

# Update background inputs for performance studies and electronics design



Giuliana Rizzo Universita' & INFN Pisa



SVT - April 10- 2012

## Background Update

#### OLD VALUES

- Present (Frascati March 2012) status of background rates shown in the table.
- Beam gas, almost ready, need to be included: first tests indicate beam gas~touscheck
- Need to supply a similar table for the track rate and cluster rate that will be used for fastsim performance studies (in preparation)

Layers	lato	readout pitch	Strip rate kHz	Ratio pairs / total	Ratio rad bhabha / total	Ratio touscheck LER/ total	Ratio touscheck HER/ total
0	1	50	1.21E+03	0.90	0.03	0.06	0.02
0	2	50	1.21E+03	0.82	0.04	0.11	0.04
1	phi	50	7.24E+02	0.58	0.04	0.30	0.07
1	z	100	4.73E+02	0.39	0.04	0.45	0.12
2	phi	55	5.25E+02	0.48	0.05	0.38	0.08
2	z	100	4.54E+02	0.31	0.05	0.52	0.12
3	phi	100	4.19E+02	0.33	0.11	0.47	0.08
3	z	110	2.70E+02	0.23	0.10	0.56	0.11
4	phi	100	9.00E+01	0.35	0.23	0.34	0.08
4	z	210	4.70E+01	0.36	0.20	0.35	0.09
5	phi	100	5.44E+01	0.39	0.21	0.32	0.08
5	z	210	3.08E+01	0.37	0.19	0.35	0.09

x5 safety included, correction of area not yet included

- Rates/area in this table estimated with the cylindrical approximation and need to be rescaled to take into account the correct silicon area.
- > Correction factors calculated from Bruno results on strip rates in local coordinates  $\rightarrow \sim 30\%$ -15% reduction in Layer0-1-2-3,  $\sim$ ok in Layer4-5

#### Hit Efficiency

Need to rerun efficiency study (PV\_MI) using new total rates (rescaled by correct area and including beam gas) nominal and x5.

renormaliz
ation due
to
correction
of area
0.687
0.690
0.853
0.904
0.870
0.894
0.890
0.880
0.980
0.992
1.059
0.992

## Update on average background rates for Electronics design (10/4/2012)

#### New data include:

- 1. Cenci files Vs 3 after renormalization fixed for pairs and rad bhabha (24 Feb). =-18%
- 2. Renormalization of area corrected ~ 30%-15% reduction in Layer0-1-2-3, ~ok in Layer4-5
- •To be 3. Beam gas contribution included assume ~ Touschek used for DetGeome LayerO in simulation is still at R~1.4 cm try sheet In 1+2+ Beam Cenci is implementing the correct radius (~1.6 cm) expect further reduction of about 30% in LO Electronic gas included Load xls Average total strip rate/area from Bruno Average total with Average strip corrected: 1-Average total strip rate/area total strip pairs rate from from Bruno normalizatio Average rate from SuperB with n 2-area total strip SuperB dimensio Average corrected: rate from normalizatio dimensions ns total strip renormaliz pairs n 3-SuperB including including rate/area normalizatio ation due dimensio contribution safetv x5 safety x5 from Bruno n and area beam gas ns to and average and (safety x5 (safety x5 (safety x5 including ganging in correction ganging included) included) safetv x5 included) (Khz) z(Khz) MHz/cm2 MHz/cm2 of area (Khz) MHz/cm2 Lavers lato 1997.86 1.908F+02 1194.83 1.130E+02 0.687 1335.80 1.215E+02 0 1 1997.86 2.613E+02 1194.83 0.690 1.574E+02 2 1.807E+02 1335.80 0 803.12 617.22 1.497E+01 0.853 1.150E+01 848.13  $1.580F+0^{\circ}$ 1 phi 507.91 427.72 1.031E+01 8.678E+00 0.904 670.60 1 1.361E+01 z 572.37 456.74 8.808E+00 7.029E+00 1.028E+01 667.97 0.870 2 phi 6.885E+00 480.21 405.69 666.72 5.816E+00 9.559E+00 2 0.894 Ζ 373.27 2.348E+00 449.40 579.55 3 0.890 1.950F+003.028E+00 phi 2.994E+00 283.24 237.67 2.512E+00 4.193E+00 396.67 3 Ζ 0.880 3.371E-01 98.49 88.22 125.20 4 3.020E-01 4.286E-01 phi 0.980 2.180E-01 46.63 51.29 2.853E-01 67.13 4 1.982E-01 z 0.992 1.593E-01 57.65 81.13 59.78 5 phi 1.536E-01 2.162E-01 1.059 43.90 5 1.142E-01 33.68 30.58 1.488E-01 z 1.037E-0<sup>-</sup> 0.992

