"Sapienza" Università di Roma – INFN sez. Roma 1

## Exotic Hadron Spectroscopy

### A. Pilloni

LHPMF 2013, Messina – October 15<sup>th</sup>, 2013

in coll. w/ Esposito, Faccini, Maiani, Papinutto, Piccinini, Polosa, Riquer, Tantalo

## Outline

- «Exotic landscape»
- $Z_c(3900)$  and  $Z'_c(4025)$ : tetraquarks?
- Feshbach resonances
- A production mechanism for X(3872)
- Doubly charmed tetraquarks
- Conclusions

## Exotic landscape



3

## Exotic landscape

In last ten years a lot of **exotic resonances** that do not fit the quarkonium model have appeared

Nowadays, the most assessed are

- X(3872),  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ , no charged partners, huge isospin violation
- $Z_c(3900), J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ , charged state
- $Y(4260), J^{PC} = 1^{--}, \text{ no charged partners}$
- $Z_b(10610)$  with  $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ , charged state
- $Z'_b(10650)$  with  $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ , charged state

#### A convincing comprehensive framework which includes all these states is still missing

# **Proposed models**

Molecule of hadrons (loosely bound)





 $\mathbf{3}_c \times \overline{\mathbf{3}}_c \in \mathbf{1}_c$ Diquark-antidiquark (tetraquark)



Hadrocharmonium (Van der Waals forces)



... or a superposition of all these

## $Z_{c}(3900)$

Found in  $Y(4260) \rightarrow Z_c^{\pm}(3900) \pi^{\mp} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ Exotic charged charmonium-like state!  $I^G J^{PC} = 1^+ 1^{+-}$  (tbc) (note that the  $DD^*$  threshold is at 3876 MeV)

BESIII, PRL110 (2013) 252001  $M = 3899.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9 \text{ MeV}$  $\Gamma = 46 \pm 10 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}$  Belle, PRL110 (2013) 252002  $M = 3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5$  MeV  $\Gamma = 63 \pm 24 \pm 26$  MeV



# $Z_{c}(3900)$

Found in  $Y(4260) \rightarrow Z_c^{\pm}(3900) \pi^{\mp} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ Exotic charged charmonium-like state!  $I^G J^{PC} = 1^+ 1^{+-}$  (tbc) (note that the  $DD^*$  threshold is at 3876 MeV)

BESIII, PRL110 (2013) 252001  $M = 3899.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9 \text{ MeV}$  $\Gamma = 46 \pm 10 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}$  Belle, PRL110 (2013) 252002

 $M = 3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5 \text{ MeV}$  $\Gamma = 63 \pm 24 \pm 26 \text{ MeV}$ 

∋V/c²	>Breaking News< Last week BESIII on arXiv:1310.1163						
.01 G	$Y(4260) \rightarrow Z_c(3885) \pi \rightarrow DD^*\pi$						
s / C	$M = 3883.9 \pm 1.5 \pm 4.2 \text{ MeV}$						
Event	$\Gamma = 24.8 \pm 3.3 \pm 11.0 \text{ MeV}$						
	$ls Z_c(3900) = Z_c(3885)?$						
	$M_{max}(\pi^{\pm}J/\psi) (GeV/c^{2})$ $M_{max}(\pi J/\psi) (GeV/c^{2})$						

### Tetraquark

One of the models for the X(3872) is a compact diquark-antidiquark bound state

$$[cq]_{S=0}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{S=1}+h.c.$$

Maiani et al. PRD71 014028



We can evaluate mass spectrum in a constituent quark model

$$H = -2\sum_{i < j} \kappa_{ij} \, \overrightarrow{S_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{S_j} \, \frac{\lambda_i^a}{2} \frac{\lambda_j^a}{2}$$

### Tetraquark



 $1^{+-}$  state at 3882 MeV compatible with  $Z_c(3900)!$ 

Prevision for other states:

- Neutral  $I^G = 1^+$  partner  $\sim 3900 \text{ MeV}$
- Neutral  $I^G = 0^-$  partner  $\sim 3900 \text{ MeV}$
- Charged/neutral 1<sup>+-</sup> states
   ~ 3755 MeV
- Look for a  $Z'_c(3760)$  about ~ 100 MeV below  $Z_c(3900)$
- Look for the prominent decay  $Z_c(3900) \rightarrow \eta_c \rho$

## **Combined BES-Belle fit**

#### Is there room for a lighter resonance?



 $\chi^2$ /DOF=41/65, *CL* = 99.0%

## **Combined BES-Belle fit**

#### What about the $D^*D^*$ molecule?



 $\chi^2$ /DOF=47/65, *CL* = 95.0%

#### But Nature is malicious...

# $Z_c'(4020), Z_c'(4025)$

#### BESIII, arXiv:1308.2760

$$\begin{split} Y(4260) &\to Z_c'(4025) \; \pi \to D^* D^* \pi \\ &I^G J^{PC} = 1^+ 1^{+-} \end{split}$$

 $M = 4026.3 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.7 \text{ MeV}$  $\Gamma = 24.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 7.7 \text{ MeV}$ 

#### BESIII, arXiv:1309.1896

 $Y(4260) \rightarrow Z'_{c}(4020) \pi \rightarrow h_{c}\pi\pi$  $I^{G}J^{PC} = 1^{+}1^{\mp -}$ 

 $M = 4022.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.7 \text{ MeV}$  $\Gamma = 7.9 \pm 2.7 \pm 2.6 \text{ MeV}$ 



# $X, Z_c, Z'_c$ : summary

#### Molecule

- ✓ The states are near thresholds
- ✓ Large decay into open charm
- Dynamical effects make the pattern obscure
- How to justify bound states with positive binding energy?

#### Tetraquark

- ✓ The pattern is simple, based on SU(3)
- Many states are missing, in particular charged partners of X(3872)
   Who is Z'<sub>c</sub>(4025)?

# $X, Z_c, Z'_c$ : summary

		$V_C$	$I(J^{PC})$	St	tates	Thresholds	Masses $(\Lambda = 0.5 \text{ GeV})$	Masses $(\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV})$	Measurements
		$C_{0X}$	$0(1^{++})$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D})$	$D^* - D^*\overline{D}$	3875.87	3871.68 (input)	3871.68 (input)	$3871.68 \pm 0.17$ [33]
			$0(2^{++})$	D	$D^* \bar{D}^*$	4017.3	$4012^{+4}_{-5}$	$4012^{+5}_{-12}$	?
			$0(1^{++})$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B\bar{B}$	$B^* - B^* \overline{B}$	10604.4	$10580^{+9}_{-8}$	$10539\substack{+25\\-27}$	?
			$0(2^{++})$	В	$B^*\bar{B}^*$	10650.2	$10626^{+8}_{-9}$	$10584^{+25}_{-27}$	?
			$0(2^+)$	D	$D^*B^*$	7333.7	$7322_{-7}^{+6}$	$7308^{+16}_{-20}$	?
		$C_{0Z}$	$1(1^{+-})$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B\bar{B}$	$B^* + B^* \overline{B})$	10604.4	$10602.4 \pm 2.0$ (input)	$10602.4 \pm 2.0$ (input)	$10607.2 \pm 2.0$ [5]
									$10597 \pm 9$ [34]
~			1/1+-)	D	0* <u>D</u> *	10650.2	$10648.1 \pm 2.1$	$10648.1^{+2.1}_{-2.5}$	$10652.2 \pm 1.5$ [5]
<b>S</b> 140	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								$10649 \pm 12$ [34]
<b>u</b> 120	∎BES III		٨	പി	$D^*D)$	3875.87	$3871^{+4}_{-12}$ (V)	$3837^{+17}_{-35}$ (V)	$3899.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9$ [24]
D TZO	<b>_</b> Total		Λ	(a) ]					$3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5$ [25]
<b>ရ</b> 100	Box		1			4017.3	$4013^{+4}_{-11}$ (V)	$3983^{+17}_{-32}$ (V)	?
<b>κ</b> 80			<u> </u>		·	7333.7	$7333.6^{\dagger}_{-4.2}$ (V)	$7328^{+5}_{-14}$ (V)	?
₩.	· + †		II.						
Υ <sup>60</sup>							Nieves et al	. PRD88 (2013	3) 054007
<b>v</b> 40		144	K						
		· +1	ή fh.						
Ga 20			T T	- -					
	+***		T I	<u> </u>					
<b>d</b> 3.	2 3.4 3.6	3.8	4.0	4.	2		al DDI 111 (20	121 122002	
$M_{J/\Psi\pi}$					На	nnart et	<i>ui.</i> PKLIII (20	113/132003	
			Charles and the to		Cran State				

In all calculations, molecular resonances are at or below threshold. Is there a mechanism to push a bound state above threshold?

### Feshbach resonances

Work in progress w/ Esposito, Papinutto, Piccinini, Polosa, Tantalo

In cold atoms there is a mechanism that occurs when two atoms can interact with two potentials, one in a discrete level and the other in the continuum



### Feshbach resonances

The Hadrocharmonium spectrum is unknown, it can be deduced from the mass of the resonance, otherwise one can naively expect  $M_{\rm Hch} \approx M_{c\bar{c}} + M_{\rm light}$ 

We impose a cutoff on  $\nu$  and  $\Gamma_D < \nu$ 

Open channel	Hadroch.	M <sub>Hch</sub> (MeV)	ν (MeV)	$I^G J^{PC}$	name
$D^{*0}\overline{D}{}^0$	$J/\psi  ho^0$	3872	0	1-1++	X(3872)
$D^{*+}\overline{D}{}^{0}$	$\psi(3770) \pi^+$	3900	24	1+1+-	$Z_c(3900)$
$D_1^+(2420)D_1^-(2420)$	$h_c(2P) f_0(980)$	4875 ?	30	0-1+-	?
$D^{*+}\overline{D}{}^{0}$	$h_c(2P) \ \pi^+$ (P-wave)	4025	8	1+1+-	<i>Z</i> <sup>'</sup> <sub>c</sub> (4025)

The vector state Y(4260) does not fit this scheme  $\rightarrow$  Hybrid? Hadron Spectrum coll. JHEP 1207 (2012) 126, see also next talk by J. Ferretti

### Feshbach resonances

X(3872) should be a I = 1 state, but  $M(J/\psi \rho^+) < M(D^{+*}\overline{D}^0)$ No charged states, isospin violation!

If we assume  $\Gamma = a v$ , we can use  $Z_c(3900)$  as input to extract  $a = 1.9 \pm 0.9$ The estimated width of  $Z'_c(4025)$  is  $\Gamma = 16 \pm 7$  MeV, compatible with data

#### **Bottom sector**

Open channel	Hadrobott.	MHbt (MeV)	$\nu$ (MeV)	$I^G J^{PC}$	name
$B^{*+}\overline{B}{}^0$	$\chi_{b0}(1P)~ ho^+$ (P-wave)	10610	3	1+1+-	$Z_b(10610)$
$B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$	$\chi_{b0}(1P)~ ho^+$ (P-wave)	10650	2	1+1+-	$Z_b'(10650)$

We remark that  $\Gamma(Z'_b)/\Gamma(Z_b) \approx 0.63$ ,  $\nu(Z'_b)/\nu(Z_b) \approx 0.67$ 

## Prompt production of X(3872)

X(3872) is the Queen of exotic resonances The most popular interpretation is a  $D^0 \overline{D}^{0*}$  molecule

But the binding energy is  $E_B \approx -0.14 \pm 0.22$  MeV: very small! A simple square well model shows that  $k_{rel} \approx 50$  MeV

How many pairs can we produce at hadron colliders with such a small relative momentum?



Bignamini et al. PRL103 (2009) 162001

We obtain  $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow DD^*) \approx 0.1 \text{ nb } @\sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$ 

Experimentally  $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow X(3872)) \approx 30 \text{ nb!!!}$ 

Molecule challenged!!!

# Prompt production of *X*(3872)

A solution can be Final State Interaction (rescattering of  $DD^*$ )...

Artoisenet and Braaten PRD81 (2010) 114018



# Prompt production of *X*(3872)

A solution can be Final State Interaction (rescattering of  $DD^*$ )...

Artoisenet and Braaten PRD81 (2010) 114018



...but the application of Watson Theorem is spoiled by the presence of pions that interfere with  $DD^*$  propagation, Bignamini *et al.* PLB684 (2010) 228-230

(FSI have been used also by Meissner *et al.* arXiv:1308.0193 to estimate  $Z_c$  and  $Z_b$  prompt xsects, but the application to above-threshold states is unclear)

## A new mechanism?

However, these pions can elastically interact with  $D(D^*)$ , and slow down the pairs  $DD^*$ 



Esposito, Piccinini, AP, Polosa arXiv:1305.0527

The mechanism also implies: *D* mesons actually "pushed" inside the potential well (the classical 3-body problem!)

X(3872) is a real, negative energy bound state (stable) It also explains a small width  $\Gamma_X \sim \Gamma_{D^*} \sim 100 \text{ keV}$ 

### A new mechanism?





22

## A new mechanism?

A. Esposito		HERWIG		Pythia	
$k_0^{\max}$		$50 { m MeV}$	$100 {\rm ~MeV}$	$50 { m MeV}$	$100 {\rm ~MeV}$
No. of events	0 scatt.	52	253	240	1560
	1 scatt.	44	299	283	1984
	3 scatt.	843	2069	4843	11679
	4 scatt.	1166	2802	6489	14916
	5 scatt.	1689	4167	7770	18284
$\sigma$ [nb]	0 scatt.	0.10	0.50	0.13	0.83
	1 scatt.	0.09	0.59	0.15	1.05
	3 scatt.	1.67	4.10	2.57	6.20
	4 scatt.	2.31	5.55	3.44	7.92
	5 scatt.	3.34	8.25	4.12	9.71

Striking increase of  $\sigma$  after each scattering!

Down by a factor 5-7 wrt  $\sigma_{exp} \approx 30$  nb, further increases with 4-5 scatterings

### Doubly charmed states

Another approach to choose among models, is to predict states who fit only in one model

For example, we proposed to look for doubly charmed states, which in tetraquark model are  $[cc]_{S=1}[\bar{q}\bar{q}]_{S=0,1}$ 

These states could be observed in *B<sub>c</sub>* decays @LHC Esposito, Papinutto, AP, Polosa, Tantalo, PRD88 (2013) 054029



## Doubly charmed states

Another approach to choose among models, is to predict states who fit only in one model

The doubly charged state  $T_{s}^{++} = [cc]_{s=1} [\bar{d}\bar{s}]_{s=0}$ could not be explained in the molecular picture because of the Coulombian repulsion.

If  $M(T_s^{++}) > 3979$  MeV the state could decay into  $D^{*+}D_s^+$ and could be seen @LHC

This state is particularly well-defined on the lattice, because no disconnected diagrams are involved.

The calculation is ongoing...

## Conclusions

#### The study of exotic resonances in heavy quark sector is still puzzling

- The tetraquark picture predicts  $Z_c(3900)$ , but misses  $Z'_c(4025)$
- The molecular picture has troubles with above-threshold states and production mechanisms
- Look for missing states and decay modes who can help in excluding models
- Explore new production mechanisms to take into account at- and above-threshold states
- Propose and search new states who can falsify some models

#### Thank you

# BACKUP

# $Z_c^0(3900)$ at CLEO?

A reanalysis of CLEO data shows a  $3\sigma$  neutral resonance in  $\psi(4160) \rightarrow \pi^0 Z_c^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$ 



## Decay channels

#### Two questions:

- What can  $Z_c(3900)$  decay into?
- Why is  $Z_c(3900)$  much broader than X(3872)?
- $J/\psi \pi^+$
- $\psi(2S)\pi^+$
- $D^+ \overline{D^{*0}}$ ,  $D^{*+} \overline{D^0} \sim 4 \text{ MeV}$
- $\eta_c \rho^+$
- $h_c \pi^+$  in P-wave
- Radiative decays

We suppose  $g_{DD^*X(3872)} = g_{DD^*Z(3900)}$ 

## Decay channels

#### Two questions:

- What can  $Z_c(3900)$  decay into?
- Why is  $Z_c(3900)$  much broader than X(3872)?
- $J/\psi \pi^+ \sim 29 \text{ MeV}$
- $\psi(2S)\pi^+ \sim 6 \text{ MeV}$
- $D^+ \overline{D^{*0}}$ ,  $D^{*+} \overline{D^0} \sim 4 \text{ MeV}$
- $\eta_c \rho^+ \sim 19 \text{ MeV}$
- $h_c \pi^+$  in P-wave
- Radiative decays

No grounds for other couplings We only suppose

 $g = M_{Z_c}$ 

Some agreement with QCD sum rules Dias *et al.* arXiv:1304.6433

#### $\Gamma \sim 60$ MeV, agrees with experimental value

#### Hadro-charmonium

Voloshin arXiv:1304.0380



A  $c\bar{c}$  state surrounded by light matter

Decay into  $\eta_c \rho$  forbidden by HQSS

#### A light $Z'_{c}(3785)$ expected with $I^{G}J^{PC} = 1^{-}0^{++}$ (not visible in $J/\psi \pi$ channel)

### Molecule





 $DD^*$  loosely bound molecule  $1-\pi$  exchange attractive in  $I^C = 1^-$  channel, although less than in  $I^C = 0^+ (X(3872))$ Tornqvist Z.Phys. C61 525-537

A molecule decays mostly into its constituents (long range decay)

Decays into charmonium + light mesons suppressed by 1/a (short range decay) Braaten *et al.* PRD69, 074005

e.g.  $BR(X(3872) \rightarrow DD^*) \sim 70\%$ ,  $BR(X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \rho) \sim 5\%$ 

### Molecule

#### Wang et al. arXiv:1303.6355



Expected with  $BR(Z_c \rightarrow DD^*) \sim 70-80\%$ But we estimated  $\Gamma(Z_c \rightarrow DD^*) \sim 4$  MeV, How to reach  $\Gamma = 40$  MeV?

A light  $Z'_c(3760)$  expected with  $I^G J^{PC} = 1^{-}0^{++}$ A heavy  $Z''_c(4020)$  expected at  $D^*D^*$  threshold

Voloshin PRD 84, 031502

### Molecule

 $Z_c^0(3900)$  could violate isospin just like X(3872)A Y(4260)  $\rightarrow Z_c^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta \pi^0$  could occur If so, it cannot be accomodated into molecular picture: In X(3872) isospin violation is due to  $\Delta = M(D^+D^{-*}) - M(D^0D^{0*}) \sim 8 \text{ MeV}$ Hanhart *et al.* PRD85 011501

 $Z_c^0$  is above both thresholds, and  $\Delta \ll \Gamma$ 

In molecular picture  $Z_c^0$  should be a pure isovector

## Strong couplings

How do we evaluate  $g_{DD^*X(3872)}$ ?

$$g_{DD^*X(3872)}^2 = BR(X \to DD^*) \Gamma_X \left(\frac{p^*}{8\pi M_x^2} \overline{|M(X \to DD^*)|^2}\right)^{-1}$$

But if  $M_X < M_D + M_{D^*}$  the decay momentum  $p^*$  is undefined

We average over a random set  $(M_X)_i$ , distributed as a Breit-Wigner, centered at  $M_X = 3872$  MeV and with a width  $\Gamma_X = 1.2$  MeV respecting the kinematical limits

$$M_D + M_{D^*} < (M_X)_i < M_B - M_K$$

We get  $g_{DD^*X(3872)} = 2.5 \text{ GeV}$ 

# Strong couplings

The matrix element can be evaluated in an effective theory

$$\langle D(p) D^*(\eta, q) | X(\lambda, P) \rangle = g_{DD^*X} \eta \cdot \lambda$$
  
$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\text{pol}} |\langle D(p) D^*(\eta, q) | X(\lambda, P) \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{3} g_{DD^*X}^2 \left( 3 + \frac{p^{*2}}{M_X^2} \right)$$

The D-wave componenent is negligible with respect to the S-wave one

We get 
$$g_{DD^*X(3872)} = 2.5 \text{ GeV}$$

## Strong couplings

#### What about other couplings?

We cannot relate  $g_{X\psi\rho}$  to  $g_{Z_c\psi\pi}$ (no chiral symmetry or HQSS)

But we are talking about S-wave decays and we need couplings with the dimension of a mass

The main mass scale is the mass of the  $Z_c(3900)$ So we estimate

 $g \sim M_{Z_c} \sim 3900 \; {\rm MeV}$ 

## Tuning of MC

### Monte Carlo simulations A. Esposito

• We compare the  $D^0 D^{*-}$  pairs produced as a function of relative azimuthal angle with the results from CDF:



Such distributions of charm mesons are available at Tevatron No distribution has been published (yet) at LHC