

Dark Matter searches at LHC

Bellan R. (Univ. Torino & INFN) De Sanctis U. (Univ. Udine & INFN)

Outline

- DM evidence in a nutshell
- DM direct/indirect detection and its production at LHC;
- Theoretical framework (effective theory);
- DM searches in ATLAS &CMS experiments;
- Results
- Perspectives

Why do we need Dark Matter?



If a relic particle exists, its abundance will be:

$$\Omega_{\chi} h^{2} = \frac{m_{\chi} n_{\chi}}{\rho_{c}} \approx \frac{3 \times 10^{-27} \, cm^{3} s^{-1}}{\left\langle \sigma_{A} v \right\rangle}$$

For a new particle with a weak-scale interaction, we have:

$$\langle \sigma_A \mathbf{v} \rangle \propto \frac{\alpha^2}{m_{\chi}^2} \approx \frac{\alpha^2}{\left(100 \, GeV\right)^2} \approx 10^{-25} \, cm^3 s^{-1}$$

 $\alpha \approx 10^{-2}$

Close to the value required for the dark matter in the Universe!



- New elementary particles, produced in the early Universe either long lived or stable
 - Axions \rightarrow pseudo-scalar m ~ 10⁻⁵ eV
 - WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles): m ~ 10 GeV to few TeV
 - Candidates: from supersymmetry (neutralinos); from theories with universal extra dimensions (UED) (lightest Kaluza-Klein particle), and from many other BSM theories

Dark Matter detection

Dark matter



I. Direct Detection Experiments

- Dark Matter-nucleus scattering.
- Low mass DM particles not probed yet.
- Less sensitive to spin-dependent coupling.
- XENON-100, CDMS, CoGeNT
- 2. Indirect Detection Experiments
 - Observe annihilation products.
 - Low mass DM particles not accessible.
 - Depends on DM density and annihilation model.
 - Super-Kamiokande, IceCube, Fermi-LAT, AMS2
 - 3. Collider Experiments
 - Laboratory production of DM particles.
- Sensitive to wide mass range.
- Both spin-dependent and spin-independent couplings. - Tevatron, LHC

Effective field theory approach

arXiv: 1008.1783; arXiv: 1109.4398



Name	Initial state	Type	Operator
D1	99	scalar	$\frac{m_q}{M_\star^3} \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{q} q$
D5	99	vector	$rac{1}{M_{\star}^2}ar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chiar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$
D8	99	axial-vector	$\frac{1}{M_{\star}^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \chi \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^5 q$
D9	99	tensor	$rac{1}{M_\star^2}ar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu u}\chiar{q}\sigma_{\mu u}q$
D11	<i>99</i>	scalar	$\frac{1}{4M_{\star}^3}\bar{\chi}\chi\alpha_s(G^a_{\mu\nu})^2$

- Operator **Г** describes scalar, pseudoscalar vector, axialvector and tensor operators.
- Masses for the DM candidate range from 1 GeV to 1 TeV

$$M_* = M/\sqrt{g_{q/g}g_{\chi}}$$

- Effective theory based on different interaction operators, assuming χ is a Diracfermion.
- Detection based on ISR gluon/photon/gauge boson
- Comparison with dedicated experiments for direct/indirect detection; 09/05/2013
 VI Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC

The experimental challenge

- Neutral and weakly interacting so difficult to observe
- No signal in LHC detectors → missing transverse energy
- Direct production has small cross section and no signal in detector
- → difficult searches



Production in association with Standard Model particles easier:
 option for detection → Design searches based on MET



Monojet searches: Event selection ATL-CONF-2012-147 CMS-PAS-EXO-12-048

- Final state: one high-P_T jet from ISR radiation and high E^T_{MISS}.
- 19.5 (CMS)/10.5 (ATLAS)fb⁻¹ @ 8 TeV
- Event selection CMS (ATLAS):



