



First results on neutrinoless double beta decay from the GERDA experiment and future perspectives for the Phase II

Carla Macolino on behalf of the GERDA collaboration


INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso

Scientific Committee


29.10.2013

- Probing the nature of neutrino with neutrinoless double-beta decay
- The GERDA experiment
- The GERDA energy spectra
- The GERDA physics results:
 - Measurement of the half-life of $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ^{76}Ge
 - The background models for GERDA Phase I
 - The Pulse Shape Discrimination of GERDA events
 - [Result on \$0\nu\beta\beta\$ half-life](#)
- On the way to GERDA Phase II

Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay



$\Delta L = 0 \Rightarrow$ Predicted by s.m.




$\Delta L = 2 \Rightarrow$ Prohibited by s.m.

Light Majorana neutrino exchange

$$Q = M_i - M_f - 2m_e$$

- $0\nu\beta\beta \rightarrow$ Majorana nature of neutrino
- Lepton number violation
- physics beyond Standard Model
- Shed lights on effective neutrino mass
- Shed lights on neutrino mass hierarchy

The GERmanium Detector Array experiment is an ultra-low background experiment designed to search for ^{76}Ge $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay.



$$Q_{\beta\beta} = 2039 \text{ keV}$$

Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay

It light Majorana neutrino exchange is the dominant mechanism:

$$(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = G^{0\nu} |M^{0\nu}|^2 \frac{\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle^2}{m_e^2}$$

with $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle$ = effective electron neutrino mass

$$\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle \equiv |U_{e1}|^2 m_1 + |U_{e2}|^2 m_2 e^{i\phi_2} + |U_{e3}|^2 m_3 e^{i\phi_3}$$


m_i = masses of the neutrino mass eigenstates

U_{ei} = elements of the neutrino mixing matrix

$e^{i\phi_2}$ and $e^{i\phi_3}$ = Majorana CP phases


→ information on the absolute mass scale!

- **Phase I result:** BI $\sim 10^{-2}$ cts/(keV kg yr) and ~ 20 kg yr exposure
Claim from *Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 198* rejected with high probability
- **Phase II goal:** BI $\sim 10^{-3}$ cts/(keV kg yr) and 100 kg yr exposure
sensitivity on $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \sim 1.4 \cdot 10^{26}$ yr (factor 7 better than Phase I)




The GERDA Collaboration

<http://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/gerda/>



GERDA Building





The GERDA collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013)



- 3 + 1 strings
- 8 enriched Coaxial detectors: working mass 14.6 kg (2 of them are not working due to high leakage current)
- GTF112 natural Ge: 3.0 kg
- 5 enriched BEGe: working mass 3.0 kg (testing Phase II concept)

Energy calibrations and data processing

- weekly calibrated spectra with ^{228}Th sources and pulser with 0.05 Hz frequency
- data useful for monitoring of resolution and stability over time
- exposure-weighted FWHM at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ is about 4.8 keV for Coaxials (0.23%) and 3.2 keV (0.16%) for BEGes




shifts are small compared to FWHM - 0.2% $Q_{\beta\beta}$



GERDA spectrum in fast motion

Energy spectra

- *Silver coax*: data from coaxial detectors during BEGe deployment (higher BI)
- *Golden coax*: data from coaxial detectors except Silver coax
- *BEGe*: data from BEGe detectors



- Events in $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 20 \text{ keV}$ kept BLINDED to not bias analysis and cuts

- Phase I data divided in **three subsets**:




- *Golden coax*: 17.9 kg yr
- *Silver coax*: 1.3 kg yr
- *BEGe*: 2.4 kg yr

- **Background level before PSD at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ for Golden coax:**
 $0.018 \pm 0.002 \text{ cts}/(\text{keV kg yr})$

Background $\sim 10\times$ lower than previous Ge experiments!!

