A Large Ion Collider Experiment



ALICE ITS Status Report 2024-2025

P. La Rocca

NB: Info and plots from ITS Plenary meetings, conference talks, ...

ITS Project organization





ITS2 data taking experience



ITS2: 3 years of experience with LHC beams, ~ 100% availability

- pp collisions: ~ 82 pb⁻¹ (~3000x min. bias Run1+2)
- Pb-Pb collisions: ~ 3.3 nb⁻¹ = ~ 25 billion hadronic collisions (~60x min. bias Run1+2)



Operation highlights:

- Successful performance at interaction rates of up to 4 MHz of pp collisions
- 99.6% active pixels in the whole detector
 - 94 chips broken/excluded + 970 k dead pixels + 500 k noisy pixels (over 12.6 Gpix)
- Run with static pixel mask; update needed only once per year
- Stable charge threshold with good uniformity across the chips; it needs retuning ~ once per year
- In-run acceptance loss at the level of percent (pp) or below (Pb-Pb), automatically recovered by the Detector Control System (DCS)

ITS2 - Data-taking in 2024



- In 2024 ITS showed stable and optimal performance including Pb–Pb
- Recorded data:
 - \circ pp (\sqrt{s} = 13.76): 53.1 pb⁻¹ (92.3 % efficiency)
 - \circ pp reference (√s = 5.36 TeV): 5.3 pb⁻¹ (96 % efficiency) 23 hours-long run was taken
 - \circ Pb-Pb (√sNN = 5.36 TeV): 1.6 nb⁻¹ (80 % efficiency) Reached the target lumi of 6400 b/s. Stable operation at 50 kHz IR
 - Special runs
 - \circ Van der Meer scan
 - $\circ\,$ Color runs
 - Beam background tests
- More than half of the expert on-call shifts were covered by INFN experts



An ever-improving detector





Jiyoung Kim / ALICE mini week @ Apr, 2025

Most relevant items discussed in the next slides

Threshold calibration in 2024

Threshold monitoring:

- Full threshold scan run ~1/year
- Fast threshold scan (~1% pixels) run at each beam dump





- Uniform response across the detector achieved (100 e⁻ target)
- Noise ~5 e⁻ (compatible with production QA measurements)
- Threshold stability has been consistently maintained across 24 k chips → minor fluctuations due to supply voltage optimizations
- Radiation effect observed in IB after Pb-Pb runs in 2023 → decrease in the average THR
- THR(L0) < THR(L1) < THR(L2)
- \rightarrow effect compensated with new tunings in 2024



Fake hit rate (FHR)



- Possibility to run with static masks already proven during surface commissioning
- Masking criteria:

10⁻⁶ hits/event/pixel in Outer Barrel 10⁻² hits/event/pixel in Inner Barrel \rightarrow prioritization of efficiency over data rate reduction in IB, almost no masking

- Fraction of masked pixels: 0.004%
- Stable noisy pixel map \rightarrow occasionally noise calibration is sufficient
- Extremely quiet detector!
- Paper on calibration: draft nearly complete





Percentage of noisy pixels per stave in ITS2 - Cosmic run 543014 - ITS2 framing 67 kHz - Recorded readout frames (ROF): 27.5 × 10⁶ - Stave average thresholds: 100 e



Noisy

Beam background tests

ALICE

- Early discovery in the first minutes of stable Pb-Pb beams in 2023
- Source promptly identified and largely mitigated → Orbit bump on beam 1
- RU firmware was enhanced to manage these events more effectively
- Large clusters also observed in pp collisions at similar positions but with different properties
- Task force with RC, experts from ITS, ZDC, MFT, MCH, FIT, MID & Simulation
 - Magnet polarity
 - With/without collisions
 - (TCT) collimators position
 - Tuning of beam disp knob (orbit bump)
 - Effect of bump applied at IP1 (ATLAS)
 - Single circulating beam
 - → Most likely hypothesis: three different background sources pp -- ²⁰⁷Pb on TCT -- residual PbPb

Work ongoing to understand the origin of the remaining background

Example hit-map on L0_04 in Pb-Pb collisions

Example hit-map on L0_04 in p-p collisions



~15 cm

(single chip)

