NF

UNITRENTO



Towards a joint time-resolved systematic analysis of GRBs

Aldana Holzmann Airasca^{1, 2}; Elisabetta Bissaldi ^{2, 3}; Leonardo Di Venere ^{2, 4}; Francesco Longo ^{5,6} on behalf of the *Fermi-LAT* and *Fermi-GBM*

¹ University of Trento, ² INFN Bari, ³ Politecnico di Bari, ⁴ University of Bari, ⁵ University of Trieste, ⁶ INFN Trieste



The Fermi mission: LAT and GBM







The Fermi mission: LAT and GBM









GRBs are the most energetic and luminous explosions observed in the universe, with its main emission in the gamma-rays band.

These bursts have two main phases:

- The prompt emission, a short flash of high-energy photons lasting from milliseconds to minutes.
- The afterglow, a longer-lasting multiwavelength emission.



Light curve of GRB 090510 (Ackermann et al. 2010)





GRB continuum spectrum is non-thermal, with most of the luminosity emitted in the energy range ~100 keV to ~1 MeV

The spectrum is "typically" well fitted with phenomenological *Band* (1993) function:

$$K \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{piv}}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left[-\frac{E(2+\alpha)}{E_{\text{peak}}}\right] \text{ if } E \leq E_{\text{break}} \\ \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{piv}}}\right)^{\beta} \exp(\beta-\alpha) \left[\frac{E_{\text{peak}}(\alpha+\beta)}{E_{\text{piv}}(2+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha-\beta} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$



(Fermi LAT collaboration 2008)





GRB continuum spectrum is non-thermal, with most of the luminosity emitted in the energy range ~100 keV to ~1 MeV

The spectrum is "typically" well fitted with phenomenological *Band* (1993) function:

$$K \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{piv}}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left[-\frac{E(2+\alpha)}{E_{\text{peak}}}\right] \text{ if } E \leq E_{\text{break}} \\ \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{piv}}}\right)^{\beta} \exp(\beta - \alpha) \left[\frac{E_{\text{peak}}(\alpha + \beta)}{E_{\text{piv}}(2+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha - \beta} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$



⁽Fermi LAT collaboration 2008)



Distribution of spectral parameters (Poolakkil et al. 2021)





5

Yearly GBM observes ~ 250 GRBs while LAT ~15 bursts

To date:







Population studies can help to identify common properties, since there are no two identical GRBs!

Past systematic studies:

- 1 joint LAT-GBM time-integrated spectral catalog (Ackerman et al. 2013)
- 1 LAT only GRB catalog (Ajello et al. 2019)
- 5 GBM only catalogs were published:
 - 4 GBM GRB spectral catalogs, last one in 2018 (Poolakkil et al. 2021)
 - **1 GBM GRB time-resolved catalog** (Xu et al. 2016)

~ 40 papers dedicated to individual GRBs

Joint systematic time-integrated and time-resolved analysis of the 16 years of mission is yet to be done





Population studies can help to identify common properties, since there are no two identical GRBs!

Past systematic studies:

- 1 joint LAT-GBM time-integrated spectral catalog (Ackerman et al. 2013)
- 1 LAT only GRB catalog (Ajello et al. 2019)
- 5 GBM only catalogs were published:
 - 4 GBM GRB spectral catalogs, last one in 2018 (Poolakkil et al. 2021)
 - **1 GBM GRB time-resolved catalog** (Xu et al. 2016)

~ 40 papers dedicated to individual GRBs

Joint systematic time-integrated and time-resolved analysis of the 16 years of mission is yet to be done work in progress





The sample selection was performed considering the first 16 years of data (from August 2008 to September 2024). The total sample has 257 bursts.

A refined list of events is used for the systematic analysis:

- The selection was considering that the arrival of the first LAT photon should fall inside the main emission measured by GBM (T_{90}).

167 bursts meet the criteria





The analysis was performed entirely using the <u>3ML package</u> (Vianello et al. 2015), with a pipeline built based on the tutorials <u>available online</u>.

Common high-level interface which allows maximum likelihood and Bayesian analysis using data from multiple missions in an unified way.



