Pierre Auger Observatory Status e risultati

Antonella Castellina



Activity since October 2024

2004



End of 2024:

- ➡ Collaboration meeting in Malargüe : 10-15 November

- → UHECR Symposium in Malargüe : 17-21 November

2025: an ICRC year

- ➡ 1 Collaboration meeting in Malargüe : 9-14 March
- ➡ 1 AugerItalia meeting : 3-5 February
- ➡ 1 Analysis meeting in L'Aquila: 19-23 May in L'Aquila

2000: Engineering Array

• 2008: ...end of construction of Auger

2022: start of data taking during AugerPrime deployment

• 2024: end of construction of

Data taking till > 2035



Finance Board meeting in Malargüe (M.Pallavicini, O.Cremonesi attending)

Celebration ceremony: extension of data taking for AugerPrime to 2035 [https://indico.nucleares.unam.mx/event/2235/]

→ ICRC (Geneva) : 15-24 July 44 contributions from Auger ! → Next Collaboration meeting in Malargüe : 16-21 November









International agreements



1. First meeting of the starting collaboration held at CERN in 1995



A.Castellina

2. First International Agreement finalized and approved at UNESCO, Paris in 1998 Signed in Mendoza March 1999 (chaired by H.Schopper)

Pierre Auger Observatory

Agreement for the Organization, Management and Funding of the Pierre Auger Observatory

> Among Science Funding Agencies of Countries in the

> Pierre Auger Collaboration

Mendoza, Argentina March 15, 1999







AugerPrime in the field

- WCD (UUB+SPMT) and SSD completed in summer 2023
- RD completed in December 2024
- UMD completion foreseen in mid 2025

- → 1939 UUB delivered and working out of the 2000 ordered (+34 to be sent and 27 non working)
- → 1480 SPMT working, only 31 missing (unaccessible regions).
- → 1478 SSD, 10 without PMT, 31 missing (unaccessible regions).







AugerPrime in the field

- WCD (UUB+SPMT) and SSD completed in summer 2023
- RD completed in December 2024
- UMD completion foreseen in mid 2025

- → 1605 antennas in place, with corresponding electronics
- Few positions missing, due to forbidden access, 12 without digitizer
- Commissioning ongoing







AugerPrime in the field

- WCD (UUB+SPMT) and SSD completed in summer 2023
- RD completed in December 2024
- UMD completion foreseen by 2025



- ➡ 100% of SD433 have UMDs
- ➡ 79% of SD750 have UMDs
 - 13 positions (39 modules) still to be deployed

- Second batch of scintillators arrived in Malargüe A.Castellina





FD in AugerPrime

24+3 telescopes	$\sigma_E/E \approx 8\%$	$\sigma_{X_{max}} \le 20 \ g/c$
	$\Delta_{sys} \approx 15\%$	$\Delta_{sys} \le 10 \ g/c$

- energy and X_{max} scale provided to the Observatory
- most powerful evaluation of $< X_{max} >$ and $\sigma(X_{max})$ up to $\sim 10^{19.5} \text{ eV}$
- stereo measurements to evaluate X_{max} resolution and study the systematics
- Independent cross-checks for energy calibration with Radio $< X_{max} >$ and $\sigma(X_{max})$ from SD (DNN) and Radio

FD contribution in different analyses [µ excess with inclined and LE showers, up-going] showers, anomalous profiles, ...]



 cm^2 cm^2









Large PMTs (3 x 5000) [IT/Torino, FR/Orsay + help from CZ/Prague]

- ~100 PMT changed /year in the past, now doubled : ~balance between failure and recovery rate
- % discarded/year decreasing mainly thanks to new procedure to recover corroded pins
- Decreasing PMT stock

Bottleneck : SensTech HVPS

- ~120 Sens-Tech HV modules repaired in 2024, ~180 waiting to be repaired
- Purchase of new modules: quotation ~260 €/cad for at least 250 (5% decrease from 100 units)
- SensTech only provider problems with past purchases after pandemic









