# Recent results on solar neutrinos with Borexino

PHYSUN – LNGS, 9 Oct 2012 Barbara Caccianiga-INFN Milano (on behalf of the Borexino collaboration)



## **Outlook**

Borexino has started taking data in May 2007 and given since then many important results on solar neutrinos, geo-neutrinos, search for rare events;

In this presentation I will focus on the results concerning solar neutrinos

- <sup>7</sup>Be neutrinos and their day-night asimmetry;
- <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos;
- pep neutrinos and limits on CNO neutrinos;
- Global analysis of Borexino data;

## **Solar neutrinos**

Most recent update: Serenelli, Haxton and Pena-Garay arXiV:1104.1639

pp CYCLE: ~99% of the sun energy



J. Bahcall







# Astrophysics:

Open issues: solar metallicity controversy

•Metallicity (the abundance of elements heavier than He) is used as input in the Standard Solar Model;

•The neutrino fluxes depend on it; •Differences as large as 30-40% (for CNO);

•Differences of ~9% for ^Be  $\nu$ 

# Neutrino oscillations:

Precision measurements of solar neutrino sources at low energies probe  $P_{ee}$  in the vacuum to matter transition region which is sensitive to new physics

| Sources         | $\Phi(\nu \sec^{-1} \mathrm{cm}^2)$ | $\Phi(\nu \sec^{-1} \mathrm{cm}^2)$ | Difference |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
|                 | <pre>high-metallicity[?],[?]</pre>  | low-metallicity[?],[?]              | %          |
| pp              | $5.98(1\pm0.006)\times10^{10}$      | $6.03(1\pm0.006)\times10^{10}$      | 0.8        |
| pep             | $1.44(1\pm0.012)\times10^{8}$       | $1.47(1\pm0.012)\times10^{8}$       | 2.1        |
| hep             | $8.04(1\pm0.300)\times10^3$         | $8.31(1\pm0.300)\times10^3$         | 3.3        |
| $^{7}Be$        | $5.00(1\pm0.070)\times10^9$         | $4.56(1\pm0.070)\times10^9$         | 8.8        |
| $^{8}B$         | $5.58(1\pm0.140)\times10^{6}$       | $4.59(1\pm0.140)\times10^{6}$       | 17.7       |
| $^{13}N$        | $2.96(1\pm0.140)\times10^{8}$       | $2.17(1\pm0.140)\times10^{8}$       | 26.7       |
| <sup>15</sup> O | $2.23(1\pm0.150)\times10^{8}$       | $1.56(1\pm0.150)\times10^{8}$       | 30.0       |
| $^{17}F$        | $5.52(1\pm0.170)\times10^{6}$       | $3.40(1\pm0.160)\times10^{6}$       | 38.4       |

•Solar Model: Serenelli, Haxton and Pena-Garay arXiV:1104.1639 •High metallicity GS98 = Grevesse et al.S. Sci. Rev. 85,161 ('98); •Low metallicity AGS09 = Asplund, et al, A.R.A.&A. 47(2009)481



## **Solar neutrino oscillations before Borexino**

•Solar neutrinos undergo oscillations in their path from Sun to Earth; •Preferred region of the oscillation parameter space is the so-called "LMA solution":  $\Delta m^2 = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ ; tg<sup>2</sup> $\theta = 0.468$ 



## **Borexino**

•Main goal: detecting low energies solar neutrinos, in particular <sup>7</sup>Be neutrinos; •Detection principle: scattering of neutrinos on electrons  $v_x + e^- \rightarrow v_x + e^-$ •Detection technique: large mass of organic liquid scintillator; •Technique advantages: high light-yield (higher than Cerenkov) •Technique disadvantages: no directional information (unlike Cerenkov);

Signal is indistinguishable from background: high radiopurity is a MUST!

- The expected rate of solar neutrinos in 100tons of BX scintillator is ~50 counts/day which corresponds to ~ 5 10<sup>-9</sup> Bq/Kg;
- Just for comparison:
  - Natural water is ~ 10 Bq/Kg in  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K
  - Air is ~ 10 Bq/m<sup>3</sup> in <sup>39</sup>Ar, <sup>85</sup>Kr and <sup>222</sup>Rn
  - Typical rock is ~ 100-1000 Bq/m<sup>3</sup> in  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K

BX scintillator must be 9/10 order of magnitude less radioactive than anything on earth!

