MINERVA Status and Results



NEUTEL 2013 XV International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes 11 - 15 March 2013 Venice, Italy



Vittorio Paolone University of Pittsburgh (Representing the MINERvA collaboration)





Outline



- → What is MINERvA?
- → Why Measure v cross-sections?
- → Analysis Efforts and Status
- Inclusive CC v cross-sections
- Pion Production
- v and anti-v CCQE
- → Flux Constraints
- → Summary and Outlook









Dedicated neutrino-nucleus cross-section experiment running at Fermilab in the NuMI beamline.

- Will perform detailed study of neutrino interactions on a variety of nuclei.
- Using Low Energy Neutrinos
 - Visualized with a fully active, high resolution detector and large statistics







Good tracking resolution (~3 mm)

- Calorimetry for both charged hadronic particles and EM showers
- Timing information (few ns resolution)
- Containment of events from neutrinos up to several GeV (except muon)
- Muon energy and charge measurement from MINOS
- Particle ID from dE/dx and energy+range
 But no charge determination except muons entering MINOS NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013
 V. Paolone, University of Pittsburgh

200



True recoil energy, E (GeV)



Why is MINERvA Needed?



Existing data between 1-20 GeV poorly understood:

- Mainly bubble chamber data
- Wide band neutrino beams
 - Low statistics samples
 - Large uncertainty on flux. *i.e.* large systematic errors.

esonance

W



Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1307–1341 (2012)

Quasi-Elastic

V. Paolone, University of Pittsburgh

DIS

Cross-sections are poorly know? V oscillations: → We are now in a period of precision neutrino oscillation measurements

- \rightarrow Recall oscillation probability depends on E_y
 - However Experiments Measure E_{vis}
 - E_{vis} depends on Flux, σ , detector response, interaction multiplicities, target type, particle type produced and final state interactions: E_{vis} not equal to E_v

Why do we care that the

- \rightarrow Appearance Oscillation Measurements:
 - Large Θ_{13} and CP violation systematics important
 - Backgrounds to ν_{e} searches:
 - CC $\nu_{_{\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!}}$ events with $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ and ''lost'' μ
 - NC π^{0} : $\nu_{\mu/e}$ + N \rightarrow $\nu_{\mu/e}$ + N + π^{0}
 - Intrinsic ν_{e} in beam (Specific to NuMI beam)

Neutrinos a weak-interaction probe of nuclear structure

- \rightarrow Probe of axial form factor
- $\rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ dependence of neutrino interactions
- \rightarrow Strange production

• Need Precision understanding of Low energy (Few GeV) $v_{\mu} \& \overline{v}_{\mu}$ cross sections.

NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013

V. Paolone, University of Pittsburgh



MINERvA Energy Range



G. Zeller





Data Collected and Expected Sample Sizes





Target	Fiducial Mass (tons)	V_{μ} CC Events in 1.0e20 POT
Plastic	6.43	313k
Helium	0.25	14k
Carbon	0.17	9.0k
Water	0.39	20k
Iron	0.97	54k
Lead	0.98	57k

Recently completed low energy (LE, peak ~3 GeV) running:

- \rightarrow LE neutrino mode 3.98x10²⁰ POT
- \rightarrow LE anti-neutrino mode $1.7 x 10^{20} \, POT$
- \rightarrow Flux-calibration runs 4.94x10¹⁹ POT

NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013

→ We will soon start taking data in the NOvA era with a higher energy beam: (ME, peak ~6 GeV) \neg









 $v_{\rm v}$ / $v_{\rm v}$ inclusive charged current scattering:



v_{μ} / v_{μ} Inclusive: Kinematic Variable Distributions







CCQE Scattering:





Considered a possible standard candle for neutrino oscillation experiments
 ~30% discrepancy in the QE cross section measurements between MiniBooNE/SciBooNE and NOMAD

- Could be be due to the axial mass M_A or nuclear effects
- Could Depend on Energy Reconstruction Assumptions
 - Reconstructed neutrino energy Ev(CCQE)

$$E_{\nu}^{\text{QE}} = \frac{m_{n}^{2} - (m_{p} - E_{b})^{2} - m_{\mu}^{2} + 2(m_{p} - E_{b})E_{\mu}}{2(m_{p} - E_{b} - E_{\mu} + p_{\mu}\cos(\Theta_{\mu}))}$$
(Nuclear binding energy $E_{b} = 30 \text{ MeV}$)









Unfolded distributions (BK subtracted) are normalized by efficiency, flux & proton number to produce final cross-sections: Uses simple matrix inversion method of unfolding



Systematic uncertainties are dominated by flux, recoil and muon reconstruction.

We expect to reduce our errors with an improved understanding of our flux, better unfolding method and statistics



Comparison with Models - NuWro: Golal, Jusczak, Sobczyk arXiv:1202.4197 MEC model: Bodek, Budd, Christy Eur. Phys. J. C(2011) 71:1726







Sample selection similar to anti-nu analysis:

 \rightarrow Requires MINOS-matched track, no more than 2 tracks, less than two isolated blobs and little recoil energy (with vertex energy excluded)

 \rightarrow Recoil distributions have slightly different structure (due to presence of proton rather than neutron in final state)



NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013





Pion Production: Interesting State of Affairs...



L.Alvarez-Ruso, NuInt2012





Pion Production: $ν_{\mu}$ CC $π^{\pm}$



 \rightarrow The signal definition: *At least* one π^{\pm} leaving the nucleus (Data: 9.43 × 10¹⁹ POT)

 \rightarrow **Event selection**: Reconstructed vertex inside fiducial volume, One μ^- MINOS matched track, Hadronic visible energy less than 2.5 GeV, At least one hadronic (non-muon) track, The hadronic track is *pion-like (Our understanding of detector particle type response from test-beam data)*

Pion kinetic energy and angle



Pion Production: $v_{\mu}CC 1\pi^{0}$



 \rightarrow The signals definition: One π^0 leaving the nucleus (Data: 1.12 × 10²⁰ POT)

Event Selection: Reconstructed vertex inside fiducial volume, One μ^+ MINOS matched track, Two EM showers (shower hits must be within 25 ns of the vertex time), Visible energy in the target region less than 20 MeV



Flux: Absolute Cross-section Errors



- Statistical errors are expected to be very small.
- The total error on absolute cross section measurements will be dominated by the systematic error on the determination of the neutrino flux:





NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013



 \rightarrow Magnetic horns focus pions and kaons, which then decay into muons and neutrinos



Muon Monitor 1: $E_{\mu,\pi}$ > 4.2 GeV & E_{ν} > 1.8 GeV Muon Monitor 2: $E_{\mu,\pi}$ > 11 GeV & E_{ν} > 4.7 GeV Muon Monitor 3: $E_{\mu,\pi}$ > 21 GeV & E_{ν} > 9.0 GeV





Flux: How to Reduce Our Errors

Will Use Multi-prong approach:

- \rightarrow Use the Muon Monitor Data.
- \rightarrow Take Special runs:
 - Vary the beam parameters (horn current, target position).

 \rightarrow Using low v-method (MINOS, Phys. Rev. D 81, 072002 (2010) and D. Bhattarchya, PhD Thesis, Univ. of Pittsburgh (2009))

- \rightarrow Using external hadron production data.
 - Constraint the MC flux to get the right shape and uncertainty

Redundancy should improve our accuracy ...

 \rightarrow Hope to reduce absolute flux errors to below 10%

(Items in red – Inputs to our MC flux simulations)







MINERvA will precisely study neutrino interactions in 1-20 GeV:
 Using a fine-grained, high-resolution, detector

Using the high flux NuMI beam.

MINERvA is improving our knowledge of:

- \blacksquare Neutrino cross sections at low energy, low $Q^2.$
- A-Dependence in neutrino interactions (Targets He, C, Fe, Pb and H₂O)

These data will help resolve longstanding discrepancies between experiments and will be important for minimizing systematic errors in oscillation experiments.

First publications early summer.

NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013





The Collaboration Thanks You





- University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- UC Irvine, Irvine, CA
- University of Chicago, Chicago, IL
- I Fermi National Accelerator Lab, Batavia, IL
- ¹ University of Florida, Gainsville, FL
- University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- Universidad de Guanajuato, Guanajuato, Mexico
- Hampton University, Hampton, VA
- Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- James Madison University, Harrisonburg, VA
- Mass. Coll. of Liberal Arts, North Adams, MA
- University of Minnesota-Duluth, Duluth, MN

- Northwestern University, Evanston, IL
- ¹ Otterbein College, Westerville, OH
- ¹ University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA
- Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Peru, Lima, Peru
- ^I University of Rochester, Rochester, NY
- ^I Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ
- Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, Valparaiso, Chile
- University of Texas, Austin, TX
- Image: Tufts University, Medford, MA
- Universidad Nacional de Ingenieria, Lima, Peru
- College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA









Backup

NuTel 2013: March 13, 2013



Motivation



Other v scattering physics:

- Axial form factor of the nucleon
 - Accurately measured over a wide Q2 range.
- Resonance production in both NC & CC neutrino interactions
 - Statistically significant measurements with 1-5 GeV neutrinos
 - Study of "duality" with neutrinos
- Coherent pion production
 - Statistically significant measurements of A-dependence
- Strange particle production
 - Important backgrounds for proton decay
- Charm particle production at threshold
 - Charm mass
- Parton distribution functions
 - Measurement of high-x behavior of quarks
- Generalized parton distributions using weak probes
- Nuclear effects
 - Expect significant differences for $\nu\text{-}A$ vs e/ $\mu\text{-}A$ nuclear effects