Maintenance

CLF stably running (After 2 campaigns in November 2024 and March 2025) *XLF stably running*

Raman Lidar and LA Lidar working fine CO LIDAR PC replaced end of March LL : spare HV/LV boards (CAEN A1738) will be provided by Catania group

Very active common working group of Calibration & Foundation



Absolute calibrations updated as of July 2024

X-Y scanner : differences with CalA are investigated

A.Castellina





HEAT-Coihueco intercalibration

HEAT downward for a precise measure of the cross-calibration factors







Performance of data taking



• Two SD shifts/month online

• More than 300 FD shifts (15-20 days each), mostly on site + remote (20 remote rooms)



The largest worldwide exposure:

- → ~105,000 km² sr yr for the measure of the spectrum by SD
- ~135,000 km² sr yr for the search of anisotropies

Commissioning of AugerPrime ["bring (something newly produced) into working condition"]

- Methods used for Phase I daily monitoring have been adpted to Phase II data
- Currently operating in compatibility mode with Phase I
- Purity of event-level triggers same as in Phase I
- Over 99.9% of events (satisfying EAS triggers) can be reconstructed
- Due to higher UUB sensitivity, bursts at station level appear during lightning periods: estimated 5% exposure loss/year

Currently finalizing • Alarm settings • Commissioning of triggers and calibration procedures • Data processing anf reconstruction pipelines First analyses of physics quantities ongoing

A.Castellina

Commissioning of AugerPrime ["bring (something newly produced) into working condition"]

A.Castellina

Noise : - HG channel <2 ADC counts - LG and SPMT channels <1 FADC count

AugerPrime - one multi-hybrid event

- Commissioning is ongoing
- It has to be strictly linked to maintenance
- The monitoring is fundamental

Publications

- → SDEU: AugerPrime Surface Detector Electronics [JINST 18 (2023)]
- → *SSD*: [submitted to JINST, 2025]
- → UMD: [JINST 16 (2021) T07008 nd P01026, JINST 12 (2017) P03002]
- → *RD*: in preparation

6 Contributions to ICRC2025

- UMD results
- RD Reconstruction
- Status and first results
- CDAS
- Electronics
- RD status

More info

Staff

Staff hired for AugerPrime (UUB, RD) completed their task

- 3 will remain in staff
- 1 payed from AugerPrime budget for 2025
- 2 technicians from CNAE, part time

22 interns from Isazky School

Landowners

Only 1 conflict on 101 properties almost all renewed to cover AugerPrime data taking period ~20 USD/WCD/yr and ~400 USD/FD/yr

Gabriel Díaz

Neiber Castro

Sebastián Villar

New FD observer Yosel Balibrea

New computing expert Juan Pablo Behler

Matías Rojas

Auger as an infrastructure for other scientific projects

A.Castellina

Pierre Auger Observatory: unique infrastructure providing deployment expertise from the local staff, good communication systems, a multitude of detectors for comparison of all techniques, a perfect playground!

A very welcoming environment for cross-calibration and testing detectors

Excellent opportunities to learn from each other and test new ideas

PEPS

...Andes Neutrino Telescope

3000.00

Socio-economic impact of Auger

Local environment

- Close relation with municipality of Malargüe
- General public talks
- Visitor center (almost 12000 visits in 2024)
- Auger seat in the Strategic Planning Commission of Malargüe
- Ordinance for protection of night skies

- Landowners
- 101 owners, almost all contracts already extended to 20235
- Small increase in payment (20 USD/yr for WCD, 400 USD/yr for FD)
- 140 papers

Science

- Interdisciplinary researches (solar and atmospheric studies)
- Training: 419 PhD students, 27 double degree PhDs
- Infrastructures for other projects
- Technical training (Iszacky school)
- Science Fair

Economic impact •>90% of operational budget is spent in town

- Use of Convention center
- Power line also used by local landowners
- Wi-fi provided to remote land inhabitants
- Donations to local schools

