

ANTI-NEUTRON MEASUREMENT WITH THE TOP SUBDETECTOR AT BELLE II

Putting a pin in deriving characteristic TOP timing, moving to data-MC agreement of \bar{n} TOP signal

Shanette De La Motte INFN Sezione di Torino

• shanette.delamotte@belle2.org

Last time!

(only backwards path shown)

TOP TIMING AND PENETRATION DEPTH, WHEN VARYING \overline{n} **KINEMATICS**

Smaller time of propagation measured when

limited by resolution

the TOP readout electronics)



Z

Larger time of propagation measured when \bar{n}^0 /annihilation has less energy (i.e. lower momentum annihilation particles leads to smaller Cherenkov angle θ_c , longer Cherenkov photon path.)

Now!



For higher timing precision, perform binned fit using scipy. stats. crystallball.pdf(-1 * x, beta, m, loc, scale) (two separate fits for forward and backwards to pulse)

_

Plot **maximum of timing peak** as estimate of rising edge for now.



control

ANTI-**NEUTRON TIMING VIA TOP VS** PENETRATION DEPTH OF \bar{n} CRYSTAL BALL FITS

- Look at **29 432** events which have TOP signal in the direction of \bar{n} , and are in regions of interest (110,162)cm
- Create *digitTime* histograms in 2cm bins of \bar{n} transverse distance. ****** in lieu of fitting to individual events



control

ANTI-**NEUTRON TIMING VIA TOP VS** PENETRATION DEPTH OF \bar{n} CRYSTAL BALL FITS

- Look at **29 432** events which have TOP signal in the direction of \bar{n} , and are in regions of interest (110,162)cm
- Create *digitTime* histograms in 2cm bins of \bar{n} transverse distance. ** in lieu of fitting to individual events



CHANGING FOCUS: DATA/MC AGREEMENT OF TOP SIGNAL

- First: Generate MC16ri of Savino's key \overline{n} modes, and follow his reconstruction (See BELLE2-NOTE-TE-2025-012)
- Then: Once reconstruction method and TOP signal is validated in signal modes, extend to general proc16/MC16 data
- Develop a skim to condense the dataset??

 $p3\pi \equiv e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^+\pi^$ $p5\pi \equiv e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^$ $p7\pi \equiv e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^$ $p3\pi 2K \equiv e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^$ $p5\pi 2K \equiv e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{n}\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$ **Need to `dumpOtherSlots` for multiple tracks?? Reco a pseudoparticle and pass to `TOPRingPlotter`? Decay vpho 1.0 p+ anti-n0 pi- pi+ pi- PHSP; Enddecay

**Sufficient decfile?



TO DO

- Generate MC16ri \bar{n} modes
- Factorise Savino's reconstruction script and add `TOPRingPlotter` module.
- Validate reconstruction and `TOPRingPlotter` signal in signal sample.
- Extend to data/MC
- Come back to fitting TOP digitTime pulse (later)



Cheers! Ciao!

shanette.delamotte@belle2.org

(Back-up slides following)



OUR GOAL: Determine a method of measuring the properties of anti-neutrons (\overline{n}^0 or "n-bar"), via the timing signatures of their annihilation in detector volumes.



Z

- Our case-study: the **TOP sub-detector** of the Belle II experiment.
- Anti-neutron identification differs from standard neutrons, in that they can be **identified via annihilation** events within detector volumes.
- IF anti-neutron annihilation induces an electromagnetic shower in the ECL, the full \bar{n}^0 energy can be measured.
- IF anti-neutron annihilation instead induces a hadronic shower where charged products escape the ECL, measurements can be incomplete.
- Can improve \bar{n}^0 measurement by studying cases where annihilation products back-scatter into the Time-of-Propagation (TOP) subdetector, a timing-based Cherenkov radiation detector.
- Additionally, can look at cases where anti-neutron annihilation **occurs slightly before or within the TOP**, where products can be detected before **further measurement when entering the ECL.**

