Wilson loops and the generalized quark-antiquark potential in ABJM theory

Dr. Gabriele Martelloni

Dipartimento di Fisica Università degli Studi di Firenze

arXiv:1208.5766 [hep-th], L.Griguolo, D.Marmiroli, G.M., D.Seminara arXiv:1209.4032 [hep-th], V.Cardinali, L.Griguolo, G.M., D.Seminara

Summary

1 History



- 3 Wilson Loop
- 4 Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
- Wilson Loops in ABJM theory

6 Conclusions

Summary

History

2 Motivations

- 3 Wilson Loop
- 4 Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM
- 5 Wilson Loops in ABJM theory

Conclusions

Duality between quantum gauge field theory-string theory

- 1997 Maldacena conjecture → AdS/CFT (realization of t'Hooft's idea)
- Duality between CFT in D dimensions and String theory in (AdS_{D+1} × internal spaces) dimensions

The conjecture in the large $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ limit ($\alpha' \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$)

• large $\lambda \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM		Classical IIB Supergravity
for $N ightarrow\infty$	\iff	on the background $AdS_5 imes S^5$
• Expansion in $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$		• Expansion in α'

- $AdS_4/CFT_3 \rightarrow$ 't Hooft's limit of ABJM (N = 6) \rightarrow Classical Supergravity IIA on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}_3$
- find observables in QFT exactly calculable: anomalous dimension of composite operator, Wilson Loops etc...
- Phenomenological applications
- AdS/QCD (Sakai, Sugimoto, 2004) inserting branes to introduce flavors ⇒ 5 ⇒ 4 dimensions
- AdS/Condensed-Matter (Hartnoll, 2008), strong coupling problem, Quantum phase transition⇒ 4 ⇒ 3 dimensions

Conformal Field Theory

- scale invariance: no asymptotic states, no particles
- what is the spectrum of a conformal field theory?
- 2-3-points functions are fixed by conformal symmetry
- the spectrum is the anomalous dimensions of the operators of the theory and the structure constant of three points-functions

$$<\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2>=rac{\mathcal{C}_{12}}{(x_1-x_2)^{2\Delta}}$$

$$< \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 >= rac{C_{123}}{(x_{12})^{2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3)} (x_{13})^{2(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3)} (x_{23})^{2(-\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3)}}$$

- consistency condition: four points-functions in term of three points-functions and so on...conformal bootstrap
- particular case: CFT in 2 dimensions→ conformal algebra= Virasoro algebra → infinite symmetries → integrability
- deep connection between CFT in 2 dimensions and supersymmetry in dimensions more than 2: AdS/CFT and the AGT conjecture: N=2 theories in 4 dimensions mapped in the Liouville theory

AdS/CFT as a test of Integrability

- Integrable theories??? $\Rightarrow N = 4$ SYM and N = 6 SCS (ABJM)
- Integrable structure in 4 and 3 dimensions ⇒ for the first time we have strong indications about Integrability in dimensions more than 2
- classical sigma model on $AdS_5 \times S_5$ is integrable (BPR, 2004)
- one loop mixing matrix for anomalous dimensions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM \Rightarrow matrix identified with the Hamiltonian of an INTEGRABLE spin chain with vector sites \Rightarrow Bethe Ansatz (Minahan, Zarembo 2003) \rightarrow all-loop Bethe Ansatz (Beisert, Staudacher 2005)
- Integrable theory \Rightarrow infinite symmetries: what the hidden symmetry in $\mathcal{N} = 4$? \Rightarrow Yangian symmetry from gluon scattering amplitudes in planar limit (DHP 2009)
- also in ABJM there is a Yangian symmetry from scattering amplitudes (BLM 2010,Caron-Huot,Huang 2012) and all-loop Bethe Ansatz (Gromov, Vieira, 2009)

Tools for exact calculations

- all-loop Bethe Ansatz for NON-BPS observables
- localization for BPS observables

・ロット (母) ・ ヨ) ・ ヨ)

Summary

1 History



- 3 Wilson Loop
- 4 Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM
- 5 Wilson Loops in ABJM theory
- Conclusions

TBA and Localization

- there is a quantity calculable both with Bethe Ansatz and both with localization?
- the Bremsstrahlung function $B(\lambda) \rightarrow$ energy emitted by a small velocity moving quark ($\cosh \phi = -\frac{\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2}{\sqrt{\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2}}$)



• as in the electrodynamics case with the replacement $\frac{2e^2}{3} \rightarrow 2\pi B$ (Lienard-Wiechert-fields and Larmor-formula)

$$\Delta E = 2\pi B \int dt (\dot{v})^2$$

- through Wilson Loops Maldacena at al. calculated in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM the Bremsstrahlung function $B(\lambda)$ with a new set of integral equations of TBA type
- the same quantity is related to cusp anomalous dimension
- in a particular limit cusp anomalous dimension \rightarrow BPS Wilson Loop
- BPS Wilson Loop → localization
- also in ABJM is possible a similar connection? An open problem...