Science Fair 2024

Pre registered: 85 groups

Outreach and Education

transmedia. Planetario Malarque

with the Pierre Auger Observatory

Women and Girls in Science Exhibitions 2024

THE VOICE OF WOMEN

AUGERENFOCO

Núm. 6 , Octubre 2024

www.auger.org.ar

he últimos veinte años, el Observatorio Pierre Auge revolucionado el estudio de los reyos clamicos de ita energía (RCUAE), las pa

DICIÓN DEL ESPECITRO DE ROUAE

abe que las RCUAE superiores a B x 10

ÓN MÁS PESADA A MAYORES

ENERGIAS en los UNICE consisten principa 10⁸⁸ eV, están compuestos de núcleos cada

"Auger en Foco 40. E es mayor que el esperado a partir de odelos, por lo que se requieren mediciones

Phase I : the first 15 years of Auger

Unrivalled exposure and accuracy in measurements 140 journal publications

+ 3 already accepted

Take home message

A global, coherent view emerges from the analyses of the data collected at the Pierre Auger Observatory, dispelling the pre-existing UHECR picture Valuable inputs to phenomenological models

Auger provides the only way to explore the UHE domain:

information about hadronic interactions and constraints on BSM effects

Atmospheric Physics

NEW submitted to ApJL arXiv:2506.11688

D.Ravignani PoS(ICRC2025) 268

- \rightarrow Instep feature confirmed at 5.2 σ

Energy spectrum

First measurement of the energy spectrum over the entire declination range -90° to +45° (104,575 km² sr yr) \rightarrow **No declination dependence** up to $\delta = +45^{\circ}$ (apart from the modulation expected from the dipolar anisotropy) disfavoring the TA conclusion about a possible astrophysical contribution from the Northern sky [see slide SGP]

Mass Composition

FD, SD, AERA

Measurement from the

- Iongitudinal profile (FD, ~15% Duty Cycle) PRD 90 (2014) 122005+122006
- temporal and lateral distributions (SD, ~100% DC)
- radio footprint (AERA, ~100% DC)

NEW PRD 109 (2024) 022002 PRL 132 (2024) 021001 E.Mayotte, PoS(ICRC2025) 538

PRD 96 (2017) 122003

- →The <X_{max}> gets lighter up to ~2 10¹⁸ eV and heavier above this energy, incompatible with pure composition
- The σ (X_{max}) at the highest energy
- excludes a large fraction of protons (DNN and FD)
- excludes the GZK as a dominant reason for the spectral cutoff
- →The radio measurement provides an independent confirmation

DNN analysis

- model: constant ER rejected at 4.4σ

Composition informed search for large scale Anisotropy

Dipolar modulation in RA at 6.8 σ for E>8 EeV, at 5.7 σ for 8<E<16 EeV

Cosmic ray interactions with background radiation and magnetized regions depend on the cosmic-ray energy, charge and mass composition, giving rise to different horizons and deflections that are expected to lead to different anisotropies.

$$d(E,Z) = d_R \left(\frac{E/EeV}{Ze}\right)^{\beta_R}$$

• Expected in models with a local dominant source emitting in the EGMF

• Expected in models with many inhomogeneously distributed sources

Composition informed search for large scale Anisotropy

NEW G.Golup, PoS(ICRC2025) 216

Differences between Northern and Sourthern sky?

