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We report the first systematic analysis of the off-LC effects in sum rules for heavy-to-light form factors. These effects are studied in a model with scalar constituents: it allows a technically simple analysis which has the essential features of the QCD calculation.

The correlator relevant for the extraction of the heavy-to-light form factor is calculated in two different ways:
(i) via the full Bethe-Salpeter amplitude of the light meson;
(ii) by performing the expansion of the $B S$ amplitude near the light cone $x^{2}=0$.

We demonstrate:
(a) the contributions to the cut correlator from the $L C$ term $x^{2}=0$ and the off-LC terms $x^{2} \neq 0$ have the same order in the $1 / m_{Q}$ expansion.
(b) The cut $L C$ correlator, corresponding to $x^{2}=0$, overestimate the full correlator, the difference being $\sim \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} / \delta$, with $\delta \sim 1 \mathbf{G e V}$ - the effective continuum threshold. Numerically, this difference is $10 \div 20 \%$.

The Bethe-Salpeter amplitude and its expansion near the light cone:
$\Psi_{\mathrm{BS}}\left(x, p^{\prime}\right)=\langle 0| T \varphi(x) \varphi(0)\left|M\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\Psi\left(x^{2}, x p^{\prime}, p^{\prime 2}=M^{2}\right)$.
As a function of the variable $x p^{\prime}$, the amplitude may be represented by the Fourier integral
$\Psi_{\mathrm{BS}}\left(x, p^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d \xi \exp \left(-i \xi p^{\prime} x\right) K\left(x^{2}, \xi\right)$,
$\xi$-integration runs from 0 to 1 as follows from the analytic properties of Feynman diagrams. Nakanishi proposed to parametrize the kernel $K\left(x^{2}, \xi\right)$ as
$K\left(x^{2}, \xi\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4} i} \int_{0}^{\infty} d z G(z, \xi) \int d^{4} k^{\prime} \frac{\exp \left(-i k^{\prime} x\right)}{\left[z+m^{2}-\xi(1-\xi) M^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-i 0\right]^{3}}$.
Near the LC $x^{2}=0$ :
$K\left(x^{2}, \xi\right)=g_{0}(\xi)+x^{2}\left[g_{1}(\xi)+\log \left(-x^{2} m^{2}\right) h_{1}(\xi)\right]+x^{4}\left[g_{2}(\xi)+\log \left(-x^{2} m^{2}\right) h_{2}(\xi)\right]+\cdots$.
The functions $g_{n}$ and $h_{n}$ may be expressed in terms of $G(z, \xi)$, e.g.
$g_{0}(\xi)=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d z G(z, \xi) \frac{1}{z+m^{2}-\xi(1-\xi) M^{2}}$,

Terms $\log \left(-x^{2}\right)$ are related to divergence of higher $k_{\perp}^{2}$-moments of $\Psi_{L C}$ (only 0-th moment is finite). Introduce cut-off in $k_{\perp}$ integrals, or introduce a regulator $\lambda$ in coordinate space:
$\log \left(-x^{2} m^{2}\right) \rightarrow \log \left(\lambda-x^{2} m^{2}\right)$.
For $\lambda \neq 0$, represent $\log \left(\lambda-x^{2} m^{2}\right)$ as power series in $x^{2}$ :
$K\left(x^{2}, \xi, \lambda\right)=\phi_{0}(\xi, \lambda)+x^{2} \phi_{1}(\xi, \lambda)+x^{4} \phi_{2}(\xi, \lambda)+\cdots$,
$\phi_{0}(\xi)=g_{0}(\xi)$,
$\phi_{1}(\xi, \lambda)=g_{1}(\xi)+\log (\lambda) h_{1}(\xi)$,
$\phi_{2}(\xi, \lambda)=g_{2}(\xi)+\log (\lambda) h_{2}(\xi)-\frac{1}{\lambda} m^{2} h_{1}(\xi)$.
BS wave function: power series with $\log \left(-x^{2}\right)$ with finite coefficients $\Rightarrow$ pure power series with singular $\lambda$-dependent coefficients for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.
$\Psi_{\mathrm{BS}}\left(x, p^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(x^{2}\right)^{n} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi \exp \left(-i p^{\prime} x \xi\right) \phi_{n}(\xi, \lambda)$.
Important for us:

- The first term, corresponding to $x^{2}=0$, does not depend on $\lambda$.
- The full BS amplitude does not depend on $\lambda$, too.