G. RIZZO

#### Conservative estimate of max strip rate phi from Bruno - for Electronics design (10/4/2012)

- Can assume max strip rate phi is in forward half module (HM) and Forw BaBar and Forw SuperB identical.
- For max strip rate F HM SuperB can take (conservatively) the max strip rate given for F+B BaBar

Layers

0

0

1

1

2

2

3

3

4

4

5

5

G. RIZZO

Data from:

- 1. Cenci files Vs 3 after renormalization fixed for pairs and rad bhabha (24 Feb). =-20%
- 2. Renormalization of area does not affect strip rates calculated in Bruno with locol coordinates

4

3. Beam gas contribution included = touschek



## Rates April 12 - for efficiency studies

•New rates include:

normalization fixed for pairs and rad bhabha

renormalized area to compensate cylindrical approx.

Beam gas estimate=touscheck included

•Reduction to 70% of LayerO rates for new LO radius (1.6 cm) included

					Ratio	Ratio	renormaliza	reduced to
				Ratio rad	touscheck+	touscheck +	tion due to	70% of
		readout	Ratio pairs /	bhabha /	beam gas	beam gas	correction	Bruno
Layers	lato	pitch	total	total	LER/ total	HER/ total	of area	estimates
0	1	50	0.832	0.027	0.108	0.033	0.687	9.33E+02
0	2	50	0.711	0.031	0.193	0.065	0.690	9.37E+02
1	phi	50	0.423	0.032	0.438	0.106	0.853	8.48E+02
1	z	100	0.248	0.028	0.577	0.147	0.904	6.71E+02
2	phi	55	0.331	0.036	0.518	0.115	0.870	6.68E+02
2	z	100	0.187	0.030	0.635	0.148	0.894	6.67E+02
3	phi	100	0.215	0.074	0.603	0.109	0.890	5.80E+02
3	z	110	0.140	0.059	0.674	0.128	0.880	3.97E+02
4	phi	100	0.249	0.160	0.480	0.110	0.980	1.25E+02
4	z	210	0.250	0.139	0.487	0.124	0.992	6.71E+01
5	phi	100	0.274	0.147	0.460	0.119	1.059	8.11E+01
5	z	210	0.260	0.133	0.487	0.120	0.992	4.39E+01

Strip rate with

renormalize

d area KHz including ganging z

## Rates April 12 - for performance studies

•New rates include:

normalization fixed for pairs and rad bhabha

renormalized area to compensate cylindrical approx.

•Beam gas estimate=touscheck included

•Reduction to 70% of LayerO rates for new LO radius (1.6 cm) included

		Total (assuming beam gas=touschek)								Fa	istsim pail	rs L0=1.6 (	m	
		Track rate	average	cluster	strip rate	average strip multiplicit	renormaliz ation due to	Total track rate MHz/cm2 (area corrected and Layer0 reduced to 70%	Total Cluster rate Mhz/cm2 (area corrected and Layer0 reduced	Total Strip rate Mhz/cm2 (area corrected and Layer0 reduced	Track rate	cluster	ratio total track rate fullsim/fas	ratio total cluster rate fullsim/fas
		per area	multiplicit	rate	per area	v (col G/	correction	R=~1.6	to 70%	to 70%	per area	rate	tsim pairs	tsim pairs
avers	lato	MHz/cm2	у	MHz/cm2	MHz/cm2	col H)	of area	cm)	R~1.6 cm)	R~1.6 cm)	MHz/cm2	MHz/cm2	L0=1.6 cm	L0=1.6 cm
0	1	3.38E+00	2.53E+00	8.527E+00	3.528E+01	4.137E+00	0.687	1.625E-01	4.103E+00	1.697E+01	1.230E+00	2.860E+00	0.132	1.435
0	2				5.219E+01		0.690			2.521E+01				
1	phi	2.54E-01	2.49E+00	6.328E-01	3.705E+00	5.855E+00	0.853	2.169E-01	5.397E-01	3.160E+00	7.080E-02	1.910E-01	3.063	2.826
1	z				3.009E+00		0.904			2.719E+00				
2	phi	1.87E-01	2.42E+00	4.518E-01	2.353E+00	5.209E+00	0.870	1.623E-01	3.928E-01	2.046E+00	3.410E-02	8.930E-02	4.759	4.399
2	z				2.134E+00		0.894			1.907E+00				
3	phi	8.92E-02	2.62E+00	2.336E-01	6.772E-01	2.899E+00	0.890	7.939E-02	2.080E-01	6.030E-01	7.180E-03	1.850E-02	11.056	11.245
3	Z				9.468E-01		0.880			8.333E-01				
4	phi	2.28E-02	1.65E+00	3.774E-02	8.666E-02	2.296E+00	0.980	2.237E-02	3.699E-02	8.493E-02	5.550E-04	1.410E-03	40.307	26.231
4	Z				5.694E-02		0.992			5.646E-02				
5	phi	1.32E-02	1.59E+00	2.110E-02	4.044E-02	1.916E+00	1.059	1.402E-02	2.234E-02	4.282E-02	2.510E-04	8.460E-04	55.876	26.411
5	Z				2.980E-02		0.992			2.957E-02				