			Telesc	ope A	rray (T	elesco	pe A	rray Collal	boration	1 2023	3)		Pierre	Auger (Observ	servatory (this work)			
			E_{\min}	$N_{ m tot}$	$rac{\mathcal{E}_{in}}{\mathcal{E}_{tot}}$	$N_{ m bg}$	$N_{ m in}$	$rac{\Phi_{ ext{in}}}{\Phi_{ ext{out}}}$	$Z_{ m LM}$	99% L.L.	post- trial	E_{\min}	$N_{ m tot}$	$rac{\mathcal{E}_{ ext{in}}}{\mathcal{E}_{ ext{tot}}}$	$N_{ m bg}$	$N_{\rm in}$	$\frac{\Phi_{\text{in}}}{\Phi_{\text{out}}}$	$Z_{ m LM}$	99% U.L.
TA hot spot		(a)	$57 { m EeV}$	216	9.47%	18.0	44	$2.44\substack{+0.44 \\ -0.39}$	$+4.8\sigma$	1.60	2.8σ	$44.6~{\rm EeV}$	1074	1.00%	10.7	9	$0.84\substack{+0.31 \\ -0.25}$	-0.5σ	1.76
		(b1)	$10^{19.4}\mathrm{eV}$	1125	5.88%	64.0	101	$1.58\substack{+0.17 \\ -0.16}$	$+4.1\sigma$	1.22	3.3σ	$20.5~{\rm EeV}$	8374	0.84%	70.1	65	$0.93\substack{+0.12 \\ -0.11}$	-0.6σ	1.23
PPSC		(b2)	$10^{19.5}\mathrm{eV}$	728	5.87%	41.1	70	$1.70\substack{+0.22 \\ -0.20}$	$+4.0\sigma$	1.25	3.2σ	$25.5~{\rm EeV}$	5156	0.84%	43.5	39	$0.90\substack{+0.15 \\ -0.14}$	-0.7σ	1.29
	— I,	(b3)	$10^{19.6}\mathrm{eV}$	441	5.84%	24.6	45	$1.83\substack{+0.31 \\ -0.27}$	$+3.6\sigma$	1.23	3.0σ	$31.7~{\rm EeV}$	2990	0.87%	26.0	27	$1.04\substack{+0.21 \\ -0.19}$	$+0.2\sigma$	1.61
																			1

 \rightarrow confirmation of the Centaurus region as most significant excess (4.0 σ post-trial), extended to lower energies (20 EeV) no hints for excesses in the TA "spots" with data of comparable size —> at variance with the claim of TA that the declination dependence of the UHECR energy spectrum is due to the presence of excesses in particular regions of the Northern sky

NEW

Galactic backtracking + limited UHECR horizon

Idea: apply GMF models backtracking UHE events above 100 EeV, taking into account the volume of the Universe responsible for them 8 GMF models, 6 different source catalogs

Single events analysis

The backtracked position of 39 of 40 events above 100 EeV re compatible with more than one source of the SBG, Fermi-LAT, Swift-BAT or radio galaxies

Likelihood based analysis

We can exclude at 5σ CL a contribution of >40% van Velzen, >65% Fermi-LAT, >67% Lunardini and 70% Swift-BAT Only the Fermi-LAT catalog describes the data better than isotropy at low signal fraction (5-10%)

Inclusion of EGMF, UUHECR, newer GMF models can change the conclusion

NEW	
M.Bianciotto,	PoS(ICRC2025) 188

A.Castellina

4	0.5	0.6
Sign	al frac	tion

Astrophysical interpretation (energy spectrum+mass composition)

Basic scenario:

- 2 populations of EG identical sources, uniformly distributed
- power law injected energy spectrum + rigidity cutoff
- propagation only (no in-source interactions considered)

LE component

soft spectrum, unconstrained cutoff point to

- ➡interactions in-source or in the source environment indication
- could be made of EG protons if a Galactic component is included

Auger Coll., JCAP05 (2023) 024

→Ankle ~ 5 EeV: interplay between the two popolations ➡Instep ~ 10 EeV: interplay between He and CNO primary masses →Luminosity density ~ 6 x 10⁴⁴ erg *Mpc*⁻³ *yr*⁻¹ by continuously emitting sources to supply UHECR above the ankle

HE component

hard spectrum, low cutoff, limited mixing of different nuclear species point to

- confinement of UHECR in the source, magnetic horizon
- suppression mainly due to exhaustion of the sources
- →Limited source to source variations

The mass composition at UHE

From the global fit of the observed $[X_{max}, S_{1000}]$ distributions and from the most recent hadronic interaction models (EPOS LHC-R)

FIG. 1. Total energy loss lengths for various nuclei: p, He, O, Fe, and Pt. The black dashed line is the energy loss length due to the adiabatic expansion of the universe. CMB and EBL [60] are considered as target photons.

