Aspetti Dinamici e Termodinamici del Quark-Gluon Plasma

- V. Greco Università di Catania
- in collaborazione con
- F. Becattini Università di Firenze M. Nardi – INFN Torino
- C. Ratti Università di Torino



Outline

Aim (not a review on results and theorethical models):

- Provide basic introduction to QGP & next talks
- Underline aspects and tools shared with other nuclear physics fields

QCD and **QGP** at high temperature :

- Thermodynamics, lattice QCD: EoS of QGP
- Initial state: gluon saturation
- Nearly perfect fluid
- QGP in the heavy quark sector
- Hadronization in a hot QCD medium

Finanziamenti e Attività Teorica in Italia

Progetti-Fondi:

- ♦ RM31 (coord. Naz. F. Becattini) : FI, BA, CT, FE, LNS, PV, TO, TS, RM1
- ♦ FIRB 2008 (coord. Naz. V.G. + C.Ratti): CT, TO
- ♦ PRIN 2009 (coord. Naz. F. Becattini): FI,CT,TO
- ♦ ERC-StG2010 (coord. V.G.): CT
- ♦ HadronPhysics3- WP2 (coord. Naz. F. Becattini): FI,CT
- + QGP International School (W. Alberico & M. Nardi) Villa Gualino joint activity with ALICE (L.Ramello, E. Vercellin & F. Antinori)

Matter under extreme conditions...

Fermi Notes on Thermodynamics







Eleven Science Questions for the New Century RESEARCH COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES...

No. 7 - What Are the New States of Matter at Exceedingly High Density and Temperature? QGP is at T>10¹²K and $\rho > 10^{40}$ cm⁻³

Phase Transition: from the hadronic side



Integral diverges for T->T₀:

hadronic matter cannot have a $T>T_0$

Cabibbo-Parisi, PLB59(1975) - the year after the Gross -Wilczek paper: Divergency of the partition function has to be associated with a phase transition of hadronic matter to quark-gluon matter + asymptotic freedom at large T -> weakly quark gluon gas

 m_0



Lattice QCD : a huge computational effort

Solving QCD on a grid of points in space and time with size $(N_s)^3 x N_t$



 Only thermodynamical observables (EoS, susceptibility, ...) or correlators No formulation for dynamical processes!

- ✓ Cannot be directly used at finite baryon density (no neutron star aargh!!)
- ✓ Only very recently, calculations for physical quark masses became feasible!

It is a fundamental guidance, but it is not sufficient !

EoS from lattice QCD



Reference a gas of non-interacting massless particle ... Stefan-Boltzmann

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{SB}}{T^4} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left[\frac{7}{8} d_{q+\bar{q}} + d_g \right]$$

Stefan-Boltzmann limit not reached by 20 % : QGP as a weak interacting gas?

> $\varepsilon_c \approx 0.7 \, GeV / fm^3$ $T_c \approx 160 \, MeV$

T > T_c not a hadron gas but not a massless quark-gluon gas

No interaction means also $I = \varepsilon - 3p = 0$ (for a massless gas)

C. Ratti for the Wuppertal-Budapest collaboration, QM2012 talk

Degrees of freedom in the Universe



D.J.Schwartz, Ann. Phys. 2004

Order Parameters of the Phase Transition

Polyakov Loop - Confinement



$$L \propto Tr e^{ig \int_0^\beta A_0(\vec{x},t)d\tau} \approx e^{-H_{\rm int}/T}$$

Order parameter for $m_a \rightarrow \infty$

Confinamento $H_{int} = \infty \rightarrow L=0$

Crossover nature of the transition

- no real order parameter
- very smooth behavior with temperature
- still exhibit a rapid change in the vicinity of the phase transition

WB collaboration, JHEP (2010)

Yaoki et al., Nature **443**, 675-678 (2006)

ATTIVITA' IN ITALIA su lQCD legata al QGP:

- C.Ratti (TO) collaboration with Wuppertal Budapest
- M. D'Elia (PI) phase diagram under large magnetic field,
- M. Lombardo (LNF) phase diagram at finite μ_B
- P. Cea and Cosmai (BA) Confinamento...



