Crystal scintillators for ow background measurements

LRT2013, LNGS

A.Incicchitti INFN Roma



Crystal Growth: main methods

Advantages Drawbacks

Bridgman–Stockbarger The simplest method for alkali halide crystals

No direct contact of the growing crystal with the crucible walls. Allows an increase in crystal growth rate of several times owing to a higher axial and radial temperature gradients and due to an intensive mixing of the melt by the rotating crystal. Seems to be the most efficient when crystals are required with high structural perfection.

Kyropoulos

No direct contact of the growing crystal with the crucible walls. Highly controlled thermal gradient keeps a low-stress environment for the crystal. Direct contact of the crystal with the crucible walls. Stresses in the growing crystal and extraction (cracks). Difficult the uniform activator distribution through the ingot. Insufficient convectional melt mixing before the crystallization front (inclusions and striations). Spontaneous crystallization on the ampoule surface (the orientation of the crystal is difficult to control), a well-oriented seed is needed.

More complicated technically; permanent control and correction of the main parameters needed. If non automated pulling the success of growth is defined mainly by the skill of the operator.

A deep control of all the parameters needed

Implementations of Czochralski -- Kyropoulos techniques are operative and in evolution

Detector Performances

They depend on many specific parameters as e.g.:

The growth parameters (geometrical, thermal, chemical, supersaturation, hydrodynamic) and their nonlinear interrelations.

Nonstoichiometry, i.e. deviation from congruent melting composition. Impurities often have a significant impact on the crystal-growth processes and on optical properties.

The crystal machining, the parts used of the ingot, the surface preparation, the environment, the protocols have to be deeply studied and then optimized In addition: purity of the components, purity of additives and dopants, time and environment of storing materials etc.

important parameters for optical properties: form and size of the crystal, crystal surface treatment, reflecting materials, bond scintillator-photoreceiver.

+ requirements for low background

Crystal scintillators@LNGS

DAMA/CRYS rare proc

ββ decay modes Dark Matter candidates of various nature

Solar axions

ββ decay LUCIFER (LUMINEU/AmoRE)

ZnSe,ZnMoO₄,CaMoO

Etc...

DAMA/LIBRA

DM and rare proc

Possible CNC processes

Matter stability

Rare nuclear decay modes Search for exotics in cosmic rays



DAMA/R&D

rare proc

Support by LNGS-Chemistry Lab(ICP-MS, analysis/tests, cleaning, purifications etc) Support by STELLA Facility on radio-purity of samples and low backgr measurements





@LNGS also scintillating crystals as bolometers

Crystal scintillators in DM and $\beta\beta$ investigation

Experiment	Target	Туре	Status	Site
ANAIS	NaI	annual modulation	construction	Canfranc
DAMA/NaI	NaI	PSD/annual modulation	concluded	LNGS
DAMA/LIBRA	NaI	annual modulation	running	LNGS
DAMA/1 ton	NaI	annual modulation	R&D	LNGS
DM-ICE	NaI		prototype test	South Pole
ELEGANT V	NaI	ββ	concluded	Kamioka
LSM	NaI	PSD	concluded	LSM
NAIAD	NaI	PSD	concluded	Boulby
PICO-LON	NaI	segmentation	->KamLAND-PICO	Oto
KIMS	CsI,(NaI)	PSD/annual modulation	running	Y2L(Korea)
TEXONO	CsI	neutrino phys.	running	KS Lab
CaF ₂ -kamioka	CaF ₂	DM	concluded	Kamioka
CANDLES	CaF ₂	ββ and DM	running	Kamioka
DAMA-CaF ₂	CaF ₂	ββ and DM	concluded	LNGS
ELEGANT VI	CaF ₂	ββ and DM	concluded	Oto

Alkali halide scintillators are also widely used for nuclear medicine (Nal(TI)), high-energy physics (CsI(TI), CsI pure), geophysics (Nal(TI), CsI(Na)), environment and security control (CsI(TI), ⁶Lil(Eu)) and others. In recent years new Li-based halide scintillators (for example, LiBaF₃) are under investigation.