## **Borexino**

Core of the detector: 300 tons of liquid scintillator contained in a nylon vessel of 4.25 m radius (PC+PPO);

1<sup>st</sup> shield: 1000 tons of ultra-pure buffer liquid (pure PC) contained in a stainless steel sphere of 7 m radius;

2214 photomultiplier tubes pointing towards the center to view the light emitted by the scintillator;

2<sup>nd</sup> shield: 2000 tons of ultra-pure water contained in a cylindrical dome;



200 PMTs mounted on the SSS pointing outwards to detect light emitted in the Water \* by muons crossing the detector;

Only the innermost part of the scintillator is considered in the analysis (FV selection), in order to further reduce the external background.

## **Background suppression: 15 years of work**

- Internal background: contamination of the scintillator itself (<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>39</sup>Ar, <sup>85</sup>Kr, <sup>222</sup>Rn)
  - Solvent purification (pseudocumene): distillation, vacuum stripping with low Argon/Kripton N2 (LAKN);
  - Fluor purification (PPO): water extraction, filtration, distillation, N<sub>2</sub> stripping with LAKN;
  - Leak requirements for all systems and plants < 10<sup>-8</sup> mbar⋅ liter/sec;

## • External background: $\gamma$ and neutrons from surrounding materials

- Detector design: concentric shells to shield the inner scintillator;
- Material selection and surface treatement;
- Clean construction and handling;

## **Background suppression: achievements**

•Contamination from  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th chain are found to be in the range of ~10<sup>-17</sup> g/g and ~5x×10<sup>-18</sup> g/g respectively;

#### •More than one order of magnitude better than specifications!

•Three backgrounds out of specifications: <sup>210</sup>Po, <sup>210</sup>Bi and <sup>85</sup>Kr.



## Be7 neutrinos and their day-night asymmetry

## Data after 750 days (normalized to 100tons)



## Data after 750 days (normalized to 100tons)



• Residual background components (<sup>85</sup>Kr, <sup>210</sup>Bi, <sup>210</sup>Po, <sup>11</sup>C);



- Two independent methods to describe the shape of the components of the spectral fit: a MonteCarlo based one and an analytical one;
- Fit performed on the spectrum with and without statistical subtraction of the <sup>210</sup>Po alpha component;

## <sup>7</sup>Be - rate (862keV line) = 46.0 $\pm$ 1.5 (stat) counts/(day $\times$ 100tons)

# Systematic error

- Main improvement on the systematic error with respect to previous measurement is on Fiducial Volume and Energy response;
- This was possible thanks to the extensive calibration campaigns with radioactive sources performed between 2008 and 2009;

| Source                           | [%]              |   |                     |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|
| Trigger efficiency and stability | < 0.1            |   |                     |
| Live time                        | 0.04             |   |                     |
| Scintillator density             | 0.05             |   |                     |
| Sacrifice of cuts                | 0.1              |   |                     |
| Fiducial volume                  | $^{+0.5}_{-1.3}$ | ← | Previosly ±6%       |
| Fit methods                      | 2.0              |   | D 1 . CO/           |
| Energy response                  | 2.7              | - | Previosly $\pm 6\%$ |
| Total Systematic Error           | $^{+3.4}_{-3.6}$ |   |                     |

 $46.0 \pm 1.5(\text{stat})^{+1.5}_{-1.6}(\text{syst}) \text{ counts}/(\text{day} \cdot 100 \text{ ton})$ 

### **Implications on metallicity controversy**



#### Implications on other solar neutrino sources

•The result of BX +solar experiments +solar luminosity constraint allows to precisely determine pp flux and set a limit on CNO flux