3ML

Multi-Mission Maximum Likelihood Framework





For each event we chose the time intervals for the analysis as:

T₉₀ +50% for long GRBs
 T₉₀ ± 1 s for short GRBs
 ↓
 The bins are created with the Bayesian Block method using the brightest Nal detector

Only bins with a S/N ratio > 5σ are considered





For each event we chose the time intervals for the analysis as:

• $T_{90} \stackrel{+50\%}{-20\%}$ for long GRBs • $T_{90} \pm 1$ s for short GRBs

The bins are created with the Bayesian Block method using the brightest Nal detector Only bins with a S/N ratio > 5σ are considered

8 spectral models are tested on each bin

All models with relative errors < 50% are consider GOOD models The BEST model is chosen based on the BIC criteria (Chand et al, 2018.) wrt the Comptonized model:

 $\Delta BIC = BIC_{Comp.} - BIC_{Model X} < 6$

Band model

$$K \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{E}{E_{\rm piv}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left[-\frac{E(2+\alpha)}{E_{\rm peak}}\right] \text{ if } E \leq E_{\rm break} \\ \left(\frac{E}{E_{\rm piv}}\right)^{\beta} \exp(\beta-\alpha) \left[\frac{E_{\rm peak}(\alpha+\beta)}{E_{\rm piv}(2+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha-\beta} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

SBPL model

$$K \Big(\frac{E}{E_{\rm break}} \Big)^{\alpha} \Big[1 + \frac{E}{E_{\rm break}}^{\frac{1}{\Delta}} \Big]^{(\beta - \alpha)\Delta}$$

ISSM model

$$K \Big[1 - \frac{E_{\text{peak}}}{E_{\text{ref}}} \Big(\frac{2+\beta}{2+\alpha} \Big) \Big]^{\alpha-\beta} \Big(\frac{E}{E_{\text{ref}}} \Big)^{\alpha} \Big[\frac{E}{E_{\text{ref}}} - \frac{E_{\text{peak}}}{E_{\text{ref}}} \frac{(2+\beta)}{(2+\alpha)} \Big]^{\beta-\alpha}$$

Comptonized model
$$K\left(rac{E}{E_{
m ref}}
ight)^{lpha}\exp{-rac{(lpha+2)E}{E_{
m peak}}}$$









Best func.

Total time interval used: $T_0 - 1.05 \text{ s} - T_0 + 1.91 \text{ s}$ Divided into 10 bins



shown in colors.

0.41 - 0.53 s Compt. 0.53 - 0.56 s Compt. 0.56 - 0.60 s Compt. 0.60 - 0.66 s Compt. 0.66 - 0.69 s Band 0.69 - 0.73 s Band 0.73 - 0.75 s Band 0.75 - 0.82 s Band+BB 0.82 - 0.83 s SBPI 0.83 - 0.92 s Pwl

Time interval





The total amount of analysed bins is 2971







| - | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| D | RELIN | Data set | Low-energy index | High-enegy index | E_{peak} [keV] | E_{break} [keV] |
| | | | | | | |
| | Systema | atic analysis GOOD | $-0.86\substack{+0.38\\-0.81}$ | $-2.77^{+0.37}_{-0.59}$ | $290\substack{+400 \\ -190}$ | 240^{+300}_{-150} |
| - | System | natic analysis BEST | $-0.79\substack{+0.35\\-0.47}$ | $-2.58\substack{+0.33\\-0.52}$ | 300^{+410}_{-190} | 280^{+350}_{-150} |

| | Data Set | Low-energy Index | High-energy Index | E _{peak} (keV) | E _{break} (keV) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Fluence Spectra | |
| GBM 10 years cat. | Poolakkil et al. 2021 | $-1.08\substack{+0.45\\-0.44}$ | $-2.20\substack{+0.26\\-0.29}$ | 180^{+307}_{-88} | 107^{+88}_{-49} |
| GBM 4 years cat. | Gruber et al. (2014) | $-1.08\substack{+0.43\\-0.44}$ | $-2.14\substack{+0.27\\-0.37}$ | 196_{-100}^{+336} | 103^{+129}_{-63} |
| GBM 2 years cat. | Goldstein et al. (2012) | $-1.05\substack{+0.44\\-0.45}$ | $-2.25\substack{+0.34\\-0.73}$ | 205^{+359}_{-121} | 123^{+240}_{-80} |
| BATSE cat. | Kaneko et al. (2006) | $-1.14\substack{+0.20\\-0.22}$ | $-2.33\substack{+0.24\\-0.26}$ | 251^{+122}_{-68} | 204_{-56}^{+76} |

Results of the distribution of spectral parameters (Poolakkil et al. 2021)





- We have two systematic pipelines one for time-resolved and one for time-integrated.
- Time-resolved on 167 events, preliminary results and distribution of parameters appear to be reasonable

To-do list:

- Identify and study bursts that show an extra spectral components.
- Analyse how the results of the GBM only time-resolved analysis changes when adding LAT data (work in progress in collaboration with D. Depalo and E. Bissaldi).
- In depth study of the spectral evolution of the parameters, with particular interest in seeing if the firsts bins of the long GRBs are similar to the short events.