BUT: Any truncation of the series leads to $\Psi_{\mathrm{BS}}\left(x, p^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$ such that it is singular at $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

## Heavy-to-light correlator and the decay form factor from sum rule

$\Gamma\left(p^{2}, q^{2}\right)=i \int d^{4} x \exp (i p x)\langle 0| T\left(J_{\text {interp }}^{\dagger}(x) J_{\text {weak }}(0)\right)\left|M\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle, \quad J_{\text {interp }}=J_{\text {weak }}=\varphi(x) Q(x)$

(i) Dispersion representation in $p^{2}$ (ii) Borel transform in $p^{2}$ to extract $F_{M_{Q} \rightarrow M}$
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{th}}\left(p^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\int \frac{d s}{s-p^{2}-i 0} \Delta_{\mathrm{th}}\left(s, q^{2}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}\left(\mu_{B}^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\int d s \exp \left(-s / 2 \mu_{B}^{2}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{th}}\left(s, q^{2}\right)$

The sum rule: $f_{M_{Q}} F_{M_{Q} \rightarrow M}\left(q^{2}\right)=\exp \left(M_{Q}^{2} / 2 \mu_{B}^{2}\right) \hat{\Gamma}_{\text {th }}\left(\mu_{B}^{2}, q^{2}, s_{0}\left(\mu_{B}^{2}, q^{2}\right)\right)$
with the cut correlator $\quad \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}\left(\mu_{B}^{2}, q^{2}, s_{0}\right)=\int d s \theta\left(s<s_{0}\right) \exp \left(-s / 2 \mu_{B}^{2}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{th}}\left(s, q^{2}\right)$.
For $q^{2} \ll m_{Q}^{2}$, first diagram gives the main contribution; full propagator $\mathcal{D}_{Q} \rightarrow$ free propagator
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{th}}\left(p^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int d^{4} k d^{4} x \exp (i p x-i k x) \frac{1}{m_{Q}^{2}-k^{2}-i 0}\langle 0| T \varphi(x) \varphi(0)\left|M\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.

## We can proceed further in two different ways:

A. First, use the full BS amplitude in momentum space:
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{th}}\left(p^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int d^{4} k \frac{\Psi_{\mathrm{BS}}\left(k, p^{\prime}\right)}{m_{Q}^{2}-(p-k)^{2}-i 0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta_{\mathrm{th}}\left(s, q^{2}\right)$
B. Substitute the LC expansion of $\Psi_{\mathrm{BS}}\left(x, p^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{th}}\left(p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int d^{4} k d^{4} x \exp (i p x-i k x) \frac{1}{m_{Q}^{2}-k^{2}-i 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(x^{2}\right)^{n} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi \exp \left(-i p^{\prime} x \xi\right) \phi_{n}(\xi, \lambda) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \xi \phi_{0}(\xi, \lambda)}{m_{Q}^{2}-p^{2}(1-\xi)+M^{2} \xi(1-\xi)-q^{2} \xi}-8 m_{Q}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \xi \phi_{1}(\xi, \lambda)}{\left[m_{Q}^{2}-p^{2}(1-\xi)+M^{2} \xi(1-\xi)-q^{2} \xi\right]^{3}}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereafter set $q^{2}=0$ and $M=0$.
The Borel parameter $\mu_{B}^{2} \rightarrow m_{Q} \beta$. In the standard SR analysis $\beta \simeq 1 \mathbf{G e V}$.

The uncut Borel image:
$\exp \left(M_{Q}^{2} / 2 \beta m_{Q}\right) \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}(\beta) \simeq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \xi}{1-\xi}\left[\phi_{0}(\xi)-\frac{\phi_{1}(\xi, \lambda)}{\beta^{2}(1-\xi)^{2}}+\cdots\right] \exp \left(-\frac{m_{Q}}{2 \beta} \xi\right)$.

## The cut Borel image:

Heavy meson mass: $M_{Q}=m_{Q}+\varepsilon_{Q}$; Effective threshold $s_{0}=\left(m_{Q}+\delta\right)^{2}, \quad \delta \simeq 0.5-1 \mathbf{G e V}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(M_{Q}^{2} / 2 \beta m_{Q}\right) \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}(\beta, \delta) & \simeq \int_{0}^{2 \delta / m_{Q}} \frac{d \xi}{1-\xi}\left[\phi_{0}(\xi)-\frac{\phi_{1}(\xi, \lambda)}{\beta^{2}(1-\xi)^{2}}+\cdots\right] \exp \left(-\frac{m_{Q}}{2 \beta} \xi\right) \\
& -4 \exp \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{Q}-\delta}{\beta}\right)\left[\frac{\phi_{1}\left(\xi_{0}\right)}{m_{Q}^{2}}+\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)}{4 m_{Q} \beta}+\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)}{m_{Q}^{2}}\right]+\cdots, \quad \xi_{0}=2 \delta / m_{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

