

# Modified gravity and the cosmological constant problem

Based on arxiv:1106.2000 [hep-th] published in PRL and hep-th/1112.4866 PRD

**CC, Ed Copeland, Tony Padilla and  
Paul M Saffin**

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique d'Orsay, CNRS UMR 8627,  
LMPT, Tours

Rome La Sapienza



- 1 Introduction-motivation
- 2 Modification of gravity
  - Self-tuning
- 3 Horndeski's theory
- 4 The self-tuning filter
- 5 The Fab Four
- 6 Conclusions



## Theoretical consistency of GR

- **Theoretical consistency:** In 4 dimensions, consider  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}, g, \nabla g, \nabla \nabla g)$ . Then **Lovelock's** theorem in  $D = 4$  states that GR with cosmological constant is the unique metric theory emerging from,

$$S_{(4)} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(4)}} [R - 2\Lambda]$$

giving,

- Equations of motion of 2<sup>nd</sup>-order
- given by a symmetric two-tensor,  $G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$
- and admitting Bianchi identities.


*Under these hypotheses GR is the unique massless-tensorial 4 dimensional theory of gravity*



## Experimental and observational data


- **Experimental consistency:**

- Excellent agreement with solar system tests
- Strong gravity tests on binary pulsars
- Laboratory tests of Newton's law (tests on extra dimensions)



Time delay of light

Planetary trajectories




## Q: What is the matter content of the Universe today?

Assuming homogeneity-isotropy and GR

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

cosmological and astrophysical observations dictate the matter content of



the Universe today:

**A:** -Only a 4% of matter has been discovered in the laboratory. We hope to see more at LHC. But even then...

If we assume only ordinary sources of matter (DM included) there is disagreement between local, astrophysical and cosmological data.



## Universe is accelerating → Enter the cosmological constant

Easiest way out: Assume a tiny cosmological constant  $\rho_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} = (10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4$ ,  
 ie modify Einstein's equation by,

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

- Cosmological constant introduces  $\sqrt{\Lambda}$  and generates a cosmological horizon
- $\sqrt{\Lambda}$  is as tiny as the inverse size of the Universe today,  $r_0 = H_0^{-1}$
- Note that  $\frac{\text{Solar system scales}}{\text{Cosmological Scales}} \sim \frac{10 \text{ A.U.}}{H_0^{-1}} = 10^{-14}$
- But things get worse...
- Theoretically, the size of the Universe would not even include the moon!

### Cosmological constant problem



## Cosmological constant problem, [S Weinberg Rev. Mod. Phys. 1989]

Cosmological constant behaves as vacuum energy which according to the strong equivalence principle gravitates,

- Vacuum energy fluctuations are at the UV cutoff of the QFT  
 $\Lambda_{vac}/8\pi G \sim m_{Pl}^4 \dots$
- Vacuum potential energy from spontaneous symmetry breaking  
 $\Lambda_{EW} \sim (200 \text{ GeV})^4$
- Bare gravitational cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{bare}$

$$\Lambda_{obs} \sim \Lambda_{vac} + \Lambda_{pot} + \Lambda_{bare}$$

Enormous Fine-tuning inbetween theoretical and observational value

- Why such a discrepancy between theory and observation? Weinberg no-go theorem **big CC**
- Why is  $\Lambda_{obs}$  so small and not exactly zero? small cc
- Why do we observe it now ?



- 1 Introduction-motivation
- 2 Modification of gravity
  - Self-tuning
- 3 Horndeski's theory
- 4 The self-tuning filter
- 5 The Fab Four
- 6 Conclusions





## Gravitational theories

- Extra dimensions : Extension of GR to Lovelock theory with modified yet second order field equations. Braneworlds: In general relevant as UV modifications, problematic in the IR (ghosts, strong coupling problems etc).
- 4-dimensional modification of GR: **Scalar-tensor** galileon or  $f(R)$ , Einstein-Aether, Hořava gravity: Tension with local and strong gravity tests, some theoretical problems/questions with Lorentz breaking and flowing back to GR in the IR.
- Massive gravity: Is there a Higgs mechanism for gravity? Only recently a well defined covariant theory, very complex. Some important progress recently.

We will consider the simplest of cases, namely scalar tensor theory. Generically these theories can result as IR endpoints of more complex theories of gravity or from higher dimensional theories by KK reduction.