Summary

1 History

2 Motivations



- 4) Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM
- 5 Wilson Loops in ABJM theory

Conclusions

Image: A math a math

The Wilson Loop

$$W_{f}(C) = \frac{1}{\dim(f)} \operatorname{Tr}_{f} \left(P \exp\left(i \oint_{C} A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}\right) \right)$$

- W gives the potential between a static pair of quark-antiquark
- renormalization of Wilson Loop with cusp and cusp anomaly: infrared divergence in QCD and scattering of gluons in planar limit

$$W(C) = Z_C f(g_{ren}, C)$$



$$\ln Z_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq -\gamma \frac{L}{\epsilon} + \Gamma_{cusp}(g,\theta) \log \frac{L}{\epsilon} + \textit{finite} \qquad \Gamma_{cusp}(g,\theta) \simeq \frac{\alpha_{\textit{strong}} N_c}{2\pi} (\theta \cot \theta - 1)$$

• in Minkowski space when $i\theta \to \infty$ factorization of the angle

$$\Gamma_{cusp}(g, heta) = \gamma_{cusp}(g) heta_{dot} heta_{$$

Summary

1 History



- 3 Wilson Loop
- 4 Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
 - 5 Wilson Loops in ABJM theory

Conclusions

(D) (A) (A) (A)

Construction of Wilson Loop in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- no field transforms in the fundamental representation of the gauge group
- to have particles as massive-quarks → break the original U(N + 1) gauge symmetry to U(N) × U(1)
- the massive W-bosons have a mass proportional to the VEV of a Higgs fields and transform in the fundamental representation of U(N)
- equation of motion of W

$$(\partial_0 - iA_0 - i\theta_I\phi^I)W = 0$$

A₀, φ^I are matrices in the adjoint of U(N)

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \text{TrP} \exp i \oint_{C} ds \left(A_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu}(s) + \phi_{l} \theta^{l} \sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}(s)} \right) \right\rangle$$

The Wilson Loop in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \text{TrP} \exp i \oint_{C} ds \left(A_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu}(s) + \phi_{l} \theta' \sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}(s)} \right) \right\rangle$$

- circular Maldacena-Wilson Loop 1/2 BPS⇒ ⟨W(circle)⟩ = ²/_{√λ} l₁(√λ) exactly computable
- conjecture: sum of the ladder diagrams (ESZ)
- captured by a Matrix Model: confirmed by localization (Pestun) and strong coupling calculation (Drukker, Gross)

$$W_{\text{ladder}} = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}M \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr } \exp(M) \exp\left(-\frac{2}{g^2} \text{Tr}M^2\right)$$

• duality WL/Scattering Amplitudes log $M_n^{MHV} = \log W_n + cost \rightarrow$ dual space $p_i^{\mu} = x_i^{\mu} - x_{i+1}^{\mu}$



Families of Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \text{TrP} \exp i \oint_{C} ds \left(A_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu}(s) + \phi_{l} \theta^{l} \sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}(s)} \right) \right\rangle$$

Zarembo's type Wilson loops

only Poincaré supercharges

$$heta^{\prime}(oldsymbol{s}) = M^{\prime}_{\mu} rac{\dot{oldsymbol{x}}^{\mu}}{|\dot{oldsymbol{x}}|}, \qquad M^{\prime}_{\mu} M^{\prime}_{
u} = \delta_{\mu
u}.$$

- coupling to 4 scalars, generic curve in ℝ⁴, 1/16 BPS
- all the Zarembo's loops are trivial and VEV is 1

DGRT's type Wilson loops

Poincaré and conformal supercharges

$$\theta^{I}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sigma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{M}^{\alpha I} \boldsymbol{x}^{\mu} \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\nu}}{|\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}|}, \qquad \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}^{T} = 1.$$

- coupling to 3 scalars, generic curve in S³, 1/16 BPS
- rescaling of the coupling $\Rightarrow W = 1 + \frac{g_{4d}^2 N}{4\pi} \frac{A_1 A_2}{2A^2} + \mathcal{O}(g_{4d}^4)$
- interesting connection with YM in D=2

Wilson Loops as the quark-antiquark potential of the theory

calculate the potential between two antiparallel lines

$$< W > \equiv \exp[-rac{T}{L}V(\lambda)]$$

- we know only the first terms both at weak and strong coupling
- Try to interpolate the two expansions, the resummation of ladder gluon-diagrams fails



cylinder map Γ_{cusp}(λ) is identical with the energy of a static q and q
 q
 (V(λ)), sitting on S³ at an angle π − φ: scattering of quarks → quark-antiquark potential on the sphere on S³

$$< \textit{W}_{\textit{cusp}} > \equiv \exp[-\log(rac{\textit{L}}{\epsilon}) \Gamma_{\textit{cusp}}(\lambda, arphi)]$$

Is it possible to connect protected and non-protected observables?

IDEA

Drukker, Forini in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- Deform smoothly the contour to interpolate between BPS (wedge) and non BPS configurations (potentials)
- Deform scalar couplings: $\theta^1 = \cos(\frac{\vartheta}{2})$ and $\theta^2 = \sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2})$



Euclidean cusp

Parameters: angle of R-symmetry ϑ and a space-time angle φ



- Circle: $\varphi = 0$ BPS
- Antiparallel lines: $\varphi = \pi, \vartheta = 0$ NON BPS

The generalized quark-antiquark potential in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- BPS condition $\vartheta = \pm \varphi$ idea: expand a NONBPS observable near the BPS point
- recover the correct limits
- cusp anomalous dimension

$$V^{(1)}(i\varphi,\vartheta) = 2\varphi + O(e^{-\varphi}) \qquad V^{(2)}(i\varphi,\vartheta) = -\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\varphi - 4\zeta(3) + O(\varphi^{-1})$$
$$V(i\varphi,\vartheta) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{384\pi^2} + O(\lambda^3)\right)\varphi + O(u^0)$$

• potential between antiparallel lines calculated for $\vartheta = 0$ and $(\pi - \varphi) \rightarrow L$

$$V_{||}(0) = -\frac{\lambda}{4\pi L} + \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^3 L} \log \frac{T}{L} + O(\lambda^3)$$

- first term ⇒ conformal theory
- second term \Rightarrow infrared effects, including higher order soft–gluon graphs
- and now how can we use DGRT WL to generalize these results for all value of coupling constant???

