Charting the spin structure of weak-boson pairs at the LHC

Giovanni Pelliccioli
University and INFN of Milano-Bicocca

Introduction

Motivations (1)

Polarisations of weak bosons at the LHC:

- important probes of SM gauge and scalar sectors



Quantum Diaries

Motivations (2)

Polarisations of weak bosons at the LHC:

- discrimination between BSM and SM



[Szleper 1412.8367]

Polarisations of weak bosons at the LHC:

- non trivial to extract from data

Fits of LHC Run-2 data with polarised templates

[ATLAS 1902.05759, 2211.09435, 2310.04350, 2402.16365, 2503.11317, CMS 2009.09429, 2110.11231]



WZ [ATLAS 2402.16365]



ZZ [ATLAS 2310.04350]



$W^\pm W^\pm jj$ [CMS 2009.09429]

What do we need (from theory)?



What do we need (from theory)?



Theory aspects: polarised templates

Separating polarisations in amplitudes

Natural definition for resonant diagrams (in PA/NWA) [Denner GP 2006.14867]



$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{unpol}} = \mathcal{P}_\mu \frac{-g^{\mu\nu}}{k^2 - M_V^2 + iM_V\Gamma_V} \mathcal{D}_\nu$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_\mu \frac{\sum_{\lambda'} \varepsilon^\mu_{\lambda'} \varepsilon^{*\nu}_{\lambda'}}{k^2 - M_V^2 + iM_V\Gamma_V} \mathcal{D}_\nu$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mu \frac{\varepsilon^\mu_{\lambda} \varepsilon^{*\nu}_{\lambda}}{k^2 - M_V^2 + iM_V\Gamma_V} \mathcal{D}_\nu = \mathcal{A}_\lambda$$

$$|\mathcal{A}^{\text{unpol}}|^2 = \underbrace{\sum_\lambda |\mathcal{A}_\lambda|^2}_{\text{incoherent sum}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\lambda \neq \lambda'} \mathcal{A}^*_\lambda \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}}_{\text{interference terms}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{A}_\lambda|^2 \propto \text{polarised cross section}$$

Polarisation states defined in specific Lorentz frame

Selecting resonant diagrams

Factorised amplitude not possible for all contributions



(1) Non-resonant diagrams regarded as non-resonant background.

(2) Resonant diagrams treated with

DPA: double-pole approximation [Denner et al. 0006307]

NWA: spin-correlated narrow-width approximation [Artoisenet et al. 1212.3460]

(3) Separate polarisations

Radiative corrections to the production

(N)NLO QCD corr. with leptonic decays [Denner GP 2006.14867, Poncelet Popescu 2102.13583]

- Virtual (V) and real (R) contributions, $V + R$ free of IR singularities



- subtraction counterterms needed, *e.g.* dipoles [Catani, Seymour 9605323]:

$$d\sigma_{\text{nlo}}/d\xi = \int d\phi_n (B + V + \int d\phi_{\text{rad}}\, D)_{d=4}\, \delta_\xi^{(n)} + \int d\phi_{n+1} (R\, \delta_\xi^{(n+1)} - D\, \delta_\xi^{(n)})_{d=4}$$

- DPA/NWA and separation of polarisations for all contributions

Radiative corrections to the decays

NLO EW (QCD) corr. to Z/ W bosons with leptonic (hadronic) decays



Z bosons [Denner GP 2107.06579, Denner Haitz GP 2211.09040]

W bosons [Le Baglio 2203.01470, Denner Haitz GP 2311.16031, 2409.03620, Dao Le 2311.17027]

Fixed-order SM predictions

→ $W^+(\ell^+\nu_\ell)\,W^-(\ell'^-\bar{\nu}_{\ell'})$: NNLO QCD + NLO EW [Denner GP 2006.14867, Poncelet Popescu 2102.13583, Denner Haitz GP 2311.16031, Dao Le 2311.17027, 2409.06396]

→ $W^\pm(\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)\,Z(\ell'^+\ell'^-)$: NNLO QCD + NLO EW [Denner GP 2010.07149, Le Baglio 2203.01470, 2208.09232, GP Poncelet 2510.25898]

→ $Z(\ell^+\ell^-)\,Z(\ell'^+\ell'^-)$: NNLO QCD + NLO EW [Denner GP 2107.06579, Carrivale GP et al. 2505.09686]