Leader role in investigation of rare processes

Scintillators by DAMA and by DAMA+INR-Kiev mainly to investigate $\beta\beta$ decay modes with source=detector approach

Bicron/Crismatec(Saint Gobain)	NPA 705 (2002) 29; NPB 563 (1999) 97; Astrop. Phys. 7 (1997) 73
Crystal Clear coll. or China	NIMA 498 (2003) 352; NCIMA 110 (1997) 189
China or Bicron/Saint Gobain	NIMA525 (2004) 535
ISMA – Ukraine	NPA 806 (2008) 388; PLB 711 (2012) 41
Ukraine \Russia	JPG:NPP 38 (2011) 115107 NIM A 626-7 (2011) 31; NPA 826 (2009) 256 PLB 658 (2008) 193
Saint Gobain	Ukr. J. of Phys.51 (2006) 1037 NIMA555 (2005) 270)
Iltis/Saint Gobain	NPA 824 (2009) 101; JPG:NPP 38 (2011) 015103
Russia	NIMA 607 (2009) 573
Ukraine	NIMA572 (2007) 734
Ukraine development towards:	EPJA 36 (2008), 167; PRC 76 (2007) 064603
Russia	NIM A 615 (2010) 301; PRC 85 (2012) 044610
Russia	JINST 6 (2011) P08011
Ukraine	NIM A 670 (2012) 10
	Bicron/Crismatec(Saint Gobain) Crystal Clear coll. or China China or Bicron/Saint Gobain ISMA - Ukraine Ukraine\Russia Saint Gobain Iltis/Saint Gobain Russia Ukraine Ukraine development towards: Russia Russia Ukraine

and also polycrystalline powder. ZnS(Ag) Saint-Gobain

MPLA 27 (2012) 1250031

High radio-purity reachable in crystal scintillators?

- Identification of materials sources
- All involved materials selection within those potentially available at time of developments/production by:
 - Low background HPGe located deep underground
 - > Mass and atomic spectrometry with high sensitivity
 - Neutron activation
- Devoted study of the presence of standard (U, Th, K) and non-standard contaminants
- Chemical/physical purification of the selected materials
- Selection of the more suitable growing process
- Additives selections
- Growing protocols
- Handling protocols
- Selection of the material other than crystal compounds
- Protocols for the assembling, the transport, the storage, the installation and maintenance in running conditions
- Prototypes tests deep underground

 \bigcirc

Necessary: many years, many specific experience in the specific detector, long dedicated time. This kinds of development and measurements themselves difficult experiments.

Produce detectors for Physics, but each one will have its own radio-purity + production differences....

NO

Strength of crystal scintillators in low background applications

- Well known technology
- High duty cycle
- Large mass possible
- "Ecological clean" set-up; no safety problems
- Cheaper than other considered technique
- Relatively small underground space needed
- High radiopurity by selections, chem./phys. purifications, protocols reachable
- Well controlled operational condition feasible
- Neither re-purification procedures nor cooling down/warming up (reproducibility, stability, ...)
- Possibility of high light response in many cases
- Effective routine calibrations feasible in the same conditions as production runs
- Absence of microphonic noise
- Possibility of application both in passive and active source approaches as well as with coincidence/anticoincidence techniques
- Use of enriched materials
- Many isotopes and decay modes explorable

High benefits/cost

An example in case of sensitivity on $\beta\beta$ processes

 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \propto a \varepsilon_{\rm V} \frac{Mt}{\Delta EB}$

Maximize abundance and detection efficiency

Enrichment, improving detector features

Maximize Target Mass and exposure Time

Multi-detectors set-up, scale up

Maximize Energy Resolution

Improving detector features

Minimize Background:

ULB techniques, segmented set-ups

Examples of isotopes which can be investigated by crystal scintillators with source=detector approach for $\beta\beta$ processes