An exact formula for the radiation of a moving quark in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

$$\Gamma_{cusp} = -B(\varphi^2 - \vartheta^2) \qquad arphi, heta \ll 1 \qquad B = rac{1}{4\pi^2} rac{\sqrt{\lambda} I_2(\sqrt{\lambda})}{I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})} + o(1/N^2)$$

• we use 1/4 BPS DGRT Wilson Loop $\lambda' = \lambda \cos^2 \theta_0$

- we develop in θ_0 : or second derivative in θ_0 or logarithmic derivative in $\lambda \rightarrow B = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \lambda \partial_\lambda \log \langle W_{circle} \rangle$
- relation with the two points scalar function $\Gamma_{cusp} = \theta^2 I_c$,

$$I_{c} = -rac{1}{2} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} d au \left\langle \Phi(au) \Phi(0)
ight
angle$$

• weak and strong coupling results (Correa et al.)

$$B = \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{384\pi^2} + \frac{\lambda^3}{6144\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda^4}{92160\pi^2} + O(\lambda^5)$$
$$B = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi^2} - \frac{3}{8\pi^2} + \frac{3}{32\pi^2\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{3}{32\pi^2\lambda} + O(\lambda^{-3/2})$$

- agrees with the Drukker-Forini results
- agrees with the three loops expansion of TBA equation (Correa et al.)

The same pattern in ABJ(M) theories

- return to the initial problem
- renormalized operators in general are linear combinations of bare operators $\mathcal{O}_{ren}^{B} = Z_{A}^{B} \mathcal{O}_{bare}^{A}$
- find the hamiltonian of spin-chain which reproduces mixing matrix for anomalous dimensions at a given order in a loop expansion
- find the eigenvalues of this hamiltonian \rightarrow find the anomalous dimensions
- infinite chain that preserves a centrally extended SU(2|2) superconformal algebra (Central charge → energy of the excitations)

$$Z = h(\lambda)(1 - e^{2\pi i p})$$

- *p* is the momentum of the excitations and about $h(\lambda)$???
- $h(\lambda)$ appears in the magnon dispersion relation and in the all-loop Bethe Ansatz

$$\epsilon = rac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+8h^2(\lambda)\sin^2rac{p}{2}},$$

• in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM $h^2(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2}$ fixed by the symmetries (Beisert), but not yet fixed in ABJM

$$h^2(\lambda) \simeq \lambda, \ \lambda \gg 1, \qquad h^2(\lambda) \simeq 2\lambda^2, \ \lambda \ll 1.$$

• idea: $B(\lambda)$ through localization = $B_{\mathcal{N}=4}(h(\lambda))$ through TBA \rightarrow find $h(\lambda)$

Summary

1 History

2 Motivations

- 3 Wilson Loop
- 4 Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM
- Wilson Loops in ABJM theory

6) Conclusions

Short review of ABJ(M) theories

$$\begin{split} L_{ABJM} &= L_{CS} + \widehat{L}_{CS} + L_{matter}; \\ L_{CS} &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} [\operatorname{Tr}(A_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}A_{\rho} - \frac{2i}{3}A_{\mu}A_{\nu}A_{\rho})]; \\ \widehat{L}_{CS} &= -\frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} [\operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{A}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\widehat{A}_{\rho} - \frac{2i}{3}\widehat{A}_{\mu}\widehat{A}_{\nu}\widehat{A}_{\rho})]; \\ L_{matter} &= \operatorname{Tr}(D_{\mu}C^{I}D^{\mu}\overline{C}_{I}) + i\operatorname{Tr}(\overline{\psi}^{I}D\!\!\!/\psi_{I}) + L_{int}; \end{split}$$

- dual to M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$
- it Hooft limit → string theory IIA on AdS₄ × CP₃
- CFT in 3-d, with N=6 Susy, Dim(A_μ)=Dim(ψ)=1, Dim(C_l)=1/2
- gauge group $U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ for ABJM theory
- gauge group $U(N)_k \times U(M)_{-k}$ for ABJ theory
- two coupling constant $\lambda = N/k$ and $\lambda' = M/k$

Wilson Loop in ABJ(M) theories

 bosonic Wilson Loop → 1/6 BPS and SU(2) × SU(2) R-symmetry → no matching with strong coupling (Drukker, Plefka, Young)

$$W_{\mathcal{R}} = Tr_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{P} \exp\left(i \int A_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} + \frac{2\pi}{k} |\dot{x}| M_J^I C_I \bar{C}^J d\tau\right)$$

Need to coupling fermions to have 1/2 BPS WL (Drukker, Trancanelli)

$$W_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{T}r_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-i\int L\,d\tau\right)$$
$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\mu}\dot{x}^{\mu} + \frac{2\pi}{k}|\dot{x}|M_{J}^{\prime}C_{I}\bar{C}^{J} & \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}|\dot{x}|\eta_{I}^{\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}^{I} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}|\dot{x}|\psi_{I}^{\alpha}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}^{I} & \widehat{A}_{\mu}\dot{x}^{\mu} + \frac{2\pi}{k}|\dot{x}|\widehat{M}_{J}^{\prime}\bar{C}^{J}C_{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