→ $W^\pm(\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)+\mathrm{j}$: NNLO QCD [Pellen et al. 2109.14336]

→ $W^\pm(\mathrm{jj}/\mathrm{J})\,Z(\ell'^+\ell'^-)$: NLO QCD [Denner Haitz GP 2211.09040]

→ $W^+(\ell^+\nu_\ell)\,W^+(\ell'^+\nu_{\ell'})+\mathrm{jj}$: NLO EW + QCD [Denner Haitz GP 2409.03620]

→ $W^+(\ell^+\nu_\ell)Z(\ell'^+\ell'^-)+\mathrm{jj}$: NLO EW [Denner GP et al. 2510.26462]

Matching to parton shower

QCD PS does not factorise from spin of the EW system

At least NLO QCD accuracy required

Event generators for intermediate polarised bosons (matched to PS):

1. PHANTOM: LO [Ballestrero Maina GP 1710.09339, 1907.04722, 2007.07133, Maina GP 2105.07972]
2. MG5_aMC: LO and MJM / [Buarque-Franzosi et al. 1912.01725], loop-ind. [Javurkova et al. 2401.17365] (also MJM), mass effects [Basu Ruiz 2512.10015]
3. SHERPA: approx. NLO and MJM [Hoppe et al. 2310.14803]
4. POWHEG-BOX-RES: NLO [GP Zanderighi 2311.05220, Haisch GP et al. 2507.21768]

Effort needed to incorporate EW effects

What about BSM effects?

Polarised signals with BSM effects:

→ VBS: LO in HSESM with PHANTOM [Ballestrero Maina GP 1907.04722], in CH models with MADGRAPH5 [Buarque-Franzosi et al. 1912.01725]

→ inc. VV: NLO QCD + PS in SMEFT (dim. 6) with POWHEG-BOX-RES [Haisch GP et al. 2507.21768]

Inclusive di-boson production

General features



- sensitive to anomalous TGC and Vff couplings [Azatov et al. 1707.08060, Baglio et al. 1708.03332, Panico et al. 1708.07823, . . .]
- huge QCD corr. from large IR logs [Rubin et al. 1006.2144]
- interplay with top sector in gg [Grazzini et al. 1811.09593, Rossia et al. 2306.09963, . . .]
- sizeable loop EW corr. in the tails from Sudakov logs [Denner Pozzorini 0010201]
- *VV*-CM natural polarisation frame

ZZ (1): a community effort

Coordination within COMETA network [Carrivale GP et al. 2505.09686]

$pp \to e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ in ATLAS fiducial setup [ATLAS 2310.04350]

Involved all MC tools available on the market and all experts in the field:

MC tool	OS approx.	LO tree	LO loop-ind.	NLO QCD	NNLO QCD	NLO EW	LO ×PS	NLO ×PS	MJ merging	code public
MoCaNLO	DPA	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	(✓)
STRIPPER	DPA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MulBos	DPA	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
BBMC	DPA	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Sherpa	NWA	✓	✗	(✓)	✗	✗	✓	(✓)	✓	✓
MG5_aMC	BW	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
PowHeg-Box	DPA	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓

ZZ (2): notation

Our best predictions:

$$\mathrm{NNLO}^{\mathrm{EW}}_{\mathrm{QCD,\,gg}} \longrightarrow \sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{q\bar{q}} \left(1 + \delta^{(\mathrm{NLO})}_{\mathrm{QCD}} + \delta^{(\mathrm{NNLO})}_{\mathrm{QCD}} + \delta^{(\mathrm{NLO})}_{\mathrm{EW}}\right) + \sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{\mathrm{gg}}$$

$$\mathrm{NLOPS}_{\mathrm{had}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{NLO}_{\mathrm{QCD}} \times \mathrm{PS}_{\mathrm{QCD,QED}} + (\mathrm{hadr.} + \mathrm{MPI})$$

$$\mathrm{nLO\text{-}MJM}_{\mathrm{had}} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{nLO}^{(0\mathrm{j})}_{\mathrm{QCD}} + \mathrm{nLO}^{(1\mathrm{j})}_{\mathrm{QCD}} + \mathrm{LO}^{(2\mathrm{j})}) + \mathrm{PS}_{\mathrm{QCD,QED}} + (\mathrm{hadr.} + \mathrm{MPI})$$