Isotope	Nat. Ab. (%)	Q (keV)	Decay Mode	Scintillator
⁶⁴ Zn	48.63	1095.7	ECβ ⁺ ,2EC	ZnWO ₄ , CdWO ₄
⁷⁰ Zn	0.62	998.5	2β+	$ZnWO_{4}$, $CdWO_{4}$
180W	0.12	144	2EC	$ZnWO_4$, $CdWO_4$, $PbWO_4$
186W	28.43	489.9	2β ⁻	$ZnWO_4$, $CdWO_4$, $PbWO_4$
¹⁰⁶ Cd	1.25	2771	2β ⁺ , ECβ ⁺	¹⁰⁶ CdWO ₄
¹⁰⁸ Cd	0.89	269	2EC	CdWO ₄
¹¹⁴ Cd	28.73	536.8	2β-	CdWO ₄
¹¹⁶ Cd	7.49	2805	2β-	¹¹⁶ CdWO ₄
⁴⁰ Ca	96.941	193.78	2EC	CaF ₂ , CaMoO ₄
⁴⁶ Ca	0.004	990.4	2β-	CaF ₂ , CaMoO ₄
⁴⁸ Ca	0.187	4272	2β-	CaF ₂ , CaMoO ₄
¹³⁶ Ce	0.185	2419	2β ⁺ ,ECβ ⁺	CeCl ₃ , CeF ₃ , CeBr ₃
¹³⁸ Ce	0.251	693	2EC	CeCl ₃ , CeF ₃ , CeBr ₃
¹⁴² Ce	11.114	1446.9	2β-	CeCl ₃ , CeF ₃ , CeBr ₃
¹³⁰ Ba	0.106	2611	$2\beta^+, EC\beta^+, 2EC$	BaF ₂ , BaCl ₂ (Eu), Bal ₂ (Eu)
⁹² Mo	14.84	1649	ECβ ⁺ ,2EC	PbMoO ₄ , LiMoO ₄ , CaMoO ₄
¹⁰⁰ Mo	9.63	3034	<mark>2β</mark> -	PbMoO ₄ , LiMoO ₄ , CaMoO ₄
⁸⁴ Sr	0.56	1786.8	EC ^{β+}	SrCl ₂ , Srl ₂ (Eu)

Rauloactive	contann	mation of t	crystar sc			and the second second
Scintillator	Total α activity (U + Th)	²²⁸ Th	²²⁶ Ra	⁴⁰ K	Particula radioactivity	(:0903.1536
CaWO ₄	400	0.6	5.6	≤ 12		Inuc
	930 ^a	< 0.2	7			
ZnWO ₄	0.2	0.002	0.002	≤ 0.4	0.5 (⁶⁵ Zn)	DAMA+INR I
CdWO ₄	0.3 – 2	< 0.003 – 0.039	< 0.004	0.3 – 3.6	558 (¹¹³ Cd)	LINR Kiev & DAMA+INR
PbWO ₄	(53 – 79)×10 ³	≤13	≤ 10		(53 – 79)×10 ³ (²¹⁰ Pb)	an a
PbWO ₄ (from ancient lead)					≤ 4 (²¹⁰ Pb)	
PbMoO ₄					(67-192)×10 ³	
CaMoO ₄	≤10	0.04	0.13	≤ 3		
YAG:Nd	≤ 20					S. Constitution and a second
BGO		< 0.4	< 1.2		7 - 3×10 ³ (²⁰⁷ Bi)	
GSO(Ce)	40	2.3	0.3	≤ 14	1200 (¹⁵² Gd)	Salar and Salar
		100	1.3			
NaI(Tl)		0.014	0.045			(1) 在12 注意
	1.7	0.02	0.2			
	0.08	0.009	0.012	< 0.6		DAMA/LIBRA
CsI(Tl)		0.002	0.008	< 0.3	14 ¹³⁴ Cs) 6 (¹³⁷ Cs)	
CaF ₂ (Eu)	8	0.13	1.3	≤7	10 (¹⁵² Eu)	
		0.1	1.1			ELEGANT
CeF3	3400	1100	≤ 60	≤ 330		
BaF ₂		400	1400			
LaCl ₃ (Ce)		≤ 0.4	≤ 34		21×10 ³ (¹³⁸ La)	这些新闻的 。
LuI3					1.7×10 ⁷ (¹⁷⁶ Lu) ^b	
^a Estimated from t	he spectra pres	sented in Fig. 13	of Ref. [37].	a10 : :		

Radio-purity of crystal scintillators

The highest sensitivity to measure internal contamination of crystal scintillators can be achieved in low background measurements where a scintillator is operating as a detector

Time-amplitude analysis Pulse-shape discrimination Energy spectra analysis

Calculated value based on the half-life of 10^{10} Lu: $T_{1/2} = 3.78 \times 10^{10}$ y, its isotopic abundance (2.59%) [25], and chemical formula of the LuI3 compound.





An example in DAMA experience: which Nal(TI)?