## Self-Tuning: general idea

Weinberg's no go theorem tells us that in GR we cannot have a Poincare invariant vacuum with  $\Lambda \neq 0$  **Question:** What if we break Poincare invariance but, at the level of the scalar field?

Keep  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  locally but allow for  $\phi \neq \text{constant}$ .

Can we have a portion of flat spacetime whatever the value of the cosmological constant and without fine-tuning any of the parameters of the theory?

Toy model theory of **self-adjusting scalar field**.

- Solving this problem classically means that vacuum energy does not gravitate and we break SEP not EEP.
- Beyond leading order  $O(\Lambda^4)$ , radiative corrections  $O(\Lambda^6/M_{Pl}^2)$  may spoil self-tuning.

We need:

- 1 A cosmological background
- 2 A sufficiently general theory to work with



- 1 Introduction-motivation
- 2 Modification of gravity
  - Self-tuning
- 3 Horndeski's theory
- 4 The self-tuning filter
- 5 The Fab Four
- 6 Conclusions



## A general scalar tensor theory

- Consider  $\phi$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  as gravitational DoF.
- Consider  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu,i_1}, \dots, g_{\mu\nu,i_1\dots i_p}, \phi, \phi_{,i_1}, \dots, \phi_{,i_1\dots i_q})$   
 with  $p, q \geq 2$  but finite
- $\mathcal{L}$  has higher than second derivatives

What is the most general scalar-tensor theory giving second order field equations?

Similar to Lovelock's theorem but for the presence of higher derivatives in  $\mathcal{L}$ .  
 Here second order field equations in principle protect vacua from ghost instabilities.



# The Horndeski action [Horndeski 1974, Int. J. Theor. Phys.], [Deffayet et al.]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} = & \kappa_1(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} - \frac{4}{3} \kappa_{1,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \nabla^\sigma \nabla_k \phi \\
 & + \kappa_3(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} - 4 \kappa_{3,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \nabla^\sigma \nabla_k \phi \\
 & + F(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} R_{ij}{}^{\mu\nu} - 4 F_{,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \\
 & - 3 [2F(\phi, \rho)_{,\phi} + \rho \kappa_8(\phi, \rho)] \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi + 2 \kappa_8(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \\
 & + \kappa_9(\phi, \rho), \\
 \rho = & \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa_i(\phi, \rho)$ ,  $i = 1, 3, 8, 9$  are 4 arbitrary functions of the scalar field  $\phi$  and its kinetic term denoted as  $\rho$  and

$$F_{,\rho} = \kappa_{1,\phi} - \kappa_3 - 2\rho \kappa_{3,\rho}$$

$$\delta_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_h} = h! \delta_{[j_1}^{i_1} \dots \delta_{j_h]}^{i_h}$$

Field equations are second order in metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\phi$  and theory is unique.  
Most general galileon theory

# Cosmological field equations

Consider cosmological background:

- ① Assume,  $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right]$ ,  $\phi = \phi(t)$
- ② Modified Friedmann eq (with some matter source).

$$\mathcal{H}(a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}) = -\rho_m$$

Third order polynomial in  $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$  with coeffs depending on the Horndeski functionals. Up to first derivatives present.

- ③ Scalar eq.

$$\mathcal{E}(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \ddot{\phi}) = 0$$

$$\ddot{\phi} f(\phi, \dot{\phi}, a, \dot{a}) + g(\phi, \dot{\phi}, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}) = 0$$

Linear in  $\ddot{\phi}$  and  $\ddot{a}$ .

Also have 2nd Friedmann equation or usual energy conservation.




- 1 Introduction-motivation
- 2 Modification of gravity
  - Self-tuning
- 3 Horndeski's theory
- 4 **The self-tuning filter**
- 5 The Fab Four
- 6 Conclusions



# Main Assumptions

- Vacuum energy does not gravitate.
- Assume that  $\rho_m = \rho_\Lambda$ , a piecewise discontinuous step function of time  $t$ . Discontinuous points,  $t = t_*$ , are phase transitions which are point like and arbitrary in time.



$x = \text{time}$ , and  $y = \rho_\Lambda$ .

- Assume that spacetime is flat or a flat portion for all  $t$
- $H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = 0$ , with  $\kappa = 0$ , or  $\kappa = -1$  Milne spacetime ( $a(t) = t$ )
- $\phi$  not constant but in principle a function of time  $t$ !





# The self tuning filter

Mathematical regularity imposed by a distributional source

- ① We are going to set  $H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = 0$ , with  $\rho(\Lambda)$  piecewise discontinuous. Then

②

$$\mathcal{H}(a, \phi, \dot{\phi}) = -\rho_\Lambda$$

$a(t)$ ,  $\dot{a}$  and  $\phi(t)$  are continuous whereas  $\dot{\phi}$  is discontinuous at  $t = t_*$ .

$\mathcal{H}$  has to depend on  $\dot{\phi}$

- ③ Scalar eq. on shell is

$$\mathcal{E}(a, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \ddot{\phi}) = \ddot{\phi} f(\phi, \dot{\phi}, a) + g(\phi, \dot{\phi}, a) = 0$$

$\phi$  has a  $\delta(t - t_*)$  singularity at  $t = t_*$  Hence

$$f(\phi, \dot{\phi}, a) = 0, \quad g(\phi, \dot{\phi}, a) = 0$$

Since  $t = t_*$  is arbitrary we finally get  $\ddot{\phi}_\Lambda f(a) + g(a) = 0$

- ④ Hence on shell,  $\mathcal{E}$  has no dependance on  $\phi$ .  $\phi$  fixed by Friedmann eq.
- ⑤ In the presence of matter cosmology must be non trivial. Hence  $\mathcal{E}$  must depend on  $\ddot{a}$



# Applying self-tuning filter to cosmological Horndesky

- Using the form of Horndeski cosmological equations:  
 -linearity of second order terms in  $a$  and  $\phi$   
 -polynomial form of  $\mathcal{H}$
- We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_1 &= \frac{1}{8} V_{ringo}'(\phi) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\rho| \right) + \frac{1}{4} V_{paul}(\phi) \rho - \frac{1}{12} B(\phi) \\
 \kappa_3 &= \frac{1}{16} V_{ringo}''(\phi) \ln |\rho| + \frac{1}{12} V_{paul}'(\phi) \rho - \frac{1}{12} B'(\phi) + p(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} V_{john}(\phi) (1 - \ln |\rho|) \\
 \kappa_8 &= 2\rho'(\phi) + V_{john}'(\phi) \ln |\rho| - \lambda(\phi) \\
 \kappa_9 &= c_0 + \frac{1}{2} V_{george}''(\phi) \rho + \lambda'(\phi) \rho^2 \\
 F &= -\frac{1}{12} V_{george}(\phi) - p(\phi) \rho - \frac{1}{2} V_{john}(\phi) \rho \ln |\rho|
 \end{aligned}$$

- All  $\rho$  dependance integrated out.
- Free functions  $V_{fab4}$ ,  $c_0$  cosmological constant,  $B, p, \lambda$   $B, p, \lambda$  total derivatives



- 1 Introduction-motivation
- 2 Modification of gravity
  - Self-tuning
- 3 Horndeski's theory
- 4 The self-tuning filter
- 5 The Fab Four**
- 6 Conclusions



# Relevant and irrelevant terms

- Remember the Horndeski action

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} = & \kappa_1(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} - \frac{4}{3} \kappa_{1,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \nabla^\sigma \nabla_k \phi \\
 & + \kappa_3(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} - 4 \kappa_{3,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \nabla^\sigma \nabla_k \phi \\
 & + F(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} R_{ij}{}^{\mu\nu} - 4 F(\phi, \rho)_{,\rho} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \\
 & - 3 [2 F(\phi, \rho)_{,\phi} + \rho \kappa_8(\phi, \rho)] \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi + 2 \kappa_8 \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \\
 & + \kappa_9(\phi, \rho)
 \end{aligned}$$

- The self-tuning filter gave,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_1 &= \frac{1}{8} V'_{ringo}(\phi) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\rho| \right) + \frac{1}{4} V_{paul}(\phi) \rho \\
 \kappa_3 &= \frac{1}{16} V''_{ringo}(\phi) \ln |\rho| + \frac{1}{12} V'_{paul}(\phi) \rho - \frac{1}{2} V_{john}(\phi) (1 - \ln |\rho|) \\
 \kappa_8 &= V'_{john}(\phi) \ln |\rho| \\
 \kappa_9 &= \frac{1}{2} V''_{george}(\phi) \rho \\
 F &= -\frac{1}{12} V_{george}(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} V_{john}(\phi) \rho \ln |\rho|
 \end{aligned}$$

- Are these terms recognisable geometric quantities?

## George is easy

- Start with  $\mathcal{L}_{George}$
- Set everybody else to zero
- 

$$\kappa g = \frac{1}{2} V''_{george} \rho, \quad F = -\frac{1}{12} V_{george}$$

•

$$\mathcal{L}_{george} = -\frac{1}{6} V_{george}(\phi) R + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} [V'_{george} \partial^{\mu} \phi] \cdot \cong -\frac{1}{6} V_{george}(\phi) R$$

- Einstein-Hilbert non-minimally coupled with a free scalar field



# EoM help for Ringo and John

- Switch on only  $V_{Ringo}$  in EoM. We find,



$$K_1 = \frac{1}{16} V'_{ringo}, \quad K_3 = \frac{1}{16} V''_{ringo}$$

- The equation of motion reads,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ringo}^{ik} &= \sqrt{-g} K_1(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma}^{aijk} g^{\lambda b} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} + K_3(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma}^{aijk} g^{\lambda b} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{-g} (*R*)^{ijkl} \left( 4K_1 \nabla_l \nabla_j \phi + 4K_3 \nabla_l \phi \nabla_j \phi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{-g} (*R*)^{ijkl} \nabla_l \nabla_j V_{ringo}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

- While at the same time we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta \left[ \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} V(\phi) \hat{\mathcal{G}} \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \delta g^{ij} \left[ 2\phi H_{ij} + 4(*R*)_{ikjl} \nabla^l \nabla^k V(\phi) \right] + \delta\phi [\partial_\phi V(\phi) \hat{\mathcal{G}}] \end{aligned}$$

- Hence  $\mathcal{L}_{Ringo} = V_{Ringo}(\phi) \hat{\mathcal{G}}$
- Similarly  $\mathcal{L}_{John} = V_{John} G_{ij} \nabla^i \phi \nabla^j \phi$ .



# The double dual tensor

- In 4 dimensions we can define a dual of the curvature tensor by dualising each pair of indices much like the Faraday tensor in EM

$$*F^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} F_{cd}$$

- Double Dual  $(*R*)$

$$(*R*)_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^{ij} R_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{\sigma\lambda}^{kl} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}^{ijkl} R_{ijkl}$$

As appearing in the Horndeski action

- 1 Same index properties as  $R$ -tensor
- 2 Divergence free:

$$\nabla_i (*R*)_{jkl}{}^i = 0$$

- 3 Simple trace is Einstein

$$(*R*)^{ik}{}_{jk} = -G_j^i,$$

# Paul

- Last term is not recognisable. However, numerous Padilla tricks bring it to the form,

•

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{paul} = \sqrt{-g} V_{Paul}(\phi) [ & R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla_\nu \nabla_\beta \phi + \\ & + G^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi - g_{\mu\alpha} (\nabla \phi)^2) \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\nu \phi \\ & + R^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\alpha \phi - g_{\mu\alpha} \square \phi) \nabla^\alpha \phi \nabla_\nu \phi ]\end{aligned}$$

- ??? However,

$$(*R*)^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + 2R^{\nu[\alpha} g^{\beta]\mu} - 2R^{\mu[\alpha} g^{\beta]\nu} + R g^{\mu[\alpha} g^{\beta]\nu} ,$$

- Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{paul} = \sqrt{-g} V_{paul}(\phi) (*R*)^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla_\nu \nabla_\beta \phi$$

- Also a higher KK Lovelock density [K V Akoleyev]





## Fab 4

### Putting it all together

from Horndeski's general action,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} = & \kappa_1(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} - \frac{4}{3} \kappa_{1,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla^\mu \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \nabla^\sigma \nabla_k \phi \\
 & + \kappa_3(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi R_{jk}{}^{\nu\sigma} - 4 \kappa_{3,\rho}(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \nabla^\sigma \nabla_k \phi \\
 & + F(\phi, \rho) \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} R_{ij}{}^{\mu\nu} - 4 F(\phi, \rho)_{,\rho} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \\
 & - 3[2F(\phi, \rho)_{,\phi} + \rho \kappa_8(\phi, \rho)] \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi + 2 \kappa_8 \delta_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \nabla_i \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla_j \phi \\
 & + \kappa_9(\phi, \rho)
 \end{aligned}$$

### Self-tuning filter

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{john} &= \sqrt{-g} V_{john}(\phi) G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi \\
 \mathcal{L}_{paul} &= \sqrt{-g} V_{paul}(\phi) (*R*)^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla_\nu \nabla_\beta \phi \\
 \mathcal{L}_{george} &= \sqrt{-g} V_{george}(\phi) R \\
 \mathcal{L}_{ringo} &= \sqrt{-g} V_{ringo}(\phi) \hat{G}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Cosmology equations and self tuning

- Friedmann equation reads  $\mathcal{H} = -\rho_\Lambda$
- 

$$\mathcal{H}_{john} = 3V_{john}(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2 \left( H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} \right) + 6V_{john}(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2 H^2$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{paul} = -9V_{paul}(\phi)\dot{\phi}^3 H \left( H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} \right) - 6V_{paul}(\phi)\dot{\phi}^3 H^3$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{george} = -6V_{george}(\phi) \left[ \left( H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} \right) + H\dot{\phi} \frac{V'_{george}}{V_{george}} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{ringo} = -24V'_{ringo}(\phi)\dot{\phi}H \left( H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} \right)$$

- First find self tuning vacuum setting  $H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = 0$
- Algebraic equation with respect to  $\dot{\phi}$ . Hence  $\phi$  is a function of time  $t$  with discontinuous first derivatives at  $t = t_*$
- Ringo cannot self-tune without a little help from his friends.



## Cosmology equations and self tuning

- Scalar equation,  $E_\phi = E_{john} + E_{paul} + E_{george} + E_{ringo} = 0$

•

$$E_{john} = 6 \frac{d}{dt} [a^3 V_{john}(\phi) \dot{\phi} \Delta_2] - 3a^3 V'_{john}(\phi) \dot{\phi}^2 \Delta_2$$

$$E_{paul} = -9 \frac{d}{dt} [a^3 V_{paul}(\phi) \dot{\phi}^2 H \Delta_2] + 3a^3 V'_{paul}(\phi) \dot{\phi}^3 H \Delta_2$$

$$E_{george} = -6 \frac{d}{dt} [a^3 V'_{george}(\phi) \Delta_1] + 6a^3 V''_{george}(\phi) \dot{\phi} \Delta_1 + 6a^3 V'_{george}(\phi) \Delta_1^2$$

$$E_{ringo} = -24 V'_{ringo}(\phi) \frac{d}{dt} \left[ a^3 \left( \frac{\kappa}{a^2} \Delta_1 + \frac{1}{3} \Delta_3 \right) \right]$$

- where

$$\Delta_n = H^n - \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{a} \right)^n$$

- which vanishes on shell as it should
- For non trivial cosmology need

$$\{V_{john}, V_{paul}, V_{george}, V_{ringo}\} \neq \{0, 0, \text{constant}, \text{constant}\}$$



# A simple self-tuning vacuum

Consider a slowly varying scalar field for late time behavior:



$$V_{john} = C_j, V_{paul} = C_p, V_{george} = C_g + C_g^1 \phi, V_{ringo} = C_r + C_r^1 \phi - \frac{1}{4} C_j \phi^2$$

- Friedmann equation reads,

$$c_j(\dot{\phi}H)^2 - c_p(\dot{\phi}H)^3 - c_g^1(\dot{\phi}H) + \rho_\Lambda = 0$$

- Since  $H = 1/t$  for Milne, solution for  $\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 t^2$  with  $c_j(\phi_1)^2 - c_p(\phi_1)^3 - c_g^1(\phi_1) + \rho_\Lambda = 0$
- Constant  $\phi_1$  is fixed by the cosmological constant for arbitrary values of the theory potentials.



- 1 Introduction-motivation
- 2 Modification of gravity
  - Self-tuning
- 3 Horndeski's theory
- 4 The self-tuning filter
- 5 The Fab Four
- 6 Conclusions



# Conclusions

- Starting from a general scalar tensor theory (Horndeski)
- We have filtered out the theory with self-tuning properties
- Theory has enchanting geometrical properties which we need to understand
- Still have 4 free functions which parametrise the theory. These need to be fixed by cosmology, stability and local constraints.

Many questions unanswered:

- 1 What is the Fab 4 cosmology? In other words for which of the potentials do we get usual Hot Big Bang cosmology?
- 2 Usually to escape solar system constraints we take refuge in Veinshtein of chameleon mechanisms...
- 3 Maybe we can do better by redoing solar system tests from scratch for the self-tuned background in the spirit of [gr-qc/08014339]
- 4 Black hole solutions of such theories could really help. Also self tuning in different backgrounds.

