MANTRA - BES III

Chandrasekhar Akondi

Dr. Isabella Garzia

University of Ferrara, Ferrara, IT

Università degli Studi di Ferrara

Outline

Quick recap

- We had some issues with version 7.0.8, so previously worked on v6.6.5p01
- Now We are able to work with 7.0.8
- Simulated 200k events
- Compare MC with real data.

$J/\psi \to p\bar{n}\pi^-$ MC simulation

Name of the selection cut	cut Range
Rvxy	$\geq$1.0
Rvz0	$\leq$10.0
cosθ_{mdctrack}	$\geq$0.93
Good charge tracks	= 2
MdcKalTrack Probability pion and proton selected	
net charge	= 0
cosθ emc track energy emc track	$\leq$0.80 $\geq$ 0.05 *GeV*
cosθ emc track energy emc track	[0.86 , 0.92] $\geq$ 0.05 *GeV*
time window	0-14 ns
number of showers	1-9

$J/\psi \to p\bar{n}\pi^-$ MC simulation (cont)

Δt distribution

- $\Delta t_n = t_{TOF} - t_{exp(n)}$
- $\Delta t_\gamma = t_{TOF} - t_{exp(\gamma)}$
  - $t_{exp}=L/(\beta c)$, $\beta=p/\sqrt{(p^2c^2+M^2c^4)}$
    - L is the path of flight by extrapolating the corresponding shower from EMC to TOF
    - p is momentum of neutral track from recoiling charged tracks



Dr.Pelian talk1: TOF neutral reconstruction

Dr.Pelian talk2: TOF neutral reconstruction

$J/\psi \to p\bar{n}\pi^-$ MC simulation (cont)

Most energetic Shower Vs $\Delta t_{\bar{n}/\gamma}$





Summary and Future work

- Hiccups:
- Having some issues with boss.condor submission
- Most of my jobs are kept in "Held" status, don't know why?
- Not wrapping the final root fine correctly, producing Zombie file
- Contacted the experts waiting for their response
- Future Work:
- Reason behind the peak in $\Delta t_{\bar{n}} \sim 1ns$
- Compare real data with MC simulation.
- Shower shape, recoil momenta, seed energy, lat momenta, second momenta Vs $\Delta t_{\bar{n}}$ for real and MC data.