T_c decrease with B
 Transiton becomes stronger towards a I order?

How to produce a matter with $\epsilon >>1$ GeV/fm³ lasting for $\tau > 1$ fm/c in a volume much larger than a hadron?



Accelerator	Lab.	Max. E _{beam} [AGeV]	\sqrt{s} [AGeV]	Contra ction
RHIC	BNL	100 +100	9-200	100
(00)				
LHC	CERN	2750+2750	2750-5500	2750
(09)				

Some typical definitions





LHC

Exploring the phase diagram



new medium created from the energy deposited μ_B =0 (quark=antiquarks) Hotter-denser-longer increasing E_{beam}



Increasing beam energy -> transparency Energy distributed in a larger volume

Statistical Model analysis



F. Becattini



Cleymans et al., PRC(2006)



Freeze-out vs lattice QCD



Interesting the relative location between the freezeout in HIC and critical line of the phase diagram

Soft and Hard probes

<u>SOFT</u> ($P_T \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$, T) DRIVEN BY *NON PERTURBATIVE QCD*

Hadron yields, <u>collective modes of the bulk</u>, strangeness enhancement, fluctuations, thermal radiation, dilepton enhancement

<u>HARD</u> ($P_T >>> \Lambda_{QCD}$) Early production, pQCD applicable, Baseline pp, pA

jet quenching, <u>heavy quarks</u>, quarkonia, hard photons (W,Z)

> Nuclear modification factor $R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{d^2 N^{AA} / dp_T}{N_{coll} d^2 N^{NN} / dp_T} = \frac{medium}{vacuum}$



The various Probes

Going from $p_T \approx 1 a 500 \Lambda_{QCD}$ and $m_q \approx 1/20 a 20 \Lambda_{QCD}$



The various Probes

Going from $p_T \approx 1 a 500 \Lambda_{QCD}$ and $m_q \approx 1/20 a 20 \Lambda_{QCD}$



Initial Condition – "exotic" non equilibrium CGC: gluon saturation

Initial conditions: CGC?



x= parton momentum fraction from kinematic a particle at p_T and y comes from parton with $x = \frac{p_T}{r} e^{\pm y}$

proton pdf's extracted from HERA DIS data





We know the evolution of pdf at smaller x and fixed Q^2 is given by BFKL (-> linear evolution)

$$\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{\partial \ln(\mathbf{x}_0 / \mathbf{x})} \approx \mathcal{K} \otimes \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \longrightarrow \phi_{\mathbf{BFKL}} \sim \mathbf{x}^{-\alpha_{\mathbf{s}} \, \boldsymbol{\omega}}$$

At very high gluon density there are non linear effects: -> gluon saturation?! or violation of Unitariety

At both RHIC & LHC protons are gluon clouds

Color Glass Condensate initial conditions?

Parton distribution function



 $Q_{sat}^2(s) \propto \alpha_s(Q^2) \frac{xg(x,Q^2)}{\pi R^2} \propto A^{1/3}$

What is the impact of a different intial condition?

Some prediction for the phenomenology

Supparels sion at for own and trappidity Multiplicitty $R_{pPb}^{ch}(\eta=4)$ rcBK-MC, min bias MCrcBK 200GeV rcBK-MC, Npart >10 10 MCrcBK 2.76TeV cme= 5 TeV rcBK-MC, LO+inelastic term α=0. ALICE 2.76TeV 1.5 1.5 EPS09 nPDF PHOBOS 200GeV dN/dn/N /2 HC Pb-Pb 0.5 0.5 RHIC Au+Au 100 200 300 400 0 0 10 pt (GeV/c) $\mathrm{d}N^{\mathrm{gluons}}$ $\propto \mathbf{Q_s^2}(\sqrt{s}, \mathbf{b}) \sim \sqrt{s}^{0.3} \, \mathbf{N_{part}}$ $\mathbf{d}\eta \, \mathbf{d^2 b}$ $\Delta \phi = \pi$ Correct description of the multiplicity Evolution with energy (also in pp)

At LHC (low x, denser system) :

- effects on correlation
- forward minijet suppression
 Should allow a much better insight!