- > CMS has 2 triggers: $E_{MISS}^{T} > 120 \text{ GeV} + E_{MISS}^{T} > 120 \text{ GeV} & \text{Jet P}_{T} > 80 \text{ GeV}$ (in the central region); ATLAS has a single E_{MISS}^{T} trigger at 80 GeV
- Leading jet P_T > 110 (120) GeV, |η| < 2.4 (2.0) reconstructed with an anti-kT algorithm R=0.5 (0.4)</p>
- ➢ E^T_{MISS} > 250 (120)GeV;
- > Jet veto: < 3 jets with P_T > 30 GeV, $|\eta|$ < 4.5
- > CMS: $|\Delta \phi(jet_1, jet_2)| < 2.5$; ATLAS: $|\Delta \phi(jet_2, E^T_{MISS})| > 0.5$
- > No electrons with $P_T > 10$ (20) GeV
- > No muons with $P_T > 10$ (7) GeV
- \succ No taus with P_T > 20 GeV, and $|\eta| < 2.3$. ATLAS has no tau veto.

Monojet searches: CMS background estimation



Z→vv + jets (irred.); W→ ℓ v + jets Normalised to data in W(µv) or Z(µµ) enriched CR orthogonal to SR (i.e. defined removing the lepton veto). Correction for acceptance and efficiencies for W and rescaling for Z→vv/Z→µµ ratio are applied.

Multijets

From MC normalised to dijet measured cross-section.

tt, single top and diboson production from MC

Monojet searches: ATLAS background estimation

- ➤ <u>Z→vv + jets (irreducible); W→w + jets; Z→w + jets.</u> Normalised to data in W/Z enriched CR orthogonal to SR (i.e. defined inverting some selection cut) and then extrapolated bin-by-bin to the leading jet P_T and E^{T}_{MISS} distributions.
- ><u>Multijets</u>: data driven from mis-reconstructed jets. CR dominated by events with 2 or 3 jets and $|\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{2/3}, E^{T}_{\text{MISS}})| < 0.5.$
- ➢Non-collision background estimated from data
- >tt and diboson production estimated from MC

Monojet searches: CMS/ ATLAS results CMS: 7 SR: E^{T}_{MISS} (250, 300, 350, 400, 450, 500, 550 GeV) ATLAS: 4 SR: Leading jet $P_{T} \& E^{T}_{MISS}$ (120, 220, 350, 500 GeV)



No New Physics signal seen \rightarrow

1) Set model-independent limits on $\sigma \cdot A \cdot \varepsilon$ (ATLAS)

CMS-PAS-EXO-12-048

2) Use these limits to constrain specific models;

Monojet searches: Limits



Monojet searches in CMS: Limits



Limits on D-operators



 $M_* = M / \sqrt{g_{q/g}g_{\chi}}$

Limits on gravitino \widetilde{G} mass: ATLAS



- 95% C.L. limits on $\sigma \cdot A \cdot \varepsilon$ for the associated production of a gluino/squark in the simplified model with a decay chain $\tilde{g}/\tilde{q} \rightarrow g/q\tilde{G}$.
- Limits set using the SR3 with the leading jet $P_T \& E_{MISS}^T > 350 \text{ GeV}$
- Squarks/gluino masses considered up to 2.6 TeV
- Several benchmarks used in terms of \tilde{g}/\tilde{q} masses ratio: degenerate, 2, 4, 1/2, $\frac{1}{2}$
- Degenerate case: $m(\tilde{G}) < 10^{-4}(4 \cdot 10^{-5})$ eV excluded for 500(1700)GeV \tilde{g}/\tilde{q} mass. For high \tilde{g}/\tilde{q} masses, the NWA used fails (widht/mass ratio larger than 25%)
- For non-degenerate cases, limit ranges between 3.10⁻⁴ eV and 3.10⁻⁵ eV.

