## Electron Beam Driven Plasma Accelerators & First FACET Results

EAAC 2013

Mark Hogan June 4, 2013







#### A Beam Driven Plasma Wakefield Accelerator

A very high frequency structure acting as an energy transformer

- Accelerating structure is created anew every shot
- High gradients need high density plasmas
- -~10<sup>17</sup> e<sup>-</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup>
- >10GeV/m acceleration
- >MT/m focusing



- For wake excitation need a beam matched to plasma dimensions:
- Individual bunches, or a bunch train, 100's fs apart (or use SMI for long bunches)
- Individual bunches small in all three dimensions
  - High bunch charge for blow-out with large wake amplitude & good transport
- •Need long, uniform high-density plasmas

#### Several Facilities and Groups Are Ramping-up Efforts

- BNL
  - Use masking technique to create bunch trains or tailored current profiles
  - Low peak current, beam density
    - Combine bunch trains with capillary and study resonant excitation
  - New round preparing to look at low charge mode for quasi-nonlinear regime
- SPARC
  - Pulse train (comb) onto photocathode
  - Control beam dynamics to recover time structure in electron bunch train
  - Will combine with plasma source for experiments in the next few years
- DESY 'Flash Forward'
  - Dedicated beamline to use FLASH-II beam for LWFA/PWFA hybrid experiments

#### FACET Has a Multi-year Program to Study PWFA

#### SLAC



- Demonstrate a single-stage high-energy plasma accelerator for electrons
- Meter scale, high gradient, preserved emittance, low energy spread, and high efficiency
  - Commission beam, diagnostics and plasma source (2012)
  - Produce independent drive & witness bunch (2012-2013)
  - Pre-ionized plasmas and tailored profiles to maximize single stage performance: total energy gain, emittance, efficiency (2013-2015)
- First experiments with compressed positrons
  - Identify optimum technique/regime for positron PWFA (2014-2016)

## Primary Scientific Goal of FACET: Demonstrate a Single Stage Plasma Accelerator for Electrons

E200: Collaboration between SLAC/UCLA



## Singly ionized Rb plasma is created by the electric field of the beam. Betatron pinches further ionize Ar and Rb+

UCLA -SLAC





#### Peak Field For A Gaussian Bunch:

$$E = 6GV/m \frac{N}{2x10^{10}} \frac{20\mu}{\sigma_r} \frac{100\mu}{\sigma_z}$$

#### Ionization Rate for Li:

$$W_{Li}[s^{-1}] \approx \frac{3.60 \times 10^{21}}{E^{2.18}[GV/m]} \exp\left(\frac{-85.5}{E[GV/m]}\right)$$

See D. Bruhwiler et al, Physics of Plasmas 2003

...but can suffer from Head Erosion

$$V[\mu m/m] = (3.6617 \cdot 10^4)\epsilon_i^{1.73}[eV] \frac{\epsilon_N[mm \cdot mRad]}{\gamma} \frac{1}{I^{3/2}[kA]}$$



Study beam loading & lonization injection from secondary ionization

### Plasma acceleration in Argon

Impressive results in Argon gas at  $P \sim 20$  Torr:

- The Facet electron beam was able to consistently field-ionize Ar (ionization potential = 15.8 eV).
- More than Energy Doubling in Ar! Acceleration from 20 GeV to ~47 GeV.
- Head erosion 10x faster in Ar compared to Rb.



UCLA

-SLAC

### Plasma acceleration in Argon



Many other studies revealed interesting behaviors:

- Waist dependance of beam-plasma interaction.

UCLA

- Scan over beta functions.
- Use of various emittance spoiler foils.
- etc.

### **Beam-plasma interaction in Helium**

UCLA -SLAC



### Beam-plasma interaction in Helium

UCLA -SLAC

Results in Helium gas:

- The Facet electron beam was also able to consistently field-ionize He (ionization potential = 24.6 eV)!

- More than 90% of energy loss in He. Deceleration from 20.35 GeV to below 2.5 GeV.

- Head erosion 25x faster in He compared to Rb.

Deceleration down to below 2.5 GeV



### Energy gain suppression and recovery

Ar 50%, He 50%P = 32 Torr

- > 10 GeV energy gain
- No energy gain in pure He
- Energy gain recovered when partial pressure Ar back to 16 Torr



# Energy gain suppression with multiple plasma densities

Li ovenP = 32 Torr

Energy gain strongly suppressed

- Peak beam density is very high!
- Ar/He ionization in addition to Li ionization
  - Multiple plasma species, densities give dephasing



### Energy gain recovered!

Li ovenP = 32 Torr

15 GeV energy gain

Almost energy doubling

Ar/He ionization disabled (Emittance Spoiler Foil In) by limiting peak beam density



#### **Experimental Set-up**





- Secondary ionization depends on maximum beam density
- Foils of different thickness and composition used to increase the beam emittance and limit secondary ionization
- We measure how the distributed injection of this dark current loads the wake and reduces the transformer ratio T = E+/E-

## Emittance of the beam used to vary length of the wake (head erosion) and thereby vary energy loss

- As the length increases so does the number of envelope oscillations the beam makes.
- Each time the beam pinches down to a minimum it produces additional Rb 2+
- These new electrons (excess charge) are injected into the wake