Heavier nuclei favored at the highest energies

$$\frac{c}{2\Gamma^2} \int_{\varepsilon'_{\rm th}}^{\infty} \varepsilon' \sigma(\varepsilon') \int_{\varepsilon'/2\Gamma}^{\infty} \frac{n_{\gamma}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} d\varepsilon d\varepsilon' \right)^{-1}$$

New questions:

— Are there astrophysical conditions for which ultra-heavy UHECR can exist?

Metal rich sources, r-processes = collapsars, BNS mergers

— What is the maximum A needed to explain our data?

 $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{I}$

Auger and TA WG - full sky search for anisotropies

	E_{\min}	TS	f/%	Θ/°
All galaxies	$37 \text{EeV}_{\text{Auger}} \approx 47 \text{EeV}_{\text{TA}}$	19.3	$13.1^{+4.7}_{-3.6}$	$15.5^{+6.1}_{-3.6}$
Starburst galaxies	$38 EeV_{Auger} \approx 48 EeV_{TA}$	27.3	$10.6^{+56.6}_{-3.2}$	$17.6^{+26.6}_{-4.1}$
All AGNs	$38 \text{EeV}_{\text{Auger}} \approx 48 \text{EeV}_{\text{TA}}$	17.6	$4.8^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$	$15.4^{+3.5}_{-2.8}$
Jetted AGNs	$37 \text{EeV}_{\text{Auger}} \approx 47 \text{EeV}_{\text{TA}}$	22.9	$8.8^{-2.6}_{-2.3}$	$17.4^{+3.4}_{-2.8}$
All gal. (no atten.)	$37 \text{ EeV}_{\text{Auger}} \approx 47 \text{ EeV}_{\text{TA}}$	13.5	$33.6^{+26.3}_{-19.4}$	$29.2^{+12.9}_{-17.5}$
Starburst gal. (no atten.)	$38 EeV_{Auger} \approx 48 EeV_{TA}$	27.3	$10.6^{+4.0}_{-2.7}$	$15.0^{+4.8}_{-2.9}$

	c (<u> </u>	
σ	ficanc	
	gnij	
σ	l si	
	tria	
σ	pre-	
σ		
σ		

	l	E_{\min}	pre-trial	post-trial
Auto-Correlation	2	$41EeV_{Auger}\approx51.8EeV_{TA}$	4.2σ	2.1σ
All galaxies	2	$37EeV_{Auger}\approx 46.5EeV_{TA}$	3.2σ	_
Starburst galaxies	2	$38EeV_{Auger}\approx 47.8EeV_{TA}$	4.5σ	2.7σ
All AGNs	2	$38EeV_{Auger}\approx 47.8EeV_{TA}$	4.7σ	3.0σ
Jetted AGNs	2	$38EeV_{Auger}\approx 47.8EeV_{TA}$	4.1σ	2.0σ

NEW

A.Galvez Urena, PoS(ICRC2025) 185

The science case

The origin of the flux suppression above 10^{19.5} eV

The identification of the sources

Particle interactions at UHE Hints for new physics?

Do UHE photons and neutrinos exist? Transients / steady sources

Need accelerator of size of Mecury's orbit to reach 10²⁰ eV with current technology

The nuclear composition at UHE

Multi-hybrid events in AugerPrime

WCD/SSD/RD can collect multi-hybrid events with a 100% duty cycle Separation of shower components can be obtained

- by WCD/SSD for events up to ~60°
- by WCD/RD for inclined events >60°
- by WCD/SSD/UMD extending the mass sensitivity to the lower energies and improving the photons/hadrons discrimination

Hadronic interactions can be studied

- By WCD/RD simultaneously measuring energy and muon content of EAS up to the UHE with 100% duty cycle
- By exploiting different muon populations (WCD and UMD)

Multi-hybrid events and Machine learning

powerful Machine Learning techniques need to be cross-checked by means of multi-hybrid measurements!

