Data reduction Fabrizio Palla

Select only hits from "high-pt" tracks

- Select only tracks above a given p_T since they are few
- Send reduced data volume off detector for further logic



Track width measurements



Complications

Effects due to non-flatness and tilt



Tilt affects the two in a opposite way:

while for the track width goes to correctly compensate for the stacked introduces an offset that is different for +ve and -ve tracks, though some charge discrimination possible with complex logic

p_T modules in barrel and end-cap

- Sensitivity to p_T from measurement of $\Delta(R\phi)$ over a given ΔR
- For a given p_T , $\Delta(R\phi)$ increases with R
 - A same geometrical cut, corresponds to harder p_T cuts at large radii
 - At low radii, rejection power limited by pitch

- In the barrel, ΔR is given directly by the sensors spacing
- In the end-cap, it depends on the location of the detector
 - End-cap configuration typically requires wider spacing



Method Validation using LHC collision data in CMS



SS: Sensitivity to CW



Tracks CW correlated with reco pt for various clustering thresholds >> CW decreases with pT, as foreseen from theoretical model >> Good pT sensitivity for higher clustering thresholds (S/N > 6) due to suppression of capacitive couplings effects on FE electronics generating false large clusters



Tracks selected with CW < 3

>> Selection efficiency as a function of p_T superimposed to track p_T distributions

>> Efficiency > 90% yet from 2 GeV/c

Using glued detectors



tilt angle: 100 mrad



Correlation TW("d") vs. track pt
>> High pt (>2 GeV/c) tracks have clusters
almost overlapping

>> Clusters for low p_T ones are far each other

Glued modules in CMS are used to get z info

- >> 2 SS modules are in "stereo" configuration
 - i.e. rotated by 100 mrad, separated by ~2mm

>> Correcting off-line for the stereo angle, we can use these modules as double layer detectors



Tracks selected with TW("d") < 1.5 mm >> Selection efficiency vs. track p_T

>> Efficient selection (~100%) for high (> 5 GeV/c) p_T tracks

Hardware designs

2S pT-modules



Pixels-Strips (PS) pT-modules

Sensors:

Top sensor: strips
 2×25 mm, 100 μm pitch
 Bottom sensor: long pixels
 100 μm × 1500 μm
 \$\$ 5×10 cm² overall sensor size



Readout:

- Top: wirebonds to "hybrid"
- Bottom: pixel chips wirebonded to hybrid
- Sorrelation logic in the pixel chips



3D pt-modules



Thursday, May 24, 12

3D modules



Wafer-wafer bond



Backgrind, etch silicon and oxide



Tiled Active Edge Modules



Thursday, May 24, 12

Correlation electronics

CBC2 Architecture



blocks associated with Pt stub generation

channel mask: block noisy channels (but not from pipeline) cluster width discrimination: exclude wide clusters offset correction and correlation: correct for phi offset across module and correlate between layers stub shift register: test feature - shift out result of correlation operation at 40 MHz fast OR at comp. O/P and correlation O/P: - can select either to transmit off-chip for normal operation choose correlation O/P

neighbour chip signals - CWD O/Ps



Some simulation results

CMS - traditional geom.

The "Barrel-EndCap" design comprises 6 barrel layers and 7 endcap disks composed of rings.



The inner part (1) is populated by Pixel-Strip stacked (PS) modules . The outer part (2) is populated by Strip stacked (2S) modules. The number of endcap disk is optimized for tracking performance.

Different spacings between the two sensors of the Pt modules: 0.8mm in the outer barrel (2S) 1.6 and 2.6mm in the inner barrel (PS) 4.0, 2.6 and 1.2mm in the outer end-cap (2S) 4.0mm in the inner end-cap (PS) L1 tracking precision potential pT resolution 4% @ 10 GeV in forward Tracking precision pT resolution 1.4% @ 10 GeV pT resolution 3% @ 100 GeV

Data reduction



CW<3 stri

Stub pt Measurement



R 51 cm - mean $\sqrt{(1/p_T)}$: ~0.076 R 82 cm - mean $\sqrt{(1/p_T)}$: ~0.073 R 102 cm - mean $\sqrt{(1/p_T)}$: ~0.069

Long Barrel layout (CMS)



Geometry Comparison



Data Rates

Assumptions

- CMSSW full simulation MinBias Events
- Barrel EndCap Geometry (already presented in previous meetings..)
- Assuming 200 p-p interaction pile-up (20 MHz LHC clock)
- First estimation of data flow (trigger+data) with MinBias events
 - Zero suppression and asynchronous read-out of cluster (full data) and stubs (trigger data)

2

 throughput of Concentrator, assuming one concentrator per ½ module

Stub Flow Trigger

- Trigger Data (stub flow):
 - Stub data
 - Stub position: 7bits (max 128)
 - ½ strip precision : 1bit
 - Chip#:3 bits(1/2 module= 8 chips)
 - Bend : 3 bits
 - TOTAL: A1= 14 bits transferred for each stub at 20MHz
 - case of MixedPt Module: 4 additional bits for the position z: 18 bits in total
 - Header:
 - Time stamp: 12 bits
 - Number of Stubs: 4 bits (max 16)
 - Data or trigger id: 1 bit
 - Complete trame to allow a resynch in case of corrupted data: 8 bits (might be surevaluated)

3

- Total B1= 25 bits transfered at 20 MHz per ½ module
- For a given ½ module flow := n_stubs* A1 +B1

Bandwith: Results from MB simulations (200PU-50ns)

	<clusters>/1/2 module/BX</clusters>	<stubs>/1/2 module/BX</stubs>	BW Mbs Trigger	BW Mbs Data
Layer4	3.4	0.37	237	6
Layer5	1.9	0.2	131	4
Layer6	1.1	0.1	77	2
Layer1 (Mixed pt module)	15	0.8	558	32
All clus	sters (no cut)	Î		1
To be transmitted In the data channel @100kHz		StubsCW <=2, Ww size=6 To be transmitted @20MHz		per concentrator 2 module)

7