The various Probes

Going from $p_T \approx 1 a 500 \Lambda_{QCD}$ and $m_q \approx 1/20 a 20 \Lambda_{QCD}$



Bulk QGP – Hydrodynamics BUT finite viscosities (η,ζ)
 Does we have a gas of quark and gluons?
 What is the pressure of the created system?

Collective Expansion – information from non-equilibrium



Radial Flow expansion expected to be anisotropic





 v_2/ϵ measures efficiency in converting the eccentricity from Coordinate to Momentum space

$$\varepsilon_{x} = \left\langle \frac{y^{2} - x^{2}}{y^{2} + x^{2}} \right\rangle$$

$$\eta$$
/s viscosity
 $c^{2}_{s}=dP/d\epsilon - EoS$

Can be seen also as Fourier expansion

$$\frac{dN}{dp_T d\phi} = \frac{dN}{dp_T} \left[1 + 2v_2 \cos(2\phi) + 2v_4 \cos(4\phi) + \dots \right]$$

by symmetry v_n odd expected to be zero ...

$$v_2 = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \cos(2\phi_p) \right\rangle$$



Ideal Hydrodynamics: a perfect fluid?

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0\\ \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}_{B}(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \left[\varepsilon + p\right] u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - p g^{\mu\nu}$$
$$T^* \approx T_{\rm f} + \frac{1}{2} m \left< \beta_{\rm T}^2 \right>$$

 $T_{f} \sim 120 \text{ MeV}$ < $\beta_{T} > \sim 0.5$

No microscopic description ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$), no dissipation,...only conservation laws!



Mass ordering of v₂(p_T)

For the first time very close to ideal Hydrodynamics



Finite Shear Viscosity

Simple case of a motion only along x







Uncertain initial condition $\langle \rangle \eta/s$



$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{ideal} + \eta (\nabla^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \nabla^{\nu} u^{\mu} - \frac{2}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} u_{\alpha})$$

Shear Motion generates a finite dissipation

First order gradient expansion (Hooke-like law – Navier Stokes)



Similarly in the same years for a very cold system

Strongly interacting ⁶Li cold atoms In a magnetic trap: Fermi degenerate gas





O'Hara et al., Science 298(2002) $\eta/s \approx 0.24$

Viscous Hydrodynamics



<u>A problem</u>:

Dissipative correction to f -> f_{eq} + δf_{neq}

There is no one to one correspondence!

$$T_{eq}^{\mu\nu} + \delta T^{\mu\nu} \Leftarrow f_{eq} + \delta f$$

An Asantz (Grad) -> arbitrarietà p_T> 1.5 GeV

$$\delta f = \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}}{\varepsilon + P} \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{T^2} f_{eq} \approx \frac{\eta}{3s} \frac{p_T^2}{\tau T^2} f_{eq}$$

Toward a transport approach as at lower energy (see talk di ieri...)