For massless-boson exchange at small distances, one finds

$$
\phi_{0}(\xi) \simeq \xi, \phi_{1}(\xi) \simeq \xi, \quad \cdots
$$

In the limit $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$, all contributions $n=0,1, \ldots$ behave as $1 / m_{Q}^{2}$.
In the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, due to surface terms, all contributions $n=0,1, \ldots$ have the same order.

For the realistic case of the interaction dominated by massless-boson exchange at short distances, the $L C$ contribution does not dominate the cut correlator parametrically.

There are models in which off-LC contributions are power-suppressed compared to $n=0$ :
For instance, for $\phi_{n}(\xi) \sim \xi^{n+1}$ corresponding to the light-cone wave function
$\Psi_{\mathrm{LC}}\left(\xi, k_{\perp}^{2}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-k_{\perp}^{2}}{2 \beta_{M}^{2} \xi(1-\xi)}\right), \beta_{M}$ the size parameter of the light meson.

## Numerical results

For the BS kernel $G(z, \xi)=m^{2} \delta(z) \xi(1-\xi)$ explicit expressions for $\Delta_{\mathrm{th}}(s)$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{LC}}(s)=\Delta^{n=0}(s)$.

## Parameters:

For beauty-meson $m_{Q}=4.8 \mathbf{G e V}$. For charm-meson $m_{Q}=1.4 \mathbf{G e V}$.
The light-quark mass $m$ appears in the framework of the BS equation, i.e., the constituent quark mass. $m=150 \mathrm{MeV}$ for beauty-meson decay, and $m=200 \mathrm{MeV}$ for charm-meson decay.


$m_{Q}^{2} \Delta_{\mathrm{th}}(s)$ (solid red line); $m_{Q}^{2} \Delta_{\mathrm{LC}}(s)$ (dashed blue line). Left - beauty, right - charm.
The thresholds in $\Delta_{\mathrm{th}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{LC}}$ do not coincide: in the LC spectral density the threshold is $m_{Q}^{2}$ whereas in the full spectral density it is $\left(m_{Q}+m\right)^{2}$. The region near the threshold provides the main contribution to the cut Borel-transformed correlators, therefore the mismatch of the thresholds is responsible for the nonvanishing of the off-LC effects in sum rules.

How to fix $\delta$ in the effective continuum threshold?

Fix $\delta$ by the standard procedure: for some value of the Borel parameter $\beta$

$$
\langle s(\beta, \delta)\rangle \equiv \frac{\int_{s_{\text {low }}}^{\left(m_{Q}+\delta\right)^{2}} d s \exp \left(-s / 2 m_{Q} \beta\right) s \Delta\left(s, q^{2}\right)}{\int_{s_{\text {low }}}^{\left(m_{Q}+\delta\right)^{2}} d s \exp \left(-s / 2 m_{Q} \beta\right) \Delta\left(s, q^{2}\right)}=M_{Q}^{2} .
$$

The next transparency gives:
$\widetilde{\Gamma}(\beta, \delta)=m_{Q}^{2} \exp \left(M_{Q}^{2} / 2 m_{Q} \beta\right) \hat{\Gamma}(\beta, \delta)$

## BEAUTY-MESON DECAY:

$m_{Q}=4.8 \mathbf{G e V}, m=150 \mathrm{MeV}, \delta$ fixed from $\sqrt{\langle s\rangle}=M_{Q}=5.27 \mathbf{G e V}$ at two different values of $\beta$ :
I. $\delta$ fixed at $\beta=0.5 \mathbf{G e V}: \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}=0.96 \mathbf{G e V}, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}=0.79 \mathbf{G e V}$.



II. $\delta$ fixed at $\beta=4 \mathbf{G e V}: \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}=0.755 \mathbf{G e V}, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}=0.69 \mathbf{G e V}$.




## CHARM-MESON DECAY:

$m_{Q}=1.4 \mathbf{G e V}, \delta$ fixed from $\sqrt{\langle s\rangle}=M_{Q}=1.87 \mathbf{G e V}$ at $\beta=2 \mathbf{G e V} \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}=0.93 \mathbf{G e V}$.
I. $m=200 \mathbf{M e V}, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}=0.72 \mathbf{G e V}$.



