gg g^2T \ldots$$

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

$?$ and Λ_{QCD}

$$T \gg gT \gg g^2T \ldots$$

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

?

and Λ_{QCD}

$T \gg gT \gg g^2T \ldots$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} m_D \sim gT \\ \text{Debye mass} \\ \text{Screening Scale} \end{array}}$$

At finite temperature what is the quarkonium potential?

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

$?$ and $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

$$T \gg gT \gg g^2T \ldots$$

$m_D \sim gT$
Debye mass
Screening Scale

Without heavy quarks an EFT already exists that comes from integrating out hard gluon of p \sim T:
Hard Thermal Loop EFT

Braaten Pisarski 90

Quarkonium at finite T with pNRQCD

N. B., Ghiglieri,Petreczky, Vairo 08



Quarkonium at finite T with pNRQCD

N. B., Ghiglieri,Petreczky, Vairo 08



We work under the conditions:

We assume that bound states exist for

- $T \ll m$
- $\langle 1/r \rangle \sim mv \gtrsim m_D$

We neglect smaller thermodynamical scales.

In the weak coupling regime:

- $v \sim \alpha_s \ll 1$; valid for tightly bound states: $\Upsilon(1S)$, J/ψ, ...
- $T \gg gT \sim m_D$.

Effects due to the scale Λ_{QCD} will not be considered.

Quarkonium at finite T with pNRQCD

N. B., Ghiglieri,Petreczky, Vairo 08



pNRQCD at finite T allows us to define the static QQbar potential in the medium in real time



We work under the conditions:

We assume that bound states exist for

- $T \ll m$
- $\langle 1/r \rangle \sim mv \gtrsim m_D$

We neglect smaller thermodynamical scales.

In the weak coupling regime:

- $v \sim \alpha_s \ll 1$; valid for tightly bound states: $\Upsilon(1S)$, J/ψ, ...
- $T \gg gT \sim m_D$.

Effects due to the scale Λ_{QCD} will not be considered.

The singlet static potential and the static energy (pNRQCD)

We have calculated the potential for all the situations from T bigger than the inverse radius 1/r to smaller than the energy E in small coupling

N.B., Ghiglieri, Petreczsky, Vairo

- The thermal part of the potential has a real and an imaginary part

$\mathrm{Re}V_S\,(r,T)$



Singlet-to-octet

New effect, specific of QCD
dominates for E/m_D>>1
(gluo dissociation)

Landau damping

Known from QED
dominates for m_D/E>>1
(quasi free dissociation)

N. B., Ghiglieri, Petreczky, Vairo 2008

Laine et al 2007,
Escobedo Soto 2007

The singlet static potential and the static energy (pNRQCD)

We have calculated the potential for all the situations from T bigger than the inverse radius 1/r to smaller than the energy E

N.B., Ghiglieri, Petreczsky, Vairo

- The thermal part of the potential has a real and an imaginary part

The imaginary part is bigger than the real part before the screening exp{-m_D r} sets in

->the imaginary part is responsible for QQbar dissociation !

$T \gg 1/r \gg m_D \gg V$:Quarkonium melts in the medium

$$E_{\rm binding} \sim \Gamma$$

$$\pi T_{\rm melting} \sim m\, g^{4/3}$$

- Escobedo Soto arXiv:0804.0691
  Laine arXiv:0810.1112

- The quarkonium dissociation is a consequence of the apparence of a thermal decay width rather than being due to the color screening of the real part of the potential

case of interest for LHC: bottomonium 1S below the melting temperature T_d

The relative size of non-relativistic and thermal scales depends on the medium and on the quarkonium state.