## Rates April 12 - for performance studies

•New rates include:

normalization fixed for pairs and rad bhabha

renormalized area to compensate cylindrical approx.

Beam gas estimate=touscheck included

•Reduction to 70% of LayerO rates for new LO radius (1.6 cm) included

	Strip rate			
	with			
	renormali			
	zed area	Offline		
	KHz	time		
	including	window		
	ganging z	(5x time		
	and x 5	resolution		
	safety, L0	from	offline	offline
	reduced	simulation	strip	cluster
	to 70% of	ratti &	occupanc	occupanc
raadaut	Bruno	bombelli)	y (x5	y (x5
nitch	estimates	ns	included)	included)
50	9.32E+02	50	0.047	0.011
50	9.32E+02	50	0.047	
50	8.479E+02	75	0.064	0.011
100	6.700E+02	75	0.050	
55	6.649E+02	75	0.050	0.010
100	6.652E+02	75	0.050	
100	5.770E+02	100	0.058	0.020
110	3.942E+02	100	0.039	
100	1.241E+02	250	0.031	0.014
210	6.643E+01	250	0.017	
100	8.034E+01	450	0.036	0.019
210	4 361E+01	450	0.000	0.010
		100	0.020	

Layers	lato	readout pitch
0	1	50
0	2	50
1	phi	50
1	z	100
2	phi	55
2	z	100
3	phi	100
3	z	110
4	phi	100
4	z	210
5	phi	100
5	z	210

G. Rizzo

#### March 10, 2012

### Input for performance studies

Performance with Fastsim will be evaluated with nominal background & x5 in several configurations:

- Nominal shaping time and offline time window
  - Efficiency (nominal and x5) need to be reevaluated
- Reduced shaping time & offline time window
  - L1-2=50 e 75ns
  - L3=100 e 150 ns

G. Rizzo

- L4=350 ns e L5=500-750 ns
- Efficiency (nominal and x5) need to be reevaluated
- Reduced time window need to be evaluated

						resolut
Layer	t <sub>p</sub> [ns]	<b>t</b> <sub>р</sub> ∕ Т <sub>ск,т</sub> от	f <sub>ck,⊤s</sub> [MHz]	σ <sub>walk</sub> [ns]	σ <sub>t0</sub> [ns]	from simulat
0	25	3	30	2.1	9.8	ns
1	100	3	30	83	12.7	50
-	100	-		0.0		75
2	100	3	30	8.3	12.7	75
3	200	3	30	16.7	19.2	100
4	500	3	30	41.7	42.8	250
5	1000	3	30	83.3	83.9	450



Offline time window (5x hit time resolution from simulation) ns 50 75 75 75 100

## Nominal time window cut

- From the study on hit time resolution (PV) take the nominal time window cut
- Results from MI simulation on L5 (I us shaping and 4 bit TOT) indicate 50 ns resolution on time instead of 84 ns obtained by PV with the same conditions.
- Need to understand this discrepancy before accepting the smallest value.
- Possible reduction in layer5 are also possible (25 ns on time walk resolution with 6 TOT bit) according to MI simulation

Keep the conservative estimate now!

Layer	t <sub>p</sub> [ns]	† <sub>р</sub> ∕ Т <sub>ск,т</sub> от	f <sub>ск,тs</sub> [MHz]	σ <sub>walk</sub> [ns]	σ <sub>t0</sub> [ns]
0	25	3	30	2.1	9.8
1	100	3	30	8.3	12.7
2	100	3	30	8.3	12.7
3	200	3	30	16.7	19.2
4	500	3	30	41.7	42.8
5	1000	3	30	83.3	83.9

Offline time window (5x hit time resolution from simulation) ns 50 75 75 75 100 250

450