Extraction of electric field of non-irradiated microstrip detectors using the edge-TCT technique



Marcos Fernández García, I. Vila IFCA-Santander (Spain)



M. Gabrysch, C. Gallrapp, M. Moll, H. Neugebauer CERN

(Work developed at the Solid State Detector-SSD lab at CERN)



11th RD13 conference

Firenze 5th July 2013

Contents



- Motivation of this study
- Intro to TCT
- edge-TCT technique
- Methods to extract E-field with edge-TCT
 1) Based on measured drift velocity
 2) Based on collection time
- Application to real devices
- Comparison of the 2 methods
- Conclusions & Outlook

Motivation for this study



 In the framework of the LHC upgrades and RD50 collaboration, we want to have a tool to measure the E-field profile inside a heavily irradiated microstrip detector. This knowledge is key to <u>improve current designs</u> and develop more <u>radiation hard devices</u>. The tool now exists (2010) and is called edge-TCT.

• **Device simulation community** also benefits of such tool, to validate, tune or discard some of the employed models. Better description of existing devices, more accurate predictions, better new devices.

• For **irradiated detectors**, knowledge of E-field is very helpful to calculate the trapping. Measurements this technique can address:

Double Junctions

Evolution of E-field with annealing

Description of E-field for devices exhibiting multiplication

. . .

Transient Current Techniques





Edge-TCT technique





 Transient Current technique developed by Ljubljana group [1].
 It allows to extract sensor properties (vdrift ⇒E-field, CCE) as a function of depth.

• Charge carriers created at **selected depth** in the bulk

• Spatial resolution given by laser width (vertical, σ=8 μm). Measurements averaged over strip width (horizontal). Best on segmented devices

• **SSD setup: 5th strip** AC readout. Bias Ring grounded, Backside biased.

Setup Featuring:

- \rightarrow 80 ps FWHM laser 1060 nm
- \rightarrow XYZ motion
- \rightarrow T controlled meas. [-20,80]C
- \rightarrow In-situ annealing

• DAQ by CERN SSD (N. Pacifico, M. Gabrysch, I. Dolenc)

Typical edge-TCT measurement



• Time resolved current transients for various laser injection depths. Contribution from e and h can be resolved.



 Note: All measurements presented in this work correspond to AC-coupled "baby" sensors from 2 different producers: Micron, VTT.

Thanks to F. Manolescu & I. McGill from CERN bonding lab

Measurement of drift velocity:



• edge-TCT provides a **measurement** of the instantaneous "trapping-free" drift velocity ve+vh, for each injection position along the thickness of the detector.

$$I_{e,h}(t,z) = A e_0 N_{e,h} \left[\exp(\frac{-t}{\tau_{e,h}}) \right] \frac{v_e(z,t) + v_h(z,t)}{d}$$

Trapping term (important for irradiated detectors) Vdrift calculation allows t~0 (not possible in TCT) $v_e(z, t \approx 0) + v_h(z, t \approx 0) = \frac{d \cdot I_{e,h}(t \approx 0; z)}{A e_0 N_{e,h}(z)}$

A=Amplification, e_o =elementary charge Ne,h=Number of injected e-h pairs τ = trapping time, $v_{e,h}$ = drift velocity, d= thickness

• Unknowns: Ne,h and integration time (what is t~0?) [see backup] Vdrift can be measured except for a constant



Main difficulties extracting E-field from vdrift measurements

Even if we know the exact drift velocity, we will still have:

- **Non-linear** dependence of vdrift on E-field
- Dependence on T

- vdrift saturates at high Efields. In this regime it is not possible to calculate E-field



We propose 2 methods to calculate the "missing" proportionality factor in edge-TCT and thus calculate the E-field:

Method 1: Assume E-field of a strip \equiv E-field of a diode (true when far from electrodes). 1.1: Scales measured vdrift by diodes drift velocity at detector half-thickness.

Method 2: Uses a different "observable", the collection time, to extract a first estimation of E-field, therefore a vdrift.



Method 1: diode approximation

E(z) = a + bz1) Far from electrodes, E-field assumed to match diode's field: 2) Conditions for the field: a' b' $V_{bias} = \int_{0}^{w} E(z) dz = \int_{0}^{w} (a+bz) dz \Rightarrow a$ $E(z) = \frac{V_{bias}}{w} - 2\frac{V_{bias}}{w^2} \cdot (z-\frac{w}{2})$ $E(z=w) = 0 \Rightarrow b \text{ parameter}$ w = depletion width 3) If we fix parameter a' in the fit, the V_{bias} condition is

fulfilled by construction. Note that the field in the middle of the detector is:

4) Assume this field is accurate in the middle of the detector. Calculate the proportionally factor at z=w/2, and scale for other z values.

Limitation: not true if vdrift is saturated !!

5) Extract E-field from fit to (scaled) measured vdrift

$$X^{2} = \sum_{0}^{d} \left[k \times v_{drift,meas} - \left(\frac{\mu_{0,e}E}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\mu_{0,e}E}{v_{sat,e}} \right)^{\beta_{e}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{e}}}} + \frac{\mu_{0,h}E}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\mu_{0,h}E}{v_{sat,h}} \right)^{\beta_{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{h}}}} \right]^{2}$$



 $E(z=\frac{w}{2})=\frac{V_{bias}}{w}$

 $k = \frac{v_{diode}(z = \frac{w}{2})}{v_{meas}(z = \frac{w}{2})}$



İ F (A

K

MCZ 320 µm, N-bulk, Vdep=130V

V > V

щ_{0.45}

-0.1

-0.05

0

-0.1

يوابنا الباري

-0.05

0

0







Method 2: E-field from collection time



- In edge-TCT we can measure the collection time as a function of depth (t_{coll}(z)). <u>Different collection times at different injection depths</u>.
- The collection time is measured as the time lapse between rise edge and falling edge of current pulse.