 \bigcirc

Multi-hybrid events and composition

Composition features (DNN, current result, bias-corrected with FD) :

- \rightarrow energy independent elongation rate excluded at 4.4 σ ,
- \rightarrow two breaks rejected at ~2 σ

5*o* reachable in 10 years from now with AugerPrime

Multi-hybrid events and composition

What is the fraction of protons at UHE ?

WCD + SSD

merit factor MF: difference in units of std-dev

if UHE composition mix is 5%p and 95% Fe

Multi-hybrid events and hadronic interactions

RD+WCD, simulations - 10 years. (mass composition mixed) ad-hoc correction for the muon deficit in simulation for the mean R_{μ}

What is the muon number at UHE ?

WCD + RD - inclined events

Phase II : the first preliminary results

- First preliminary measurement of the energy spectrum (SD-1500, $9 < 60^{\circ}$) with the data of Phase II
- → Very good agreement with Phase I result !

Ч -S N E E Sel 10³⁷ ш X

A.Castellina

Science roadmap - From our coordinators (D.Boncioli, L.Cazon)

Mass composition at UHE is the key; together with the spectrum info

- what are the nuclear species ?
- up to which mass are our data described at the highest energies?
- which components do we need to explain the Galactic to extragalactic transition?
- Input to hadronic interaction models

+ Arrival directions

- exploration of the most interesting regions (CenA)
- Study of mass-informed dipolar amplitude
- → UHECR-informed studies of models of GMFs and EGMFs

Neutral particles

- \rightarrow Cosmogenic ν s and γ s observation/non observation provide more info on the possible sources - strong connection to proton fraction at UHE
- Astrophysical ν s and γ s can be studied, down to which energy?
- ➡ Info on BSM effects (LIV, SHDM, upping showers)

Started in 2007 with 1% of CR data, portal opened in 2021

Delesse to a	Dete	Contont	Secolf a DOI
Release tag	Date	Content	Specific DOI
Release 3.0	March 20, 2024	10% cosmic-ray data, low energy sample	https://doi.org/10.528
Release 2.0	Dec 22, 2022	10% cosmic-ray data, inclined sample (60°-80°), Outreach section with different languages	https://doi.org/10.528
Release 1.1	Oct 26, 2021	100% atmospheric data and scaler data	https://doi.org/10.528
Release 1.0	Feb 15, 2021	10% cosmic-ray data, vertical sample (0°-60°) and auxiliary files, ready-to-use event display, analysis examples	https://doi.org/10.528

Table 1 List of specific releases on the open data portal [19] with the corresponding DOIs

→ March 2024: >54000 SD750 + 197 HeCo hybrid events 100 highest energy events

→ Next release : 30% of Phase I data (2004-2021) vertical SD + Hybrids, 100% atmo+scaler

NEW

EPJC 85 (2025) 70 V.Scherini, PoS(ICRC2025) 646 The European Physical Journal

volume 85 · number 1 · january · 2025

Particles and Fields

From "The Pierre Auger Observatory open data" by Pierre Auger Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 85, 70 (2025).

Visualization of an exemplary event. Left panel: camera view of the fluorescence detector; the cosmic-ray shower is seen as a trace that moves along the pixels of the camera, from early (green) to late (red) pixels. Right panel: reconstructed energy deposit as a function of atmospheric depth as measured with the two telescopes participating in the event.

81/zenodo.10488964 81/zenodo.6867688

81/zenodo.5588460 81/zenodo.4487613

New data center at CNAF

The storage and computing resources required for 2025 have arrived at CNAF.