Power Board (PB) voltage stability and mitigation



- Symptom: PB shows drifting output voltage that can be cured by replacing the input power patch cords
 - Attributed to voltage drop variations on the patch cord
 - Increasing voltage is an issue for the detector safety
 - Major fraction of alerts and interventions caused by this issue
 - Significant complication of our DCS system due to workarounds
- Due to space constraints voltage sensing is terminated before the last patch cord connecting the PB
- Understanding based on recent laboratory tests, but exact failure mechanism of the patch cords not reproduced in the laboratory so far
- Extending the sensing to the PB should stabilize and facilitate the operation significantly



Power Baord (PB) voltage stability and mitigation





Power Baord (PB) voltage stability and mitigation



- Removal of current input connector
- Addition of a custom assembly adding a sense connector in addition to a smaller footprint power connector
- Sense cables connected directly to the PB

Advantages:

- Only power board needs to be modified

Disadvantages:

- Additional connections in the front of the PB
- Interventions on the PBs / Readout Units become more complicated

Implemented on 3 staves



1. Front Panel sensing: power connector replaced by add-on board

Power Baord (PB) voltage stability and mitigation



Changes:

- Replacements of the VME J1 backplane by a custom one
- Re-routing of the sense cables to the back-plane
- Connection of the sensing to the backplane connector using a custom wire harness

Advantages:

- Interventions on Readout Units / PBs remain the same complexity: "cleaner"
 - → Preferred by experts

Disadvantages:

 Initial installation more complicated due to custom back plane: both RUs and PBs have to be removed from the subracks

Implemented on 11 staves



2. Custom backplane: Replacements of the VME J1 backplane by a custom one

Power Board modification – next steps

- So far no issues related to the modifications (limited number of staves modified and operational experience)
- Sensing working, i.e. increase resistance due to patch cord degradation successfully compensated
- Both solutions working, detailed performance comparison to be done
- Production of chosen solution during July/August/September
- Preparation of material for installation at CERN in October
- Formation of a team in the coming weeks:
 - Production and follow-up
 - Extraction and re-installation of electronics
 - Reworking of Power Boards (soldering)
- Installation starting from December 8th until January 23rd (5 weeks, tentative planning)
- Short YETS, experiments closing on February 20th => little contingency



Cooling issue



- Dominant leak on the ITS cooling plant (Stave L5_19), developed in 2023
- Stave excluded during YETS to assess the tightness of the rest of the system
 - After recent exclusion and re-inclusion of the line, the leak rate approximately doubled
 - Operational margin on the corresponding cooling line drastically reduced (inlet pressure at 0.95 bar)
 - Stave L5_19 still running, but the operational margin on the cooling is very small
 - During LS3, we plan to intervene on the Half-Barrel



Reconstruction, simulation and data preparation

- Geometry: ITS Staves described to a high level of detail, but still some elements described in a rough way
- Reconstruction:
 - GPU developments
 - Treatment of tracks with shared clusters
 - Use information about sensor acceptance/inefficiency in the tracking
 - ...
- Alignment: current alignment produces good physics results, but work in progress to improve σp_T and remove DCA biases
- Impact parameter: material features visible
- ... many other studies
 - Detection efficiency from tracks
 - Studies on PID (color runs)
 - Efficiency vs. occupancy studies
 - Occupancy: Data/MC matching
 - QC: systematic checks of reconstructed data and MC samples
 - Parallel worlds for MC simulation

- ..

Alignment

- 2025 where are we?
 - Use new cosmic data acquired this year (x3 statistics, >300 hours of data taking)
 - New 2025 alignment \rightarrow overall improvements visible, some problematic regions remain
 - − Tested in D⁰ raw yield analysis \rightarrow significance improved
 - New alignment will be used from now on







Impact parameter resolution



- 2025 where are we?
 - ITS2 TDR: factor 3 improvement over ITS1
 - Current status: factor 2 improvement over ITS1
 - Current simulations predict a factor 2.5 improvement over ITS1 (partially due to increase material budget)



