

## High Resolution $^{148}\text{Nd}(^3\text{He},n\gamma)$ Two Proton Stripping Reaction and the structure of the $0_2^+$ State in $^{150}\text{Sm}$

J. F. Sharpey-Schafer<sup>1</sup>, P. Papka<sup>2,3</sup>, S. P. Bvumbi<sup>4</sup>, P. Jones<sup>3</sup>, P. Vymers<sup>2</sup>, T. D. Bucher<sup>3</sup>, T. S. Dinoko<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Easton<sup>1</sup>, M. S. Herbert<sup>1</sup>, P. V. Keshwa<sup>2</sup>, N. Khumalo<sup>1</sup>, E. A. Lawrie<sup>3</sup>, J. J. Lawrie<sup>3</sup>, S. N. T. Majola<sup>3,5</sup>, J. Ndayishimye<sup>2</sup>, M. R. Nchodu<sup>3</sup>, D. Negi<sup>3</sup>, S. P. Noncolela<sup>1</sup>, J. N. Orce<sup>1</sup>, O. Shirinda<sup>3</sup>, P. Sithole<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Stankiewicz<sup>5</sup> and M. Wiedeking<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Western Cape, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, iThemba LABS, South Africa

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Contact email: [jfss@tlabs.ac.za](mailto:jfss@tlabs.ac.za)

The challenge of achieving high resolution in binary reactions involving an outgoing high energy neutron is solved by detecting the  $\gamma$ -ray decay of populated excited states in an array of escape suppressed HPGe detectors in coincidence with fast neutrons detected in a wall of scintillator detectors 2m down beam of the target. The selectivity of the arrangement is of the order of 1 in 1000. The time-of-flight difference is sufficient to separate fast neutrons from direct reactions from a large background of statistical neutrons from fusion-evaporation reactions. We have used the AFRODITE spectrometer at iThemba LABS to select  $\gamma$ -rays from the  $^{148}\text{Nd}(^3\text{He},n\gamma)^{150}\text{Sm}$  reaction, at a beam energy of 25 MeV, to pick out states populated in  $L=0$  two proton transfer. Our interest is in the wavefunction of the  $0_2^+$  state at 740 keV in the N=88 nucleus  $^{150}\text{Sm}$  which is one of only two excited states observed [1] in  $2\beta 2\nu$  double  $\beta$ -decay. The importance of understanding the microscopic configurations of these  $0_2^+$  states, and the ground  $0_1^+$  states of the parent and daughter nuclei, has been stressed in a recent review article [2]. The better the transition matrix elements can be calculated, the more accurately an effective neutrino mass can be extracted. There is also the ambition of using the double  $\gamma$ -ray decay from the  $0_2^+$  states to give a four-fold coincidence with the two electrons to improve the sensitivity of experiments so that the level of  $\approx 10^{24}$  y partial half-life can be achieved. This is the estimated sensitivity required to detect  $2\beta 0\nu$  neutrinoless double decay to determine the Majorana/Dirac nature of neutrinos. The N=88 nuclei have remarkable features; they are at a peak in the  $|\text{M}(E3)|^2$  strength of  $0_1^+ \rightarrow 3_1^-$  transitions for even-even nuclei as a function of neutron number; they also have very strong E0 transitions from the band built on the  $0_2^+$  states to the ground state bands. It has been established [3,4] that the  $0_2^+$  states in N=88 and 90 nuclei are not  $\beta$ -vibrations but  $2p$ - $2h$  states lowered into the pairing gap by configuration dependent pairing. They are classic examples of ‘pairing isomers’ forming a ‘second vacuum’ [3] on which a complete set of excited deformed states are built that are congruent to those built on the  $0_1^+$  ground state. We have made extensive spectroscopic measurements in the nucleus  $^{150}\text{Sm}$  reporting here on the first observation of consistent E1 transitions in deformed nuclei from the levels in the first excited  $0_2^+$  band to the lowest negative parity bands. The data will be discussed in terms of possible permanent octupole deformations [5].

[1] A. S. Barabash *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C79**, 045501 (2009).

[2] Frank T. Avignone III *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 481 (2008).

[3] J. F. Sharpey-Schafer *et al.*, Eu. Phys. J. **A47**, 5 (2011).

[4] J. F. Sharpey-Schafer *et al.*, Eu. Phys. J. **A47**, 6 (2011).

[5] W. Zang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C81**, 034302 (2010)