Mono-γ searches

- Final state: one high-P_T photon from ISR radiation and high E^T_{MISS}.
 <u>ATLAS</u> <u>arXiv: 1209.4625</u> Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 011802 (2013)
- → 4.7 fb⁻¹ @ 7 TeV CMS arXiv:1204.0821 Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 261803

Event selection ATLAS (CMS):

- $\geq E^{T}_{MISS}$ trigger with 70 GeV threshold (98% efficiency for $E^{T}_{MISS} > 150$ GeV); CMS uses high- P_T photon trigger;
- > 1 high-P_T isolated photon (P_T>120(145)GeV, |η|<2.37 (1.44))
- > At most 1 jet with $P_T > 30$ (40) GeV , $|\eta| < 4.5$;

CMS adds $\Delta R > 0.5$ from the photon direction

- E^T_{MISS} > 150 (130)GeV, |Δφ(jet₂, E^T_{MISS})|>0.4 (suppress dijet events)
- > No medium electrons with $P_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.47$
- > No *combined* muons with $P_T > 7 \text{GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.5$

Mono-y searches: ATLAS Results

- Dominated by statistical uncertainties (13%) in the CR ;
- Systematic uncertainties (energy scale, photon ID, parton shower, etc..) 7%
- Data compatible with SM predictions

Background source	Prediction	±(stat)	±(syst)
$Z(\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}) + \gamma$	93	±16	± 8
$Z/\gamma^* (\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) + \gamma$	0.4	± 0.2	± 0.1
$W(\rightarrow \ell \nu) + \gamma$	24	±5	± 2
W/Z + jets	18	•••	± 6
Тор	0.07	± 0.07	± 0.01
$WW, WZ, ZZ, \gamma\gamma$	0.3	± 0.1	± 0.1
γ + jets and multijet	1.0		± 0.5
Total background	137	± 18	± 9
Events in data (4.6 fb ⁻¹)	116		





Model-independent limits
on σ·A·ε: above 5.6 (6.8) fb
excluded at 90 (95)% C.L.
Use these limits to
constrain specific models;

Mono-y searches: CMS Results

Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 261803



- Non collision background: 11.1 ± 5.6
- $E \rightarrow gamma misid: 3.5 \pm 1.5$
- Jet \rightarrow gamma misid: 11.2 ± 2.8
- EWK bosons:
 - Zgamma: 45.3 ± 6.9
 - Wgamma,gamma+jet: 4.1 ± 1.0
 - Total exp. background: 75.1 ± 9.5
- Total observed data: 73

Mono-γ searches: ATLAS/CMS limits

- Limits on pair-production WIMP x-sec for D-operators
- Limits on $\sigma \cdot A \cdot \epsilon$ translated also in nucleon-WIMP x-sec limits to allow comparison with direct-detection experiments

ATLAS: Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 ,011802 (2013) CMS: Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 261803



...and don't forget what SUSY can tell us

CMS: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS

m_{y⁺} [GėV]



- Direct production of EWK-inos decaying to LSP via slepton, W, Z
- Final state with 3 leptons + E^T_{MISS}
- Limit in the $\chi^{\pm}_{1} = \chi^{0}_{2}$ case
- <u>AMSB model</u>. $\Delta m = m(\chi^{\pm}) m(\chi^0)^{\sim}150$ -200 MeV \rightarrow Production of χ^{\pm} , decay in $\chi_0 \pi^{\pm}$ after few cm in the tracking system
- Final state: high P_T jet + E^T_{MISS} + high- P_T disappearing track.
- Exclusion of the most probable values of $m(\chi^{\pm})$ and Δm , but still interesting signature (it explains FERMI/PAMELA



CMS-PAS-SUS-12-028 arXiv:1303.2985

<u>arxiv:1208.1447</u> (0-lepton 7 TeV); <u>arxiv:1208.2590</u> (1-lepton 7 TeV) <u>arxiv:1209.4186</u> (2-lepton 7 TeV); <u>ATLAS-CONF-2013-037</u> (1-lepton 8 TeV, 21 fb⁻¹); <u>ATLAS-CONF-2013-024</u> (0-lepton 8 TeV, 21 fb⁻¹)

- Exclusion of DM candidate can be seen in terms of its production in association with specific (top, bottom, light quarks) SM particle
- Limits on the x-sec, for given LSP and NLSP masses, useful to test various models with the same signature.²⁰

The newcomer: $H \rightarrow invisible$



- GOAL: find enhancements to the invisible Higgs decays due to BSM physics (DM for example...)
- Associated production with a
 - $Z \rightarrow$ leptons assuming ZH SM coupling.
- H→ZZ→4v contribution negligible,
 but used to simulate the signature
 with BR=100%.

Experimental signature: large E^{T}_{MISS} +2 high- P_{T} leptons (e, μ) in the final state

- Trigger: combination of single and dilepton triggers;
- P_T (e, μ) > 20 GeV; Isolation in $\Delta R=0.2$ cone at $0.1*E(P_T)$
- > 2 OS leptons with $|m(\ell)-m(Z)| < 15$ GeV; Veto 3rd lepton $P_T > 7$ GeV;
- > No jets with $P_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$;
- \succ E^T_{MISS} > 90 GeV; $\Delta \phi(E^{T}_{MISS}, \vec{P}^{T}_{MISS}) < 0.2$ suppress fake E^{T}_{MISS}
- $\succ \Delta \phi(E^{T}_{MISS}, Z) > 2.6$ since the Higgs is supposed to recoil against the Z
- $\sum_{09/05/2013} \Delta \varphi(\ell\ell) < 1.7 \text{ (boosted Z) and } |E^{T}_{MISS} P_{T}(\ell\ell)| / P_{T}(\ell\ell) < 0.2 \text{ (H/Z P}_{T} \text{ balance)}$ $\sum_{09/05/2013} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} = 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC}} + 2 \sum_{100}^{100} |E^{T}_{VI \text{ Wo$

The newcomer: $H \rightarrow invisible$

Main backgrounds:

ATL-CONF-2013-011 HIG-13-005

- $ZZ \rightarrow \ell \ell v v$ (irreducible, 70%); $WZ \rightarrow \ell v \ell \ell$ (1 lepton lost, 20%) are normalised to NLO x-sec, estimated in MC, validated in CR;

- WW $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ (5%), tt (2%), Wt, Z $\rightarrow \tau \tau$ estimated in eµ CR (w/o E^T_{MISS} cut) and then extrapolated to ee, µµ SR considering different e/µ efficiencies;

- $Z \rightarrow \mathscr{U}$ incl.: ABCD method between $(\Delta \varphi(E^{T}_{MISS}, \vec{P}^{T}_{MISS})$ and $|E^{T}_{MISS} - P_{T}(II)|/P_{T}(II);$ - W+jets/mulitjets: Matrix Method generalised to the dilepton case



- Assuming m(H)=125 GeV and ZH SM coupling a **BR larger than 65%** H \rightarrow inv. is excluded at 95% C.L. (left) - Limits on σ (ZH)xBR(inv.) for m(H) up to 300 GeV. - CMS sets a 95% C.L. limit on BR of 65% using all the BRs of the Higgs in the other decay channels.

Conclusions

- Dark Matter searches at LHC have been presented for both CMS & ATLAS experiments
- Analysis strategies are similar and results comparable
- Main channels: monojet/monophoton + MET
- First results on Higgs to invisible from ATLAS, but also CMS has the analysis in the pipeline
- Other searches: mono-W/Z ongoing for both experiments
- No evidence of DM production, only limits on crosssection and/or WIMP mass
- Collider searches competitive with direct/indirect DM searches in the 1-10 GeV WIMP mass range for the spin-independent case while are globally more sensitive for spin-dependent case