Storage:

The /sps/pauger will be increased from 150 T \rightarrow 300 T

Computing:

about 3000 HS06 (similar computing power as in Lyon) has also be given as requested. The access to the pauger users will be provided through a HTCondor batch system

TAPE: the tape is also available at this endpoint : xferarchive.cr.cnaf.infn.it:8443/pauger-tape/

https://www.auger.unam.mx/AugerWiki/CNAF

Synchronization between Malargue and CNAF:

Testing new software tools : very advanced [Lorenzo Perrone, Juan Pablo Behler]

A new software tool - *Mirage* - based on rclone has been created for this task

Key points:

→ optimize the usage of bandwidth and CPU through continuous and parallel processes replacing the current rsync-based procedure executed twice a day. → Filtering options (you can activate/deactivate specific targets)

- → More efficient diagnostic

BACKUP

The highest energy event

Date	2019-11-1			
Energy	166±13 Ee			
θ	58.6°			
ф	224.4°			
β	-2.0			
t _{1/2} (1000)	98±3 ns			
δ	-52.0°			
a	128.9°			
Multiplicity	34			

SD-PMT maintenance

In the field

to repair or change PMTs with failures

 PMT weeks: special SDE shifts dedicated to the PMT maintenance in the field

> twice/year for 2 weeks 2-3 teams in the field at the same time

> > 40 to 60 PMTs fixed

INFN-Torino in charge of SD-PMTs [M.Aglietta, A.Gorgi, A.Zampieri]

QUOTATION

Sens-Tech Ltd. 18 The Avenue Egham Surrey TW20 9AB United Kingdom

Telephone: 01784 624410 E Mail: info@sens-tech.com

Customer	Quotation
Karlsruher Institut fur Technology (KIT)	Quote Number:
Finanzmanagement	Date:
Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz	Sens-Tech Contact:
Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen	Valid To:
Germany	Customer Reference:
Contact Name:	Payment Terms:
Contact Email:	Currency:

Line No.	Sens-Tech Part No.	Product Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Lead Time	Total Price
1	PS2010/12	POWER SUPPLY	25	€293.39		€7,334.75
2	PS2010/12	POWER SUPPLY	50	€281.14		€14,057.00
3	PS2010/12	POWER SUPPLY	100	€268.89		€26,889.00
4	PS2010/12	POWER SUPPLY	250	€256.64		€64,160.00
5	EM33	EM33 ISSUE 3	25	€233.61		€5,840.25
6	EM33	EM33 ISSUE 3	50	€202.93		€10,146.50
7	EM33	EM33 ISSUE 3	100	€192.78		€19,278.00
8	EM33	EM33 ISSUE 3	250	€173.50		€43,375.00

\square SENS - TECH

100691

24/06/2025

Paul Hurtado

08/07/2025

30 Days from Date of Invoice Euros

More info

REQUEST: 1,716 KUSD (Operating) + 85 KUSD (Reserve) + C-O until 2023 = 1,801 KUSD + C-O

OCL PER PERSON: 9,529.10 USD

Includes Mexico paying their full share for 9 people and does not include funds for the reserve

10,800 USD for 2026. VERY CONSERVATIVE

Calibration - WCD+SSD

- Vertical Equivalent Muon (VEM) for WCD
- Minimum Ionizing Particle (MIP) for SSD
- ➡ In >99% of cases muon hump well determined
- → Day/night fluctuations: ~3% for VEM and 15% for MIP
- → Uniformity across the array ~13% (VEM) and 6% (MIP)

WCD+SSD coincidence allows calibration of aged WCD :

SSD

VEM Charge

smallPMT cross-calibration

$$S_{\text{SPMT}}/\text{VEM} = \beta Q_{\text{SPMT}}/(\text{ADC counts})$$

- WCD PMTs vs sPMT

FD calibration: the XY scanner

- Scan complete telescope aperture (~1700 positions) with uniformly emitting, absolutely calibrated light source on rail system
- Permanent infrastructure installed at all telescopes
- all telescopes measured at least once
- Systematic uncertainty in absolute calibration of the fluorescence detectors of 6% (as compared to 9% with former large-diameter source)
- Comparison with CalA past calibration under study (Roma/KIT)

Calibration - RD

- Measure of the response of hardware components (in laboratory)
- → Relative calibration: drone measurements
- → Absolute scale: measure of the Galactic modulation in the 30-80 MHz frequency band

➡ Uncertainty in energy scale : Goal: <10%</p> [with AERA: 14%]

- → Measure of the rate of SiPM signals as a function of threshold for different bias voltages
- Threshold for the binary mode set at 2.5 photoelectrons.