- To obtain 1/2 BPS solution we must replace $\delta_{SUSY}W = 0$ with the weaker condition $\delta_{SUSY}L(\tau) = \mathcal{D}_{\tau}G = \partial_{\tau}G + i\{L, G\} \rightarrow$ For the straight line we have $M_J^l = diag(1, -1, -1, -1) \rightarrow$ SU(3) R-symmetry
- the low-energy Lagrangian of heavy W-bosons enjoys a larger gauge invariance, given by the supergroup U(N|M)
- interactions of fermions with heavy W-bosons are described by $\eta_I^{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}^I$
- Susy conditions $\bar{\eta}^{I}_{\alpha}\eta^{\beta}_{I} = i(1 + \dot{x}^{\mu}\sigma_{\mu})^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ $M^{I}_{J} = \delta^{I}_{J} + i\eta^{\beta}_{J}\bar{\eta}^{I}_{\beta}$ for generic circuits

Results for Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories (1)

weak coupling results for 1/6 BPS Wilson Loop for a circular circuit

$$\langle W \rangle = 1 + \frac{\pi^2 NM}{k^2} - \frac{N^2 \pi^2}{6k^2} + ..$$

- first term \Rightarrow matter contribution, second term \Rightarrow topological contribution
- exact result ⇒ localization (Kapustin et al.)
- the partition function localizes to the following matrix integral

$$Z = \int (\prod_{i} e^{-ik\pi(b_{i}^{2} - \hat{b}_{j}^{2})} db_{i} d\hat{b}_{i}) \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} (2\sinh(\pi(b_{i} - b_{j}))2\sinh(\pi(\hat{b}_{i} - \hat{b}_{j})))}{\prod_{i,j} (2\cosh(\pi(b_{i} - \hat{b}_{j})))^{2}}$$

- *VEV* of WL is given by inserting $\sum_i e^{2\pi b_i}$
- the CS and the matter contributions are factorized

$$\langle W \rangle = 1 + (\frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{6N^2})\frac{\pi^2 N^2}{k^2} + \dots$$

- Iarge N limit
- confirmed the prediction of strong coupling (Marino et al.) $\langle W \rangle \sim e^{\pi \sqrt{2\lambda}}$

Results for Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories (2)

 1/6 BPS WL and 1/2 BPS WL are in the same cohomological class (Drukker, Trancanelli)

$$W_{1/2} - W_{1/6} = Tr_{R} \mathcal{P} \left(e^{i \int L_{1/2}} - e^{i \int L_{1/6}} \right) = QV, \qquad Q = Q_{12}^{+} + Q_{34+}.$$
$$V = i Tr_{R} \mathcal{P} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} L_{1/6}(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1}} \Lambda(\tau) e^{i \int_{\tau}^{\infty} L_{1/2}(\tau_{2}) d\tau_{2}} \right]$$
$$\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2k}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta C_{2} \\ \bar{\eta} \bar{C}^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• insertion in Z of the operator $\sum_{a}^{N} e^{2\pi b_{a}} + \sum_{\hat{a}}^{M} e^{2\pi \hat{b}_{\hat{a}}}$

$$\langle W_{1/2} \rangle = e^{\pi i (\lambda - \hat{\lambda})} 2\pi i (\lambda + \hat{\lambda}) [1 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} (\lambda^2 - 4\lambda \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\lambda}^2) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)].$$

• weak coupling result very subtle, difficult to obtain $\langle W_{1/2} \rangle = 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} (\lambda^2 - 4\lambda \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\lambda}^2) + \cdots$ (Penati et al.)

New families of Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories (1)

arXiv:1209.4032 [hep-th], V.Cardinali, L.Griguolo, G.M., D.Seminara • general condition for the couplings

$$\eta_l^{\alpha}(\tau) = n_l(\tau)\eta^{\alpha}(\tau), \quad \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}^{l}(\tau) = \bar{n}^{l}(\tau)\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(\tau),$$
$$M_J^{\ \prime}(\tau) = p_1(\tau)\delta_J^{\prime} - 2p_2(\tau)n_J(\tau)\bar{n}^{\prime}(\tau), \quad \widehat{M}_J^{\ \prime}(\tau) = q_1(\tau)\delta_J^{\prime} - 2q_2(\tau)n_J(\tau)\bar{n}^{\prime}(\tau).$$

study the susy variation

$$\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2i} (\eta^{\beta} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta}) \qquad (\dot{x}^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu})^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \frac{l}{2i} |\dot{x}| (\eta^{\beta} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta})$$

differential equations for the vector n and η

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{IJKL}(\eta\bar{\Theta}^{IJ})\bar{n}^{K} &= 0 \qquad n_{I}(\bar{\eta}\bar{\Theta}^{IJ}) &= 0 \\ \bar{\Theta}^{IJ}\partial_{\tau}\bar{\eta}^{K}\epsilon_{IJKL} &= 0 \qquad \bar{\Theta}^{IJ}\partial_{\tau}\eta_{I} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\Theta}^{IJ} = \bar{\theta}^{IJ} - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \gamma) \bar{\epsilon}^{IJ}$$