Attivita' in Italia: sviluppo codice 3+1D ECHOQGP

Nell'ambito delle inziative RM31 e PRIN2009

Collaborazione Firenze (F. Becattini, V. Chandra, L. Del Zanna G. Inghirami), Ferrara (A. Drago, G. Pagliara, V. Rolando), Torino (A. Beraudo, A. De Pace)

Sviluppato a partire da codice idro ideale per Plasmi astrofisici (autore L. Del Zanna)





Transport approach

$$\left\{p^{*\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \left[p^{*}_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + m^{*}\partial^{\mu}m^{*}\right]\partial^{p^{*}}_{\mu}\right\}f(x,p^{*}) = C_{2\leftrightarrow 2} + C_{2\leftrightarrow 3} + \dots$$

Free streaming Field Interaction -> €≠3P (EoS)

Collisions -> η≠0

 Microscopic scale has some relevance? Know more about QGP?
 Can we link the effective £ <-> transport dynamics in HIC Thermodynamics <-> phenomenology of HIC

> valid also at intermediate & high p_T out of equilibrium:

> valid also at high η/s -> LHC - $\eta/s(T)$, cross-over region

Appropriate for heavy quark dynamics

A unified framework against a separate modelling with a wide range of validity in η , ζ , p_T + microscopic level

First application: f.o. at RHIC & LHC

Nell'ambito dei progetti FIRB2008 e ERC-StG

Collaborazione: CT-LNS (VG, A. Plumari, A. Puglisi, F. Scardina, M. Ruggieri); TO (C. Ratti, M. Bluhm)



• RHIC: η /s increase in the cross-over region equivalent to double η /s in the QGP

• <u>LHC</u>: almost insensitivity to cross-over ($\approx 5\%$) : <u>v₂ from pure QGP</u>!

Without $\eta/s(T)$ increase T \leq Tc we would have had $v_2(LHC) < v_2(RHIC)$ Statements in ALICE PRL 105(2010) should be revisited

Sensitivity in transport using same η /s(T)



 \checkmark Larger sensitivity on η /s (T) at LHC

✓ Effect larger respect to viscous hydro, but this depends also on δf

✓ An estimate of η /s(T) is more meaningful with transport approach

Recent development: from averages to event-by-event



also v_1 and n > 6

Compute $v_n = \langle \cos[n(\phi - \psi_n)] \rangle$

What are really the fluctuations and/or the Initial Conditions?



Models predict quite different "spikes":

- This means to go to microscopic details & local large gradients -> the field of transport

η /s smoothen fluctuations and affect more higher harmonics



Viscous



$\eta/s=0$	$\eta/s=0.1$

High harmonics fluctuations reminds the CMB fluctuation and WMAP





Freeze-out $\tau \approx 380.000 \text{ y's}$ (QGP $\approx 10^{-22} \text{ s}$) Sound horizon R \approx Mps (QGP $\approx 6 \text{ fm}$)

Of course n=200 is not possible to be seen for a hadron system with $R \approx 10$ fm

The last impressive measurement



Staig & Shuryak, PRC (2011)

None of the models reproduce the correct shapes:

- No peak at n=3
- Too large for n> 6

A very promising new challenge -> new findings and knoweledge



KEY QUESTIONS

- η/s(T)
- V_n , $P(v_n)$ revealing miroscopic details?
- we see the QGP of the lQCD? EoS and η, ζ
- Is there a bulk viscosity?
- We will costraint the initial state: CGC, Glauber, Quantum YG?

The various Probes

Going from $p_T \approx 1 a 500 \Lambda_{QCD}$ and $m_q \approx 1/20 a 20 \Lambda_{QCD}$



Hard Particle Production: studies in particle and hadronic physics are the baseline

- Minijets perturbative QCD BUT strong Jet-Bulk "talk"
- Heavy Quarks Brownian motion (?) BUT strongly dragged by the Bulk
- Quarkonia Are suppressed or regenerated



Specific of Heavy Quark

 $> m_{c,b} >> \Lambda_{QCD}$ produced by pQCD processes (out of equil.)