- We have two systematic pipelines one for time-resolved and one for time-integrated.
- Time-resolved on 167 events, preliminary results and distribution of parameters appear to be reasonable

To-do list:

- Identify and study bursts that show an extra spectral components.
- Analyse how the results of the GBM only time-resolved analysis changes when adding LAT data (work in progress in collaboration with D. Depalo and E. Bissaldi).
- In depth study of the spectral evolution of the parameters, with particular interest in seeing if the firsts bins of the long GRBs are similar to the short events.

Thank you!





Backup slides



Fireball model









The sample selection was performed considering the **first 16 years of data** (from August 2008 to September 2024).

The total sample size is **257 bursts**:

- 14 don't have a GBM file and/or where not seen by BGO detectors
- \sim 78 have more than 5 σ significance in LLE

A refined list of events is used for the systematic analysis:

- The selection was considering that the arrival of the first LAT photon should fall inside the T_{90} as measured by GBM.
- The surviving events were sorted into decreasing order of the TS value in the GBM time-window (T_{90}) .

167 bursts meet the criteria





- The analysis was performed entirely using the **<u>3ML package</u>**, with a pipeline built based on the tutorials <u>available online</u>.
- **GBM** and **LLE** data (*TTE*, *CSPEC* and .rsp files) is downloaded from the online database, using basic information regarding the T_{90} and background from the online catalog.
- **LAT** data is downloaded from the HEARSAC archive and the *CSPEC*, *.rsp*, *eventfile* and *ft2file* are created using the *FermiTools*.
- After performing the interval selection for the analysis, *DispersionSpectrumLike* (for GBM and LLE) and *FermiLATLike* (for LAT) plugins are created.
 - For GBM the energy selection goes from 10-30 keV 35-1000 keV for NaI detectors and 0.25-10 MeV for BGO,
 - For LLE goes from 30-100 MeV,
 - And for LAT > 100 MeV
- The spectral fit is performed using different models











Time-integrated spectral analysis results of GRB 110721A fitted with Band+BB function





The total amount of analysed bins is 2971

The tot GOOD sample

| Model | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| Pwl | 2849 | 25.40 |
| Comp | 2272 | 20.25 |
| SBPL | 1998 | 17.81 |
| Band | 1606 | 14.32 |
| ISSM | 827 | 7.37 |
| SBPL+BB | 734 | 6.54 |
| Band+BB | 628 | 5.60 |
| ISSM+BB | 304 | 2.71 |

Percentage of times a function fitted the bin data *reasonably*

BEST sample

| Model | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| Comp | 1591 | 54.49 |
| Pwl | 491 | 16.82 |
| Band | 419 | 14.35 |
| SBPL | 269 | 9.21 |
| ISSM | 99 | 3.39 |
| Band+BB | 24 | 0.82 |
| SBPL+BB | 16 | 0.55 |
| ISSM+BB | 11 | 0.38 |

Percentage of best fitting sample for each bin







Distribution of the β index







BEST sample

| BES | BEST sample | | |
|-----------|-------------|------------|--|
| Model | Count | Percentage | |
| Band | 68 | 36.17 | |
| Comp | 34 | 18.09 | |
| ISSM | 20 | 10.64 | |
| Comp+Comp | 13 | 6.91 | |
| SBPL | 12 | 6.38 | |
| SBPL+BB | 10 | 5.32 | |
| Band+BB | 7 | 3.72 | |
| Pwl | 6 | 3.19 | |
| Band+Pwl | 5 | 2.66 | |
| SBPL*E | 4 | 2.13 | |
| Comp+Pwl | 4 | 2.13 | |
| Band*E | 4 | 2.13 | |
| ISSM+BB | 1 | 0.53 | |

Percentage of best fitting sample for each burst



Test results on the pipeline of time-integrated analysis



Distribution of β Band-based 17.5 ISSM-based SBPL-based Total 15.0 12.5 Counts 10.0 7.5 5.0 2.5 0.0 -4.0 -3.5 -3.0 -2.5 -2.0 -1.5

Distribution of the **E**_{break}

β



INF

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



20

-4.5

4.0

-3.5

-3.0

В





Distribution of the **a** index

A. Holzmann Airasca - Sexten 2025

-2.5

-2.0

10

-4.5

-4.0

-3.5

-3.0

β

-2.5

-2.0

-1.5