 $\begin{aligned} & \bullet f_{pp} = \Phi_{pp} / \Phi_{pp}(\text{SSM}) \\ & f_{\text{CNO}} = \Phi_{\text{CNO}} / \Phi_{\text{CNO}}(\text{SSM}) \end{aligned}$ 

$$f_{pp} = 1.013^{+0.003}_{-0.010}$$
  
$$f_{CNO} < 2.5 \text{ at } 95\% \text{ C.L.}$$

## **Implications on oscillation parameters**

| <sup>7</sup> Be rate (862keV line) = 46.0 ± 1.5 (stat) <sup>+1.5</sup> <sub>-1.6</sub> (sys)cpd / 100tons) |               |                    |  |
|--|---------------|--------------------|--|
| <b>Hypothesis</b>  | Expected rate |                    |  |
|  | (cpd/100t)    | The hypothesis of  |  |
| No oscillation +High Metallicity   | 74±4          | no-oscillations is |  |
| No oscillation + Low Metallicity   | 67±4          | rejected at 5o     |  |

# Implications on $v_e$ survival probability $P_{ee}$



## **Implications on oscillation parameters**

| <sup>7</sup> Be rate (862keV line)=46.0±1.5 (stat) <sup>+1.5</sup> (sys)cpd/100tons) |                             |                       |  |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| <b>Hypothesis</b>  | Expected rate<br>(cpd/100t) | The hypothesis of     |  |
| No oscillation +High Metallicity   | 74±4                        | no-oscillations is    |  |
| No oscillation + Low Metallicity   | 67±4                        | rejected at $5\sigma$ |  |

# Implications on $v_e$ survival probability $P_{ee}$

 $P_{ee} = 0.51 \pm 0.07$  (E=862 keV)

Note that Borexino total error (4.6%) is now smaller than the theoretical uncertainty on the 7Be flux prediction from SSM (7%);



•In the MSW framework, the neutrino rate at Night (when neutrinos cross Earth) could be significantly larger than the rate during the Day, because of regeneration effect;



In the MSW frame  $A_{dn}$  depends on the value of the oscillation parameters and on the neutrino energy. •For the <sup>7</sup>Be energies and for parameters in the LMA region  $A_{dn}$  is expected to be very small (~0);

•In principle,  $A_{dn}$  could be different from zero for different values of the oscillation parameters: for example for the so-called LOW solution-  $\Delta m^2$  (10<sup>-8</sup>- 2×10<sup>-6</sup>) eV<sup>2</sup> -  $A_{dn}$  would be between 10% and 80%;

•Some exotic models, like Mass Varying neutrinos, foresee a large Day/Night asymmetry of the opposite sign (-23%)



## <sup>7</sup>Be neutrinos: day-night asymmetry



Divide spectrum in day and night (Day=360.35 d and Nights=380.63 d);
Subtract day from night spectrum;
Fit the residual spectrum with the <sup>7</sup>Be shoulder + constant;
It is consistent with 0;

 $A_{dn} = 0.001 \pm 0.012(stat) \pm 0.007(sys)$ No asymmetry within errors

| Source of error                          | Error on $A_{dn}$   |
|--|---------------------|
| Live-time                                | $< 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| Cut efficiencies                         | 0.001               |
| Variation of <sup>210</sup> Bi with time | $\pm 0.005$         |
| Fit procedure                            | $\pm 0.005$         |
| Total systematic error                   | 0.007               |

## <sup>7</sup>Be neutrinos: day-night asymmetry



Low solution excluded at more than 8<sub>5</sub> by Borexino data only Strong confirmation of the LMA without relying on anti-neutrino kamLAND data



- B. Cleveland et al. (the Homestake collaboration), Astrophys. J., 496, 505 (1998).
- J. Abdurashitov et al. (the SAGE collaboration), Phys. Rev. C, 80, 015807 (2009).
- F. Kaether et al. (the GALLEX collaboration), Phys. Lett. B, 685, 47 (2010).
- B. Aharmim et al. (the SNO collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett., 101, 111301 (2008).
- B. Aharmin et al. (the SNO collaboration), Phys. Rev. C, 81, 055504 (2010).
- J. Hosaka et al. (the Super Kamiokande collaboration), Phys. Rev. D, 73, 025503 (2006).
- K. Abe et al. (the Super Kamiokande collaboration), Phys. Rev. D, 83, 052010 (2011).