Pol. fraction = cross-sect. ratio, *e.g.* $f_{\mathrm{LL}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{LL}}/\sigma_{\mathrm{unp}}$

Comparison with pre/post-fit results by ATLAS [ATLAS 2310.04350]

ZZ (3): joint polarisation fractions

our predictions	LL [%]	LT [%]	TL [%]	TT [%]
$\mathrm{LO}_{q\bar{q}}$	$5.85^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$11.86^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$	$11.89^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$	$69.27^{+0.30}_{-0.26}$
LO_{gg}	$5.39^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$2.12^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$2.10^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$90.48^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$
$\mathrm{NLO}_{\mathrm{EW}}$	$5.89^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$	$11.97^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$	$12.01^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$	$68.98^{+0.29}_{-0.25}$
$\mathrm{NLO}_{\mathrm{QCD}}$	$5.87^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	$12.74^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	$12.69^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	$67.35^{+0.14}_{-0.16}$
$\mathrm{NNLO}_{\mathrm{QCD}}$	$6.07^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$13.11^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$13.04^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$66.20^{+0.19}_{-0.24}$
$\mathrm{NNLO}_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{\mathrm{EW}}$	$6.12^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	$13.29^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$13.21^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$65.75^{+0.20}_{-0.25}$
$\mathrm{NNLO}_{\mathrm{QCD,\,gg}}^{\mathrm{EW}}$	$6.05^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$12.15^{+0.10}_{-0.15}$	$12.07^{+0.11}_{-0.16}$	$68.29^{+0.30}_{-0.21}$
$\mathrm{NLOPS}_{\mathrm{QCD}}$	$5.88^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$12.76^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$12.71^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	$67.30^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$
$\mathrm{nLOPS}_{\mathrm{QCD}}$	$6.02^{+0.05}_{-0.08}$	$13.04^{+0.04}_{-0.09}$	$12.97^{+0.04}_{-0.09}$	$66.61^{+0.14}_{-0.47}$
$\mathrm{NLOPS}_{\mathrm{had}}$	$5.86^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$12.74^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$12.69^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	$67.38^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$
$\mathrm{nLOPS}_{\mathrm{had}}$	$5.98^{+0.03}_{-0.07}$	$12.99^{+0.02}_{-0.09}$	$12.96^{+0.02}_{-0.09}$	$66.70^{+0.22}_{-0.46}$
$\mathrm{LO\text{-}MJM}_{\mathrm{QCD}}$	$5.79^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$	$12.91^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$12.84^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$66.81^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$
$\mathrm{LO\text{-}MJM}_{\mathrm{had}}$	$5.91^{+0.01}_{-0.10}$	$12.84^{+0.13}_{-0.23}$	$12.79^{+0.12}_{-0.23}$	$67.14^{+1.08}_{-0.98}$
$\mathrm{nLO\text{-}MJM}_{\mathrm{had}}$	$6.14^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	$13.35^{+0.47}_{-0.32}$	$13.23^{+0.32}_{-0.28}$	$65.85^{+1.11}_{-0.96}$
ATLAS measurement				
pre-fit	6.1 ± 0.4	22.9 ± 0.9		69.9 ± 3.9
post-fit	7.1 ± 1.7	22.8 ± 1.1		69.0 ± 2.7

Best predictions [Carrivale GP et al. 2505.09686] vs ATLAS modelling [ATLAS 2310.04350]:



(qq) agreement, small QCD unc.



(gg) NLO QCD urgent

WZ (1)

$pp \to e^+\nu_e\mu^+\mu^-$ in ATLAS fiducial setup [2211.09435]

Improved SM pred., NNLO QCD (δ_s) $+/\times$ NLO EW (δ_{ew}) [GP Poncelet 2510.25898]