A Large Ion Collider Experiment

Impact parameter resolution

- Goal: understand the observed discrepancy between data and MC simulations
- Impact parameter resolution affected by the material budget due to:
 - multiple scattering for low pT particles
 - intrinsic point resolution of each tracking layer
- Understand the influence of the material budget inhomogeneity
 - Potential contributions arising from the decoupling capacitors on the stave
 - − Every capacitor of size 1 mm x 0.5 mm \rightarrow adding about 3-4% X0

2

3

4

- The effect becomes less pronounced for increasing particle momentum
- Studies ongoing

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

-4

x (cm)

IP std. deviation - AllParticles - 0.5 < p < 0.6 GeV/c - ClusterSize integrated

z (cm)



Exploring the full potential of ITS2

Detection efficiency from tracks

- Reconstructed tracks in ITS do not have missing hits in the central layers
- This prevents us from calculating the efficiency in the "classical way"
- Estimate the ITS detection efficiency by exploiting the staves overlaps

Studies on PID - Color run

Usage of Time over Threshold information to access the particle energy loss in ALPIDE sensitive layer

- Front-end tuned to achieve analogue pulse length proportional to deposited charge
- High readout rate (2.2 MHz) to oversample analogue front-end response
- Only feasible at < 1 kHz of pp collisions

Milestones

2024

		Data prevista per il completamento	Descrizione	Completamento al 30.06.2024 (%)	Commenti al 30.06.2024	Completamento al 31.12.2024 (%)	Commenti al 31.12.2024
3	ITS	30/11/2024	Ottimizzazione software di ricostruzione tracce per interazioni pPb	100	Il software di ricostruzione è stato validato nella sua versione completa. Possibili ottimizzazioni per GPU sono possibili e permetteranno di ridurre il tempo di elaborazione dei dati	100	

2025

		Data prevista per il completamento	Descrizione	Completamento al 30.06.2025 (%)	Commenti al 30.06.2025	Completamento al 31.12.2025 (%)	Commenti al 31.12.2025
24	ITS	31/12/2025	Partecipazione con alta efficienza alla presa dati durante tutti i periodi di fascio	40%	L'ITS ha partecipato alla presa dati della prima metà 2025 in modo continuo, mostrando prestazioni stabili e ottimali		

Milestones

	Data prevista per il completamento	Descrizione	Completamento al 30.06.2026 (%)	Commenti al 30.06.2026	Completamento al 31.12.2026 (%)	Commenti al 31.12.2026
ITS	30/06/2026	Partecipazione con alta efficienza alla presa dati durante tutti i periodi di fascio				
ITS	31/03/2026	Risoluzione problema Power Board, tale da garantire prestazioni stabili da qui alla fine del Run 3				

Financial requests 2026

M&OB 78 kEUR (CERN RRB Apr. 2025)

Backup slides

Inner Tracking System (ITS2)

- The first Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS) based detector at LHC
- ITS2 design objectives:
 - Higher granularity:
 - 7 pixel layers ITS1: 6 layers
 - Improved impact parameter resolution:
 - Closer to the interaction vertex 22 mm ITS1: 39 mm Reduced material budget ~ $0.36\% X_0$ /inner layer - ITS1: ~1.14% X_0 Reduced pixel size ~ $30 \times 30 \mu m^2$ - ITS1: ~ $50 \times 425 \mu m^2$
 - Fast readout, continuous mode, at higher interaction rates:
 - Pb-Pb up to 50 kHz (previously 1 kHz) pp up to 1 MHz
- Timeline:
 - 2013 TDR
 - 2019 Start of on-surface commissioning
 - 2021, spring Installation in the ALICE cavern
 - 2022, July Start of LHC run 3
 - Next: Inner Barrel replacement during Long Shutdown $3 \rightarrow ITS3$

ALICE

12.6 billion pixels

2023 Pb background

- Pb208 electromagnetic dissociation into crystal collimators in IP7
- Pb207 isotopes hitting bottom jaw of TCT, 117m away from IP2
- Keeping TCT in parking position solves background but not affordable for the LHC due to radiation load
- Orbit bump on beam 1, shifting Pb207 trajectories in the z direction \rightarrow nuclei missing the TCT (Oct 05th)

Detection efficiency from tracks

- New studies on B=0 data in different years
- Excluded chips adjacent to switched-off chips, to avoid biases
- Correlation with applied threshold
 - Higher efficiency for higher thresholds
 - Under investigation