The Background Model of GERDA Phase I

The GERDA collaboration, submitted to Eur. Phys. J. C arXiv:1306.5084




- Simulation of known and observed background
- Fit combination of MC spectra to data from 570 keV to 7500 keV
- Different combinations of positions and contributions tested


Main contribution from close background sources: ^{228}Th and ^{226}Ra in holders, ^{42}Ar α on detector surface

The Background Model of GERDA Phase I

Minimum model fit



Maximum model fit



- No line expected in the blinded window
- Background flat between 1930 and 2190 keV
- 2104 ± 5 keV and 2119 ± 5 keV excluded
- Partial unblinding after fixing calibration and background model

In 30 keV window:

- **expected events:**
8.6 (minimum model) or
10.3 (maximum model)
- **observed events:**
13

Golden coax:


$$BI = 1.75^{+0.26}_{-0.24} \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ cts}/(\text{keV kg yr})$$

BEGe:


$$BI = 3.6^{+1.3}_{-1.0} \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ cts}/(\text{keV kg yr})$$

Pulse shape discrimination of GERDA Phase I data

SSE: $\beta\beta$, DEP
SSE





MSE: Compton
MSE



Pulse-shape analysis

e signal: single site energy deposition

γ signal: multiple site energy deposition



$0\nu\beta\beta$ events: 1 MeV electrons in Ge \sim 1mm range
one drift of electrons and holes SINGLE SITE EVENTS (SSE)



Background from γ 's: MeV γ in Ge \sim cm range
several electron/holes drifts MULTI SITE EVENTS (MSE)

Surface events: only electron or hole drift

Current signal = $q \cdot v \cdot \Delta\Phi$
 q =charge, v =velocity
 (Shockley-Ramo theorem)

Pulse shape discrimination for BEGEs

A/E parameter allows to separate SSE events from MSE, n^+ and p^+ events



D. Budjas et al, JINST 4 P10007 (2009)



M. Agostini et al., JINST 6P03005 (2011)

Pulse shape discrimination of GERDA Phase I data

The GERDA collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2583 (2013)

PSD for BEGe:

- A over E parameter (A/E) between 0.965 and 1.07
- Double Escape Peak of 2615 keV γ in ^{228}Th from calibrations \rightarrow SSE for $0\nu\beta\beta$
- 80% background rejection at $Q_{\beta\beta}$
- 0.92 ± 0.02 efficiency for $0\nu\beta\beta$ - 7/40 events kept in 400 keV window





Pulse shape discrimination of GERDA Phase I data

The GERDA collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2583 (2013)

PSD for Coaxials:


- Artificial Neural Network **ANN**
- ANN analysis of 50 rise-time info (1,3,5,...,99%) with TMVA/TMlpANN
- trained on signal SSE: ^{208}Tl (2614 keV) DEP at 1592 keV
- MSE training with background-like ^{212}Bi FEP at 1621 keV



Pulse shape discrimination of GERDA Phase I data

The GERDA collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2583 (2013)


PSD for Coaxials



- Good agreement between model and data for $2\nu\beta\beta$
- $2\nu\beta\beta$ survival fraction: 0.85 ± 0.02
- Estimated survival fraction for $0\nu\beta\beta$ events: $0.90^{+0.05}_{-0.09}$
- Other 2 methods for PSD considered for cross-check: 90% of the events rejected by ANN are also rejected by the others 2 methods

Results on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay

- Summed exposure: **21.6 kg yr**
- Unblinding after calibration finished, data selection frozen, analysis method fixed and PSD selection fixed
- Consider the 3 data sets separately in the analysis
- BI = 0.01 cts/(keV kg yr) after PSD
- No events in $\pm\sigma_E$ after PSD
- 3 events in $\pm 2\sigma_E$ after PSD



data set	\mathcal{E} [kg.yr]	$\langle\epsilon\rangle$	bkg	BI [†]	cts
without PSD					
<i>golden</i>	17.9	0.688 ± 0.031	76	18 ± 2	5
<i>silver</i>	1.3	0.688 ± 0.031	19	63^{+16}_{-14}	1
<i>BEGe</i>	2.4	0.720 ± 0.018	23	42^{+10}_{-8}	1
with PSD					
<i>golden</i>	17.9	$0.619^{+0.044}_{-0.070}$	45	11 ± 2	2
<i>silver</i>	1.3	$0.619^{+0.044}_{-0.070}$	9	30^{+11}_{-9}	1
<i>BEGe</i>	2.4	0.663 ± 0.022	3	5^{+4}_{-3}	0


