Efficient turbulent amplification of magnetic field driven by dynamo effect at supernova remnant shocks

Federico Fraschetti

Dept.s of Planetary Sciences and Astronomy Theoretical Astrophysics Program University of Arizona

> RICAP-13 May – 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2013

# Outline

Multiwavelength evidence of amplified magnetic field

MHD approach to 2D rippled shocks

Secular evolution of magnetic energy at the shock

### Evidence of large B in supernova remnant shocks



Sharp X-ray edges (Berezhko et al. 2003)

#### Time variability of synchrotron emission from filaments ~0.03 pc (Uchiyama 2007)

.. and energetic particles?



Acciari et al. 2011









# Real shocks are corrugated

SNR1006

shock ripples  $\sim 10^{17}$  cm

Smaller for SNR closer to galactic plane



Raymond et al. (2007)

# Shocks: numerical simulations



Evidence of efficient magnetic field amplification downstream of gamma-ray burst outflows (numerical simulations, Mizuno et al. 2011)

# MHD analytical approach to rippled shock

2D shock, arbitrary Alfven Mach number



Jump conditions can be applied locally at rippled shocks

• downstream at distance smaller than local curvature radius  $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, 0)$  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} = (0, 0, \omega_z)$ Local orthonormal frame:

(x,y)

(n,s)

Prasad (2001)

To first order in  $\theta$  (large curvature radius)

Perpendicular seed field upstream Average shock direction of motion



# Vorticity downstream

$$\delta\omega_z = \frac{r-1}{r} \left[ \left( \frac{C_r}{\rho} \right)_u \partial_s \rho + \partial_s C_r \right] - \frac{B_n \delta B_s}{4\pi\rho C_r} \partial_s \vartheta \qquad \text{r: compression} \\ M_A = C_r \sqrt{4\pi\rho} / T$$

Fraschetti (2013)

Energy deposited in vortical motion grows with shock speed Shear or power spectrum

Finite curvature radius (zero for planar shock)

Turbulent field backreaction Strongly rippled -> higher amplified field Large B<sub>0</sub> makes resistance to filed lines tangling growth rate

backreaction

# Small-scale dynamo

At each scale, the growth of magnetic field depends on vorticity No assumption on the magnetic power spectrum

### Exponential growth

 $\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = 2\beta\varepsilon$ 

$$arepsilon = B^2/8\pi
ho$$

K

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = 2(\tau^{-1} -$$

Exact solution

 $\alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon$ 

Growth time-scale 
$$\tau \sim \frac{r}{r-1} \frac{1}{C_r} \frac{R_c \ell_F}{R_c + \ell_F} \tau \sim \ell_F / C_r$$
  
Back-reaction 
$$\alpha \sim \vartheta / (R_c C_r)$$
$$\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right)^2 (t) = \frac{e^{2t/\tau}}{1 - \alpha \tau (1 - e^{2t/\tau}) v_A^2 / 2}$$
Fraschetti (2013)



 $B/B_0 \sim M_A$ 



Ripples scale = $10^{17}$  cm, rapid growth (Raymond et al. 2007)

#### Bohm diffusion?

Energetic electrons

 $D_{\rm B}(E) \simeq (3.3 \times 10^{23} \,\mathrm{cm}^2 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}) \times E_{\rm TeV} \times B_{100}^{-1}$  $\tau_{\rm acc} \simeq D(E)/V_{\rm sh}^2 \qquad ({\rm energy \ losses})$ 

Field growth

$$au \sim \ell_F / C_r$$

# Summary

Turbulent vortical motions are an efficient tracker of strong magnetic field in astrophysical shocks

Real shocks in nature are rippled but MHD jump conditions can still be applied locally

Magnetic field amplification with saturation as short as a few months agrees with observations (X, optical)

Prediction of turbulence evolution on secular scale