Qualification of NaI(Tl)	^{nat} K (ppb)	U (ppt)	Th (ppt)	Method of production	
Standard	2000	< 500	< 500	Bridgman standard growth	
Low Background	< 500	< 500	< 500	K Selected batches Bridgman growth	
Very Low Background	< 100	< 50	< 50	K, U+Th Selected batches + Kyropoulos growth	
Ultra Low Background (project Gran Sasso)	<< 40	< 5	< 5	Purified raw materials N and TII + Crafted Kyropoulos growth + Handling protocol	NT.COBA

"There are two necessary conditions imposing the choice of such inorganic scintillator for ultra-low background:

- a) the matrix elements of composition do not contain long lived isotopes
- b) the level of impurities generating natural radioactivity incorporating the crystals have to be sufficiently low (better than ppt)"

Kyropoulos crystallization process (in platinum crucible when growing for final detectors) acts as an additional considerable purification step

determination with the highest sensitivity by measurements with the detectors deep underground

VLB and ULB: long and delicate work, far from standard commercial production

Some on residual contaminants in DAMA NaI(TI) detectors



Light collection, Energy Threshold, Energy resolution

	Threshold (keV)	Rate @ thr (cpd/kg/keV)	σ/E	p.e./keV
ANAIS-0 NaI(TI)	2-4	~10	10%60 keV	4.1-4.9
ANAIS-25(no LG)			5.7%@60 keV	13-16
NAIAD NaI(TI)	2	10	8.5%@60 keV	4.6-9
DM-ICE-17	~7	6		4.7-6.1
PICO-LON	-		10.2@60 keV	
DAMA/LIBRA NaI(TI)	2	1	7.5%@60 keV	5.5-7.5
DAMA/LIBRA phase II	~2	B	6.7%@60 keV	6-10
LSM NaI(TI)	2	<mark>10</mark>	5%@60 keV	9
KIMS Cs(TI)	3	3	8.2%@60 keV	5
CaF ₂ (Eu) Kamioka	2	10	34%@5.9 keV	4
DAMA-CaF ₂ (Eu)	4	8	11%@60 keV	72

The light collection optimization is user dependent and has to be made on a case by case basis. A good light collection scheme should:

maximize the number of photons extracted
keep a good linearity and uniformity of the response.

some impurities and imperfections present in a crystal at the level of a few ppm may influence the optical quality and increase the afterglow.

Even more for DM application

In DM investigation: high number of phe/keV is important to reach low threshold uniformity and linearity are fundamental to have the full control of the detector response

An example: DAMA/LIBRA

400

دم 300 لوم

Uniformity of the light collection

Absence of dead spaces in the light collection: no significant variations of the peak position and energy resolution when irradiating the whole detector with high-energy γ sources (e.g., ¹³⁷Cs) from different positions α_1 : ²²⁴Ra $\alpha_2:^{220}$ Rn α₃: ²¹⁶Po

400

 α peaks at high energy and their energy resolutions are well compatible with those expected for γ calibration > 300 keV (ex: energy resolution $\sigma = (75\pm3)$ keV for α_1 , for γ 's is 72 keV).

All this supports the uniformity of the light collection within 0.5%.

Linearity of the light collection

Low energy various external γ sources (²⁴¹Am, ¹³³Ba) and internal X-rays or _Y's (⁴⁰K, ¹²⁵I, ¹²⁹I), routine calibrations with ²⁴¹Am

$$\frac{\sigma_{LE}}{E} = \frac{\left(0.448 \pm 0.035\right)}{\sqrt{E(keV)}} + \left(9.1 \pm 5.1\right) \cdot 10^{-3}$$



400

× 300

Example of scintillation energy spectra measured by various Nal(TI) detectors

- Shapes/scintillation rates quite different
- All of them cannot be easily/uniquely simulated by a MC code



DM-ICE-17 R.Maruyama, Aspen, Feb. 2013





QUENCHING FACTOR

Ex. of different q determinations for Ge



differences are often present in different experimental determinations of q for the same nuclei in the same kind of detector

e.g. in doped scintillators q depends on dopant, on the impurities/trace contaminants, on specific light response, on growth procedures; in LXe e.g. on trae impucrities, on initial UHV, on presence of degassing/releasing materials in the Xe, on thermodynamical conditions, on possibly applied electric field, etc.

Some time increases at low energy in scintillators (dL/dx)

... and more

examples of q measurement in some detectors with neutrons

Nucleus/Detector	Recoil energy (keV)	q
NaI(Tl)	(6.5 - 97)	(0.30 ± 0.01) for Na
	(22 - 330)	(0.09 ± 0.01) for I
	(20-80)	(0.25 ± 0.03) for Na
	(40-100)	(0.08 ± 0.02) for I
	(4-252)	(0.275 ± 0.018) for Na
	(10-71)	(0.086 ± 0.007) for I
	(5-100)	(0.4 ± 0.2) for Na
	(40 - 300)	(0.05 ± 0.02) for I
$CaF_2(Eu)$	(30-100)	(0.06-0.11) for Ca
	(10-100)	(0.08-0.17) for F
	(90-130)	(0.049 ± 0.005) for Ca
	(75-270)	(0.069 ± 0.005) for F
	(53-192)	(0.11-0.20) for F
	(25-91)	(0.09-0.23) for Ca
CsI(Tl)	(25-150)	(0.15 - 0.07)
	(10-65)	(0.17 - 0.12)
	(10-65)	(0.22 - 0.12)
CsI(Na)	(10 - 40)	(0.10 - 0.07)
Ge	(3-18)	(0.29 - 0.23)
	(21-50)	(0.14 – 0.24)
	(10-80)	(0.18 - 0.34)
	(20 - 70)	(0.24 - 0.33)
Si	(5-22)	(0.23 - 0.42)
	22	(0.32 ± 0.10)
Liquid Xe	(30 - 70)	(0.46 ± 0.10)
+PRC(2010)025808 &re	efs (40–70)	(0.18 ± 0.03)
	(40-70)	(0.22 ± 0.01)
Bolometers	(80-130)	0.87±0.10
	(20-100)	0.91±0.03±0.04

Quenching factor is a relevant experimental parameter for DM candidates inducing nuclear recoils. It has to be considered in all kinds of detectors. In additional the channelling effect has to be included when dealing with q in crystals (Eur. Phys. J. C 53(2008)205, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 203(2010)012042)). See also expectations by phenomenological arguments in Astrop.Phys.33(2010)40.

direct measurements of q. f. are performed with reference detectors that in some cases have features quite different from the detectors used in the running conditions. The nature of these measurements, the parameterisation, the used neutron beam/ sources may not point out all the possible contributions or may cause uncertainties. Channeling could also play a role.

Example in cas of DAMA	e Na	I Recoil energy range (keVee)		
Same method	0.30(1)	0.09(1)	6.5–97 (Na) 22–330 (I)	Phys. Lett. B389(1996)757
	0.4 ± 0.2	0.05 ± 0.02	5–100 (Na) 40–300 (I)	Phys. Rev. C47(1993)R425
semi-empirical formula	from 0.65 to 0.55	from 0.35 to 0.17	2–100	Astrop. Phys. 33(2010)40

But: 1) the q.f. values depends on the specific crystal and detector; 2) no alpha light yield has been given for the used NaI(Tl) crystal for comparison; 3) etc...

In disagreement with other measured values, problem of crystal light response of inefficiency?

It is not correct to apply these results to all the NaI(TI) detectors.





Any e.m. rejection technique is not a DM signature and it is blind to some candidates.

- Only a statistical discrimination is possible because, e.g., of tail effects from the two populations and of known concurrent processes (e.g., end-range alphas and neutrons induce signals indistinguishable from recoils) whose contribution cannot be estimated and subtracted in any reliable manner at the needed level of precision.
- PSD cannot safely be applied in the investigation of the DM annual modulation signature; in fact, the
 effect searched for (at level of few %) would be largely affected by the uncertainties associated to
 rejection procedure. On the other hand the annual modulation signature acts itself as an effective
 background rejection.
 - σ_{syst} limits the sensitivity of PSD when going towards large statistics; it depends on several factors: temperature variation during data taking, instrumental effects, stability of the selecting windows, ...

Observed annual modulation effect in terms of S_0 for a particular model framework

It corresponds to 0.1 °C variation



Whatever e.m. rejection technique has similar drawbacks

Some comments on PSD in KIMS PRL 108 (2012) 181301

KIMS exp: 12 CsI(Tl) crystals, 8.7 kg, 8x8x30 cm³, in the Yangyang underground lab (700m depth) in Korea; data from Sept 2009 to Aug 2010, exposure about 2.5×10⁴ kg x d

Not discussed control on systematics (temperature, stability etc.), in fact:

- Discrimination power of about 2-3 x 10⁻³ claimed (=10⁻² cpd/kg/keV / 2-3 cpd/kg/keV (but raw rate strongly higher)); to have such discrimination power it is necessary the control of all the systematics at a much better level (much below 10⁻³).
- Energy scale: no info about the validation of the 3 keV energy threshold (no calibration point close to the energy threshold, energy resolution not demonstrated at low energy).
- The stability of the efficiency and of the procedure to remove the PMT noise events not discussed
- The systematics effects for the SA (surface alphas) events not evaluated (their distribution among the detectors)
- It is written "the mean values of the ER logrmt10 distributions have an average value of 0.62 with a rms spread of 0.035 ...", but in Fig. 1 at different energy the mean value of one detector is about 0.4, that is more than 5 sigma away.



(3-5)/ (0.05-0.10) /(0.01) for ER (electrons) / SA / recoils, but it is never mentioned if the whole PSD procedure can be reliably applied to disentangle the three contributions at so-low "extrapolated" energy.



The DAMA/LIBRA set-up ~250 kg NaI(TI) (Large sodium lodide Bulk for RAre processes)



As a result of a 2nd generation R&D for more radiopure Nal(TI) by exploiting new chemical/ physical radiopurification techniques DAMA/Nal (7 years) + DAMA/LIBRA (6 years) Total exposure: 425428 kg×day = 1.17 ton×yr data favor the presence of DM particles in the galactic halo at about 9σ C.L.

> Residual contaminations in the new DAMA/LIBRA Nal(TI) detectors: ²³²Th, ²³⁸U and ⁴⁰K at level of 10⁻¹² g/g

JINST 7(2012)03009

DAMA/LIBRA - phase 2 Continuously running with new

PMTs with higher Q.E. with lower software energy threshold (below 2 keV).

Radiopurity, performances, procedures, etc.:NIMA592(2008)297, JINST 7 (2012) 03009Results on DM particles, Ann. Modulation Signature:EPJC56(2008)333, EPJC67(2010)39Related topics:PRD84(2011)055014, EPJC 72 (2012) 2064Results on rare processes:PEP violation EPJC62(2009)327, CNC in 1EPJC72(2012)1920

Search for rare processes with other set-ups

All set-ups running

 Wide program for many measurements with INR-Kiev (see also F. Danevich's talk on ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹¹⁶Cd)



- 2β decay in ¹³⁶Ce, ¹³⁸Ce, ¹⁴²Ce, ⁴⁰Ca, ⁴⁶Ca, ⁴⁸Ca, ¹⁰⁶Cd, ¹⁰⁸Cd, ¹¹⁴Cd, ¹¹⁶Cd, ¹³⁰Ba, ⁶⁴Zn, ⁷⁰Zn, ¹⁸⁰W, ¹⁸⁶W with various low background scintillators (NPA705(2002)29, NIMA498(2003)352, NIMA525(2004) 535, PLB658(2008)193, NPA826(2009)256, JPG:NPP38(2011)115107, • Search for ⁷Li solar axions (NPA806(2008)388, PLB711 EPJA36(2008)167, JPG: NPP38(2011)015103, PRC85(2012)044610, JINST6(2011)P08011)
- α decay in ¹⁴²Ce, in ^{nat}Eu (NPA789(2007)15), β decay in ⁴⁸Ca, in ¹¹³Cd (PRC76(2007)064603)
- Cluster decay in LaCl₃(Ce) (NIMA555(2005)270)
- CNC decay ¹³⁹La → ¹³⁹Ce (UJP51(2006)1037)



- RDs on low background scint. and PMTs
- 2β decay in ¹⁰⁰Mo (NPA846(2010)143), ⁹⁶Ru and ¹⁰⁴Ru (EPJA42(2009)171), ¹³⁶Ce and ¹³⁸Ce (NPA824(2009)101), ¹⁹⁰Pt and ¹⁹⁸Pt (EPJA47(2011)91), ¹⁵⁶Dy and ¹⁵⁸Dy (NPA859(2011)126), ¹⁸⁴Os and ¹⁹²Os (EPJA49(2013)24)
- (2012)41)
- First observation of α decay of ¹⁹⁰Pt to the first excited level of 186Os (PRC83(2011)034603)
- Qualification and meas. of many materials: e.g. CdWO₄, ZnWO₄(NIMA626-7(2011)31, NIMA615(2010)301), Li_cEu (BO₃)₃ (NIMA572(2007)734), Li₂MoO₄ (NIMA607(2009) 573), SrI₂(Eu) (NIMA670(2012)10), ⁷LiI(Eu) (NIMA704 (2013)40)
- •¹⁰⁶Cd, ¹¹⁶Cd in progress (PRC85(2012)044610, JINST6(2011)P08011)
- •ADAMO project: Study of the DM directionality approach with ZnWO₄ anisotropic detectors (EPJC73(2013)2276)

CANDLES III Detector

Main detector CaF₂ scintillators (305kg)

Liquid scintillator acrylic tank (2.1 m³)

> PMTs 13 inch (side); x 48本 17 inch (top & bottom); x 14本

CaF₂ Module •

- CaF₂(Pure); 96 Crystal → 305 kg
- WLS Phase : 280 nm → 420 nm
 - Thickness : 5 mm
 - Composition ; Mineral Oil+bis-MSB (0.1 g/L) ۰.

Liquid Scintillator (LS)

- 1.4 m \u00f6 x 1.4 m H
- Volume : 2.1 m³ (1.65 ton)
- Composition

Solvent ; Min

- Solutes (WLS JoP:Conf.Ser.375(2012)042018 CaF₂ crystals: U-chain (Bi-214)
- Acrylic Tank
 - Container for
- ~36 μBq/kg (14±5 μBq/kg ;Best) Th-chain(Rn-220) ~28 µBq/kg (6±1 µBq/kg ;Best)

Water Buffer

- Pure Water → Passive Shield (Pre, Final-filter, Chacoal-filter, UV-lamp, Ion-Exchanger)
- Distance PMT LS : 50 cm

PMTs

- 13 inch (Side); x 48本
- 17 inch (Top and Bottom); x 14本

Fundamental Physics Using Atom 2012 @ Sendai

September 29th, 2012 S. Yoshida

An interesting example for further developments: Ce isotopes

Searching for detectors to investigate $\beta\beta$ decay mode of Ce isotopes: ¹³⁶Ce (δ = 0.185%; Q = 2419keV); 2EC, EC β^* , 2 β^* ¹³⁸Ce (δ = 0.251%; Q = 693 keV); 2EC ¹⁴²Ce (δ = 11.114%; Q = 1416.7keV); 2 β^-

CeF3 crystal scintillators

 $(2 \times 2 \times 2)$ cm³, mass 49.3 g, produced in China; E_{thr} = 20 keV; σ/E =22% at 122 keV

(14 x 2 x 2) cm³, mass 345 g, produced by Preciosa-Crytur; E_{thr} = 150 keV; σ/E =29% at 662 keV

(2.2 × 2.2 × 2.5) cm³, mass 74.5 g, produced. China: E_{thr} ~ 20 keV, σ/E=19% at 122 keV

CeCl₃ crystal scintillators

27.5

22.5

17.5



CeF2

CeCla

NIMA 498 (2003) 352 NPA 824 (2009) 101; JPG:NPP 38 (2011) 015103

Radioactive contaminations in the CeF₃ crystal scintillator obtained by fitting the experimental spectrum by the background model. The derived in this way activity of ²³²Th 55(30) mBq/kg is consistent with the value of 37(16) mBq/kg obtained by the pulse-shape analysis of the data

$\gamma \alpha$ Chain $\downarrow \downarrow$	Source	Activity (mBq/kg)
20 40 60 Shape indicator	²³² Th 228 Ra 228 Th	55(30) 890(270) 1010(10)
228U	238 U 234 U 230 Th 226 Ra 210 Pb	$ \leqslant 70 \leqslant 60 \leqslant 60 \leqslant 60 \leqslant 280 $
235U	²³⁵ U ²³¹ Pa ²²⁷ Ac	≤40 ≤50 ≤20
	⁴⁰ K ¹³⁸ La ¹⁷⁶ Lu ¹⁴⁷ Cm	≤ 330 ≤ 60 ≤ 20

	$2\sqrt{2\beta^+} = 0\sqrt{2\beta^+}$ ir	1 ¹³⁶ Ce	Γ	Decay Decay	Exp. $T_{1/2}$	limit (yr)
	2 140	≥ ¹⁶⁰	Transition c	channel mode	Present work	Previous results
These			$^{36}Ce \rightarrow ^{136}Ba$ 2	$2\beta^+$ 0ν	$> 0.7(2.5) \times 10^{17}$	> (6.9) × 10 ¹⁷ [9]
				2ν	$> 0.9(1.8) \times 10^{16}$	$> 1.8 \times 10^{16}$ [10]
V			ε	$\beta^+ = 0\nu$	$> 0.9(2.5) \times 10^{17}$	$> 3.8 \times 10^{10}$ [10]
		80 -		2v	$> 2.4(5.4) \times 10^{10}$	$> 2.6 \times 10^{15} [11]$
	$60 \begin{bmatrix} 2\nu 2\beta^+ \times 20 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$60 \qquad \qquad 0 \nu 2\beta^{+} \times 10$	2	$2K = 0\nu$	$> 3.0(3.8) \times 10^{16}$	$> 6.0 \times 10^{15}$ [10]
	40	40		2ν	$> 3.2(4.2) \times 10^{16}$	$> 2.7 \times 10^{16}$ [8]
	20		$^{38}\text{Ce} \rightarrow ^{138}\text{Ba}$ 2	2K = 0v	$> 3.6(4.7) \times 10^{16}$	$> 1.9 \times 10^{15} [11]$
α				2ν	$> 4.4(5.7) \times 10^{16}$	$> 3.7 \times 10^{16}$ [8]
00 1000 1500 2000 250 E(keV)	²⁰ 0 600 700 800 900 100	$0 \qquad 0 \qquad 325 \qquad 350 \qquad 375 \qquad 400 \qquad 425$	$^{42}\text{Ce} \rightarrow ^{142}\text{Nd}$ 2	$2\beta^ 0\nu$	$> 0.7(1.6) \times 10^{19}$	$> (1.5) \times 10^{19}$ [9]
	Energy (keV)	Energy (keV)		2ν	$> 1.4(3.0) \times 10^{18}$	$> 1.6 \times 10^{17}$ [10]

Example of the study of new kind of scintillator detector: Srl₂(Eu) crystal scintillator NIMA670(2012) 10

- High light output (>100000 γ/MeV)
- Good energy resolution (~3% at 662 keV
- Absence of natural long-living

radioactive isotopes.

Properties of SrI₂(Eu) crystal scintillators.

Property	Value
Density (g/cm ³)	4.5-4.6
Melting point (°C)	515
Structural type	Orthorhombic
Index of refraction	1.85
Wavelength of emission	
maximum (nm)	429-436
Light yield (photons/MeV)	(68-
	$120) \times 10^{3}$
Energy resolution (FWHM, %) for 662 keV γ of ^{137}Cs	2.6-3.7
Scintillation decay time ($\mu s)$ under X-ray/ γ ray excitation at 300 K	0.6-2.4

Measurement in the Ge facility at LNGS

 A scintillator crystal doped by 1.2% in Eu and with a nearly cylindrical shape (13 x 11 mm; 6.6 g mass) produced by Stockbarger growth technique

2

 Preliminary measurement in the low background set-up installed at sea-level at INR, Kyiv, Ukraine:

 detector performances
 α/β discrimination



Measured during 706 h with ULB-HPGe γ ray spectrometer to investigate background and put new limits on 2β decay of ⁸⁴Sr (Q₂₈. 1787.4. keV)



- Potentiality of Srl₂(Eu) to the search for the ⁸⁴Sr 2 β decay demonstrated for the first time (crystal mass= 6.6 g; δ_{84} _{Sr}=0.56 (1)%; measuring time = 101.52 h)

New/improved half-life limits on 2s and s β^+ decay modes in ^{84}Sr at level $T_{1/2}\,\sim\,10^{15}\text{--}10^{16}~yr$

With higher mass crystal and longer meas. time high sensitivity expected

A R&D of Srl₂(Eu) crystal scintillators is in progress

The ADAMO project: Study of the directionality approach with ZnWO4 anisotropic detectors

Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2276

Directionality: study of the correlation between the Earth motion in the galactic rest frame and the arrival direction of those Dark Matter (DM) candidates inducing just nuclear recoils



- Nuclear recoils would be strongly correlated with the DM impinging direction
- This effect can be pointed out through the study of the variation in the response of **anisotropic scintillation detectors** during sidereal day
- **light output** and **pulse shape** of **ZnWO**₄ depend on the direction of the impinging particles with respect to the crystal axes
- These anisotropic features provide two independent ways to exploit directionality, overcoming the difficulties of TPC detectors due to the very short track of recoils

Complementary information to those by DAMA/LIBRA for some aspects

Development of ZnWO₄ detectors and studies on $\beta\beta$ processes with INR-Kiev

ZWO (699g, ISMA) Contamination (mBq/kg) 232Th < 0.1 228 Ra < 0.05 228Th 0.002(1)227Ac < 0.003 238₁+234₁ < 0.08 230Th < 0.07 226Ra0.002(1)210**PO** < 0.06 ⁴⁰K < 0.4⁶⁵Zn 0.5(1)0.18(3) α activity

1 2 3

Conclusion

 Solid, well-known and evergreen detectors

Widespread in many fields

 Improvements in performance and radiopurity achieved with time

 Technology constantly in development

 ULB inorganic crystal scintillators are powerful tools to investigate rare processes
 ULB Nal(TI) has been succesfully used by DAMA/Nal and DAMA/LIBRA to investigate a particle Dark Matter component in the galactic halo by the annual modulation signature



Competitive on the frontier of investigation of rare processes