New families of Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories (2)

- setting $\bar{\epsilon}^{IJ} = 0$
- "Zarembo"-like loop operators \Rightarrow Wilson Loops on \mathbb{R}^3
- Ansatz: \bar{s}'_{α} are four τ -indepent spinors

$$ar{n}' = (\eta ar{s}') \qquad n_l = (s_l ar{\eta})$$

after tedious calculation we get the couplings

$$\eta_{I}^{\alpha} = n_{I}\eta^{\alpha} = s_{I}^{\beta}\bar{\eta}_{\beta}\eta^{\alpha} = is_{I}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{\dot{x}\cdot\gamma}{|\dot{x}|}\right)_{\beta}^{\alpha}$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}^{I} &= \bar{n}_{I} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} = \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \bar{s}_{\beta}^{I} = i \left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{\dot{x} \cdot \gamma}{|\dot{x}|} \right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} \bar{s}_{\beta}^{I} \\ \mathcal{M}_{K}^{J}(\tau) &= \left(\delta_{K}^{J} - 2i s_{K} \bar{s}^{J} - 2i \frac{\dot{x}^{\mu}}{|\dot{x}|} s_{K} \gamma_{\mu} \bar{s}^{J} \right) \end{split}$$

New families of Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories (3)

another ansatz to solving the equation with also superconformal charges

$$\bar{n}^{l} = r(\eta U \bar{s}^{l})$$
 $n_{l} = \frac{1}{r} (s_{l} U^{\dagger} \bar{\eta})$ $U = \cos \alpha \mathbb{1} + i \sin \alpha (x^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu})$

- "DGRT"-like loop operators \Rightarrow Wilson Loops on S^2
- after tedious calculation we get the couplings

$$\eta_{I}^{\beta} = \frac{i}{r_{0}} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\alpha)s} \left[s_{I}(\cos \alpha \mathbb{1} - i \sin \alpha (x^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu})) \left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{\dot{x} \cdot \gamma}{|\dot{x}|} \right) \right]^{\beta}$$
$$\bar{\eta}_{\beta}^{I} = ir_{0} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\alpha)s} \left[\left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{\dot{x} \cdot \gamma}{|\dot{x}|} \right) (\cos \alpha \mathbb{1} + i \sin \alpha (x^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu})) \bar{s}^{I} \right]_{\beta}$$
$$M_{K}^{J}(\tau) = \left[\delta_{K}^{J} - 2is_{K} \bar{s}^{J} - 2i \cos 2\alpha \left(s_{K} \frac{\dot{x} \cdot \gamma}{|\dot{x}|} \bar{s}^{J} \right) - 2i \sin 2\alpha \left(s_{K} \gamma^{\lambda} \bar{s}^{J} \right) \epsilon_{\lambda \mu \nu} x^{\mu} \frac{\dot{x}^{\nu}}{|\dot{x}|} \right]$$

- $M_K^J(\tau) = \widehat{M}_K^J(\tau)$, α -circle and latitude 1/6 BPS
- Cohomologically trivial fermionic couplings?

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

A technical point: supertrace or trace?

• supergroup \rightarrow supertrace, but periodic (antiperiodic) conditions...

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_1 \\ \bar{g}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } g_1 \equiv 2\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}(\eta_I \bar{\Theta}^{IL} C_L) \text{ and } \bar{g}_2 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}(\epsilon_{IJKL}(\bar{\eta}^K \bar{\Theta}^{IJ})\bar{C}^L)$$

 in general the functions g₁ and g
₂ for a closed loops are neither periodic nor anti-periodic, but if we take the range of τ to be [0, 2π] and we denote with L the perimeter of the curve, G acquires a phase

$$G(2\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\alpha)L} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\alpha)L} \end{pmatrix} G(0) = G(0) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\alpha)L} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\alpha)L} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i}{4}(\sin 2\alpha)L} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{4}(\sin 2\alpha)L} \end{pmatrix}$$

- G(2π) = AG(0)A⁻¹, → U(2π) = AU(0)A⁻¹ then STr(WA) defines a supersymmetric operator
- in the case of the circle $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $L = 2\pi$, the twist matrix A is " $i\sigma_3$ " which means that we have to take the trace

Construction of the generalized cusp(1)

arXiv:1208.5766 [hep-th], L.Griguolo, D.Marmiroli, G.M., D. Seminara



• basic ingredient: coupling of a straight line

•
$$M_I^J = \widehat{M}_I^J(-1, 1, 1, 1)$$

•
$$\eta_I^{\alpha} = \eta \delta_I^1 \delta_1^{\alpha}$$
 and $\bar{\eta}_I^{\alpha} = \bar{\eta} \delta_I^1 \delta_1^{\alpha}$ with $\eta \bar{\eta} = 2i$

•
$$x_{\mu} = (s, 0, 0)$$

 to generalized cusp: rotation in space-time and in the space of R-symmetry

Coordinates

•
$$x^0 = 0, x^1 = s \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}, x^2 = |s| \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}$$

Construction of the generalized cusp(2)