→ The gain stability has been measured to be within 1% <u>(3 years of data)</u>

A.Castellina

Radio emission in EAS

• asymmetric footprint: it depends on relative weight of the 2 effects (i.e. on the geomagnetic and

Amplitude(E) $\propto N_{\text{particles}} \propto E_{\text{primary}}$ received power $\propto (E_{primary})^2$ 4000 3000

Astrophysical interpretation - the magnetic horizon effect

EG magnetic fields between Earth and the closest sources can affect the observed spectrum, reducing the low-rigidity particle flux

Suppression factor
$$G(E) \equiv \frac{J(E)}{J(E)_{d_S \to 0}}$$
Normalized intersource distance
$$X_s \simeq \frac{d_s}{10 \ Mpc} \sqrt{\frac{25 \ kpc}{L_{coh}}}$$

 \rightarrow The spectrum is softer for larger R_{crit} ($E_{crit} = Z R_{crit}$)

The magnetic horizon plays a role if

```
X_s R_{crit} \simeq 5 to 10 EeV
```

Implying strong EGMF O(10-200) nG in the Local Supercluster

li, 4 Luglio 2025

Astrophysical interpretation (energy spectrum+mass composition+arrival directions)

- Data well described by a model with f ~ 20% from SBGs and δ ~20° at 40 EeV, N-dominated hard injection spectrum
- Significance of SBG model ~4.5 σ , contribution of Centaurus A dominant (~80%)
- **y-AGN sources disfavoured** (not possible when considering only energy and mass composition)

	Cen A	Cen A, $m = 0.0$ Cen A, $m = 3.4$				m = 3.4	$\gamma { m AGN},m=5.0$		
		+ syst		+ syst		+ syst		+ syst	
	22.8	17.3	22.2	19.1	27.6	25.6	23.9, <mark>ª</mark>	9.8, <mark>ª</mark>	
	-0.1	-1.4	-0.4	-1.1	-5.2	-4.5	26.8	3.9	
ax	1.9	0.2	1.8	1.0	6.2	2.0	-0.8	6.4	
s	20.9	18.7	20.8	19.0	26.6	27.1	-2.1	-3.0	

Auger and TA WG - full sky search for anisotropies

Energy scale systematic uncertainties ±14% for Auger

Cross-calibration of the datasets in the common declination band

- scatter plots of arrival directions immediately interpretable
- equal sensitivity anywhere in the sky
- upper limits uniform over the sky

Confirm the presence of a dipole pointing away from the GC

Testing the predictions of hadronic models

Global fit of the observed $[X_{max}, S_{1000}]$ distributions with templates of free mass composition and different hadronic interaction models

Combined fit of the $[X_{max}, S_{1000}]$ distributions without any adjustments

Combined fit of the [X_{max}, S₁₀₀₀] distributions with angular dependent muon rescaling $R_{had}(9)$

largest improvement

Combined fit of the $[X_{max}, S_{1000}]$ distributions with angular dependent muon rescaling $R_{had}(9)$ and shift of X_{max}

further improvement -> heavier composition

NEW

 $X_{max} \rightarrow X_{max} + \Delta X_{max}$ $S_{Had}(\theta) \rightarrow S_{Had}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{Had}(\theta)$

Best description of data **if models modified** such that : X_{max} deeper by 20-50 g cm⁻²

S_{had} increased by 15-25%

KM3NeT event

Assuming the estimated neutrino flux central value ~ 29 events should have been detected with Auger SD1500...

 $(\sim 1.5 \text{ events assuming})$ central value minus 2 sigma)

