

# Neutrino Masses and Proton Decay in $SO(10)$ .

Franco Buccella

INFN, Sezione di Napoli

*Roma, 26 Novembre 2012*

## General Program

I PRESENT TWO PAPERS ON THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE HYPOTHESIS THAT THE MASS OF THE RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS CANNOT BE LARGER THAN THE SCALE OF THE SPONTANEOUS BREAKING OF THE SYMMETRY  $B - L$  AND TWO RELATED PAPERS ON THE LEPTOGENESIS SCENARIO

THE FIRST TWO IN COLLABORATION WITH MARIO ABUD AND DOMENICO FALCONE, THE FIRST ONE ALSO WITH LUIS OLIVER. THE ONES ABOUT LEPTOGENESIS LEPTOGENESI, BOTH WITH DOMENICO FALCONE, THE FIRST ONE WITH LUIS OLIVER AND THE SECONDO ONE WITH CHEN SHEN FONG, ENRICO NARDI AND GIULIA RICCIARDI

- 1) UNIFIED  $SO(10)$  MODELS AND SEE-SAW MODEL FOR THE MASS MATRIX OF LEFT-HANDED NEUTRINOS
- 2) LOWER LIMITS FOR THE SCALES OF THE SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING OF  $SO(10)$  AND  $B - L$  AND PREDICTIONS FOR THE PHYSICAL QUANTITIES, WHICH DEPEND ON THE MASS MATRIX OF LEFT-HANDED NEUTRINOS  $m_L$ , BEYOND THE PRESENT UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS, WHICH ARE INSPIRED BY THE QUARK-LEPTON SYMMETRY
- 3) TWO LEPTOGENESIS SCENARIA
- 5) CONCLUSIONS

## From $SU(5)$ to $SO(10)$

The elegant minimal gauge unified  $SU(5)$  model proposed by Georgi and Glashow almost 40 years ago has been disproved by the values of the three gauge couplings, which meet at scales slightly different and only the scale corresponding to the meeting point of  $g_2$  e  $g_3$  is sufficiently high to be consistent with the lower limit for the lifetime of the proton decay into  $e^+\pi_0$ , actually  $8 \times 10^{33}$  years.

The extension to  $SO(10)$  is very promising, but did not receive great attention by the majority of the theorist.

In fact the weak hypercharge is given by the combination of two  $SO(10)$  generators :

$$Y = T_{3R} + \frac{B-L}{2}$$

If the intermediate symmetry in the spontaneous breaking of  $SO(10)$  into the gauge group of the standard model

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$$

contains  $SU(2)_R$  and (or)  $SU(4)_{PS}$ , the three costants may meet at a scale consisting with the lower limit for the lifetime of the proton.

In particular Mohapatra and Parida pointed out the phenomenological interest of models, where the discrete symmetry  $D$  for the exchange  $SU(2)_L \rightarrow SU(2)_R$  is broken at the scale of  $SO(10)$  breaking.

## An Upper Limit Superiore for the Proton Lifetime

In this framework about 30 years ago we studied in Naples the spontaneous breaking of  $SO(10)$  in four cases with intermediate gauge symmetry

$$SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$$

or

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$$

with or without the symmetry  $D$  conserved at the scale of the breaking of  $SO(10)$ . For the case with intermediate symmetry

$SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times D$  the highest  $VEV$  belongs to the 54, while in the other cases it is a combination of the two singlets with respect to the standard group of the 210.

The  $VEV$  with  $\Delta(B - L) = 2$ , which reduces the gauge symmetry down to the standard group, belongs to the 126 .

The highest value for the unification scale,  $M_X$  is found in the case with intermediate symmetry:

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$$

and the ratio  $\frac{M_X}{M_Z}$  is:

$$\exp \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\sin^2(\theta_W)}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha_s} \right).$$

The increase of the values of  $\sin^2(\theta_W)$  and  $\alpha_s$  gives rise to a higher upper limit for the proton lifetime.

We stated that the best "smoking gun" for  $SO(10)$  should be neutrino oscillations, for which at that time there was a certain skepticism, despite the deficit of solar neutrinos found in the experiment at Homestake.