• fermionic coupling:
$$\eta_{11}^{\alpha} = in_{11}\eta_{1}^{\alpha} = i\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\vartheta}{4})\\\sin(\frac{\vartheta}{4})\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i\varphi}{4}}\\e^{-\frac{i\varphi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\bar{\eta}_{11}^{\alpha} = n_{11}\bar{\eta}_{1}^{\alpha}$
• fermionic coupling: $\eta_{12}^{\alpha} = in_{12}\eta_{2}^{\alpha} = i\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\vartheta}{4})\\-\sin(\frac{\vartheta}{4})\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i\varphi}{4}}\\e^{\frac{i\varphi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{12}^{\alpha} = n_{12}\bar{\eta}_{2}^{\alpha}$
• scalar coupling: $M_{1}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & -\sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & 0 & 0\\ -\sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
• scalar coupling: $M_{2}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & \sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & 0 & 0\\ \sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & 0 & 0\\ \sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\vartheta}{2}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Properties

• BPS condition imposes that $\vartheta = \pm \varphi$

Perturbative analysis: one loop results



- the exchange of a single scalar is not permitted
- the exchange of a gluon is zero for any planar loop for the antisymmetry of the Levi-Civita tensor
- the only contribution goes from the exchange of a fermion

1-loop results: correct BPS condition

•
$$\langle W_{cusp} \rangle^{1-loop} =$$

 $\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)MN\left(\frac{\Gamma(1/2-\epsilon)}{4\pi^{3/2-\epsilon}}\right)(\mu L)^{2\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}-2\right)-2\frac{\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}\log(\sec\frac{\varphi}{2}+1)\right)$
• after renormalization $\langle W_{cusp} \rangle^{1-loop} =$
 $\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)MN\left(\frac{\Gamma(1/2-\epsilon)}{4\pi^{3/2-\epsilon}}\right)(\mu L)^{2\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}-1\right)-2\frac{\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}\log(\sec\frac{\varphi}{2}+1)\right)$

Diagrams at two loops

- proliferation of diagrams
- there are diagrams which contribute to exponentiation of 1-loop divergence and diagrams which contribute to the potential





About the renormalization of cusped Wilson Loop with fermions

- in 4D the only divergence is the cusp anomalous divergence, easily extracted from maximal non-abelian diagrams, not present Z_{open} in Feynman gauge in 4-D
- (Polyakov) analysis: the one-loop mass renormalization for a test particle guided along the trajectory $C \rightarrow [\mathcal{W}^{(1)}]_{sing.} \simeq g^2 \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(a^2)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D-3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{D-2}{2})} \mathcal{L}[C]$

$$\mathcal{W}(g) = \exp\left[-\hat{\gamma}(g_{\textit{ren.}})rac{L[C]}{a}
ight]\mathcal{W}(g_{\textit{ren.}})$$

- 4D: precise distinction between the linear divergence (proportional to the length of the loop) and the cusp divergence (logarithmic in the cut-off)
- 3D: both logarithmic in the cut-off $\rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{(1)} \simeq g^2 L[C] \log \frac{L[C]}{a}$
- divergence from fermions $\rightarrow \log\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\eta(1)\bar{\eta}(0)} + \frac{1}{\eta(1)\bar{\eta}(1)} + \frac{1}{\eta(0)\bar{\eta}(0)} + \frac{1}{\eta(1)\bar{\eta}(0)}\right]$
- interpretation: open contour divergence $W = Z_{open}W^{ren}$ with

$$Z_{open} = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}\right) N\left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)}{4\pi^{3/2-\epsilon}}\right) (\mu L)^{2\epsilon} (\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log 4)\right]$$

Final results

 renormalized potential with the subtraction of the divergence of the straight line: open contour divergence

$$V_{N}^{2-loop} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}\right)^{2} N^{2} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon\right)}{4\pi^{3/2-\epsilon}}\right)^{2} \left(\mu L\right)^{4\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log\left(\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}-1\right) + O(1)\right]$$

- again correct BPS condition
- we find a result compatible with this double exponentiation

$$< W > \equiv \frac{1}{N+M} (N \exp[-TV_M(\lambda', \vartheta, \varphi)] + M \exp[-TV_N(\lambda, \vartheta, \varphi)])$$

• we recover the quark-antiquark potential ($V_M \rightarrow$ exchange $M \leftrightarrow N$ and $k \leftrightarrow -k$)

$$V_N(L) = \frac{N}{k} \frac{1}{L} - \left(\frac{N}{k}\right)^2 \frac{1}{L} \log\left(\frac{T}{L}\right)$$

we recover the cusp anomalous dimension

$$\gamma_{cusp} = \frac{N^2}{k^2}$$

Summary

1 History

2 Motivations

- 3 Wilson Loop
- 4 Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM
- 5 Wilson Loops in ABJM theory

6 Conclusions

(D) (A) (A) (A)

Conclusions

Results

- new families of WL in ABJM theory: Wilson loops on \mathbb{R}^3 and S^2 (V.Cardinali,L. Griguolo, GM,D. Seminara)
- the generalized cusp at 1-loop and 2-loop (L.Griguolo, GM, D.Marmiroli, D. Seminara)
- give a possible way to calculate $h(\lambda)$

What is still missing to complete the project???