## <sup>8</sup>B solar neutrinos

# <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos with low energy threshold ( $T_e > 3$ MeV)

2

3

Radius [m]

4

5

 $10^{-}$ 0

#### Analysis performed on 488 live days of data-taking (from Jul2007 to Aug 2009)

| •   | Muon rejection:   | Cut  | Counts<br>3.0–16.3 MeV   | Counts<br>5.0–16.3 MeV  |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| <ul> <li>Muon rejection;</li> <li>Fiducial Volume cut (R&lt;3m)</li> <li>Rejection of cosmogenics by cutting 6.5 seconds after the muons (reduction of detecting efficiency by 29.4%);</li> </ul> |   | All counts<br>Muon and neutron cuts<br>FV cut<br>Cosmogenic cut<br><sup>10</sup> C removal<br><sup>214</sup> Bi removal<br><sup>208</sup> Tl subtraction | $     \begin{array}{r}       1932181 \\       6552 \\       1329 \\       131 \\       128 \\       119 \\       90 \pm 13 \\       70 \pm 12 \\     \end{array} $ | $     1824858 \\     2679 \\     970 \\     55 \\     55 \\     55 \\     55 \\     57 \\     7 \\     47 + 2     7   $ |
| s 1   | $0^2 = -\mathbf{D}_{ata}$   | The subtractionResidual subtractionFinal sampleBPS09(GS98) $^{8}$ B $\nu$ DDS00(ACS05) $^{8}$ D  | $79 \pm 13$<br>$75 \pm 13$<br>$75 \pm 13$<br>$86 \pm 10$<br>$72 \pm 7$   | $47 \pm 8$<br>$46 \pm 8$<br>$46 \pm 8$<br>$43 \pm 6$<br>$26 \pm 4$  |
| Counts / 6 cm / 345.3 day   | Fit function       Internal events       Surface events       External events | <ul> <li>Residual extension R&lt;3m contamicpd/100tons</li> </ul>  | ernal contamin<br>ination (6.4±0   | ation for<br>.2)x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |

# <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos with low energy threshold ( $T_e > 3$ MeV)



Rate of <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos (E>3 MeV) =  $0.22 \pm 0.04$  (stat)  $\pm 0.01$  (sys) cpd/100t

|   | 3.0–16.3 MeV   | 5.0–16.3 MeV  |
|---|--|---|
| Rate [cpd/100 t]<br>$\Phi_{exp}^{ES}$ [10 <sup>6</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]<br>$\Phi_{exp}^{ES}/\Phi_{th}^{ES}$ | $0.22 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01$<br>$2.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$<br>$0.88 \pm 0.19$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.13 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \\ 2.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \\ 1.08 \pm 0.23 \end{array}$ |



# *First Evidence of pep Solar Neutrinos by Direct Detection in Borexino* PRL 108, 051302 (2012);

 The importance of this result induced APS to highlight the paper with a Synopsis on the Physics website

http://physics.aps.org/synopsis-for/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.051302

Pep solar neutrinos

- Monocromatic line at E=1.44MeV;
- Ideal to test P<sub>ee</sub> in the transition region;



CNO solar neutrinos

- Never directly observed;
- Optimal to study the solar metallicity controversy

| Sources   | $\Phi(\nu \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2)$ | $\Phi(\nu \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2)$ | Difference |
|-----------|---|---|------------|
|           | high-metallicity[?],[?]                   | low-metallicity[?],[?]                    | %          |
| pp        | $5.98(1\pm0.006)\times10^{10}$            | $6.03(1\pm0.006)\times10^{10}$            | 0.8        |
| рер       | $1.44(1\pm0.012)\times10^{8}$             | $1.47(1\pm0.012)\times10^{8}$             | 2.1        |
| hep       | $8.04(1\pm0.300)\times10^3$               | $8.31(1\pm0.300)\times10^3$               | 3.3        |
| $^{7}Be$  | $5.00(1\pm0.070)\times10^9$               | $4.56(1\pm0.070)\times10^9$               | 8.8        |
| ${}^{8}B$ | $5.58(1\pm0.140)\times10^{6}$             | $4.59(1\pm0.140)\times10^{6}$             | 17.7       |
| $^{13}N$  | $2.96(1\pm0.140)\times10^{8}$             | $2.17(1\pm0.140)\times10^{8}$             | 26.7       |
| $^{15}O$  | $2.23(1\pm0.150)\times10^{8}$             | $1.56(1\pm0.150)\times10^{8}$             | 30.0       |
| $^{17}F$  | $5.52(1\pm0.170)\times10^{6}$             | $3.40(1\pm0.160)\times10^{6}$             | 38.4       |

