# Opportunities and Status: Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment in the US

Milind Diwan XV International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes Palazzo Francheti, Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Venice, Italy March 11-15, 2013





# Outline

- Scientific Motivation for a new accelerator neutrino oscillation experiment.
- How much flux, energy, event rate can we get ? What limitations ?
- Strategies and Optimization of a new experiment.
- What is the physics agenda for LBNE ?
- Description and Status of implementing the Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE in US).

### **Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment Collaboration**

Alabama: S. Habib, I. Stancu

- Argonne: Z. Djurcic, G. Drake., M. Goodman, V. Guarino, S. Magill, J. Paley, H. Sahoo, L. Suter, R. Talaga
- Boston: E. Hazen, E. Kearns, S. Linden
- Brookhaven: M. Bishai, R. Brown, H. Chen, K. Chen, M. Diwan, J. Dolph, G. Geronimo, R. Gill, R. Hackenburg, R. Hahn, S. Hans, Z. Isvan, D. Jaffe, S.H. Kettell, F. Lanni, S. Li, Y. Li, L. Littenberg, J. Ling, G. Mahler, W. Marciano, W. Morse, Z. Parsa, V. Radeka, S. Rescia, N. Samios, R. Sharma, N. Simos, J. Sondericker, J. Stewart, H. Themann, C. Thorn, B. Viren, E. Worcester, M. Yeh, B. Yu, C. Zhang
- Cambridge: A. Blake, J. Marshall, M. Thomson
- Catania/INFN: V. Bellini, F. La Zia, F. Mammoliti, R. Potenza
- Chicago: E. Blucher, D. Schmitz, M. Strait, M. Wetstein
- Colorado: S. Coleman, R. Johnson, S. Johnson, A. Marino, E. Zimmerman
- Colorado State: M. Bass, B.E. Berger, J. Brack, N. Buchanan, D. Cherdack, J. Harton, W. Johnston, W. Toki, T. Wachala, D. Warner, R.J. Wilson
- Columbia: R. Carr, L. Camillieri, C.Y. Chi, G. Karagiorgi, M. Shaevitz, W. Sippach, W. Willis
- Dakota State: B. Szczerbinska
- Davis: M. Bergevin, H. Berns, R. Breedon, J. Felde, C. Grant, C. Maesano, M. Tripanthi, R. Svoboda, M. Szydagis
- Drexel: C. Lane, M.Thiesse
- Duke: T. Akiri, J. Fowler, A. Himmel, Z. Li, K. Scholberg, C. Walter
- Duluth: R. Gran, A. Habig
- Fermilab: M. Andrews, B. Baller, R. Bernstein, E. Berman, V. Bocean, A. Chen, S. Childress, A. Drozhdin, C. Escobar, H. Greenlee, A. Hahn, R. Hatcher, S. Hays, A. Heavey, J. Howell, P. Huhr, J. Hylen, C. James, M. Johnson, J. Johnstone, H. Jostlein, T. Junk, B. Kayser, M. Kirby, G. Koizumi, B. Lundberg, T. Lundin, P. Mantsch, A. Marchionni, E. McCluskey, N. Mokhov, C. Moore, D. Montanari, J. Morfin, B. Norris, V. Papadimitriou, R. Plunkett, C. Polly, S. Pordes, O. Prokofiev, J.L. Raaf, R. Rajendran, G. Rameika, B. Rebel, D. Reitzner, K. Riesselmann, R. Rucinski, R. Schmidt, P. Shanahan, T. Shaw, M. Stancari, A. Stefanik, J. Strait, S. Striganov, S. Tariq, K. Vaziri, G. Velev, T. Wyman, G. Zeller, R. Zwaska
- Hawai'i: S. Dye, J. Kumar, J. Learned, J. Maricic, S. Matsuno, R. Meyhandan, R. Milincic, S. Pakvasa, M. Rosen, G. Varner
- Houston: L. Whitehead
- Indian Universities: V. Bhatnagar, B. Bhuyan [IIT(G)], B. Choudhary, R. Gandhi (HRI), A. Kumar, S. Mandal (DU); S. Sahijpal(PU), V. Singh (BHU)
- Indiana: M. Baird, B. Baptista, W. Fox, M. Messier, S. Mufson, J. Musser, E. Niner, R. Tayloe, J. Urheim, D. Whittington
- Iowa State: I. Anghel, G.S. Davies, M.C. Sanchez, T. Xin
- Irvine: G. Carminati, W. Kropp, M. Smy, H. Sobel
- Kansas State: T. Bolton, G. Horton-Smith, D. McKee
- Kavli IPMU/Tokyo: M. Vagins, R. Wendell
- LBL: B. Fujikawa, V.M. Gehman, R. Kadel, D. Taylor
- Livermore: A. Bernstein, S. Dazeley
- London: A. Holin, A. Perch, J. Thomas

- Los Alamos: L. Bartoszek, J. Boissevain, S. Elliott, A. Friedland, G. Garvey, E. Guardincerri, T. Haines, D. Lee, W. Louis, C. Mauger, G. Mills, Z. Pavlovic, J. Ramsey, M. Ronquest, G. Sinnis, W. Sondheim, R. Van de Water, H. White, K. Yarritu Louisiana: R. Imlay, J. Insler, T. Kutter, W. Metcalf, M. Tzanov Maryland: E. Blaufuss, S. Eno, R. Hellauer, G. Sullivan Michigan State: E. Arrieta-Diaz, C. Bromberg, D. Edmunds, J. Huston, B. Page Minnesota: D. Demuth M. Marshak, W. Miller MIT: W. Barletta, J. Conrad, B. Jones, T. Katori, R. Lanza, A. Prakash NGA: S. Malys, S. Usman **Northwestern:** A. de Gouvea, L. Fields, H. Schellman Notre Dame: J. Losecco **Oxford:** G. Barr, J. de Jong, A. Weber Pennsylvania: S. Grullon, P. Keener, J. Klein, K. Lande, T. Latorre, A. Mann, M. Newcomer, S. Seibert, R. vanBerg Pittsburgh: D. Naples, V. Paolone Princeton: K. McDonald Rensselaer: J. Napolitano Rochester: L. Loiacono, K. McFarland, G. Perdue Sanfordlab: M. Headley, J. Heise, D. Taylor, J. Willhite Sheffield: V. Kudryavtsev, J. Perkin, M. Richardson, M. Robinson, N. Spooner, L. Thompson SLAC: M. Convery, M. Graham, D. Muller SDSMT: X. Bai, C. Christofferson, R. Corey, D. Tiedt SMU: T. Coan, T. Liu, J. Ye South Carolina: H. Duyang, J. Libo, B. Mercurio, S. Mishra, R. Petti, C. Rosenfeld, X. Tian South Dakota: D. Barker, J. Goon, D. Mei, W. Wei, C. Zhang South Dakota State: B. Bleakley, K. McTaggert Sussex: L. Falk, J. Hartnell, S. Peeters, A. Waldron, Syracuse: M. Artuso, J. Asaadi, S. Blusk, T. Skwarnicki, M. Soderberg, S. Stone Tennessee: W. Bugg, T. Handler, A. Hatzikoutelis Texas: S. Kopp, K. Lang, R. Mehdiyev Tufts: J. Coelho, H. Gallagher, W. Mann, N. Mayer, J. Schneps UCLA: D. Cline, K. Lee, Y. Meng, A. Teymourian, H. Wang, L. Winslow Virginia Tech: E. Guarnaccia, J. Link, C. Mariani Washington: S. Enomoto, J. Kaspar, N. Tolich William and Mary: R. McKeown, X. Qian, W. Wang Wisconsin: B. Balantekin, F. Feyzi, L. Greenler, K. Heeger, B. Paulos, D. Wahl Yale: C. Adams, F. Cavanna, E. Church, B. Fleming, R. Guenette, O. Palamara,
  - K. Partyka, A. Szelc
- 366 collaborators, 65 institutions (54 US). Organized and integrated with project management. A DOE funded project management has ~50 individuals from various institutions.

### Scientific Motivation

- Broad: Neutrino Oscillation is a new sensitive interference phenomena with as yet unknown implications for fundamental physics. An unmatched portal into any new nonstandard sector with light fermions because neutrinos can mix with neutral spin 1/2 particles and oscillations over long baselines are extraordinarily sensitive to tiny effects. e.g. 1002.4452
- Neutrino Properties: The current picture of neutrino properties is based on only a handful of direct measurements. Future precision experiments need to test the full 3-generation picture and test for models of mass and mixing.
- •CP violation: Demonstration of CP violation may be a gateway to the relationship between quarks and leptons and the baryon asymmetry of the Universe.

## **Neutrino Oscillations Strategy**

Measurements:  $v_e(v_{\tau})$  appearance in a  $v_{\mu}$  beam  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance from a  $v_{\mu}$  beam Probability for  $v_{\mu}$  oscillation at 1 GeV



Baseline (km)

- Next generation(s) of neutrino experiments cannot simply focus on single parameter measurements even  $\delta_{\rm CP}$
- A <u>comprehensive</u> program, must have the ability to
  - observe spectral distortion due to oscillations peak and valley
  - observe different behavior for neutrinos and antineutrinos direct evidence of CP Violation
- Known non-zero and large  $\theta_{13}$ => event rate is high enough to achieve this with a long-baseline experiment (>1000 km with a broad band beam)

Must pick baseline first

## **LBNE** Science Objectives

- COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM TO MEASURE NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS
- Discover and characterize CP Violation in the neutrino sector
- ...and other missing pieces of the neutrino puzzle
  - Resolve the neutrino mass hierarchy unambiguously
  - Precision measurements of oscillation parameters (mixing angles, mass differences)
  - Precision neutrino interaction studies (near detector)
  - New physics (non-standard interactions, sterile neutrinos)
- ... and other fundamental physics enabled by massive underground detectors
  - Proton decay measurement
  - Astrophysics -- supernova v burst

Underground placement makes a qualitative improvement by reducing risk and opening new physics opportunities.

## **Baseline Optimization**

CP asymmetries in v  $_{\mu} \rightarrow$  v  $_{e}$  at 1 <sup>st</sup> osc. node



### $L/E = 515 \text{ km/GeV sin}^22\theta_{13}=0.1$

Mary Bishai

Optimum is achieved when the asymmetry due to the matter effect is larger than the largest CP effect, but does not saturate the total asymmetry.

At the first maximum at optimum baseline there is no degeneracy.

## LBNE beam/baseline optimization

Detailed calculation with horn based realistic beam optimization at each baseline and assumption of liquid argon TPC of 35 kt. Assume 120 GeV Protons at 700kW.



•Left: Fraction of  $\delta_{CP}$  for which MH can be determined at 3  $\sigma$  level or greater •Right: Fraction of  $\delta_{CP}$  for which CPV can be determined at 3  $\sigma$  level or greater (regardless of knowledge of MH.)

 The LBNE design with 1300 km, 120 GeV proton beam, and a LAr TPC detector is economical for a comprehensive oscillation program

#### • Any other choice will necessitate larger detectors or higher beam intensity

## Fermilab current/future capabilities



- Main Injector is the main source of high energy protons. Current values:9.5µsec/2.2 sec, 3.3×10<sup>13</sup>protons/pulse
- Upgrade project from 400 kW to 700 kW is funded and in progress. Upgrade adds energy flexibility for constant power

# Oscillation and Beam Spectrum. As designed for LBNE



- With 700 kW of 120 GeV protons from the Main Injector, we have designed a beam optimized for the 0.5 to 5 GeV. (yr=2 10<sup>7</sup>sec)
- Event rate (anti)neutrino 2000(700)evts/10kt/yr @0.7 MW
- Electron (anti)neutrino ~50(~18)/evt/10kt/yr @0.7 MW
- The baseline and energy allows us to measure the spectral distortion and disentangle MH from CPV. Measure asymmetries of event rates versus energy for both polarities.

| tana             | North Dakota                    | Minnesota   |                        |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Lead, SD         | South Dakota                    | Wisco   | nsin Michigan          |
| a for            |                                 | Mil   | waukee                 |
|                  | Nebraska                        | Iowa  | Chicago                |
| r                |                                 |   | Illinois               |
| Compl<br>This ha | ete conception<br>as been coste | Blesign iorFabl 20spects o<br>d in a complete way to be | f full-LBNE<br>~\$1.5B |
| DOE h            | as asked us to                  | nage @ 2008 TerraMetrics<br>2009EtheaptojeCjiln stages  | Google                 |
| Pointer 43°03'5  | 56.44" N 95°10'42.53'           | 'WStreaming        100%                                 | Eye alt 1108.62 km     |

Michigan

Illino

Wisconsin

## Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment Full-LBNE

| the state | North Dakota | New Neutrino Beam at Fermilab |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|
|           | A THERE IS A | Minnesota                     |
|           | A A A        | R                             |

Nebraska

outh Dakota

lowa

Complete conception Biesign in Fabl 203 pects of full-LBNE This has been costed in largon plete way to be ~\$1.5B DOE has asked us to do the project in stages are 43°03'56.44" N 95°10'42.53" WStreaming

Thursday, March 14, 13

tana

Lead, S



New Neutrino Beam at Fermilab... Minnesota

lowa

Wisconsin

Michigan

Illino

#### **Directed towards a distant detector**

Nebraska

#### Complete conception Biesing P- iorFabl 20spects of full-LBNE This has been costed in a complete way to be ~\$1.5B Google DOE has asked us to doe the ptoject in stages 08.62 km



New Neutrino Beam at Fermilab... Precision Near Detector on the Fermilab site

## Directed towards a distant detector

Nebraska

#### Complete conception Biesign in Fab 203 pects of full-LBNE This has been costed in a goin plete way to be ~\$1.5B DOE has asked us to doetheaptoject in stages er 43°03'56.44" N 95°10'42.53" WStreaming



New Neutrino Beam at Fermilab... Precision Near Detector on the Fermilab site

Directed towards a distant detector Jowa 35 kton Liquid Argon TPC Far Detector 4850 ft.

Complete conception Biesign in Fab 203 pects of full-LBNE This has been costed in a complete way to be -\$1.5B 2008 Tele Atlas DOE has asked us to doet Aga pto ection stages er 43°03'56.44" N 95°10'42.53" WStreaming 1100%



New Neutrino Beam at Fermilab... Precision Near Detector on the Fermilab site Wisconsin Michigan

Directed towards a distant detector Jowa 35 kton Liquid Algon TPC Far Detector 4850 ft.

And all the Conventional Facilities required to support the beam and detectors

Complete conception Bresign in Fab 205 pects of full-LBNE This has been costed in a goin plete way to be ~\$1.5B DOE has asked us to doe the project in stages er 43°03'56.44" N 95°10'42.53" WStreaming

### The LBNE New Beam Design (advanced)



#### Less expensive and better for safety than the deep design





### 1. WILSON HALL - 16 WEST (BEFORE)



### 1. WILSON HALL - 16 WEST (AFTER)

### Far Detector Design at depth: LAr TPC Detector at 4850 ft



Challenges for scale up are under control : Purity, installation, safety

### Status of the Homestake site



## What is the current status of SURF?

#### • FY 2012

#### -Facility

- Facility Dewatered below the 6000 foot level Complete  $\checkmark$
- Yates promoted to primary access Complete  $\checkmark$
- Davis Laboratory Outfitting Complete  $\checkmark$
- Ross Shaft Rehab design completed and reviewed, rehabilitation <u>Initiated</u> (still provides secondary egress)

#### -Science

- LUX Dark Matter, Majorana Demonstrator Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay, & CUBED Installing ✓
- LBNE 10 kt Conceptual Design Completed  $\checkmark$
- Proposals for DIANA, LZ, LBC under review, some funding announced
- FY 2013 15
  - -Facility
    - Ross Shaft Rehab continues, first ~ 400 feet done.
  - -Science
    - LUX and MJD anticipated to be taking data
    - LZ R&D funded in the US and Great Britain  $\checkmark$
    - LBNE <u>CD1 approved December 2012</u> ✓
    - Site-visit by DIANA Project  $\checkmark$







Deep Underground Research Association, 5 March 2013



## **SURF Science Infrastructure: 4850L**

#### **4850L Sanford Laboratory**



Deep Underground Research Association, 5 March 2013



## 1300 km expectation with 35 kTon



• With 1300 km the full structure of oscillations is visible in the energy spectrum. This spectral structure provides the unambiguous parameter sensitivity in a single experiment. Node zero important for new physics.

## LBNE 35 kTon performance



## LBNE staging

- DOE has asked us to phase LBNE with the first phase ~\$850M
- We have chosen to proceed with the most important aspect of the experiment:1300 km baseline and the full capability beam. To keep the project cost down we chose to consider a 10 kt LAr detector on the surface. This is not a final choice.
- Construction will start on the beam first with site investigation to begin this year !
- The goal of the first phase is to place this detector underground and have a full capability near detector.
- New partnerships will enable this expanded scope in a timely way. The DOE is very supportive of this strategy.

### LBNE-Phase 1



- Hierarchy resolution complete in combination with other data.
- Preliminary measurement of the CP phase.
- Assumption of 700 kW is conservative. One should expect continuing accelerator and beam improvements during the same time.
- •Large improvements to the beam are possible with aggressive technical improvements.



LBNE sensitivity will grow with exposure and beam upgrades. These are for extremely conservative beam design.

### LBNE long range neutrino plan. LBNE and Project X are great partners.



Once the LBNE beamline is built, the long range plan includes:

- increasing far detector to 35 kt in phases and
- increasing beam intensity to 2.3 MW in phases.

This will get us to 5  $\sigma$  CPV evidence for 50% of the phase space and a  $\pm$ (7°-10°) measurement of the CP phase. (approaching the CKM matrix precision)

Definitively solve the octant if  $\theta_{23} \sim 40 \text{ deg}$ .



### Supernova



Table 6–7: Supernova burst neutrino event rates for different models in 34 kton of LAr.

Liquid Argon is sensitive to electron neutrinos. Water is sensitive to electron anti-neutrinos. Must have 10 MeV threshold for this physics. Need R&D on threshold and spallation backgrounds.

## Conclusion

- We started discussing a future US Long-Baseline program after the oscillation discovery. In ~2000 we realized that CP violation was not an unreasonable goal.
  - CP violation was the stretch goal of the program, and it was found to require a large underground detector.
  - 2003 discovery of solar LMA. Critical for feasibility !
  - 2008 Decision in US to invest in development of a program.
    - 2012 Discovery of  $\theta_{13} \sim 9 \text{ deg.} \rightarrow \text{CD1}$  approval for LBNE from DOE.
- The US program now has all the essential elements (optimum baseline, high intensity accelerator, operating underground laboratory) for a broad attack on the physics of neutrino oscillations and CP violation, and nucleon decay and astrophysics with a new technology detector.
- The LBNE collaboration and project are well-organized and ready to construct and operate. The US/DOE is proceeding with the plan for construction in stages. These would be accelerated with additional partnerships.

Miracles

### LBNE ultimate dataset with 35 kt and 2.3 MW (Project X)



Prediction for 3-generation flavor oscillation is precise and testable with LBNE

Thursday, March 14, 13

IH

# Near Detector Design: Straw tube tracker or a small Liquid Argon TPC; both magnetized.