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\sigma^{(+)}_{\mathrm{NNLO}} \quad = \quad & d\sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}\left(1+\delta^{(1)}_{s,\,u\bar{d}}+\delta^{(1)}_{ew,\,u\bar{d}}+\delta^{(2)}_{s,\,u\bar{d}}\right) \\
& + \quad d\sigma_{qg}\,(1+\delta^{(1)}_{s,\,qg})+d\sigma_{q\gamma}+d\sigma_{qq'}+d\sigma_{gg}
\end{aligned}
$$

state	$\delta^{(1)}_{s,u\bar{d}}$	$\frac{d\sigma_{qg}}{d\sigma_{LO}}$	$\delta^{(2)}_{s,u\bar{d}}$	$\frac{d\sigma_{qg}}{d\sigma_{LO}}\delta^{(1)}_{s,\,qg}$	$\frac{d\sigma_{qq'}}{d\sigma_{LO}}$	$\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{d\sigma_{LO}}$	$\frac{d\sigma_{q\gamma}}{d\sigma_{LO}}$	$\delta^{(1)}_{ew,u\bar{d}}$
LL	31.9%	−2.1%	7.7%	0.2%	2.7%	0.5%	0.6%	−5.1%
LT	50.5%	108.5%	13.3%	19.5%	10.6%	−0.8%	1.4%	−4.9%
TL	50.9%	110.9%	13.7%	20.1%	10.7%	−0.8%	4.1%	−5.0%
TT	29.7%	31.3%	5.7%	−0.8%	3.9%	−0.1%	1.4%	−6.5%

WZ (2)

Differential results in $\Delta y(\ell_W, Z)$ [GP Poncelet 2510.25898]





QCD unc. for K-factors from scale var. (7pSV) vs TNP [Lim Poncelet 2412.14910]

WZ (3)

Joint fractions $f_{ij} = \mathrm{d}\sigma_{ij}/\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\text{unp}}$ [GP Poncelet 2510.25898] compared to ATLAS [2211.09435]

QCD-scale unc. on joint fractions: **correlated 7pSV** vs **uncorrelated 7pSV** vs **TNPs**

state	$f^{(\text{QCD})}_{\text{NLO}}$ [%]	$f^{(\text{QCD})}_{\text{NNLO}}$ [%]	$f^{(+)}_{\text{NNLO}}$ [%]	f_{meas} [%]
off-sh.	1.88	1.97	1.99	
unpol.	100	100	100	
LL	$5.71^{+0.17(+0.34)}_{-0.18(-0.27)}(\pm 0.56)$	$5.69^{+0.04(+0.18)}_{-0.03(-0.15)}(\pm 0.25)$	$5.63^{+0.04(+0.19)}_{-0.02(-0.16)}$	7.2 ± 1.6
LT	$15.42^{+0.30(+1.38)}_{-0.29(-1.11)}(\pm 2.36)$	$16.46^{+0.27(+0.77)}_{-0.22(-0.65)}(\pm 1.13)$	$16.65^{+0.26(+0.81)}_{-0.22(-0.68)}$	11.9 ± 3.4
TL	$14.68^{+0.29(+1.32)}_{-0.29(-1.06)}(\pm 2.26)$	$15.71^{+0.22(+0.71)}_{-0.20(-0.61)}(\pm 1.08)$	$16.03^{+0.21(+0.75)}_{-0.19(-0.63)}$	15.2 ± 3.3
TT	$63.55^{+0.40(+4.38)}_{-0.45(-3.53)}(\pm 7.24)$	$61.52^{+0.45(+1.72)}_{-0.58(-1.56)}(\pm 3.08)$	$61.07^{+0.45(+1.84)}_{-0.57(-1.64)}$	66.0 ± 4.0
interf.	0.63	0.63	0.62	

SMEFT (1)

First SMEFT study with polarised-boson signals [Haisch GP et al. 2507.21768].

- automation in DPA based on SM package [GP Zanderighi 2311.05220] in POWHEG-BOX-RES [Alioli et al. 1002.2581, Jezo Nason 1509.09071, Chiesa et al. 2005.12146]
- pol. selection enabled in RECOLA 2 lib. [Denner Lang Uccirati 1711.07388]
- aTGC operators in Warsaw (new UFO) and HISZ basis [Chiesa Denner Lang 1804.01477]

