Preparation for forward jet measurements in Atlas

Mario Campanelli University College London

- Motivations
- •First measurement:
 - Jet-gap-jet
 - QCD evolution
 - **Central diffraction**
- •Trigger issues
- •Future of forward physics in ATLAS

Forward physics at the LHC

The first LHC data will mainly be used for commissioning and calibration, but even with small luminosity a large number of events with forward jets will be recorded.

Due to the unprecedented η coverage of LHC detectors, we can say something really new on forward physics.





Still, most of the particles are produced in the very forward region, and a vast program is under way to extend the coverage as OTSforward as a rapidity of 10 or more

Forward jets

Most of the LHC interactions will involve forward jets final states Motivation Mainly produced by exchange of coloured objects,

-> hadronic activity in the central region very common topology

Color-singlet (vector boson or pomeron) exchange can also be responsible for



forward jet production, albeit with much smaller cross section

Single-color exchange cross section decreases exponentially with $\Delta\eta$ between the most forward and most backward jet

---> "extreme" forward-backward events often the most underlying event and pile-up?

BFKL ladder

- QCD evolution from the matrix element is usually done for central events using the DGLAP equation, that orders gluon splitting in kt and x, and sums on ln Q²
- The BFKL equation performs In 1/x resummation, performs orderinf in > (random walk in kt) and is more suitable for low-x processes like forward-backward jets
- The resulting description often described as a gluon ladder, that can lead to central rapidity gaps or "jetlets" spoiling correlations between the two main jets



Previous Hard Color-Singlet Mesurements

QCD color-singlet signal observed in ~ 1 % oppositeside events (ppbar)





Publications

DØ: PRL 72, 2332(1994) CDF: PRL 74, 885 (1995) DØ: PRL 76, 734 (1996) Zeus: PLB369, 55 (1996) CDF: PRL 80, 1156 (1998) **DØ: PLB 440, 189 (1998)** CDF: PRL 81, 5278 (1998) **H1: Eur.Phys.J. C24 517 (2002)**

Gap fraction evolution

D0 measured an excess of "empty" events then gap fraction up to rapidity interval of 6





Herwig (BFKL) did not describe observed DØ E_T dependence

B. Cox et al JHEP9910:023,1999

suggested fixing α_{s} at pomeron-quark vertex to fit the data

Need more precise data, larger $\Delta\eta$ coverage

ctions between the protons Solt survival fraction pating in pomeron exchange

Of course, singlet exchange is soft interactions expically

nge sol meractions typically emit radiation in the gap



Only a small fraction of gap event survive the attack of soft radiation!

Effect requires interplay between MonteCarlo and underlying event studies

Additional effects to study on data:

- calorimeter noise
- pileup
- proper gap definition

 a lot of work to do with gap studies on diffractive events
 before attacking VBF Higgs!
 7

Rise of the Gap Fraction - Hadron Level Prediction for the LHC

- Hadron level feasibility study performed using HERWIG+JIMMY for QCD and CSE events.
- Stable particles restricted to calorimeter coverage (|η|<4.9). Basic smearing of particle energy given by ATLAS parameters.
- Forward jet trigger approximated for particles in forward calorimeters. Events kept if 2 jets with E_T>30GeV. Assume jet prescale=10.
- Analysis defines gap by using KT algorithm, sum transverse energy of mini-jets in the interval between the two leading jets. Define CSE to have ΣE_T<10GeV.



Jet-gap-jet in Atlas (very preliminary)



- Hadron level analysis defined CSE as less than 10 GeV of transverse energy in the interval between the jets. (Basic smearing of particle energy applied)
 - How does noise and real detector affect this?
 - How does the crack region affect this?
 - Can tracking information improve gap definition (a la D0)?

Mueller-Navelet jets: not only gaps

The BFKL ladder does not only predict an increase of events with large rapidity gaps.

An "open ladder" leads to an enhancement of

jets in the central region of similar Et to the forward-backward ones, and de-correlation

of the $\Delta \Phi$ between the jets.

 $\Delta \Phi$ dependence on $\Delta \eta$ is another indicator of BFKL behaviour:



Various models and Hera measurement

- BFKL approximation with Color Dipole Model (CDM) available since some years in the ARIADNE code
- CCFM evolution based on kt factorisation, with angular (instead of kt) ordering is similar to DGLAP at high Q², to BFKL at low x. Implemented in CASCADE
- Both models have been tested at HERA, results not fully conclusive, waiting for the LHC!



De-correlation studies on parton level Monte Carlo

Jeppe R. Andersen (<u>hep-ph/0602182</u> etc.) developed a MonteCarlo implementation of jet production in the BFKL framework.

Also a full numerical integration of the FKL limit (without approximations to get analitical form) will be available soon (as well as matching with PS)

Ex: 2 opposite jets with Et>10 GeV, $|\eta|$ >2; different behaviour for $\Delta \eta \sim 7$

~ 1 interesting event per µb!



More Diffractive Topologies

 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow p \bar{p}$

13

Soft Processes:



Hard Processes (jet production):



More Diffractive Topologies

Soft Processes:



Hard Processes (jet production):



 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow p \bar{p}$ <u>Single Diffraction</u> $p \bar{p} \rightarrow p (\bar{p}) + X$

Elastic Scattering

Triggering: diffraction has gap(s) and/or protons; high cross section hard diffraction has jets too

Central Exclusive Di-jet Production



- Protons remain intact.
- All of the energy lost by protons goes into the production of central system. (for protons losing 1% of momentum, effective center-of-mass energy is 140 GeV—Higgs measurements possible with proton spectrometers)
 - Measure central jets and no activity in forward region
- Fraction of b-jets in di-jet sample is reduced with respect to standard production.
- Measuring CEP dijet rate allows us to test the theoretical framework generalised parton distributions, sudakov suppression, soft-survival.
 - Constrains model, important for proposed forward proton detector upgrade.