Solar Model: Serenelli, Haxton and Pena-Garay arXiV:1104.1639
High metallicity GS98 = Grevesse et al.S. Sci. Rev. 85,161 ('98);
Low metallicity AGS09 = Asplund, et al, A.R.A.&A. 47(2009)481;

# **Backgrounds**

- Difficulties of this analysis:
  - Very tiny rates (few counts /day/100tons);
  - Backgrounds: <sup>210</sup>Bi and <sup>11</sup>C;



It is possible to suppress the <sup>11</sup>C background by

- three-fold coincidence;
- pulse-shape discrimination;

## Three-fold coincidence technique:

Space and time veto after the coincidence of a muon and a neutron



Optimal choice:

- Eliminates 91% of <sup>11</sup>C;
- Preserve 48.5% of livetime;



 <sup>11</sup>C from 27 counts/day/100tons to 2.5 counts/day/100tons

## e+/e- pulse shape discrimination (PRC 83-015522 (2011))

• Based on the small differences in the time distribution of the scintillation signal coming from the ortho-positronium finite lifetime and the presence of annihilation  $\gamma$  rays.



## Multivariate analysis:

Fit simultaneously:

- Radial distribution of events;
- Energy distribution of events;
- Pulse-shape distribution of events;

Both pep and CNO rates are parameters of the fit



## Energy spectrum





| Source   | [%]          |
|--|--------------|
| Fiducial exposure                                      | +0.6<br>-1.1 |
| Energy response  | $\pm 4.1$    |
| <sup>210</sup> Bi spectral shape                       | +1.0         |
| Fit methods  | $\pm 5.7$    |
| Inclusion of independent <sup>85</sup> Kr estimate     | +3.9<br>-0.0 |
| $\gamma$ rays in pulse-shape distributions             | $\pm 2.7$    |
| Statistical uncertainties in pulse shape distributions | +5           |
| Total systematic uncertainty                           | ±10          |

## Electron neutrino survival probability



MSW-LMA prediction band is the  $1\sigma$  range for oscillation parameters given in K.Nakamura et al. (Particle Data Group), J.Phys.G 37, 075021 (2010).

# Limit on CNO flux

- <sup>210</sup>Bi backround is hard to disentangle from CNO signal → only a limit can be quoted;
- Fixing the pep rate at the SSM prediction;
- CNO neutrino flux: < 7.9 (<7.1<sub>stat only</sub>) x10<sup>8</sup> v/cm<sup>2</sup> s (95% C.L);
- Result consistent with both high and low metallicity rates;



# Summary and conclusions

## Implications of Borexino data on solar physics

- Borexino has provided a real-time spectroscopy of solar neutrinos:
  - Precise measurement of <sup>7</sup>Be neutrino rate and null day/night asymmetry;
  - Measurement of <sup>8</sup>B neutrino rate with the lowest threshold ( $T_e > 3 \text{ MeV}$ );
  - First direct evidence of pep solar neutrinos;
  - Most stringent limit on the CNO neutrino flux;
- Unfortunately Borexino measurement cannot discriminate between high and low metallicity;



## Analysis with Borexino data only

<sup>7</sup>Be rate only



## Implications of Borexino data on oscillation parameters

#### Analysis with Borexino data only

<sup>7</sup>Be+<sup>8</sup>B rate +ADN



#### Analysis with solar data and Borexino data



Strong confirmation of the LMA without relying on anti-neutrino kamLAND data

## Implications of Borexino data on oscillation parameters

#### Analysis including all available information on solar+ kamLAND



## **Perspectives**

#### • We are not stopping here! Getting ready for Borexino Phase II:

- 6 purification cycles performed between May 2010 and August 2011
- Exceptional levels of radiopurity: <sup>85</sup>Kr rate consistent with 0, <sup>210</sup>Bi reduced by a factor ~4, unprecedented levels of U and Th;

#### • Future goals:

- pp neutrinos (very challenging!)
- Improve precision on <sup>7</sup>Be neutrino rate (3% ?)
- Improve significance of pep signal ( $3\sigma$  or more)
- Improve limit on CNO neutrinos (observation ?)