 The collection time can be calculated as the longest of the drifting times of the 2 types of carriers:



• This method does not need the measured varift at all.

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{z=0}^{d} \left[t_{coll, meas}(z) - t_{collection} \right]^{2}$$

Degradation of timing information due to detector RC



edge-TCT equivalent circuit behaves like an amplifying low pass filter



- N-bulk simulation using overdepleted diode, no diffusion considered
- Below C=10 pF, the contribution of RC to the collection time is <u>below 1 ns.</u>
- For our detectors, measured total capacitances [see backup] < 3 pF. Bias due to RC< 1 ns.



Method 2 (collection time): fit procedure



1) Fit collection time using diode field.



Border effects not modelled Fit extends to sensor boundaries

We get: $E=E_{coll}(z)$ [1st estimation].



Method 2" for non-irradiated detectors (cont.)

2) Calculate drift velocity for $E_{coll}(z)$:





3) Fit
$$k \times v_{meas}$$
 and extract E-field from drift veloity fit $E_{vdrift}(z)$









Magnitude of the collection time reproduced, but worse agreement than with VTT-N

~20% difference in the electric filed estimated from collection time and from vdrift

Good vdrift fit!!

16



Very good agreement for V>Vdep, slightly worse for underdepleted (diffusion not simulated)



Conclusions



• Standard TCT measurements provide information on the detector's E-field. If trapping is important, edge-TCT is more suitable.

 Edge-TCT provides information of a segmented detector across its thickness (0<z<d) Drift velocity is measured except for a proportional factor Proposed 2 methods to calculate this constant and to extract the E-field

1) Assumes diode field, scales vdrift at detector half-thickness

2) Uses collection time to estimate E-field.

Both methods yield very similar description of the sensor. In general, 1st method is more suitable since it applies for any Vbias and is simpler.

 The methods presented give a <u>polynomial description</u> of the E-field (very easy to handle in simulation programs)

This study is the 1st step towards the goal of extracting E-field (and trapping) in irradiated detectors.

Next

Apply method to irradiated detectors where we have double junction near the electrodes and neutral bulk. First step: using parabolic E-field.

Once E-field is known, calculate trapping time, possibly as a function of thickness (and/or field?)

BACKUP



Uncertainties on the measured drift velocity:



 edge-TCT provides a profile of the instantaneous "trapping-free" drift velocity ve+vh

Two unknowns:

1) Number of e-h pairs $N_{e,h}(z) \rightarrow$ for non-irrad detectors we can calculate it from the charge collected (see backup).

2) BUT how is I(t~0;z) defined?? I use an average of I(t) over 400 ps:

$$v_{drift}(z_i) = \frac{d}{Ae_0 N_{e,h}} \cdot \frac{1}{N_{400}} \sum_{j=0}^{400 \, ps} I(t_j, z_i)$$



Absolute vdrift ([a.u.]) for different averaging times

Normalized vdrift ([a.u.]) for different averaging times

Even if the relative information in the range [300-600] ps is the same, the **absolute** value of vdrift is different.

Different averaging times, will lead to **different absolute values of vdrift** and therefore of E-field.

So to extract the E-field we need a method not based in v_{drift}





Calculating vdrift normalization

Method #1: Ne,h from Q(z)

vdrift known from edge-TCT up to a normalization constant.

• Ne,h pairs can be extracted from Q(z), at V>depletion: $Q(z) \sim Ae_0 N$

$$Q(z) = A e_0 N(z) \int_{0}^{tint} \frac{(v_e(t) + v_h(t))}{d} dt \Rightarrow Q(z) = A e_0 N(z)$$

$$= 1 \text{ depleted}$$

$$< 1 \text{ non-depleted, and function of } (z, V)$$

• Take average of N(z):
$$\overline{N} = \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{i=0}^{n} N(z_i)$$

- Calculate "normalized averaged" drift velocity: ... normalized to N
 - ... normalized to N
 - ... averaged for 400 ps





Equivalent to drift velocity due to 1 pair e-h

Fit of v_{drift} with border effects



• We minimize a χ^2 function that depends on polynomial coefficients of the E-field



 Laser beam has a width of ~ 8 μm. At the sensor boundaries, the beam is not fully inside the detector and the measured drift velocity falls to zero softly (no sharp edges)

$$v_{drift}(c) = \int_{c-\sigma}^{c+\sigma} v_{drift}(z) G(z-c) dz$$

Question: How much capacitance is seen by the amplifier in edge-T

edge-TCT: 5th strip connected to amplifier, Bias Ring is grounded, backplane biased



Conclusion: RC smearing should not be important for depleted sensors

Question: how much RC degrades the timing information?

Simulated effect of RC low pass filter





- Simulation using overdepleted diode, no diffusion considered
- Below C=10 pF, the contribution of RC to the collection time is <u>below the experimental error</u>.
- Higher spread with electrons.

Correlations in vdrift fits

If we use a polynomial for the electric field, then we cannot extract the vdrift normalization using only the Vbias equation, since the parameters would be all correlated

$$\left(V_{bias} - \int_{0}^{d} E(z) dz\right)^{2} = \left(Vbias - k \int_{0}^{d} (p_{0} + p_{1}z + p_{2}z^{2}) dz\right)^{2}$$

k is 100 % correlated to p0,p1,p2,...