The bottomonium ground state , which is a weakly coupled non-relativistic bound state: $mv \sim m\alpha_{\rm s}$, $mv^2 \sim m\alpha_{\rm s}^2 \gtrsim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, produced in the QCD medium of heavy-ion collisions at the LHC may possibly realize the hierarchy

$$m \approx 5 \text{ GeV} > m\alpha_{\rm s} \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} > \pi T \approx 1 \text{ GeV} > m\alpha_{\rm s}^2 \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV} \gtrsim m_D, \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$$

`Vairo AIP CP 1317 (2011) 241`

N.B., Escobedo, Ghiglieri, Soto ,Vairo 010

thermal contributions to the levels calculated at order malpha^5

case of interest for LHC: bottomonium 1S below the melting temperature T_d

The complete mass and width up to $\mathcal{O}(m\alpha_s^5)$

$$\delta E_{1S}^{(\text{thermal})} = \frac{34\pi}{27}\alpha_s^2\,T^2 a_0 + \frac{7225}{324}\,\frac{E_1\alpha_s^3}{\pi}\left[\ln\left(\frac{2\pi T}{E_1}\right)^2 - 2\gamma_E\right] + \frac{128E_1\alpha_s^3}{81\pi}L_{1,0} - 3a_0^2\left\{\left[\frac{6}{\pi}\zeta(3) + \frac{4\pi}{3}\right]\alpha_s\,T\,m_D^2 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta(3)\,\alpha_s^2\,T^3\right\}$$

$$\Gamma_{1S}^{(\text{thermal})} = \frac{1156}{81}\alpha_s^3 T + \frac{7225}{162}E_1\alpha_s^3 + \frac{32}{9}\alpha_s\,Tm_D^2\,a_0^2\,I_{1,0} - \left[\frac{4}{3}\alpha_s T m_D^2\left(\ln\frac{E_1^2}{T^2} + 2\gamma_E - 3 - \ln 4 - 2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) + \frac{32\pi}{3}\ln 2\,\alpha_s^2\,T^3\right]a_0^2$$

where $E_1 = -\frac{4m\alpha_s^2}{9}$, $a_0 = \frac{3}{2m\alpha_s}$ and $L_{1,0}$ (similar $I_{1,0}$) is the Bethe logarithm.

- Brambilla Escobedo Ghiglieri Soto Vairo JHEP 1009 (2010) 038

Consistent with lattice calculations of spectral functions

- Aarts Allton Kim Lombardo Oktay Ryan Sinclair Skullerud JHEP 1111 (2011) 103

Conclusions

Nonrelativistic Effective Field Theories provide a systematic tool to investigate a wide range of heavy quarkonium observables in the realm of QCD

Allow us to make calculations with unprecented precision, where high order perturbative calculations are possible and to systematically factorize short from long range contributions where observables are sentitive to the nonperturbative dynamics of QCD

They allow us to give the appropriate definition and define a calculational scheme for quantities of huge phenomenological interest like the qqbar static energies and the qqbar potential at finite T

in the EFT framework heavy quark bound states become a unique laboratory for the study of strong interaction from the high energy to the low energy scales

These theory tools can match some of the intense experimental progress of the last few years and of the near future

These theory tools can match some of the intense experimental progress of the last few years and of the near future

In this direction go the list of 65 priorities given at the end of the QWG (Quarkonium Working Group) doc

arXiv:1010.5827



7. CONCLUSIONS AND PRIORITIES

Below we present a summary of the most crucial developments in each of the major topics and suggested directions for further advancement.

Spectroscopy: An overview of the last decade's progress in heavy quarkonium spectroscopy was given in Sect. 2. With regard to experimental progress, we conclude:

