





## Sprite-induced chemistry

#### E. Arnone

ISAC-CNR, Bologna, Italy

E. Arnone: Sprite-induced chemistry

HILITE workshop, 30 September 2013, Turin, Italy



(Cummer et al. 2006)





(Cummer et al. 2006)

#### OUTLINE:

introduction sprites and NOx global impact regional impact conclusions 800 km

Exosphere

## The atmosphere

#### Radiation and chemistry



#### 800 km Exosphere

#### 690 km



Shuttle

Aurora



**Red Sprite** 

Giant Jet

Stratosphere Blue Jet

Mount Everest











BOUNDARY

7.7 -



Thermosphere

# 690 km

Shuttle

## The middle atmosphere: NOx and ozone

(Arnone and Hauchecorne 2012)





Mount Everest

(Arnone and Hauchecorne 2012)



MIPAS NO2 (left) and O3 (right) along orbit January 2008

## Sprite high speed video recording



## Sprite streamer model



Simplified sprite streamer model (Sentman et al. 2008, 2009)

## TLEs and $NO_x$

#### $NO_x$ increase induced by TLEs:

- 2 orders of magnitude due to sprites, Lyons and Armstrong 1997
- 10% local increase by 1 blue jet, Mishin 1997
- Local changes, e.g. Armstrong 2000, Sentman et al. 2000
- Hiraki et al. 2004, O(1D) production by halos
- Lethinen and Inan 2007 persistent ionization in gigantic jet

#### Since 2008:

Models: up to orders of mangnitude NOx increase within streamer at 70 km altitude - modelling by Enell et al., Sentman et al., Hiraki et al., Gordillo-Vázquez

#### Laboratory:

Preliminary experiments predict large changes in NOx (Peterson et al. 2010) but questioned.

#### **Observations**

Possible local 10% NO<sub>2</sub> perturbation at 52 km above thunderstorms (Arnone et al. 2008, 2009), no global signature (Rodger et al. 2008).





## Model sprite-streamer NO<sub>x</sub>



Figure 15. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of  $NO_2$  under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes.

(Gordillo-Vazquez 2008)



Fig. 3. Temporal density variations for  $NO_x$  species at 60 km altitude in the same condition as Fig. 2; solid and dashed lines show those of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, after initiation of streamer at t=0.

(Hiraki et al. 2008)





(Enell et al. 2008)

## Model sprite-streamer NO<sub>x</sub>



Figure 15. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of  $NO_2$  under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes.

(Gordillo-Vazquez 2008)



Fig. 3. Temporal density variations for  $NO_x$  species at 60 km altitude in the same condition as Fig. 2; solid and dashed lines show those of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, after initiation of streamer at t=0.

(Hiraki et al. 2008)





(Enell et al. 2008: use their sprite-NOx maximum case scenario and complete filling of sprite volume by streamers)

## TLE global occurrence distribution



Global distribution of lightning April 1995-February 2003 from the combined

observations of the NASA OTD (4/95-3/00) and LIS (1/98-2/03) instruments

Use average global lightning activity (Christian 2003) with no day/season cycle



Figure 3. The global occurrence density of major TLEs: (a) sprites and gigantic jets (gigantic jets are marked by red filled circle), (b) elves, and (c) halos. The mean sea surface temperature between July 2004 and December 2005 is displayed in Figure 3d for comparison. (Data Source: PO.DAAC, JPL.)

35 (°C)

#### ISUAL observations (Chen et al. 2008)

Global rate: 3/minute (Ignaccolo et al. 2006)

## RESULTS: WACCM NO<sub>x</sub> response at 70 km

#### DAY 1 (1/3/2011)

DAY 2 (2/3/2011)



First build up above main lightning chimneys. Spread due to transport (depends on season).



# RESULTS: WACCM NO<sub>x</sub> response day 24 (24/3/11)



At perturbed altitude sprite-NOx becomes dominant source (up to > 500% increase). Larger build up in Norther Hemisphere because of season (March).

## Sprite-NOx in WACCM model



## Sprite-NOx in WACCM model



## How to observe sprite-NOx





MIPAS/ENVISAT

Lightning: WWLLN – The World Wide Lightning Location Network

• A global network of lightning location VLF sensors

• ~ 2-10% efficiency on lightning stroke detection (strongest strokes) but detection of most thunderstorms

#### GOMOS 50-70 km NO<sub>2</sub> and thunderstorms



Figure 1. The annualized geographical distribution of total lightning activity determined from 5 years of OTD data in units of flashes  $\text{km}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , after *Christian et al.* [2003]. Superimposed are white boxes indicating the land and oceanic regions, marked with a white "L" or "S", respectively, selected for examination in this study.