- Iocalize the Wilson Loops on S² ⇒ possible rescaling on the coupling constant as in SYM???(V.Cardinali,L. Griguolo, GM,D. Seminara, to appear)
- find an exact perturbative expression for the planar loops at two-loops (L.Griguolo, GM, M. Poggi, D.Seminara, to appear)
- a check: study the TBA to calculate the Bremsstrahlung function B

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

THANKS

Dr. Gabriele Martelloni (Università degli Studi di Firenz

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Spin chains and long operator

take some colored field Φ with L ≫ 1

$$\mathcal{O}_L = Tr(\Phi D^L \Phi)$$

under operator mixing we have the mixing matrix

$$\mathcal{O}_{L,m} = Tr(D^m \Phi D^{L-m} \Phi)$$

by diagonalizing the mixing matrix we find again cusp anomaly

$$\Delta = L + \gamma_{cusp}(\lambda) \log L$$

- $X^{L} = |\downarrow, \downarrow, ..., \downarrow >$ and $X^{m}YX^{L-m-1} = |\downarrow, \downarrow, ..., \uparrow, \downarrow, ..., \downarrow >$
- find the hamiltonian which reproduces mixing matrix at a given order in a loop expansion
- find the eigenvalues of this hamiltonian
- this gives the anomalous dimensions

1/2 BPS Circular Wilson Loop

- the simplest example of BPS Wilson Loop
- an effective constant propagator at 1-loop

$$\begin{aligned} (iA_{\mu}(x_1)\dot{x}_1^{\mu} + \phi_0(x_1))(iA_{\mu}(x_2)\dot{x}_2^{\mu} + \phi_0(x_2))\rangle &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{1 - \dot{x}(\tau_1) \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_2)}{(x(\tau_1) - x(\tau_2))^2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{1 - \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_2)}{2(1 - \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_2))} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

interactions cancel at two loops (ESZ) ⇒ only ladder diagrams contribute



 captured by an exact Matrix Model: confirmed by localization and strong coupling calculation

$$W_{\text{ladder}} = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}M \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr } \exp(M) \exp\left(-\frac{2}{g^2} \text{Tr}M^2\right)$$

Localization

• theory defined by an action *S* that is invariant under a fermionic symmetry *Q*

QS = 0.

- $Q^2 = \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow$ compact bosonic symmetry of the theory
- T a *Q*-closed operator (QT = 0)

$$S \rightarrow S + t QV.$$

the expectation value of T doesn't change

$$rac{d}{dt} \langle \mathcal{T}
angle_t = \langle \mathcal{T} \{ \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{V} \}
angle = \langle \{ \boldsymbol{Q}, \mathcal{T} \boldsymbol{V} \}
angle = \mathbf{0}.$$
 $\langle \mathcal{T}
angle_t = \langle \mathcal{T}
angle,$

 f now *t* goes to infinity and the term added *t QV* is semipositive defined, we observe that the theory has to *localize* (by semiclassical arguments) on some set of critical points of *Q V* over which we have to sum ⇒ one-loop saddle point approximation

Localization of 1/2 Circular BPS Wilson Loop(1)

• the euclidean version of the theory on a four sphere (with radius r) obtained via dimensional reduction of the euclidean $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM 10d

$$S_{\mathcal{N}=4} = \frac{1}{2g_{YM}^2} \int_{S^4} \sqrt{g} d^4 x \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{MN} F^{MN} - \overline{\Psi} \, \Gamma^M D_M \Psi + \frac{2}{r^2} \phi^A \phi_A \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\epsilon} A_{M} &= \overline{\Psi} \Gamma_{M} \epsilon \\ \delta_{\epsilon} \Psi &= \frac{1}{2} F_{MN} \Gamma^{MN} \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu A} \phi^{A} \nabla^{\mu} \epsilon \end{split}$$

- off-shell closure of the fermionic subalgebra
- The number of auxiliary fields compensates the difference between the number of fermionic and bosonic off-shell degrees of freedom

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\epsilon} A_{M} &= \overline{\Psi} \Gamma_{M} \epsilon \\ \delta_{\epsilon} \Psi &= \frac{1}{2} F_{MN} \Gamma^{MN} \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu A} \phi^{A} \nabla^{\mu} \epsilon + K_{i} \nu^{i} \\ \delta_{\epsilon} K_{i} &= -\Psi \Gamma^{M} D_{M} \nu_{i} \end{split}$$

Localization of 1/2 Circular BPS Wilson Loop(2)

• choice the supercharge

$$\Gamma^{1234}\epsilon_0 = -\Gamma^{5678}\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0 \quad \bar{\epsilon}_0\epsilon_0 = 1.$$

- the super-conformal transformation generated by this spinor with Q_e
- $Q_{\epsilon}S_{SYM} = Q_{\epsilon}W_{circle} = 0$
- adding the following Q_e-exact term, V invariant under the bosonic symmetry

$$V = (\Psi, \overline{Q\Psi})$$

• the critical points of S^Q_{bos} are given by

 $\phi_0 = 0$, $K_i = -w_i a$ (i = 1, 2, 3; with $w_i w^i = 1/r^2$) [other fields] = 0

$$\mathsf{Z}\Big|_{\phi_{0}=0, \ K_{i}=-w_{i}a \atop [\text{other fields}]=0} = \int [da] \, e^{-\frac{4\pi^{2} l^{2}}{g_{YM}^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}[a^{2}]} \operatorname{Tr}[e^{2\pi ra}]$$

 the so-called one-loop determinants ⇒ fermionic and bosonic contributions cancel and produce just 1 because of supersymmetry

Exact results for DGRT Wilson Loops

• we restrict on $S^2 \subset S^3 \Rightarrow \sigma_i^R = 2\epsilon_{ijk} x^j dx^k$

$$W=rac{1}{N}$$
Tr ${\cal P}\exp \oint ds \left({\it i} {\cal A}_{\mu} x^{\mu}+\epsilon_{\mu
u
ho}\,\dot{x}^{\mu}x^{
u}\Phi^{
ho}
ight)$