CEP observation at CDF

- Di-jets:
 - CDF observed an excess of events at high values of the dijet mass fraction (mass of dijets / mass in calorimeter)
 - 6σ deviation from background.
 - Excess is consistent with CEP theory predictions.
- Di-photons:
 - Observed 3 candidate γγ events.
 - Cross section consistent with theory (within theoretical error of factor 2-3)



Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73

Standard jet thresholds too highly prescaled for CEP studies.

Short term option:

Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73



Standard jet thresholds too highly prescaled for CEP studies.

Short term option:

Use Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS), covering a rapidity between 2 and 4 to define a lack of activity in the forward region.

Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73



Standard jet thresholds too highly prescaled for CEP studies.

Short term option:

Use Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS), covering a rapidity between 2 and 4 to define a lack of activity in the forward region.

Long term goal:

Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73



Standard jet thresholds too highly prescaled for CEP studies.

Short term option:

Use Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS), covering a rapidity between 2 and 4 to define a lack of activity in the forward region.

Long term goal:

Use MBTS and other forward detectors (BCM,

Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73



Standard jet thresholds too highly prescaled for CEP studies.

Short term option:

Use Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS), covering a rapidity between 2 and 4 to define a lack of activity in the forward region.

Long term goal:

Use MBTS and other forward detectors (BCM, LUCID and ZDC) to define a variety of gap definitions.

Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73



Standard jet thresholds too highly prescaled for CEP studies.

Short term option:

Use Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS), covering a rapidity between 2 and 4 to define a lack of activity in the forward region.

Long term goal:

Use MBTS and other forward detectors (BCM, LUCID and ZDC) to define a variety of gap definitions.

Possible gap triggers in 2009:

- Require one jet passing J18 + veto on MBTS (veto of hits on both sides means no hits on one side or no hits on either side)
- Investigating other MBTS terms such as inner ring veto on one side + outer ring coincidence on other
- Number of tracker hits at L2 could be used to suppress L1Calo noise

Jet Trigger	Prescale (L1)	Rate (Hz)
J10	42000	3.9
J18	6000	1.02
J35	500	1.37
J42	100	3.73



Gap Trigger Expectations: Signal Efficiency

- A veto on MBTS (both sides) reduces Minimum Bias rate by a factor 10000 bringing it to level acceptable for an unprescaled trigger
- Efficiency reaches a plateau of ~65% for EXHUME CED signal sample (p_T>35 GeV)
 - Hadron Level expect nearly 90% efficient
 - Losses probably due to secondary particles produced via particle interactions with detector

So, we can trigger on these events. But what about measuring the mass?

Diffractive Observables: <u>ξ</u>

Z 10⁵ CEP Pythia 10⁴ Usually specify diffractive processes by the fractional 10³ momentum loss of proton during interaction, ξ. 10² Wurd Diffraction and CEP have $\xi < 0.1$ (hadron level studies) 0.35 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.3 0.250.4 ٤ (truth) If no proton detector ε can be Pythia (Truth) Z 10⁹ estimated from calorimeter energy, with 10% precision (not sufficient 10⁸ CEP (Truth) for Higgs) 10⁷ However, the steeply falling 10⁶ distribution introduces a \sim 5% shift, 10⁵ not seen in the flatter QCD 10⁴ distribution. 10^{3} 10² For precision measurements 10

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.8

R

we need a dedicated detector!

Forward detectors at LHC

TOTEM -T2 CASTOR ZDC/FwdCal TOTEM-RP **FP420**









LUCID

ZDC

ALFA/RP220

FP420

How to measure the protons



3D Silicon Detector Development



Fast timing detectors

Diffraction makes up 20-30% of $\sigma_{\tau o \tau}$: diffractive p's from pile-up fake signal diffr. p's Example of H->bb:overlay of 3 events (2 SD + non-diffr. dijets) fakes signal perfectly and with prob. 10¹⁰ x higher than signal. Can be reduced by fast timing det.



10ps (2-3mm) resol. may separate different vertices BG Rejection up to UTA, Louvain, Fermilab, Saclay, Stony Brook, Chicago, Alberta, Argonne

Test beams indicate: 10-20 ps by Gastof 20-30 ps by Quartz

Disadvantage of Gastof: no space resol

Future: 1-2 ps? Space resolution? Combination of Gas and Quartz

Key point: yield of photoelectrons

CED H→bb using Forward Proton Tagging

h→bb, mhmax scenario, ATLAS L1 triggers, 420m only, 5 mm from beam

Huge Pile-up bg for diffractive processes: overlap of three events (2*SD+non-diffr.

Dijets). Reduced by Fast Timing detectors: t-resol. required: 2 ps for high lumi!



Summary

Forward physics will play a large role in the LHC startup studies Main topics with present detectors:

- Forward jets (BFKL evolution, rapidity gaps).
 - Only needs ~10 pb⁻¹ of data.
 - Helps understand forward jets for VBF studies
- Central exclusive production (10-100pb⁻¹ of data).
 - Helps to understand underlying event, parton distributions, Sudakov suppression
 - Constraints theoretical models

R&D for forward detectors over, approval process started on both experiments. Install in 2010 shutdown?

- Precision CEP
- Higgs quantum number determination?