



### Quantum Cellular Automata as extension of Quantum Field Theory

Giacomo Mauro D'Ariano GE 41, Gruppo IV, Sezione PV INFN

arXiv:1012.0535







# THE DIRAC QU-AUTOMATON

#### Derived from first (informational) principles

- Planck-scale, field limit, ultrarelativistic limit unified in a single simple model
- *Extension*. of QFT including localized states and observables
- From first principles [informational (\*)]
- Quantum *ab initio*
- Classicalization\_vs quantization\_(¶)
- Symmetries are approximated, and restored in the *field limit*.



(\*) Chiribella, D'Ariano, Perinotti PRA **84** 012311 (2011) *Viewpoint:* Č. Brukner, Physics 4, 55 (2011) (†) D'Ariano, PLA **376** 697 (2012) (arXiv:1012.0756) (¶) D'Ariano, AIP Conf. Proc. **371** (2012) (arXiv 1012.0535)



# Dirac QCA: First Quantization



# CLASSICALIZATION: H FROM U $i\hbar\partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi} = [\boldsymbol{\psi}, H]$ $H = \frac{i\hbar}{2t} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger} (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}^{\dagger}) \boldsymbol{\psi}$







# Are we able to simulate our theory (even with a quantum computer)?

#### Simulating Physics with Computers Richard P. Feynman

The question is, if we wrote a Hamiltonian which involved only these operators, locally coupled to corresponding operators on the other space-time points, could we imitate every quantum mechanical system which is discrete and has a finite number of degrees of freedom? I know, almost certainly, that we could do that for any quantum mechanical system which involves Bose particles. I'm not sure whether Fermi particles could be described by such a system. So I leave that open. Well, that's an example of what I meant by a general quantum mechanical simulator. I'm not sure that it's sufficient, because I'm not sure that it takes care of Fermi particles.

Int. J. of Th. Phys. 21 467 (1982)



## THE FEYNMAN PROBLEM

 $[\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}},\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}}]_{+} = 0, \quad [\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}},\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\dagger}]_{+} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{m}\boldsymbol{n}}, \quad \boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m} \in \Lambda$ 

Anticommutation is nonlocal!

Problem: Anticommuting fields  $\varphi_{\mathbf{n}}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  commuting Pauli matrices  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^+$ For D=I dimensions: Jordan Wigner construction  $\varphi_j = \prod_{k < j} (-\sigma_k^3) \sigma_j^- \qquad \sigma_j^3 - \sigma_j^3$ 

However:

$$\varphi_{j+l}^{\dagger}\varphi_j = (-)^l \sigma_j^- \underbrace{\sigma_{j+1}^3 \sigma_{j+2}^3 \dots \sigma_{j+l-1}^3 \sigma_{j+l}^+}_{j+l} \sigma_{j+l}^+$$

THE FEYNMAN PROBLEMTHE "QUBIT-IZATION" OF THE DIRAC FIELD $[\varphi_n, \varphi_m]_+ = 0, \quad [\varphi_n, \varphi_m^{\dagger}]_+ = \delta_{mn}, \quad n, m \in \Lambda$ 

Write  $\varphi_n$  using Pauli matrices

 $\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}, \tau_{n}^{\alpha}, \dots \qquad n \in \Lambda$  in such a way that any observable in the field operator

 $\varphi_{n}^{\dagger}\varphi_{m}$ contains only Pauli operators in the same locations  $n, m \in \Lambda$ 



Also generalize to many components  $\varphi_n^a$ mutually commuting/anticommuting



SOLUTION OF THE FEYNMAN PROBLEM  

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_n^+ = \varphi_n^{\dagger} \Phi^{\sigma}(n), \quad \tau_n^+ = \nu_n^{\dagger} \Phi^{\tau}(n) \\
& \chi_n = \nu_m^{\dagger} + \nu_m = \Phi(n)\tau_n^1 \\
& \eta_n = i(\nu_m^{\dagger} - \nu_m) = -\Phi(n)\tau_n^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi^{\sigma,\tau}(n) = \exp\left[i\sum_m \left(\varphi_m^{\dagger}\varphi_m + \nu_m^{\dagger}\nu_m + \zeta_m^{+}\zeta_m^{-}\right)\alpha_m^{\sigma,\tau}(n)\right] \\
& \alpha^{\sigma}{}_m^{(n)} = \alpha_m^{(n)} + \pi\delta_{mn} \\
& \alpha_m^{\tau(n)} = \alpha_m^{(n)} := \pi\theta\left[\sin^{-1}\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{n-m}{|n-m|}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

# SOLUTION OF THE FEYNMAN PROBLEM Vacuum

$$\begin{split} |\emptyset\rangle &= |\Omega\rangle_{\sigma} \otimes |W\rangle_{\tau\zeta}, \quad |\Omega\rangle_{\sigma} = \otimes_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\Lambda} |\downarrow\rangle_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\sigma} \\ |W\rangle_{\tau\zeta} &= \otimes_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\Lambda} |W\rangle_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\tau\zeta} \end{split}$$

$$|W\rangle_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\tau\zeta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_{\tau}|\uparrow\rangle_{\zeta} + |\downarrow\rangle_{\tau}|\downarrow\rangle_{\zeta})$$

#### **Particle states**

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}_{1}}^{\dagger}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}_{2}}^{\dagger}\dots\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}_{N}}^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle_{\sigma}\otimes|W\rangle_{\tau\zeta}$$
  
=  $s(\boldsymbol{n}_{1},\boldsymbol{n}_{2},\dots,\boldsymbol{n}_{N})\sigma_{\boldsymbol{n}_{1}}^{+}\sigma_{\boldsymbol{n}_{2}}^{+}\dots\sigma_{\boldsymbol{n}_{N}}^{+}|\Omega\rangle_{\sigma}\otimes|W\rangle_{\tau\zeta}$ 

#### **Interaction terms**

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\dagger}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}} \Longrightarrow \varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\dagger}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}}M_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}B_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}$$
$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\dagger}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}}M_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}B_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}|F\rangle_{\sigma}\otimes|W\rangle_{\tau\zeta} = \sigma_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{+}\sigma_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-}|F\rangle_{\sigma}\otimes|W\rangle_{\tau\zeta}$$