First results of beamtests of a MAPS based ElectroMagnetic calorimeter

RD'13 Gert-Jan Nooren Elena Rocco Utrecht/Nikhef

an EM calorimeter with MAPS?



• why

- 1. particle flow: need to track particles
- 2. shower shape analysis
- 3. separate π^0 decay photons from direct photons

• what

- lateral 1 mm, longitudinal 1 X_0
- small Moliere radius \rightarrow compact, W + silicon
- many channels
 - only feasible with digital calorimetry, i.e. particle counting
 - particle density 10³ mm⁻²
 - may need even more, smaller pixels!







- $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\odot}}$ solves the connection problem between sensor and front-end
- Short input connections -> extremely low noise: tens of electrons
 1 MIP = 80 e/h-pairs per micron
- ⊗ high power density in sensor: dark current, cooling
- ☺ relatively slow -> not selftriggering
- ⊗ charge collection
- ⊗ radiation tolerance
- ⊖ thin active layer
- ③ intense development at several places, like RAL, IPHC, CERN

Beam test prototype objectives

• YES:

- proof-of-principle
 - resolution
 - Moliere radius
- technology demonstrator
 - manage read-out at GB/s
 - cooling
 - integration
 - overlap, needed because of dead zones
- collect data for study of
 - data volume/flow, data reduction
 - pixel size
- NO:
 - final chip, use what is on the market
 - rad hard

prototype features

- PHASE2/MIMOSA23
 - 640 * 640 pixels, 30 µm pitch
 - high resistivity (400 Ω cm) epilayer, 15 and 20 μ m
 - 1 MHz rolling shutter \rightarrow 640 µs integration time
 - 160 MHz read-out clock
 - no data reduction on board
 - radiation tolerance < 1 Mrad
- thinned to 120 µm
 - total sensor layer thickness 1 mm
 - estimated $R_{\rm M}$ < 15 mm
- 4 PHASE1 per layer:
 - 4 * 4 cm² active area: \sim 3 $R_{\rm M}$
 - overlap dead areas
- 24 layers 3.4 mm W
- challenges
 - largest MAPS application
 - data volume + rate



one module with two chips



some details



small overlap of top rows 100 µm





one layer -> 24 layers

assembly without cooling blocks



beam direction

beam test prototype

- 4 PHASE2 per layer: 24 * 4 cables from tower to read-out
- 39 M pixels \rightarrow total 61 Gb/s
- several FPGA's to manage this: keep only 2 frames per trigger big local buffer storage small duty factor of PS/SPS



electron beam

- DESY 2 .. 5 GeV
- CERN 30 .. 200 GeV
- cosmics (continuous since March)

read-out electronics (one half)

2013 JINST 8 P03015



detector as built



low thinning yield



operation



- flawless operation during 30 days of beamtests
 - occasional DAQ reset
- continuous operation since March cosmics data taking
 - stable (10⁻³) noise levels



adjusting the discriminators one per chip (409600 pixels)



- S/N ~2 at 2 GeV \rightarrow noise 260 pixels \rightarrow 10⁻⁵
- set discriminator thresholds accordingly





Ĕ,





examples of raw data

hit patterns from cosmics (13 k triggers)



4 chips per layer, next plots

- not aligned, only oriented
- hot pixels / columns masked

all hits generated by a single electron of



GJN15





close look at showercore 200 GeV/*c* positron, 30 um pixels



close look at showercore Moliere radius



sum of all layers

EM Calorimeter with MAPS

all hits generated by a single particle

200 GeV/c positron



hit profiles of a single particle

200 GeV/c positron



EM Calorimeter with MAPS

GJN20

1,4

special features: large clusters, lines,...



objects larger than single particle hits:

- clustersize >5
- linear
- observed in `all' showers, never associated with pion tracks
- shapes
 - largest object: 0.8 mm Ø disc
 - line 1.3 mm
 - curved lines r ~ mm

positron 200 GeV/c

layer 9 8.8 X₀





electron -50 GeV/c)

layer 15 15 X₀







layer 12 12 X₀



preliminary results calibration in progress!







objectives reached?

- proof-of-principle
 - resolution to be improved
 - ✓ Moliere radius 11 mm
- technology demonstrator
 - ✓ manage read-out at GB/s
 - ✓ cooling
 - ✓ integration
 - \checkmark overlap, needed because of dead zones
- collect data for study of
 - ✓ data volume/flow, data reduction
 - ✓ pixel size
- further work required
 - threshold tuning
 - ✓ unexplained features in EM showers



R. Wigmans, Calorimetry, energy measurement in particle physics, 46 THE PHYSICS OF SHOWER DEVELOPMENT



FIG. 2.13. The radial distributions of the energy deposited by 10 GeV electron showers in copper, at various depths. Results of EGS4 calculations.

an implementation in 0.35 AMS: MIMOSA26





21.5 mm

- "rolling shutter" readout
 - 115 µs per frame
 - 115 µs charge integration
- row-wise discrimination
- built-in zero suppression



DAQ during test beams

- rolling shutter 640 us
- 96 chips
- total datarate 61 Gbit/s
- -> can store only 0.5 s of data in memory
- takes ~2 minutes to transfer to disk
- -> very low dutyfactor
- datacompression via Root: 10 50
- 7 TB disk space
- can store all raw data (1300 s livetime)
- select triggered frames off-line (231k triggers)