1. New measurements of inclusive hadronic cross sections (*i.e.*, R) for e^+e^- collisions just above open $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ flavor thresholds have enabled improved determinations of some resonance parameters but more precision and fine-grained studies are needed to resolve puzzles and ambiguities. Likewise, progress has been made studying exclusive open-flavor two-body and multibody composition in these regions, but further data are needed to clarify the details. Theory has not yet been able to explain the measured exclusive two-body cross sections.
2. Successful observations were made (Table 4) of 6 new conventional heavy quarkonium states (4 $c\bar{c}$, 2 $b\bar{b}$); of these, only the $\eta_b(1S)$ lacks a second, independent 5σ confirmation. Improved measurements of $\eta_c(1S)$ and $\eta_c(2S)$ masses and widths would be quite valuable. Unambiguous observations and precise mass and width measurements are needed for $\eta_b(2S)$, $h_b(^1P_1)$, $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$, and $\Upsilon(1^3D_3)$ in order to constrain theoretical descriptions.
3. Experimental evidence has been gathered (Table 9) for up to 17 unconventional heavy quarkonium-like states. All but $Y_b(10888)$ are in the charmonium region, and all but 5 remain unconfirmed at 5σ level. Confirmation or refutation of the remaining 12 is a high priority.
4. Theoretical interpretations for the unconventional states (Table 20) range from coupled-channel effects to quark-gluon hybrids, mesonic molecules, and tetraquarks. More measurements and theoretical calculations are necessary to narrow the possibilities. In particular, high-resolution measurements of lineshapes promise deeper insights into the nature of those states.

159

and $\gamma J/\psi$ three times less. The $X(3872)$ quantum numbers have been narrowed to 1^{++} or 2^{-+}.

6. The charged Z states observed in $Z^- \to \pi^-\psi(2S)$ and $\pi^-\chi_{c1}$ would be, if confirmed, manifestly exotic. Hence their confirmation or refutation is of the utmost importance.

With regard to lattice QCD calculations:

7. Lattice QCD technology has progressed to the point that it may provide accurate calculations of the energies of quarkonium states below the open flavor threshold, and also provide information about higher states.
8. Precise and definitive calculations of the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ meson spectra below threshold are needed. Unquenching effects, valence quark annihilation channels and spin contributions should be fully included.
9. Unquenched calculations of states above the open-flavor thresholds are needed. These would provide invaluable clues to the nature of these states.
10. The complete set of Wilson loop field strength averages entering the definition of the nonperturbative $Q\bar{Q}$ potentials must be calculated on the lattice.
11. Calculations of local and nonlocal gluon condensates on the lattice are needed as inputs to weakly-coupled pNRQCD spectra and decay calculations.
12. NRQCD matching coefficients in the lattice scheme at one loop (or more) are needed.
13. Higher-order calculations of all the relevant quantities due to the lattice-to-$\overline{MS}$ scheme ...

31. It would be important to have a coherent EFT treatment for all magnetic and electric transitions. In particular, a rigorous treatment of the relativistic corrections contributing to the E1 transitions and a nonperturbative analysis of the M1 transitions is missing. The first is relevant for transitions involving P states, the second for any transition from above the ground state.
32. New resummation schemes for the perturbative expressions of the quarkonium decay widths should be developed. At the moment, this is the major obstacle to precise theoretical determinations of the $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\eta_b(1S)$ inclusive and electromagnetic decays (Sect. 3.2.1).
33. More rigorous techniques to describe above-threshold quarkonium decays and transitions, whose descriptions still rely upon models, should be developed (Sects. 3.3.1 and 3.4).

Production: The theoretical and experimental status of production of heavy quarkonia was given in Sect. 4. Conclusions and priorities are as follows:

34. It is very important either to establish that the NRQCD factorization formula is valid to all orders in perturbation theory or to demonstrate that it breaks down at some fixed order.
35. A more accurate treatment of higher-order corrections to the color-singlet contributions at the Tevatron and the LHC is urgently needed. The re-organization of the perturbation series that is provided by the fragmentation-function approach (Sect. 4.1.5) may be an important tool.
36. An outstanding theoretical challenge is the development of methods to compute color-octet long-distance NRQCD production matrix elements on the lattice.
37. If NRQCD factorization is valid, it likely holds only for values of p_T that are much greater than the heavy-quark mass. Therefore, it is important for experiments to make measurements of quarkonium production, differentially in p_T, at the highest possible values of p_T.
38. Further light could be shed on the NRQCD velocity expansion and its implications for ...