[†]) in units of 10^{-3} cts/(keV.kg.yr).

data set	detector	energy [keV]	date	PSD passed
<i>golden</i>	ANG 5	2041.8	18-Nov-2011 22:52	no
<i>silver</i>	ANG 5	2036.9	23-Jun-2012 23:02	yes
<i>golden</i>	RG 2	2041.3	16-Dec-2012 00:09	yes
<i>BEGe</i>	GD32B	2036.6	28-Dec-2012 09:50	no
<i>golden</i>	RG 1	2035.5	29-Jan-2013 03:35	yes
<i>golden</i>	ANG 3	2037.4	02-Mar-2013 08:08	no
<i>golden</i>	RG 1	2041.7	27-Apr-2013 22:21	no


No peak in spectrum observed, number of events consistent with expectation from background \rightarrow **GERDA sets a limit** on the half-life of the decay!

Results on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay

The GERDA collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 122503



- Frequentist analysis
Median sensitivity:
 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.4 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr}$ at 90% C.L.
- Maximum likelihood spectral fit
(3 subsets, $1/T_{1/2}$ common)
- Bayesian analysis also available
Median sensitivity:
 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.0 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr}$ at 90% C.L.



- **Profile likelihood result:**
 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr}$ at 90% C.L.
- **Bayesian analysis result:**
 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 1.9 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr}$ at 90% C.L.
- Best fit: $N^{0\nu} = 0$

Results on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay


Comparison with **claim** from Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 198

Compare two hypotheses:

- H_1 : $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 1.19_{-0.23}^{+0.37} \cdot 10^{25}$ yr
- H_0 : background only

GERDA only:

- Profile likelihood
 $P(N^{0\nu}=0|H_1) = 0.01$
- Bayes factor
 $P(H_1)/P(H_0) = 0.024$



"Claim", PLB586 (2004)

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 1.19 \times 10^{25} \text{ yr}$$

Compatible with no signal events

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr}$$


Claim strongly disfavoured!

N.B.: $T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$ from Mod. Phys. Lett. A 21 (2006) 157 not considered because of inconsistencies (missing efficiency factors) pointed out in Ann. Phys. 525 (2013) 259 by B. Schwingenheuer.

Combining with Ge and Xe previous results

The GERDA collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 122503

Comparison with previous half-life limits from Ge and Xe experiments



- **GERDA+HdM+IGEX:**

- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 3.0 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr at 90% C.I.
- Bayes factor $P(H_1)/P(H_0) = 0.0002$
- best fit: $N^{0\nu} = 0$

- **GERDA+KamLAND+EXO:**

- Bayes factor $P(H_1)/P(H_0) = 0.0022$

On the way to GERDA Phase II




How to get a higher sensitivity for the Phase II:

- reduce radiation sources and understand background sources
- improve background rejection
- increase mass and improve energy resolution



Strategy:

- Phase I ended on Sept. 30th 2013. Phase II transition currently ongoing at LNGS
- **increase mass**: additional 30 enriched BEGe detectors (about 20 kg)
- **reduce background** by a factor of 10 w.r.t. GERDA Phase I:
 - ① make things cleaner:
 - use lower background Signal and HV cables w.r.t. Phase I
 - reduce material around sources and special care in crystal production
 - ② reject *a posteriori* residual radiation:
 - use BEGes with **Pulse Shape Analysis** for high background recognition efficiency
 - use **LAr scintillation light** for background recognition and rejection
- start commissioning in Early 2014

Very-Front End Electronics



- Lower noise:
Energy resolution at 2.6 MeV better than 3.0 keV (FWHM)
- Higher bandwidth:
 ~ 100 ns \rightarrow 70 ns front
- Higher output dynamic range:
 ~ 150 mV/MeV \rightarrow 300 mV/MeV





- Flexible CuFlon cables near detectors
- FET and feedback network bonded on the cable

Liquid Argon instrumentation for Phase II

PMT LAr instrumentation studies for Phase II in LArGe (a smaller GERDA facility)