1. MOTIVATE THAT EVENTS WITH \bar{n} -ANNIHILATIONS AROUND TOP **THAT ALSO LEAVE SIGNAL** ARE NOT AN UNCOMMON OCCURRENCE

2. SHOW THAT 'BACKGROUND' TOP TIMING DISTRIBUTIONS, LIKE \bar{n} -ANNIHILATIONS, HAVE STRUCTURE AND ARE NON-NEGLIGIBLE (I.E. NOT FLAT)

3. DEMONSTRATE THAT THERE IS CORRELATION IN MEASURED TOP TIMING AGAINST THE SIMULATED PENETRATION DEPTH OF \bar{n}

GOALS

HOW CAN THE TOP COUNTER BE USED TO COMPLEMENT MEASUREMENTS OF ANTI-NEUTRON SHOWERING IN THE ECL?

- Measurement of the anti-neutron annihilation within ECL depend heavily shower containment:
 - If annihilation particles produces an electromagnetic shower, the full \bar{n}^0 energy can be measured.
 - Else if the annihilation particles produces a hadronic shower where charged products escape the ECL, energy measurements is incomplete.
- TOP measurements can complement ECL information in situations where charged products transverse the TOP and scatter in ECL, such as when \bar{n}^0 annihilates...
 - ... in material slightly *before TOP* (110 cm 118 cm away from IP), and charged products transverse the TOP **before** entering ECL.
 - ... *within TOP* active volume or shielding (118 cm 125cm), and charged products transverse the TOP before entering ECL.
 - ...in *ECL* (125 cm 162 cm), where the charged products back-scatter through the ECL and then transverse the TOP.

*Generated using "main" (last commit: Sat Nov 2 18:37:56 2024 +0100, globaltag: main_2024-07-19),

Default run-independent phase III conditions (i.e. expNum is 0)

DESIGN OF MC STUDY

- Studying simulated **TOP response to 200 000 MC events** generated (via Particle Gun/EvtGen packages)
 - \bar{n}^0 begin at origin and travelling radially outwards with |p|=1 GeV, $\theta=92$ and uniformly distributed $\phi \in [-\pi, \pi]$
 - Also place μ^+ at origin with same dynamics (i.e. also $\theta = 92$ with random ϕ), to "tag" the event start time for the TOP.
- Use `*TOPRingPlotter*` module to save MC TOP digits attributed to "tagging" muon, as well as other event info.
 - *Then* use new `*dumpOtherSlots*` option with `*TOPRingPlotter*` to access **all TOP signal** **not** **associated with the tagging muon i.e. from the annihilation**
 - Useful as charged particles produced in \overline{n}^{0} event might not reach tracking detectors!

Statistics

200 000 events generated

89 751 events contain a TOP signal *not* associated with tagging muon (i.e. from \overline{n} annihilation)

82 154 of \bar{n} annihilations occur "just before" TOP, in TOP, or in ECL (i.e. in region of interest, between 110cm and 162cm)

Proportion of generated events with TOP signal and in region of interest ≈ 31.34%

WHERE ARE OUR ANTI-NEUTRONS ANNIHILATING?



TOP facts! 16 TOP modules in Belle II. Each module has two rows of 16 PMTs, and each PMT has 4x4 channels \rightarrow each module has 8 rows of 64 columns of channels (digits)



*TODO: Fit to "rising edge" of each \bar{n}^0 event, examine as a function of transverse distance \rightarrow can we extract \bar{n}^0 transverse vertex from TOP timing alone?

ANTI-NEUTRON TIMING VIA TOP VS PENETRATION DEPTH OF \bar{n}

- Look at **29 432** events which have TOP signal in the direction of \bar{n} , and are in regions of interest (110,162)cm
- Create *digitTime* histograms in 2cm bins of \bar{n} transverse distance. ** in lieu of fitting to individual events
- Measure "rising-edge" i.e. timing from maximum bin, limited by resolution



*TODO: improve peak finding algorithm!

CORRELATION IN TOP TIMING WITH PENETRATION DEPTH OF \bar{n}

- Look at **29 432** events which have TOP signal in the direction of \bar{n} , and are in regions of interest (110,162)cm
- Create *digitTime* histograms in 2cm bins of \overline{n} transverse distance. ** in lieu of fitting to individual events
- Measure "rising-edge" i.e. timing from maximum bin, limited by resolution