Selected Outlook for future research

Finite T : masses, width of quarkonia states, impact of anisotropy of the medium, transport coefficients of heavy quarks, viscosity

Alice, Rhic

Spectra/decays of quarkonia

Belle,BESIII, Panda, LHC exps

EFT for states close to thresholds: X, Y, Z

Belle,BESIII, Panda, LHC-b

Quarkonium-quarkonium van der Waals interaction; quarkonium on nuclei

Fair

Quarkonium production

CMS, Atlas, Alice, LHC-b

Selected Outlook for future research

Alice, Rhic

Finite T : masses, width of quarkonia states, impact of anisotropy of the medium, transport coefficients of heavy quarks, viscosity

Belle,BESIII, Panda, LHC exps

Spectra/decays of quarkonia

Belle,BESIII, Panda, LHC-b

EFT for states close to thresholds: X, Y, Z

Quarkonium-quarkonium van der Waals interaction; quarkonium on nuclei

Fair

Quarkonium production

CMS, Atlas, Alice, LHC-b

We are eagerly looking forward the new experimental data from LHC, BESIII, and in the near future BELLE II and Panda

Backup SLIDES

Quarkonium in a hot medium: the interaction potential

Free energy vs potential

- Either phenomenological potentials have been used so far or the free energy calculated on the lattice.
- The free energy is not the static potential: the average free energy ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r) \mathrm{Tr}\, L(0) \rangle$) is an overlap of singlet and octet quark-antiquark states, what is called the singlet ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r)\, L(0) \rangle$) and the octet ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r) \mathrm{Tr}\, L(0) \rangle$ $-1/3 \, \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r)\, L(0) \rangle$) free energy are gauge dependent;



- Kaczmarek Zantow PRD 71 (2005) 114510

Quarkonium in a hot medium: the interaction potential

Free energy vs potential

- Either phenomenological potentials have been used so far or the free energy calculated on the lattice.
- The free energy is not the static potential: the average free energy ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r) \mathrm{Tr}\, L(0) \rangle$) is an overlap of singlet and octet quark-antiquark states, what is called the singlet ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r)\, L(0) \rangle$) and the octet ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r) \mathrm{Tr}\, L(0) \rangle$ $-1/3\, \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r)\, L(0) \rangle$) free energy are gauge dependent;



- Kaczmarek Zantow PRD 71 (2005) 114510

It was always believed that the color screening of the potential originates quarkonium dissociation

Matsui Satz 86

Quarkonium in a hot medium: the interaction potential

Free energy vs potential

- Either phenomenological potentials have been used so far or the free energy calculated on the lattice.
- The free energy is not the static potential: the average free energy ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r) \mathrm{Tr}\, L(0)\rangle$) is an overlap of singlet and octet quark-antiquark states, what is called the singlet ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r)\, L(0)\rangle$) and the octet ($\sim \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r) \mathrm{Tr}\, L(0)\rangle$ $-1/3\, \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, L^\dagger(r)\, L(0)\rangle$) free energy are gauge dependent;



○ Kaczmarek Zantow PRD 71 (2005) 114510

It was always believed that the color screening of the potential originates quarkonium dissociation

Matsui Satz 86



Debye charge screening (electromagnetic plasma)

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

$$r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow$$ Bound state dissolves

c and b masses

reference	order	$\overline{M}_b(\overline{M}_b)$ (GeV)
Brambilla et al. 01	NNLO +charm ($\Upsilon(1S)$)	$4.190 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.025$
Penin Steinhauser 02	NNNLO* ($\Upsilon(1S)$)	4.346 ± 0.070
Lee 03	NNNLO* ($\Upsilon(1S)$)	4.20 ± 0.04
Contreras et al. 03	NNNLO* ($\Upsilon(1S)$)	4.241 ± 0.070
Pineda Signer 06	NNLL* high moments SR	4.19 ± 0.06

reference	order	$\overline{M}_c(\overline{M}_c)$ (GeV)
Brambilla et al. 01	NNLO (J/ψ)	1.24 ± 0.02
Eidemüller 02	NNLO high moments SR	1.19 ± 0.11

They compare well with the most precise available determinations:

$\overline{M}_b(\overline{M}_b) = 4163 \pm 16$ MeV ∘ Chetyrkin et al. arXiv:1010.6157

$\overline{M}_c(\overline{M}_c) = 1277 \pm 26$ MeV ∘ Dehnadi Hoang Mateu Zebarjad arXiv:1102.2264

RADIATIVE TRANSITIONS



MAGNETIC DIPOLE TRANSITIONS

CRYSTAL BALL 86 + BELLE 03 + CLEO 08

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c \gamma) = (1.44 \pm 0.18)\,\text{keV}$$

- $\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c\gamma)$ enters into many charmonium BR.
  Its 12.5% uncertainty sets typically their experimental errors.

RADIATIVE TRANSITIONS



Magnetic Dipole transitions

CRYSTAL BALL 86 + BELLE 03 + CLEO 08

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c\gamma) = (1.44 \pm 0.18)\,\text{keV}$$

- $\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c\gamma)$ enters into many charmonium BR. Its 12.5% uncertainty sets typically their experimental errors.

In potential models

At leading order $\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c\gamma) \sim 2.83\,\mathrm{KeV}$

this implies:

- large value of the charm mass
- large anomalous magnetic moment of the quark
- large relativistic corrections to the S-state wave functions

Eichten QWG 02

EFTheory of radiative transitions

pNRQCD with singlet, octet, US gluons and photons

Brambilla, Jia, Vairo 05

- No nonperturbative physics at order v^2
- Exact relations from Poincare invariance-> no scalar interaction
- No large anomalous magnetic moment

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta_c) = \frac{16}{3}\alpha e_c^2 \frac{k_\gamma^3}{M_{J/\psi}^2}\left[1 + C_F \frac{\alpha_s(M_{J/\psi}/2)}{\pi} - \frac{2}{3}(C_F \alpha_s(p_{J/\psi}))^2\right]$$

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c\gamma) = (1.5 \pm 1.0)\ \text{keV}.$$



$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma\,\eta_b) = (k_\gamma/71\ \text{MeV})^3\,(15.1 \pm 1.5)\ \text{eV}$$



Spectroscopy and Decays examples

$\eta_b(1S)$

Expt	$\Delta M_{hfs}(1S)$ (MeV)
BaBar	$66.1\,^{+4.9}_{-4.8} \pm 2.0$
CLEO	$68.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 2.0$
Belle	$59.3 \pm 1.9\,^{+2.4}_{-1.4}$



$\eta_b(2S)$

$\Delta M_{hfs}(2S) = 24.3 \pm 3.5\,^{+2.8}_{-1.9}$ MeV

$M[\eta_b(2S)] = 9999.0 \pm 3.5\,^{+2.8}_{-1.9}$ MeV

$Bf[h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(2S)] = 47.5 \pm 10.5\,^{+6.6}_{-7.7}$ %

preliminary

Belle, from S. Olsen, IWHSS 2012

Expt	ΔM_{hfs} (2S) (MeV
CLEO	48.7±2.7
Belle	24.3±4.3

≈5σ discrepancy

arXiv:1204.4205

$$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(2S), \eta_b(2S) \rightarrow \text{Hadrons}$$

$$M(\eta_b(2S)) = 9974.6 \pm 2.3(\text{stat}) \pm 2.1(\text{syst})\text{MeV}$$

Seminclusive decays

$$\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma X$$



Photon spectrum at NLO (continuous lines, pNRQCD + SCET) vs CLEO data

○ Garcia Soto PRD 72 (2005) 054014, Fleming Leibovich PRD 67 (2003) 074035