- **SiPM fiber curtain**
- **PMTs on top and bottom of the array**
 - Hamamatsu PMTs showed flashing problems in LAr
 - Hamamatsu sent us modified versions of PMTs with problem solved
 - Currently under test in Heidelberg




Liquid Argon instrumentation for Phase II

Background	rate without cuts (10^{-3} cts/(keV·kg·yr))
^{228}Th (near)	≤ 5
^{228}Th (1m away)	< 3
^{228}Th (distant)	< 3
^{214}Bi (holder/MS)	≤ 5
^{214}Bi (near p^+)	< 6
^{214}Bi (n^+)	< 7
^{214}Bi (1m away)	< 3
^{60}Co (near)	1
^{60}Co (in Ge)	≤ 0.3
^{68}Ga (in Ge)	≤ 2.3
^{226}Ra (α near p^+)	1.5
^{42}K (β on n^+)	~ 20
unknown (n?)	?

- Phase II background based on Phase I
- background decomposition from coaxial detectors compatible with BEGe spectral decomposition
- ^{42}K dominant background source
- ^{42}K with Cu MS
- holder and MS contamination expected to be reduced by a factor of 10
- ^{226}Ra contamination dominated by ^{226}Ra in LAr near p^+

Liquid Argon instrumentation for Phase II

^{42}K mitigation by different Mini-Shroud configurations



- Phase I configuration: Copper +PSA Mini-Shroud
- Option 1: Copper-meshed Mini-Shroud
- Option 2: Nylon Mini-Shroud with WLS
- Option 3: Copper Mini-Shroud but SiPMs inside

^{42}K mitigation



Expected background contributions from MC simulations
with background rejection from PSD and LAr veto

Background	without cuts (10^{-3} cts/(keV·kg·yr))	after PSD + Veto (10^{-3} cts/(keV·kg·yr))
^{228}Th (near)	≤ 5	≤ 0.01
^{228}Th (1m away)	< 3	< 0.01
^{228}Th (distant)	< 3	< 0.1
^{214}Bi (holder/MS)	≤ 5	≤ 0.13
^{214}Bi (near p^+)	< 6	< 0.03
^{214}Bi (n^+)	< 7	< 0.15
^{214}Bi (1m away)	< 3	< 0.08
^{60}Co (near)	1	0.001
^{60}Co (in Ge)	≤ 0.3	≤ 0.0004
^{68}Ga (in Ge)	≤ 2.3	≤ 0.04
^{226}Ra (α near p^+)	1.5	< 0.03
^{42}K (β on n^+)	~ 20	< 0.86
unknown (n?)	?	?

PSD and ^{42}K mitigation

Experimental evidence of efficient ^{42}K rejection by PSD on GERDA Phase I data


The GERDA collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2583 (2013)



- surface β rejection can be traded against $0\nu\beta\beta$ acceptance
- final cut level will be optimised for optimal sensitivity
- better signal noise/stability directly translates in better rejection
- We are confident to reach 0.001 cts/(keV kg yr) given NO additional background components

Time schedule for Phase II

- Water tank inspected after water drainage in July, 2 PMTs replaced, safety system certified
- Phase I detectors removed from the cryostat early October
- Removal of source without drainage of LAr planned begin of November
- Assembly of the lock system currently ongoing
- Ongoing measurements with different configurations for ^{42}K mitigation
- New lock system installed by end of 2013
- Detector mounting and testing in GDL with final front-end electronics starting in January 2014
- Commissioning of Phase II will start subsequently
- Blinding to data will be also applied to Phase II



Conclusions

- Phase I data taking successful!! Phase I ended Sept.,30th 2013
- **5 publications in the first 9 months of 2013**
- total exposure of GERDA Phase I is 21.6 kg yr
- very low background 0.01 cts/(keV kg yr) after PSD
- **half-life of $0\nu\beta\beta$:**
 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% C.L.) for ^{76}Ge
- probability that the signal from the previous claim produces the actual GERDA outcome is 1%
- starting the Phase II to improve sensitivity
- **Phase II commissioning in Early 2014**

Thanks

Thank you for your attention!!



**GERDA Collaboration Meeting in Dubna, Russia
June 2013**