II. $m=100 \mathbf{M e V}, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}=0.85 \mathbf{G e V}$.




Explicit analytic results may be obtained in the limit $\beta \gg m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{Q}^{2} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LC}}\left(\beta \rightarrow \infty, \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}\right) & =2 \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}^{2}+O\left(\delta_{\mathrm{LC}}^{3} / m_{Q}\right) \\
m_{Q}^{2} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}\left(\beta \rightarrow \infty, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}\right) & =2 \delta_{\mathrm{th}}^{2}-m^{2}\left[\log \left(\frac{4 \delta_{\mathrm{th}}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)+1\right]+O\left(m^{4} / \delta_{\mathrm{th}}^{2}\right)+O\left(\delta_{\mathrm{th}}^{3} / m_{Q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fixing $\delta_{\mathrm{th}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{LC}}$ by the discussed procedure, we express them via $\varepsilon_{Q}\left(M_{Q}=m_{Q}+\varepsilon_{Q}\right)$

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{LC}}=\frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{Q}, \quad \delta_{\mathrm{th}}=\frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{Q}-\frac{2 m^{2}}{3 \varepsilon_{Q}}\left[\log \left(\frac{3 \varepsilon_{Q}}{m}\right)-1\right]+\cdots,
$$

We then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{Q}^{2} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LC}}\left(\beta \rightarrow \infty, \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}\right) & =\frac{9}{2} \varepsilon_{Q}^{2} \\
m_{Q}^{2} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}\left(\beta \rightarrow \infty, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}\right) & =\frac{9}{2} \varepsilon_{Q}^{2}-6 m^{2} \log \left(\frac{3 \varepsilon_{Q}}{\sqrt{e} m}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and
$\frac{\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}\left(\beta \rightarrow \infty, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}\right)}{\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LC}}\left(\beta \rightarrow \infty, \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}\right)}=1-\frac{4 m^{2}}{3 \varepsilon_{Q}^{2}} \log \left(\frac{3 \varepsilon_{Q}}{\sqrt{e} m}\right)+\cdots$.
Here dots denote terms containing higher powers of $m / \varepsilon_{Q}$. We have compared the correlators evaluated at different values of the cut parameters $\delta_{\mathrm{LC}}$ and $\delta_{\text {th }}$. This is relevant if one wants to understand the error due to taking into account only the light-cone ( $x^{2}=0$ ) contribution to the correlator and neglecting terms containing higher powers of $x^{2}$.

Lessons and conclusions:

1. The off-LC effects in the cut correlator are not parametrically suppressed compared to the LC contribution. In heavy-to-light decays, there exists no sensible limit in which the cut LC correlator coincides with the cut full correlator.

Numerically, LC provides the bulk of the full correlator, but the difference between the cut full and the cut $L C$ correlators always remains nonvanishing.
2. The Borel curves for the full and the $\mathbf{L C}$ correlators have similar shapes, but $\mathbf{L C}$ correlator overestimates the full correlator, at small $q^{2}$ by $10 \div 20 \%$ in a wide range of the heavy-quark mass relevant for charm and beauty decays.

The similarity of the Borel curves for the full and the LC correlators implies that the systematic difference between the correlators cannot be diminished by a relevant choice of the criterion for extracting the heavy-to-light form factor.
3. The difference between $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LC}}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}$ increases with increasing mass of the light spectator quark. Therefore, this difference is expected to be greater for the heavy mesons $B_{s}$ and $D_{s}$, containing the strange $s$-quark, than for $B$ and $D$. This prompts that the error in the predictions for the form factors related to off-LC effects is greater for strange heavy mesons.
4. In QCD:
$\frac{\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{th}}\left(\beta, \delta_{\mathrm{th}}\right)}{\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LC}}\left(\beta, \delta_{\mathrm{LC}}\right)}=1-O\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}{\delta}\right)$.
We believe our numerical estimates for higher-twist effects are realistic estimates for QCD.
5. The extracted values of the form factor depend on two ingredients:
(i) the field-theoretic calculation of the relevant correlator.
(ii) the technical "extraction procedure" which is external to the underlying field theory.

The second ingredient introduces a systematic error which is very hard to control in any version of QCD sum rules, even if the correlator is known exactly.

In LCSRs, also the first ingredient contains uncertainties related to higher-twist (including offLC) effects, which are not suppressed by large parameters. Therefore further study of these effects is necessary.