- rescaling of the coupling $\Rightarrow W = 1 + \frac{g_{4d}^2 N}{4\pi} \frac{A_1 A_2}{2A} + \mathcal{O}(g_{4d}^4)$
- connection with YM_2 in the zero instanton sector $(g_{2d}^2=-rac{g_{4d}^2}{4\pi})$

$$\langle W \rangle = \frac{1}{N} L_{N-1}^1 \left(g_{2d}^2 \frac{A_1 A_2}{A} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{g_{2d}^2}{2} \frac{A_1 A_2}{A} \right]$$

The generalized quark-antiquark potential in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM(1)



$$\langle W \rangle \equiv \exp[-\log(L/\epsilon)V(\lambda,\theta,\varphi)]$$

weak coupling results

$$V^{(1)}(\phi,\theta) = -2\frac{\cos\theta - \cos\varphi}{\sin\varphi}\varphi$$

$$V^{(2)}_{\text{lad}}(\varphi,\theta) = -4\frac{(\cos\theta - \cos\varphi)^2}{\sin^2\varphi} \left[Li_3\left(e^{2i\varphi}\right) - \zeta(3) - i\varphi\left(Li_2\left(e^{2i\varphi}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right) + \frac{i}{3}\varphi^3 \right]$$

$$V^{(2)}_{\text{int}}(\varphi,\theta) = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\cos\theta - \cos\varphi}{\sin\varphi}(\pi - \varphi)(\pi + \varphi)\varphi$$

• BPS condition
$$\theta = \varphi$$

An exact formula for the radiation of a moving quark in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM(2)

- confirmed the Drukker-Forini results
- confirmed with the three loops expansion of TBA equation, (Correa et al.): propagation of a magnon moving on a long strip with two boundaries associated to the Wilson Loop
- connection with the two points scalar function \Rightarrow a particular limit

$$\lambda \to \mathbf{0}, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}^{\imath\theta} \to \infty, \qquad \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda \boldsymbol{e}^{\imath\theta}}{\mathbf{4}} = \text{fixed},$$

 resummation through a Bethe-Salpeter equation of scalar ladder diagrams (Correa et al.)

$$\Gamma_{cusp} \rightarrow \Gamma_{ladder}(\hat{\lambda}, \varphi)$$

 matches with strong coupling, remember the resummation of ladder diagrams for the circle BPS loop

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Wilson Loop in SCS theories

$$W_{\mathcal{R}} = Tr_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{P} \exp\left(i \int A_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} + \frac{2\pi}{k} |\dot{x}| M_J^I C_I \bar{C}^J d au
ight)$$

Properties of this WL

- In D=3 WL is quadratic in the scalar coupling
- $\delta_{SUSY}W = 0$ implies $M_J^l = diag(1, 1, -1, -1)$
- Circle is 1/6 BPS

Problem

- the fundamental string in AdS₄ ending on a circle at the boundary is 1/2 BPS
- the fundamental string preserves SU(3) R-symmetry: 1/6 BPS WL has only SU(2)×SU(2) R-symmetry
- 1/6 BPS WL exists in both of the group of ABJM and in N = 2 SCS : no enhancement of SUSY from N = 2 to N = 6

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Results for Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories

weak coupling results for 1/6 BPS Wilson Loop

$$\langle W \rangle = 1 + \frac{\pi^2 NM}{k^2} - \frac{N^2 \pi^2}{6k^2},$$

- first term \Rightarrow matter contribution, second term \Rightarrow topological contribution
- exact result \Rightarrow localization, here $V = \text{Tr}'(Q\lambda^{\dagger}, \lambda)$ (Kapustin et al.)
- λ is the gaugino present in the gauge supermultiplet when we write the theory in the language of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ off-shell supersymmetry

$$W = \frac{1}{\dim R} \operatorname{Tr}_{R}(\mathcal{P}) \exp\left(\oint dt (iA_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} + \sigma |\dot{x}|)\right)$$

- σ is the second real scalar field of the gauge $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet
- the dominant contribution to the path integral will come from the region of field space where

$$Q\lambda = 0$$

Results for Wilson Loops in ABJ(M) theories (2)

 two gauge group U(N)_k × U(N)_{−k} and the matter sector, the partition function localizes to the following matrix integral

$$Z = \int (\prod_{i} e^{-ik\pi(\lambda_{i}^{2} - \hat{\lambda}_{i}^{2})} d\lambda_{i} d\hat{\lambda}_{i}) \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} (2\sinh(\pi(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j}))2\sinh(\pi(\hat{\lambda}_{i} - \hat{\lambda}_{j})))}{\prod_{i,j} (2\cosh(\pi(\lambda_{i} - \hat{\lambda}_{j})))^{2}}$$

- *VEV* of WL is given by inserting $\sum_{i} e^{2\pi\lambda_{i}}$
- the CS and the matter contributions are factorized
- remove the phase due to the framing

$$\langle W \rangle = 1 + (\frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{6N^2})\frac{\pi^2 N^2}{k^2} + \dots$$

Iarge N limit

.

confirmed the prediction of strong coupling(Marino et al.)

$$\langle \pmb{W}
angle \sim \pmb{e}^{\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}$$

Diagrams at two loops (2)



ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト