#### Four-dimensional dynamical simulations of fusion-fission reactions

<u>P. N. Nadtochy</u>, A. V. Cheredov, S. V. Fedorov, E. G. Ryabov, D. V. Vanin, and G. D. Adeev

Omsk State University, Russia

NN2015, 21-26 June 2015

## Evidences of dynamical effects



- Excess of prescission particles
- Much wider mass distribution for heavy nuclei
- Anisotropy of fission fragments angular distribution for medium and heavy fissioning nuclei

with respect to statistical model

<u>Dynamical effect</u>: path from equilibrium to scission slowed-down by the nuclear viscosity

## Open questions

•Strength of dissipation and it's deformation dependence for shape coordinates and orientation degree of freedom (K-coordinate), which is the projection of nuclear spin onto symmetry axis of fissioning nucleus

• Significance of the K-coordinate for fission dynamics and its influence on the predicted dissipation strength for the shape coordinates

• Correlation between dissipation for the shape collective coordinates and dissipation for the orientation degree of freedom

### The stochastic approach

Collective degrees of freedom, which describe actuall fission paths, in surrounding heat bath (analogy with a Brownian motion, Kramers, 1940)

Transport equations: Fokker-Planck, Langevin equations



Multi-dimensional Langevin classical equations of motion describe time evolution of the collective variables like the evolution of Brownian particle that interacts stochastically with a "heat bath".

### The stochastic approach



### The (c, h, $\alpha$ )-parametrization of nuclear shape

Funny-Hills parametrization M. Brack et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 44 (1972) 320



# The Langevin equations

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \mu_{ij}p_j,$$
  
$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2}p_i p_k \frac{\partial \mu_{jk}}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} - \gamma_{ij} \mu_{jk} p_k + \theta_{ij} \xi_j (t)$$

#### ${f q}$ - collective coordinate; ${f p}$ - conjugate momentum

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{m}_{ij}(\|\mu_{ij}\| &= \|\mathbf{m}_{ij}\|^{-1}) \text{ - inertia tensor Werner-Wheeler approx.} \\ F(\mathbf{q}) &= V(\mathbf{q}) - a(\mathbf{q})T^2 \text{ - Helmholtz free energy} \\ V \text{ - potential energy FRLDM } a(\mathbf{q}) \text{ - level density Fermi-gas} \\ \text{Sierk, Phys. Rev. C 33 (1986)} & \text{A. V. Ignatyuk et al, Yad. Fiz. 21 (1975)} \\ T &= \sqrt{\mathrm{E_{int}}/a(\mathbf{q})} \text{ - temperature } \gamma_{ij} \text{ - friction tensor} \\ \theta_{ij}\xi_j \text{ - random force} & \xi_j \text{ - random variable} \end{split}$$

Link with discret scheme of particle evaporation at each step of numerical integration according to N.D. Mavlitov et al., Z. Phys. A342, 195 (1995).

## Orientation degree of freedom



 I – total angular momentum
K – spin about the fission (symmetry) axis

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm rot}(\mathbf{q},I,K) &= \frac{\hbar^2 I(I+1)}{2J_{\perp}(\mathbf{q})} + \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2J_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{q})} \quad \text{The rotational energy} \\ \mathbf{Influence of K-coordinate on driving force} \\ & \mathbf{Q}_i^{(4{\rm D})} - Q_i^{(3{\rm D})} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2J_{\rm eff}} = \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2J_{\rm eff}^2} \frac{\partial J_{\rm eff}}{\partial q_i}. \end{split}$$

At present many models assumes K=0 Transition-state model (at saddle or scission) commonly used for calculations of fission fragment angular distributions

Kubo-Anderson and Metropolis Algorithms for K treatment (Eremenko et al. (2006), Karpov et al. (2007)).

Formalism of dynamical treatment:

T. Døssing and J. Randrup, (1985) J. P. Lestone and S.G. McCalla, (2009)

$$K^{(n+1)} = K^{(n)} - \frac{\gamma_K^2 I^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial K}\right)^{(n)} \tau + \gamma_K I \sqrt{T\tau} \xi^{(n)}$$

Set of Langevin equations ({q<sub>1</sub>, q<sub>2</sub>, q<sub>3</sub>}-shape coordinates and K-coordinate) integrated together, until scission or ER formation

## Dissipation for shape coordinates

 $\beta = \gamma / m$  (dissipation rate)



The one-body dissipations (large mean free path)

collisions of independent particles with moving potential well. Wall and Wall-and-Window formulas. J. Blocki et al, Ann Phys (1978)

 $k_s$  – scaling factor ( $k_s$ =0.27)

J.R. Nix and A.J. Sierk, Proc JINR (1987)

 $k_{s}(\mathbf{q})$  – scaling factor found on the basis of the "chaos-weighted wall" formula

G. Chaudhuri and S. Pal, PRC (2001)

One-body mechanism is expected to dominate. Due to Pauli blocking principle two-body interactions are less probable

### Dissipation coefficient for the K-coordinate

Estimation of dissipation coefficient  $\gamma_{\kappa}$ :

0.20

0.16

0.12

0.08

0.04

0.00

0.8

(2) const

1.0

(3)  $\gamma_{\kappa}$ 

1.2

1.4

q1

1.6

 $\gamma_{\rm K}$  (MeV zs)<sup>-1/2</sup>

$$\gamma_K = \frac{1}{R_N R_{\text{c.m.}} \sqrt{2\pi^3 n_0}} \sqrt{\frac{J_{\parallel} |J_{\text{eff}}| J_R}{J_{\perp}^3}}$$

(in case of a dinucleus) J. P. Lestone et al.  $\gamma_{\rm K}^{(2)}, \, \gamma_{\rm K}^{\rm const} = 0.2 \, ({\rm MeV \ zs})^{-1/2}$ 1) Constant value  $\gamma_{\rm K} = 0.077 \; ({\rm MeV} \; {\rm zs})^{-1/2}$ J.P. Lestone et al (1999) 2) Constant value for compact shapes  $\gamma_{K}^{(1)}$  $\gamma_{\kappa}^{\text{const}} + \gamma_{\kappa}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{q})$ for dinucleus 3)  $\gamma_{\kappa}$  (**q**) for dinucleus extrapolated for  $\gamma_{\nu} = 0.077 (\text{MeV zs})^{-1/2}$ 

1.8

2.0

compact shapes

### Orientation degree of freedom

#### Influence on

#### mass asymetry coordinate



towards larger  $Z^2/A$ 

fission barrier height

energy stiffness with respect to mass-asymmetry for heavy nuclei

#### $^{16}O+^{208}Pb->^{224}Th$ (Elab = 90, 110, 130, 148 and 215 MeV)



4D calculations with  $k_s$ =0.25 and  $\gamma_K$  = 0.06 (MeV zs)<sup>-1/2</sup> allow to describe  $\sigma_M^2$  consistently with  $\langle n_{pre} \rangle$  and anisotropy

#### Influence of orientation degree of freedom



4D calculations provide more appropriate description of exp. data

### Calculated anisotropy for <sup>252</sup>Fm





4D calculations with: 1) const.  $\gamma_{\rm K} \approx 0.08$  (MeV zs)<sup>-1/2</sup> or 2) const.  $\gamma_{\rm K} \approx 0.2$  (MeV zs)<sup>-1/2</sup> (for compact shapes) +  $\gamma_{\rm K}(q)$  (for dinucleus)

allow to describe exp. data

### Calculated results for <sup>200</sup>Pb



Ks = 1.0 reproduce the exp. data on fission and ER cross sections independently on  $\gamma_{\rm K}$  used.

Anisotropy is reproduced with const.  $\gamma_{\rm K} \approx 0.08 \ ({\rm MeV} \ zs)^{-1/2} \ {\rm or} \ {\rm const.}$  $\gamma_{\rm K} \approx 0.4 \ ({\rm for} \ {\rm compact} \ {\rm shapes}) + \gamma_{\rm K}(q) \ ({\rm for} \ {\rm dinucleus})$ 



#### Calculated results for <sup>204</sup>Po



Ks = 0.5 or Ks(q) reproduce well the exp. data on fission cross sections independently on  $\gamma_{\rm K}$  used.

Anisotropy is reproduced with const.  $\gamma_{\rm K} \approx 0.08 \ ({\rm MeV} \ zs)^{-1/2} \ {\rm or} \ {\rm const.}$  $\gamma_{\rm K} \approx 0.2 \ ({\rm for} \ {\rm compact} \ {\rm shapes}) + \gamma_{\rm K}({\rm q}) \ ({\rm for} \ {\rm dinucleus})$ 



### Conclusions

• The 4D calculations for heavy nuclei allow consistent description of MED parameters and prescission particles multiplicities, which is impossible in 3D.

- The estimation of constant  $\gamma_{\rm K}$ =0.077 (MeV zs)^-1/2 is good for fissioning nuclei from  $^{200}\text{Pb}$  to  $^{248}\text{Cf}.$ 

• It is possible to use the deformation dependent  $\gamma_{\rm K}$  coefficient, calculated according to Lestone et. al, for the shapes featuring a neck, which predicts quite a small values of  $\gamma_{\rm K}$ =0.0077 (MeV zs)<sup>-1/2</sup>, however in order to reproduce experimental data on the anisotropy it is necessary to increase the  $\gamma_{\rm K}$  coefficient up to 0.2-0.4 (MeV zs)<sup>-1/2</sup> for compact shapes featuring no neck.