BACKUP

Motivations



Backgrounds estimation: ATLAS

- W/Z + γ (85%): Normalisation derived for both simultaneously in a CR obtained inverting the muon veto cut.
- > <u>W/Z + e/jet</u> faking a γ (13%): measured in data for Z→ee events where an electron is reconstructed as a photon. Fake prob. used also for W→ev + jets in SR
- ➢ <u>Multijets and γ+jets(1%)</u>: data driven from misreconstructed jets. CR defined asking at least 1 jet with P_T > 30 GeV and |Δφ(jet, E^T_{MISS})|< 0.4. Then extrapolated linearly to the P_T < 30 GeV region.</p>
- ➢Non-collision backgrounds negligible
- ≻tt, single top, WW, WZ, ZZ estimated from MC

Backgrounds estimation: CMS

- Jet faking a photon background (15%) measured using fake rate method, estimating, from an EM-enriched QCD sample, the probability for an event that passes looser photon ID criteria to pass also the signal ones. Contribution from single photon events is estimated in an independent QCD sample and then subtracted.
- Electron faking a photon background (5%) estimated using
 W→ ev (correcting for pixel-matching efficiency).
- Out-of-time, cosmic-ray μ and beam halo backgrounds (15%) estimated from data. μ from beam halo dominant contribution.
- Other backgrounds (67%): Z→νν+γ, Wγ→lvγ, γ+jet and diphoton events simulated from MC.

Other searches

- Mono-W/Z efforts just started. Nothing public yet
- Higgs to invisible. Ongoing efforts in two directions:
 - Monojet results can constraint the $H \rightarrow inv. BR$;
 - Connection with the so-called «Higgs-portal» model, where Higgs couples to DM candidates <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1205.3169v2.pdf</u>
 - W/ZH associated production with $H \rightarrow$ inv. and its relationship with direct detection experiments

Limits on gravitino mass: ATLAS



....and don't forget SUSY searches



ATLAS: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/SupersymmetryPublicResults





....and don't forget SUSY searches

CMS: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS



- Direct production of EWK-inos decaying to LSP via slepton, W, Z
- Final state with 3 leptons + E^{T}_{MISS}
- Possible interplay with DM models that foresee EWK doublet(s) to explain DM (even if the mass gap between EWK-inos and LSP is big)



- As an example, fully hadronic final state searches (here in the gluino-decoupled scenario).
- Exclusion of DM candidate can be seen in terms of its production in association with specific SM particle

ATLAS/CMS combination



et

-2-1

DB cross section [pb]

10

10

10.4

is"

08 cross soction [pb]

18

100

Mono-everything: combined limits on dark matter production at colliders from multiple final states

Ning Zhou,¹ David Berge,² and Daniel Whiteson¹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvins, CA 99697 ² GRAPPA Institute, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands,

Searches for dark matter production at particle colliders are complementary to direct-detection and indirect-detection experiments, and especially powerful for small masses, $m_{\chi} < 100$ GeV. An important collider dark matter signature is due to the production of a pair of these invisible particles with the initial-state radiation of a standard model particle. Currently, collider searches use individual and nearly orthogonal final states to search for initial-state jets, photons or massive gauge bosons. We combine these results across final states and across experiments to give the strongest current collider-based limits in the context of effective field theories, and map these to limits on dark matter interactions with nuclei and to dark matter self-apphiliation.



The combination is dominated by the mono-jet channel

09/05/2013

VI Workshop sulla fisica pp a LHC

The newcomer: $H \rightarrow invisible$

- CMS limit on $H \rightarrow$ inv. Assuming SM width and combining the measurements in all the channels, a limit on BR to invisible at 95% C.L. is derived. HIG-13-005

- Similar performance as ATLAS, but uses the full 2012 statistics.

CMS Preliminary $s = 7 \text{ TeV}, L \le 5.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $s = 8 \text{ TeV}, L \le 19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 5.0 u 4.5 ∇ 4.0 Observed Exp. for SM H 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.8 0.4 BSM