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{HB} &= H^\dagger H\, B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}\,, & Q_{H\widetilde{B}} &= H^\dagger H\, B_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}\,, \\
Q_{HW} &= H^\dagger H\, W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i,\mu\nu}\,, & Q_{H\widetilde{W}} &= H^\dagger H\, W^i_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{W}^{i,\mu\nu}\,, \\
Q_{HWB} &= H^\dagger \sigma^i H\, W^i_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}\,, & Q_{H\widetilde{W}B} &= H^\dagger \sigma^i H\, \widetilde{W}^i_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}\,, \\
Q_W &= \epsilon_{ijk}\, W^{i,\nu}_{\mu} W^{j,\lambda}_{\nu} W^{k,\mu}_{\lambda}\,, & Q_{\widetilde{W}} &= \epsilon_{ijk}\, W^{i,\nu}_{\mu} W^{j,\lambda}_{\nu} \widetilde{W}^{k,\mu}_{\lambda}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

SMEFT (2)

Validation at f.o. (NLO QCD) with updated SMEFT@NLO [El Faham GP Vryonidou 2405.19083] and SM_ATGC [Chiesa Denner Lang 1804.01477] UFOs



SMEFT (3)

Pheno. for $C_W, C_{\widetilde{W}} = 1\text{TeV}^{-2}$, $C_{H\widetilde{W}B} = 0.01\text{TeV}^{-2}$: large effects on T modes

σ [fb], ATLAS fiducial [2211.09435]					
contribution	unpolarized	$W_L Z_L$	$W_L Z_T$	$W_T Z_L$	$W_T Z_T$
SM	34.64(2)	1.968(1)	5.357(2)	5.100(2)	21.99(2)
Q_W (lin.)	$-0.975(1)$	0	0.1229(3)	0.1435(3)	$-1.264(1)$
Q_W (quad.)	6.299(2)	0	0.0803(0)	0.1001(0)	6.110(2)
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$ (lin.)	$-0.013(1)$	0	$-0.0039(1)$	0.0056(1)	0.0102(9)
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$ (quad.)	6.443(2)	0	0.1312(1)	0.1661(1)	6.132(2)





Quantum tomography (1)

DPA calculation allows for sound quantum tomography of four-lepton final states [Del Gratta GP et al. 2509.20456, GP Re 2601.09540]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_1\, d\Omega_2\, dX} \Big/ \frac{d\sigma}{dX} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{l=1}^{2}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} \alpha^{(1)}_{lm}(X)\, Y_{lm}(\Omega_1) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{l'=1}^{2}\sum_{m='-l'}^{l'} \alpha^{(2)}_{l'm'}(X)\, Y_{l'm'}(\Omega_2)$$

$$+ \sum_{l,l'=1}^{2}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\sum_{m'=-l'}^{l'} \gamma_{lml'm'}(X)\, Y_{lm}(\Omega_1)\, Y_{l'm'}(\Omega_2)$$

$$= \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{i,i',j,j'=1}^{3} \rho_{i\,i'jj'}(X)\Gamma_{ii'}(\Omega_1)\Gamma_{jj'}(\Omega_2)$$

$\longrightarrow$ $\{\alpha_{lm}, \gamma_{lml'm'}\}$ to reconstruct spin-density matrix ρ (entanglement, purity ...)

$$9\mathrm{Tr}\left[\rho^2\right] = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{2}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\left(\kappa^{(1)}_{l}\alpha^{(1)}_{lm}\right)^2 + \sum_{l'=1}^{2}\sum_{m'=-l'}^{l'}\left(\kappa^{(2)}_{l'}\alpha^{(2)}_{l'm'}\right)^2 + \sum_{l,l'=1}^{2}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\sum_{m'=-l'}^{l'}\left(\kappa^{(1)}_{l}\kappa^{(2)}_{l'}\gamma_{lml'm'}\right)^2$$

Quantum tomography (2)

Quadratic EFT terms crucial for semi-positive-definite ρ [GP Re 2601.09540]



Conclusions & Outlook

Public code

VV_POL publicly available in PowHeg-Box-Res git repo
[Haisch Linder GP Re Zanderighi 2507.21768]



Diboson-specific Monte Carlo generator for PS-matched calculations at next-to-leading order QCD in SM and aTGC SMEFT

allows for calculations with polarised bosons in the DPA

Coming soon

MoCaNLO public by end of February
[Denner Lombardi Lopez Pellen GP, to appear]

General-purpose (LHC, lepton colliders, heavy-ion UPC, ..) Monte Carlo integrator for fixed-order calculations (no PS matching) at complete next-to-leading order (α_{S}, α_{EW})

allows for calculations with polarised bosons at NLO QCD + EW in the DPA

Conclusions

Where do we stand?