 $> m_{c,b} >> T_0$ no thermal production

 $\succ \tau_{eq} > \tau_{QGP} >> \tau_{q,g}$ carry more information

> m>>T -> q²<<m² transport reduced to Brownian motion

 $> q_0 << |\vec{q}|$ Concept of potential V(r) <-> lQCD

Ideas about Heavy Quarks before RHIC

1) $m_Q >> m_q$ HQ not dragged by the expanding medium:

- spectra close to the pp one
- small elliptic flow \boldsymbol{v}_2



2) $m_Q >> \Lambda_{QCD}$ provide a better test of jet quenching:

- Color dependence: $R_{AA}(B/D/h)$ - q/g=4/9 Casimir factor

- Mass dependence: $R_{AA}(B/D/h)$ - "dead" cone

3) $\overline{Q}Q$ Quarkonium dissoved by charge screening: Thermometer



$$V \approx -\alpha_{eff} \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$$

dissociation

$$r_{Q\bar{Q}} \geq \frac{1}{m_D} \approx \frac{1}{gT}$$

$$\chi_c$$
, J/ Ψ , χ_b , Y, ...

More binding -> smaller radius -> higher temperature of suppression



Problems with ideas 1 & 2

1.0 PHENIX dN_/dy=1000 STAR QM05 prelim 0.8 DGLV Rad only 9.0 Blectron R_{AA}(p_T) 9.0 B + Elastic + G e/(b+c) e/c 0.2 0.0 10 2 8 p, (GeV)

S. Wicks et al. (QM06)

- Radiative energy loss not sufficient
- > Charm seems to flow like light quarks





<u>Heavy Quark strongly dragged by interaction with light quarks</u> pQCD does not work may be the real cross section is a K factor larger

Strong suppression

Charm dynamics with upscaled pQCD cross section



Fokker-Plank for charm interaction in a hydro bulk



$$D \propto \int d^3k \left| M_{g(q)c}(k,p) \right|^2 k^2$$

Scattering matrix



It's not just a matter of pumping up pQCD cross section: too low R_{AA} or too low v_2

Solution typical of Many-Body Nuclear Theory scattering with V_{IQCD} gives resonance states!





$$U_{1} = F_{1} - T \frac{dF_{1}}{dT}$$

V₁(r,T) = U₁(r,T) - U₁(∞,T)

<u>Scattering states included:</u> Singlet + Octet -triplet -sextet

> "Im T" dominated by meson and diquark channel



Drag Coefficient from IQCD-V(r) scattering

Opposite T-dependence of γ not a K-factor difference



$$\gamma p = \int d^3k |M(k,p)|^2 p$$
 Drag coefficient
 $\gamma = D/mT$

With IQCD- V(r):

-> one can expect more V₂ with the same R_{AA} because there is a stronger interaction just when v₂ is formed.

ImT increase with temperature compensates for decreasing scatterer density

Does it solve the problem of "too low R_{AA} or too low v_2 "?

T-matrix calculation vs PHENIX data



Hees-Rapp-Greco, PRL100 (2008)

The various Probes

Going from $p_T \approx 1 a 500 \Lambda_{QCD}$ and $m_q \approx 1/20 a 20 \Lambda_{QCD}$



Hadronization – Can modify QGP observables? Is it the same as in pp?

A surprise@RHIC



Coalescence/Fragm.



Exploit dense quark medium

$$\frac{d^{3}N_{H}}{d^{3}P} = \int_{\Sigma} f_{q}(P/2) \otimes f_{\overline{q}}(P/2) \otimes \Phi_{M}$$

Add quark momenta More easy to produce baryons

Hadronization by Coalescence



$$\frac{dN_{H}}{dp_{T}}(p_{T}) \propto \left[\frac{dN_{q}}{dp_{T}}(p_{T}/n)\right]^{n}$$

Quark number scaling



$$\frac{\text{Coalescence scaling }_{V_{2,M}}(p_T) \approx 2v_{2,q}(p_T/2)}{v_{2,B}(p_T) \approx 3v_{2,q}(p_T/3)}$$

Dynamical quarks visible Knowledge on hadronization in QCD medium

Fries-Greco-Sorensen - Ann. Rev. Part. Sci. 58, 177 (2008)

Baryon-to-meson ratio: p/π at LHC

Missed resonance decay

proton-proton ••••• Pb-Pb different centralities



p/π ratio at $p_T \approx 3$ GeV/c in 0–5% central Pb–Pb collisions factor ~ 3 higher than in pp at p_T above ~ 10 GeV/c back to the "normal" pp value

R.J.Fries et sol., PRL 90 202303; PR C68 044902

recombination – radial flow ?