## Neutrino Oscillations

The best signal of physics beyond the standard model is solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations with the values for the square mass differences

$$\Delta m_s^2 = 8 \times 10^{-5} (eV)^2$$

for solar and

$$\Delta m_a^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} (eV)^2$$

for atmospheric

and the mixing angles for the *PMNS* matrix :

$$\sin^2(\theta_{12}) = .307$$

$$\sin^2(\theta_{13}) = .0241$$

$$\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = .386$$

$$\delta = 1.08\pi$$

An upper limit for the sum of the neutrino masses is given by cosmology

$$\Sigma |m_i| \leq 0.46 - 0.78 eV$$

Upper limits for the effective neutrino mass, which appears in tritium decay,  $m_{\nu_e}$  and, if neutrinos are Majorana particles, for the factor  $m_{ee}$  in the amplitude of double neutrinoless beta decay:

$$m_{\nu_e} \leq 2.2 eV$$

$$m_{ee} \leq 0.4 eV$$

## See-Saw Model for Neutrino Masses

The most attractive explanation of the fact that neutrino masses are several orders of magnitude smaller than the masses of the charged fermions is the "see-saw model", based on the fact that right-handed neutrinos, not necessary in the standard model, but to construct for them a Dirac mass, are singlets of the gauge group of the standard model, may get a Majorana mass allowed by the symmetry and therefore their mass is expected to be many orders of magnitude bigger than the scale of the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the electro-weak unified theory. As a consequence left-handed neutrinos get the effective mass:

$$m_L = -m_t^D (M_R)^{-1} m^D \quad (1)$$

many orders of magnitude smaller than the other fundamental fermions.

The most natural framework for the "see-saw" model are the gauge unified models based on  $SO(10)$ , which require the existence of right-handed neutrinos and where  $B - L$  is a generator of the group spontaneously broken at a scale orders of magnitude bigger than the electro-weak one, which is welcome to get a similar value for the elements of the right-handed neutrinos mass matrix.

$SO(10)$  unification had been proposed before with strong motivation.

## Gauge Unification

### THE STRONG MOTIVATIONS FOR GAUGE UNIFICATION

The quantum numbers of the three families of the fundamental fermions (quark and leptons) are a motivation stronger than generally believed for gauge unification beyond the standard model group:

$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$	Trace	$\text{Tr } Y$	$\text{Tr } Y^2$	$\text{Tr } C_2$	$\text{Tr } C_3$
$(3, 2, +1/6)$ quantum numbers:	+1	1/6	9/2	8	
$(\bar{3}, 1, -2/3)$ q. n.:	-2	4/3	0	4	
$(1, 1, +1)$ q. n.:	+1	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	

The sum of the traces is      0    5/2      9/2      12

$(1, 2, -1/2)$  quantum numbers    -1    1/2      3/2      0

$(\bar{3}, 1, +1/3)$       +1    1/3      0      4

-      -      -      -

The sum of the traces is      0    5/6      3/2      4

Not only  $\text{Tr } Y$  vanishes on two sets of states, which is a peculiar property of the generators of a non-abelian Lie algebra, but also the sums on the last three columns are in the same ratio (3), which is a peculiar property of two representations of the same algebra, the 10 and the  $\bar{5}$  of  $SU(5)$ .

## The Scales of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking of SO(10) and B - L, $M_X$ and $M_{B-L}$

The present values of  $\sin^2(\theta_W) = .23116 \pm .00013$  and  $\alpha_s = .1184 \pm .0003$  imply for the four models considered the following values (in GeV) for the scales of spontaneous symmetry breaking of  $SO(10)$  and  $B - L$ :

Intermediate Symmetry  $M_X$  in GeV  $M_{B-L}$

$SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times D$   $.955 \cdot 10^{15}$   $4.47 \cdot 10^{13}$

$SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$   $1.15 \cdot 10^{16}$   $1.2 \cdot 10^{11}$

$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times D$   $3.24 \cdot 10^{15}$   $1.91 \cdot 10^{10}$

$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$   $3.02 \cdot 10^{16}$   $1.07 \cdot 10^9$

The present lower limit for the lifetime of the proton decay into  $e^+\pi_0$  is  $8 \times 10^{33}$  years, which corresponds to a lower limit for  $M_X$  of  $2.66 \times 10^{15}$  GeV.

