

## Investigating the strength of the $N = 34$ subshell closure in $^{54}\text{Ca}$

D. Steppenbeck<sup>1</sup>, S. Takeuchi<sup>2</sup>, N. Aoi<sup>3</sup>, P. Doornenbal<sup>2</sup>, M. Matsushita<sup>1</sup>, H. Wang<sup>2</sup>, H. Baba<sup>2</sup>, N. Fukuda<sup>2</sup>, S. Go<sup>1</sup>, M. Honma<sup>4</sup>, J. Lee<sup>2</sup>, K. Matsui<sup>5</sup>, S. Michimasa<sup>1</sup>, T. Motobayashi<sup>2</sup>, D. Nishimura<sup>6</sup>, T. Otsuka<sup>1,5</sup>, H. Sakurai<sup>2,5</sup>, Y. Shiga<sup>7</sup>, P.-A. Söderström<sup>2</sup>, T. Sumikama<sup>8</sup>, H. Suzuki<sup>2</sup>, R. Taniuchi<sup>5</sup>, Y. Utsuno<sup>9</sup>, J. J. Valiente-Dobón<sup>10</sup>, K. Yoneda<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, 2-1, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

<sup>2</sup> RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Center for Mathematical Sciences, University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu, Fukushima 965-8580, Japan

<sup>5</sup> Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>6</sup> Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

<sup>7</sup> Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 172-8501, Japan

<sup>8</sup> Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Aoba, Sendai 980-8754, Japan

<sup>9</sup> Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

<sup>10</sup> INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020, Legnaro, Italy

Contact email: [steppenbeck@cns.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp](mailto:steppenbeck@cns.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

Over the past few decades nuclear structure studies have focused intensively on the evolution of the traditional magic numbers away from the valley of  $\beta$  stability. In the neutron-rich  $fp$  shell, for example, the discovery of a new subshell closure at  $N = 32$  became evident from experimental measurements of  $E(2_1^+)$  and  $B(E2)$  reduced transition probabilities along the Ca [1], Ti [2, 3] and Cr [4, 5] isotopic chains, a characteristic that is reproduced rather well by the spherical shell model with effective interactions such as GXPF1 [6] and KB3G [7]. Another important manifestation of the GXPF1 interaction is the prediction of a significant subshell closure at  $N = 34$  in Ca and Ti isotopes, however, this was found to be in contrast with experimental results for  $^{56}\text{Ti}$  [3, 8], leading to the development of the modified interaction GXPF1A [9] and the most recent version, GXPF1B. Importantly, the predicted strength of the  $N = 34$  subshell closure in  $^{54}\text{Ca}$  remains relatively large in the GXPF1-based interactions, while some other models indicate a much weaker shell closure. Clearly, experimental input on the matter is essential to help resolve the situation.

In order to address this issue, the structures of  $^{53}\text{Ca}$  and  $^{54}\text{Ca}$  were investigated using in-beam  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy at the Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory, operated by RIKEN Nishina Center and Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo. A radioactive beam containing  $^{55}\text{Sc}$  and  $^{56}\text{Ti}$  was employed to populate excited states in neutron-rich Ca isotopes via nucleon knockout reactions, and the structures of the reaction products were deduced by measuring  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidences. Several new  $\gamma$ -ray lines have been assigned, including a strong candidate for the  $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$  transition in  $^{54}\text{Ca}$ . The results will be discussed in terms of the energy systematics along the Ca isotopic chain, and modifications to shell-model effective interactions based on the new findings will be presented.

- [1] A. Gade et al., Phys. Rev. C **74**, 021302(R) (2006);
- [2] R. V. F. Janssens et al., Phys. Lett. B **546**, 55 (2002);
- [3] D.-C. Dinca et al., Phys. Rev. C **71**, 041302(R) (2005);
- [4] J. I. Prisciandaro et al., Phys. Lett. B **510**, 17 (2001);
- [5] A. Bürger et al., Phys. Lett. B **622**, 29 (2005);
- [6] M. Honma et al., Phys. Rev. C **65**, 061301(R) (2005);
- [7] A. Poves et al., Nucl. Phys. A **694**, 157 (2001);
- [8] S. N. Liddick et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 072502 (2004);
- [9] M. Honma et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **25**, 499 (2005).