(Rodger et al. 2008)

#### GOMOS 50-70 km NO<sub>2</sub> and thunderstorms

(Rodger et al. 2008)

Time series of NO<sub>2</sub> partial columns above selected regions with high (land) and low (ocean) thunderstorm activity





Figure 1. The annualized geographical distribution of total lightning activity determined from 5 years of OTD data in units of flashes  $km^{-2} yr^{-1}$ , after *Christian et al.* [2003]. Superimposed are white boxes indicating the land and oceanic regions, marked with a white "L" or "S", respectively, selected for examination in this study.

## GOMOS 50-70 km NO<sub>2</sub> and thunderstorms: model interpretation

#### Rodger et al. 2008: OBSERVATIONS

Time series of NO<sub>2</sub> partial columns above selected regions with high (land) and low (ocean) thunderstorm activity

# GOMOS NO<sub>2</sub> column 50-70 km [1E+014 molec. cm<sup>-2</sup>]



Figure 1. The annualized geographical distribution of total lightning activity determined from 5 years of OTD data in units of flashes  $km^{-2} yr^{-1}$ , after *Christian et al.* [2003]. Superimposed are white boxes indicating the land and oceanic regions, marked with a white "L" or "S", respectively, selected for examination in this study.

#### Rodger et al. 2008 as seen by MODEL

WACCM model with sprite NO2 (top) and no perturbation (centre). Difference in % (bottom).



#### MIPAS and WWLLN detection of thunderstorms



Top: MIPAS2D mean nighttime  $NO_2$  at 52 km height, Aug-Dec 2003 Bottom: WWLLN lightning detections Aug-Dec 2003

#### MIPAS and WWLLN detection of thunderstorms



Top: MIPAS2D mean nighttime  $NO_2$  at 52 km height, Aug-Dec 2003 Bottom: WWLLN lightning detections Aug-Dec 2003

## MIPAS and WWLLN detection of thunderstorms



Bottom: MIPAS2D ozone anomalies

#### MIPAS and WWLLN detection of thunderstorms: MODEL



#### Arnone et al. 2008,2009: MODEL VIEW

## Case study with Eurosprite and MIPAS2D NO<sub>2</sub>: 25 August 2003





Thunderstorm with lightning (+), sprites (\*), MIPAS footprint (boxes) and camera field of view (blue lines). Sprites observed between 19:56 and 22:06.











Sprite areas (circles) and MIPAS lines of sight (blue/gray shade).



[Thunderstorm with lightning (+), sprites (\*), MIPAS footprint (boxes) and camera field of view (blue lines).

## EUROSPRITE 2009-2011 observations

The first climatology of 3931 TLEs observed above 500 thunderstorms across Europe





## EUROSPRITE 2009-2011 observations

# The first climatology of 3931 TLEs observed above 500 thunderstorms across Europe



Seasonal distribution of TLEs in spring and summer (top) and autumn and winter (bottom) normalized by the 2009-2011 yearly average. Data are reported in percent.

#### EUROSPRITE 2009-2011 observations and MIPAS2D NO<sub>2</sub>

#### Case studies with thunderstorms+sprites and MIPAS coincidence



## EUROSPRITE 2009-2011 observations and MIPAS2D NO<sub>2</sub>

#### Case studies with thunderstorms+sprites and MIPAS coincidence



MIPAS2D NO2 @ 52 km (\*), sprites (I), sprites+MIPAS2D (O) at latitude 35 ° to 50° N.

# Conclusions







- Use of satellite measurements and models to study sprite-induced chemical perturbations:
  - Persistent changes in NO<sub>2</sub> are expected but are quickly diluted and transported throughout the Tropics.
  - Need to look at perturbation above individual thunderstorms. Difficulties in detection because of short coincidence window and low spatial resolution of measurements.
- MIPAS2D look at sprite-NOx:
  - Using *MIPAS/lightning*: +5/10% in NO<sub>2</sub> at 52 km in coincidence with thunderstorm activity north of the equator. Confirm hint to sprite perturbation.
  - Using **MIPAS/sprites**: a few cases with anomalously high (tens %) NO<sub>2</sub> but no conclusive evidence. Need more sprites/chemistry observations over Tropics where activity is stronger.
- Simulations ongoing to study sensitivity of satellite NO<sub>2</sub> to sprite- and lightning-NOx changes.

**Acknowledgement** to ESA CHIMTEA project within the framework of the Changing Earth Science Network Initiative, and to EuroSprite and TEA-IS for TLE observations, collaboration and support. Thanks to A.K. Smith, A. Kero, C.-F. Enell, F. Sao-Sabbas, S. Soula, T. Neubert, O. Chanrion, B.M. Dinelli, E.Castelli for collaboration.

E. Arnone: Sprite-induced chemistry

HILITE workshop, 30 September 2013, Turin, Italy