We may conclude that the first model is excluded, for the third one the increase of the lower limit for the proton lifetime might be a problem, while the second one and still more the fourth one predict lifetimes longer than the present limit.

With reasonable assumption one may establish a lower limit also for  $M_{B-L}$ .



## Lower Limit for the Scale for thereaking of $M_{B-L}$

To get a lower limit for  $M_{B-L}$  we assume:

1) The see-saw model, which implies:

$$\text{Det}(M_R) \text{Det}(m_L) = \text{Det}(M_D)^2$$

$$2) \text{Det}(M_D) = \frac{\text{Det}(m_l)\text{Det}(m_u)}{\text{Det}(m_d)} = 2 \times 10^7 \text{ (GeV)}^3$$

From the upper limit :

$$\text{Det}(m_L) \leq 8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (eV)}^3$$

we get the lower limit :

$$5 \times 10^{25} \text{ (GeV)}^3 \leq \text{Det}(M_R)$$

which implies

$$3.68 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV} \leq M_{B-L}$$

limit obeyed by the four models with a value slightly larger than the limit for the fourth model, which implies for the sum of the neutrino masses the lower limit .24 eV.

Consequences of the Assumption that the Matrix  $V^L$ ,  
which Appears in the Diagonalization of  $M_D$  is Similar  
to  $M_{CKM}$

In general  $M_D$  is diagonalized by the by the biunitary transformation

$$M_D = V^R M_D^{diag} V^L +$$

If we assume that  $V^L$  is similar to  $V_{CKM}$ , more precisely that it may be approximated by a matrix, where on can neglect the mixing angle of the third family with the first two, by inverting the see-saw formula, one finds that the terms proportional to the square of the highest eigenvalue of  $M_D$  are proportional to:

$$\frac{U_{\tau 1}^2}{m_1} + \frac{U_{\tau 2}^2}{m_2} + \frac{U_{\tau 3}^2}{m_3}$$

and a strong cancellation between the three terms is necessary to avoid that a value about 100 GeV for the highest eigenvalue of  $M_D$  implies right-handed neutrinos with a mass larger than the scale of breaking of  $M_{B-L}$ .

## Consequences of the Assumption that the Matrix $V^L$ , which Appears in the Diagonalization of $M_D$ is Similar to $M_{CKM}$

The assumption:

$$\frac{U_{\tau 1}^2}{m_1} + \frac{U_{\tau 2}^2}{m_2} + \frac{U_{\tau 3}^2}{m_3} = 0$$

with the experimental values of the elements of the matrix  $PMNS$  and of the differences of the square masses coming from the atmospheric and solar neutrinos one has as a consequence that  $|m_1|$  is in the range

$$6 \times 10^{-3}, 6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$$

and consequently the sum of neutrino masses is in the range:

$$7 \times 10^{-2}, 2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ eV and finally } Det(m_L) \text{ is in the range:}$$

$$3 \times 10^{-6}, 3 \times 10^{-4} (eV)^3.$$

and a lower limit :

$$1.33 \times 10^{27} \leq Det(M_R)$$

which implies

$$1.1 \times 10^9 \leq GeV M_{B-L}$$

just above the value found in the fourth model.

As long as for the masses, which appear in tritium decay,  $m_{\nu_e}$ , and in the double neutrinoless beta decay,  $m_{ee}$ , for  $m_{\nu_e}$  one gets the same range of  $|m_1|$ , while for  $m_{ee}$  one has the upper bound :

$$6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$$

## Status of the four SO(10) Models

In the figures, which follow, we plot the allowed zones for the values of  $\alpha_s$  in ascisse and  $\sin^2(\theta_W)$  in ordinate for the various models compared with the experimental values in correspondance with the lower limit  $8 \times 10^{33}$  years for the proton lifetime and  $3.68 \times 10^8$  GeV for the scales of breaking of  $B - L$  and with the larger values :

$2 \times 10^{34}$  and  $1.1 \times 10^9$  GeV

The considerationis from the upper limit for  $M_{B-L}$  may be applied to the general study by

Bertolini, Di Luzio e Malinsky,

who consider symmetry breaking patternns from  $SO(10)$  to the gauge group of the standard model  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  with two intermediate scales.