UCLA

**SLAC** 

The Resulting Beam Loading reduces Transformer ratio

Transformer Ratio  $\langle T \rangle = E + / E - = \Delta W + / \Delta W -$ 



UCLA -SLAC

#### PIC Simulations Confirm that Beam Loading by Distributed Injection of Rb 2+ Electrons and Reduced T

- Peak Accelerating field decreases from 44 GeV/m to 35 GeV/m due to beam loading
- Transformer ratio decreases from 1 to 0.85



UCLA -SLAC

#### Concept for controlled ionization injection

UCLA <mark>-SLAC</mark>



#### General concept of Ionization Injection into a PWFA



Use Li plasma (not Rb) to ensure no dark current (Li 2+ >70eV) Use variable percentage He:Ar mix as buffer to control quantity of ionization-injection trapped charge

#### Simulations Show Monoenergetic Bunchlets with ~1% Energy spread

UCLA -SLAC

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Mono-energietic bunchlets produced by ionization injection

Preliminary results are very encouraging!

- Preliminary analysis suggests mean charge of 30pC, dE/E few % and success rate of trapping about 80%
- Bunchlets often have transverse displacement as well
- Going forward we are working to improve control, stability and instrument resolution

energy

UCLA SLAC

# Mono-energietic bunchlets produced by ionization injection

Preliminary: two weeks old!

UCLA -SLAC

#### **Hosing Studies**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Betatron gamma-rays**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

---> Best fit for a 10 um beam size and 150 mm.mrad normalized emittance (BMAG = 2.6).

UCLA <mark>-SLAC</mark>

Already a very successful run in 2013!

- Improved performance of SLAC linac and resulting high beam density has opened new avenues for beam-plasma interaction
  - Lower ionization potential vapors better for head-erosion but uncontrolled dark current can load the wake and limit the transformer ratio
  - Simple Ar gas cell for beam-ionized plasma source gives good performance for single bunch experiments
    - High plasma density, fields ~ 100GeV/m
    - Adiabatic taper, low divergence exit
  - Investigating controlled ionization injection for low dE/E witness bunch

The remainder of the run will focus on:

- Creating an independent drive/witness bunch from the linac
- Injecting them into a pre-formed laser ionized Li plasma

#### Use a Notch Collimator to Create Drive-Witness Bunches

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Now operating the tools to make and measure beams for the two bunch PWFA experiments

UCLA -SLAC

#### X-band TCAV installed in Sector 20

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Use a Laser to Turn Lithium Vapor into a Plasma – Axicon Geometry Determines the Plasma Length

UCLA -SLAC

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Bessel beam radial profile

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### The Future: The next Few Years Expanding Plasma Collaborations and Directions

SLAC

- Trojan Horse Plasma Wakefield Acceleration
   (UCLA/SLAC/Tech-X/MPI/HHU)
- Study of the Self-Modulation of Long Lepton Bunches in Dense Plasmas and its Application to Advanced Acceleration Techniques
   (IST/MPI/SLAC)
- Investigation of Hot Plasmas and Fourier Domain Holography of Plasma Wakes
  - (Duke/SLAC/U.T. Austin/UCLA)
- Helmholtz VI for Plasma Acceleration
- Others too, but not with plasma

Facility upgrades like the laser are enabling additional programs that will accelerate progress and increase FACET science output

![](_page_27_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### The Future: July 25-26, 2013 Program Advisory Committee to review new proposal

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

In 2016 SLAC will start begin the second phase of the x-ray laser program and start constructing LCLS-II

Impact to the middle kilometer of the SLAC linac will halt FACET operations

Working with DOE to develop ideas for FACET-II

- Want to minimize interruption to existing programs
- Want to build off success of FACET and expand capabilities
- Science case developed and submitted in February
- We look forward to hearing your ideas at this workshop!

#### FACET II

SLAC

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

In early 2016, LCLS-II, will begin commissioning using part of the tunnel occupied by FACET

•High gradient acceleration techniques that will reduce the cost of both a future high-energy collider and linac-based light sources

•High brightness beam techniques that improve the generation, preservation, and application of such beams

•Novel radiation techniques (spanning terahertz to gamma-rays) that can be generated by FACET's high brightness beams

UCLA -SLAC

It is a very exciting time for beam driven plasma accelerators!

- Optimistic we will see demonstration of high-gradient meter scale plasma stage within the next year with good beam quality and efficiency
- Coming years will build on this with injection and higher brightness beams paving the way for the first applications

On behalf of the E200 Collaboration:

E.Adli, S. Corde, J. P. Delahaye, J. Frederico, S.J. Gessner, M.J. Hogan, S. Li, M.D. Litos, T. Raubenheimer, Z. Wu (SLAC, Stanford, USA),
W. An, C.E. Clayton, C. Joshi, K.A. Marsh, W. Mori, N. Vafaei-Najafabadi (UCLA, Los Angeles, USA),
W. Lu (Tsinghua Univ. of Beijing, China and UCLA) P. Muggli (MPI, Munich, Germany)