Adapted from 13 August 2012 Overview of ALICE K.Safarik

Summary

<u>Ultrarelativistic HIC present a very rich physics case:</u>

- QCD: perturbative and non-perturbative (lattice)
- Hydrodynamics and Transport Theory
- Nuclear Many-Body technicques
- Thermodynamics and Phase Transitions
- Observables shared with HIC at lower energies extended
- Information and techniques from hadronic physics
- Supersymmetric String theory at infinite coupling

Last 10 y's have seen many new results and knowledge:

> perfect fluid, very opaque to jets, surprising behavior of heavy quarks, modified in medium hadronization, ...

> NEXT TALKS

Theory of Strong Interaction: QCD $L_{QCD} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} \overline{\psi}_i \gamma_\mu \left(i\partial^\mu - gA_a^\mu \frac{\lambda_a}{2} \right) \psi_i - m_i \overline{\psi}_i \psi_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum_a F_a^{\mu\nu} F_a^{\mu\nu}$ $F_a^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A_a^\nu - \partial^\nu A_a^\mu + i f_{abc} A_b^\mu A_c^\mu$ Similar to QED, but gluons self-interact!

A double-fold problem studying the QGP

- QCD solvable only numerically on lattice (lQCD) and NOT for time dependent processes:
 Need of effective lagrangian approach
- Information only from the transient state with non-equilibrium processes:
- -> Transport theory to simulate the HIC dynamics



Asymptotic Freedom ->

FOUR-MOMENTTRANSFER Q (GeV)

100

0.1

The basic relations of reference

What we should expect for a plasma of quark & gluons? What is the EoS of the QGP?

Ideally our reference is a gas of non-interacting massless quarks and gluons!

$$n = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{p/T} \pm 1} = \nu \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} T^3 \qquad \qquad \nu = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{bosons} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{fermions} \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta(3) = 1.202$ (Riemann ζ function)

$$\epsilon = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p}{\mathrm{e}^{p/T} \pm 1} = \nu' \frac{\pi^2}{30} \mathcal{T}^{4} \qquad \qquad \nu' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{bosons} \\ \frac{7}{8} & \text{fermions} \end{cases}$$

pressure: $p = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ In other words the reference is the Stefan-Boltzmann law for black-body

entropy density:
$$Ts = \epsilon + P = \frac{4}{3}\epsilon \implies s = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\epsilon}{T} = 2\nu'\frac{\pi^2}{45}T^4$$

All should be multiplied by degrees of freedom $d_{q+q}=2*2*3*N_f=24-30$, $d_g=8*2$

Identified-particle v_2



 $n_{q}(m_{T})$ -scaling worse than at RHIC

 $n_q(p_T)$ -scaling at $p_T > 1.2 \text{ GeV}/c$ violation 10–20% 13 August 2012 Overview of ALICE <u>K.Safarik</u>

Quarkonia Suppression?

QQ Quarkonium dissoved by charge screening: Thermometer





$$r_{Q\bar{Q}} \geq \frac{1}{m_D} \approx \frac{1}{gT}$$

$$\chi_{c},$$
 J/ $\Psi,$ $\chi_{b},$ Y, ...