- fixed-order in the SM ✓ [(N)NLO QCD + NLO EW]
- MC-tool comparison & LHC recommendations ✓ [ZZ]
- matching to PS / merging ✓ [NLO QCD × PS & MJM]
- SMEFT studies ✓ [NLO QCD × PS]

What is next?

- NNLO+PS automation
- ML / polarisation tagging

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO BICOCCA

MARIE CURIE ACTIONS
Funded by the European Union

cost EUROPEAN COOPERATION IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
Funded by the European Union

INFN

Backup

General features of VBS



- Sensitive to gauge-Higgs unitarity cancellations
- Large loop EW corr. from EW Sudakov logs
  [Denner Pozzorini 0010201, Biedermann et al. 1611.02951]
- Sensitive to anomalous TGC, QGC and hVV couplings
  [Ethier et al. 2101.03180, Bellan et al. 2108.03199, ...]
- Overlap with Higgs-strahlung and triple-boson
  [Denner et al. 2406.12301, Denner Haitz GP 2409.03620, ...]

W^+W^+ (1)

$p\,p \to W^+(e^+\nu_e)\,W^+(\mu^+\nu_\mu)\,j\,j$ [Denner Haitz GP 2409.03620] @ NLO EW + QCD

CMS setup [CMS 2009.09429], Run-3 energy, WW-CM def. of polarised states

mode	σ_{LO} [fb]	δ_{EW}	δ_{QCD}	$\sigma_{\mathrm{NLO\,EW+QCD}}$ [fb]
full	$1.4863(1)^{+9.2\%}_{-7.8\%}$	-0.140	-0.047	$1.208(1)^{+1.6\%}_{-3.1\%}$
unp.	$1.46455(9)^{+9.2\%}_{-7.8\%}$	-0.142	-0.050	$1.1836(5)^{+1.7\%}_{-3.3\%}$
LL	$0.14879(1)^{+8.3\%}_{-7.2\%}$	-0.101	-0.044	$0.12715(8)^{+1.0\%}_{-2.1\%}$
LT,TL	$0.23209(2)^{+9.1\%}_{-7.8\%}$	-0.131	-0.042	$0.1919(1)^{+1.4\%}_{-2.8\%}$
TT	$0.87702(7)^{+9.4\%}_{-8.0\%}$	-0.154	-0.054	$0.6944(4)^{+1.9\%}_{-3.7\%}$
int.	$-0.0254(1)^{-8.9\%}_{+10.6\%}$	-0.139	-0.007	$-0.0217(7)^{-1.6\%}_{+0.7\%}$

NLO pol. fractions similar to LO

LL: 11%, LT+TL: 32%, TT: 59%, int: −2%, non-res: 2%

Ratio between subleading- and leading-lepton p_T's.





WZ (1)

$\mathrm{p\,p} \to \mathrm{W}^+(\mathrm{e}^+\nu_\mathrm{e})\,\mathrm{Z}(\mu^+\mu^-)\,\mathrm{j\,j}$ [Denner Haitz Franken Lombardi GP 2510.26462] @ NLO EW

ATLAS fid. setup [ATLAS 2403.15296], Run-3 energy, WZ-CM pol. def.

mode	σ_{LO} [fb]	δ_{EW} [%]	σ_{NLOEW} [fb]	f_{LO} [%]	f_{NLOEW} [%]
off-sh.	0.2723(1)	−18.7	0.2215(2)	101.7	101.4
unp.	0.26779(1)	−18.4	0.21838(4)	100.0	100.0
LL	0.023045(2)	−14.4	0.019697(5)	8.6	9.0
LT+TL	0.098391(6)	−17.9	0.08076(2)	36.7	37.0
TT	0.141723(9)	−19.6	0.11394(3)	52.9	52.2
int.	0.00463(2)	−13.9	0.00398(4)	1.7	1.7

Even larger EW corr. (−20% for TT) than in $\mathrm{W}^\pm\mathrm{W}^\pm$.

New: fudge factors to regulate un-subtractions in $\mathrm{WZ}V$ topologies.

WZ (2)

p_T of the di-jet system



rapidity sep. between ℓ_W and Z