In particular models with a too low scale of nbreaking of rottura di  $B - L$  are disfavoured as well as the ones, where the breaking of this symmetry is realized with a  $VEV$  of the 16 with  $\Delta(B - L) = 1$  and therefore do not contribute to the Majorana masses of the right-handed neutrinos at the lowest order and give rise to masses many orders of magnitude smaller than the scale of breaking .




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

## Motivations to Choose $SO(10)$ as the Group of Gauge Unification

$SO(10)$  has two importanti properies :

- 1) The opposite anomalies of the representations  $10$  and  $\bar{5}$  of  $SU(5)$  are a consequence of the absence of anomalies in  $SO(10)$  ( The cubic Casimir operator  $T_{ab}T_{bc}T_{ca}$  vanishes! )
- 2)  $SO(10)$  contains  $SU(5)$ , obvious generalization of  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ , but also  $SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  with quarks and leptons, transforming as a  $(4, 2, 1)$  and their antiparticles as a  $(\bar{4}, 1, 2)$ .

The most interesting feature of  $SO(10)$  is its natural explanation of the values extremely low of the masses of the left-handed neutrinos.

To correct the predictions of  $SU(5)$  for the coupling costants at the electro-weak scale the symmetry  $B - L$  should be spontaneously broken at  $10^{11}$  GeV and this implies an upper limit to the elements of the mass matrix of the right-handed neutrinos.

## Baryonic Asimmetry of the Universe

Up to now there is no evidence for a macroscopic antimatter, which would give rise to dramatic annihilation processes.

A positive value of the baryonic number

$$\Delta B = B - \bar{B}$$

is required by the inflationary cosmological model.

Indeed nucleosynthesis depends from the relative abundance of baryons with respect to photons, which dissociate deuterium nuclei and disfavour the formation of helium.

The primordiale abundanzace of H, He, Li and Be imply for the ratio:

$$\frac{\Delta B}{\gamma} \text{ about } 6 \cdot 10^{-10}.$$



## The Leptogenesis Scenario

The property of the unified gauge theories of violating the baryonic number evolved from the nightmare of proton stability into the intriguing property of being a necessary condition to produce the baryonic asymmetry.

In the framework of the minimal SU(5) model one cannot produce a sufficient baryonic asymmetry and at the electro-weak scale non perturbative contributions, the sphalerons, which violate B, but not  $B - L$ , would wash out any baryon asymmetry produced at a higher scale with  $B - L = 0$ , as the one produced in the minimal gauge SU(5) theory, where  $B - L$  is a global symmetry.

On the contrary  $B - L$ , a SO(10) generator, must be spontaneously broken.

In particular one may consider a scenario, leptogenesis, where a leptonic asymmetry produced in the off-equilibrium decay of the lightest right-handed neutrino gives a leptonic asymmetry, which turns into an asymmetry in both B and L at the electroweak scale by the sphalerons.

## Conclusions

1) The assumption that the determinant of the neutrino Dirac mass matrix,  $m_D$ , obeys

$$\text{Det}(m_D) \text{Det}(m_d) = \text{Det}(m_l) \text{Det}(m_u)$$

and the see-saw model for the neutrino mass matrix have as a consequence a lower limit for the scale of  $B - L$  breaking, which increases with the decrease of the upper limit for the sum of the masses of the left-handed neutrinos.

2) The demand that the masses of the right-handed neutrinos are limited by the scale of the breaking of  $B - L$ , that the matrix  $V^L$ , which appears in the diagonalization of  $m_D$ , is similar to the  $CKM$  so that to be approximated with one acting only on the first two generation and that the highest eigenvalue of  $m_D$  be around 100 GeV, has important consequences for the not yet measured observables related to the mass matrix of left-handed neutrinos:

$$7 \times 10^{-2} \text{eV} \leq \sum_i m_{Li} \leq 2 \text{eV}$$

$$m_{ee} \leq 6 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$6 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV} \leq m_{\nu_e} \leq 6 \times 10^{-2}$$

and the lower limit  $1.1 \times 10^9$  GeV for the scale of breaking of  $B - L$ .

3) The compact spectrum for right-handed neutrinos found in this framework favours the construction of scenarios, where leptogenesis gives rise to the baryonic asymmetry in the universe.