More binding -> smaller radius -> higher temperature of suppression







Gluon dissociation

Quasi-free dissociation



Scattering e Screening effects (NuclearAstrophysics)
 Charm shadowing in pdf (hadronic physics)

Charm production cross section (particle physics)





The modeling



HQ scattering in QGP



 $\gamma p = \int d^3k \left| M(k,p) \right|^2 p$

T<<m_Q

• Elastic pQCD

 $D = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k \left| M(k, p) \right|^2 p^2 \bullet \mathbf{T} \text{-matrix } \mathbf{V(r)} \text{-IQCD}$



Hadronization

From

scattering

matrix |M|²



Relevance of microscopic scale: $\sigma(\theta)$



- Microscopic details of the cross section matter at p_T> 2 GeV
- Larger screening mass at LHC!?
- v_n at p_T> 2 GeV can provide more information on the QGP micro details

From low to high p_T



Takes into account the non-perturbative physics -> $4\pi\eta/s=1$, but at all p_T !

Including the obvious:

$$\sigma^*(s) = K(s / \Lambda^2) \sigma_{pQCD}(s)$$

(s)
$$K(s) = 1 + \gamma e^{-s/2}$$

 Λ^2

not a parameter it is fixed by η/s)



Only approach that describe $v_2(p_T)$ up to 12 GeV in a unified framework!

- Allow to extend the agreement to larger p_T , but does not affect the low p_T

S. Plumari and VG, EPIC@LHC, AIP1422(2012)- arXiV:1110.4138 [hep-ph]

Hard Particle Production $p_T, M >> \Lambda_{QCD}$ in pp



Factorization of the process in 2 steps:

- Hard collisions: pQCD
- Hadronization: npQCD

Starting point the parton distribution function

Parton Distribution Functions

Hard-scattering cross-section

Fragmentation Function

 $p_{had} = z p_c$, z < 1 energy needed to create quarks from vacuum



The scheme is the same for light high pt particle or for heavy quarks

$$\frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{h}}{dyd^{2}p_{T}} = K \sum_{abcd} \int dx_{a} dx_{b} \frac{f_{a}(x_{a},Q^{2})f_{b}(x_{b},Q^{2})}{d\hat{t}} \frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}} (ab \rightarrow cd) \frac{D_{h/c}^{0}}{\pi z_{c}}$$

<k²_T>=1.8 GeV² is necessary

AA:Hard Particle Production $p_T, M >> \Lambda_{OCD}$





Jet Quenching



B. Jacak and B. Muller, Science 337 (2012)

✤ Jet gluon radiation observed:

- all hadrons R_{AA} <<1 and almost flat in p_T
- photons not quenched -> suppression due to QCD
- Energy density estimated e \approx 15 GeV/fm³ >> ε_0 and consistent with hydro

Just an example to see how this works for heavy flavors

D meson

B meson



Cacciari, Frixione, Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi, JHEP (2012)

Heavy Quarks dragged by the medium?



Specific of Heavy Quarks

- $m_{c,b} >> \Lambda_{QCD}$ produced by pQCD processes (out of equil.)
- $-m_{c,b} >> T_0$ no thermal production
- $t_{eq} > t_{QGP} >> t_{q,g}$ non-eq. -> carry more information
- $m_{c,b}$ >>T -> q^2 << m^2 Brownian motion
- q₀<< |q| Concept of potential V(r) <-> lQCD

Indirect measurement from semileptonic decay D(cq)->Kev came as a surprise

Strong suppression







Quarkonium <-> Heavy-Quark



Greco, Ko, Rapp-PLB595(04)

Leading Particle Effect

Reservoir of partons modifies hadronization

Quark-Antiquark Recombination in the Fragmentation Region

• Braaten, Jia, Mehen: Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 122002 (2002)

• Rapp and Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D67, 074036 (2003)



Again in the same years a similar physics to another field

In HIC the resorvoir is the thermal bulk!



QCD evolution equations



Deconfinement from Quark-antiQuark in IQCD



Vacuum

$$V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + \sigma r$$

We cannot observe free quarks -> qq pair creation but at some Tc the drops down -> weakly interacting gas of quarks and gluons?

Charm Quarks



Asymptotic value of $V(r \rightarrow \infty)$



Kaczmarek et al., PoS 129,560(2004)