A Large Ion Collider Experiment



## ALICE ITS Status Report 2024-2025

P. La Rocca

NB: Info and plots from ITS Plenary meetings, conference talks, ...

#### **ITS Project organization**





#### **ITS2** data taking experience



ITS2: 3 years of experience with LHC beams, ~ 100% availability

- pp collisions: ~ 82 pb<sup>-1</sup> (~3000x min. bias Run1+2)
- Pb-Pb collisions: ~ 3.3 nb<sup>-1</sup> = ~ 25 billion hadronic collisions (~60x min. bias Run1+2)



#### **Operation highlights:**

- Successful performance at interaction rates of up to 4 MHz of pp collisions
- 99.6% active pixels in the whole detector
  - 94 chips broken/excluded + 970 k dead pixels + 500 k noisy pixels (over 12.6 Gpix)
- Run with static pixel mask; update needed only once per year
- Stable charge threshold with good uniformity across the chips; it needs retuning ~ once per year
- In-run acceptance loss at the level of percent (pp) or below (Pb-Pb), automatically recovered by the Detector Control System (DCS)

#### ITS2 - Data-taking in 2024



- In 2024 ITS showed stable and optimal performance including Pb–Pb
- Recorded data:
  - $\circ$  pp ( $\sqrt{s}$  = 13.76): 53.1 pb<sup>-1</sup> (92.3 % efficiency)
  - $\circ$  pp reference (√s = 5.36 TeV): 5.3 pb<sup>-1</sup> (96 % efficiency) 23 hours-long run was taken
  - $\circ$  Pb-Pb (√sNN = 5.36 TeV): 1.6 nb<sup>-1</sup> (80 % efficiency) Reached the target lumi of 6400 b/s. Stable operation at 50 kHz IR
  - Special runs
    - $\circ$  Van der Meer scan
    - $\circ\,$  Color runs
    - Beam background tests
- More than half of the expert on-call shifts were covered by INFN experts



#### An ever-improving detector





Jiyoung Kim / ALICE mini week @ Apr, 2025

#### Most relevant items discussed in the next slides

### **Threshold calibration in 2024**

Threshold monitoring:

- Full threshold scan run ~1/year
- Fast threshold scan (~1% pixels) run at each beam dump





- Uniform response across the detector achieved (100 e<sup>-</sup> target)
- Noise ~5 e<sup>-</sup> (compatible with production QA measurements)
- Threshold stability has been consistently maintained across 24 k chips → minor fluctuations due to supply voltage optimizations
- Radiation effect observed in IB after Pb-Pb runs in 2023 → decrease in the average THR
- THR(L0) < THR(L1) < THR(L2)
- $\rightarrow$  effect compensated with new tunings in 2024



### Fake hit rate (FHR)



- Possibility to run with static masks already proven during surface commissioning
- Masking criteria:

10<sup>-6</sup> hits/event/pixel in Outer Barrel 10<sup>-2</sup> hits/event/pixel in Inner Barrel  $\rightarrow$  prioritization of efficiency over data rate reduction in IB, almost no masking

- Fraction of masked pixels: 0.004%
- Stable noisy pixel map  $\rightarrow$  occasionally noise calibration is sufficient
- Extremely quiet detector!
- Paper on calibration: draft nearly complete





Percentage of noisy pixels per stave in ITS2 - Cosmic run 543014 - ITS2 framing 67 kHz - Recorded readout frames (ROF): 27.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> - Stave average thresholds: 100 e



Noisy

#### **Beam background tests**

ALICE

- Early discovery in the first minutes of stable Pb-Pb beams in 2023
- Source promptly identified and largely mitigated → Orbit bump on beam 1
- RU firmware was enhanced to manage these events more effectively
- Large clusters also observed in pp collisions at similar positions but with different properties
- Task force with RC, experts from ITS, ZDC, MFT, MCH, FIT, MID & Simulation
  - Magnet polarity
  - With/without collisions
  - (TCT) collimators position
  - Tuning of beam disp knob (orbit bump)
  - Effect of bump applied at IP1 (ATLAS)
  - Single circulating beam
    - → Most likely hypothesis: three different background sources pp -- <sup>207</sup>Pb on TCT -- residual PbPb

Work ongoing to understand the origin of the remaining background

Example hit-map on L0\_04 in Pb-Pb collisions

Example hit-map on L0\_04 in p-p collisions



~15 cm

(single chip)

### Power Board (PB) voltage stability and mitigation



- Symptom: PB shows drifting output voltage that can be cured by replacing the input power patch cords
  - Attributed to voltage drop variations on the patch cord
  - Increasing voltage is an issue for the detector safety
  - Major fraction of alerts and interventions caused by this issue
  - Significant complication of our DCS system due to workarounds
- Due to space constraints voltage sensing is terminated before the last patch cord connecting the PB
- Understanding based on recent laboratory tests, but exact failure mechanism of the patch cords not reproduced in the laboratory so far
- Extending the sensing to the PB should stabilize and facilitate the operation significantly



### Power Baord (PB) voltage stability and mitigation





### Power Baord (PB) voltage stability and mitigation



- Removal of current input connector
- Addition of a custom assembly adding a sense connector in addition to a smaller footprint power connector
- Sense cables connected directly to the PB

#### Advantages:

- Only power board needs to be modified

#### Disadvantages:

- Additional connections in the front of the PB
- Interventions on the PBs / Readout Units become more complicated

Implemented on 3 staves



1. Front Panel sensing: power connector replaced by add-on board

### Power Baord (PB) voltage stability and mitigation



#### Changes:

- Replacements of the VME J1 backplane by a custom one
- Re-routing of the sense cables to the back-plane
- Connection of the sensing to the backplane connector using a custom wire harness

#### Advantages:

- Interventions on Readout Units / PBs remain the same complexity: "cleaner"
  - → Preferred by experts

#### Disadvantages:

 Initial installation more complicated due to custom back plane: both RUs and PBs have to be removed from the subracks

Implemented on 11 staves



2. Custom backplane: Replacements of the VME J1 backplane by a custom one

#### **Power Board modification – next steps**

- So far no issues related to the modifications (limited number of staves modified and operational experience)
- Sensing working, i.e. increase resistance due to patch cord degradation successfully compensated
- Both solutions working, detailed performance comparison to be done
- Production of chosen solution during July/August/September
- Preparation of material for installation at CERN in October
- Formation of a team in the coming weeks:
  - Production and follow-up
  - Extraction and re-installation of electronics
  - Reworking of Power Boards (soldering)
- Installation starting from December 8th until January 23rd (5 weeks, tentative planning)
- Short YETS, experiments closing on February 20th => little contingency



### **Cooling issue**



- Dominant leak on the ITS cooling plant (Stave L5\_19), developed in 2023
- Stave excluded during YETS to assess the tightness of the rest of the system
  - After recent exclusion and re-inclusion of the line, the leak rate approximately doubled
  - Operational margin on the corresponding cooling line drastically reduced (inlet pressure at 0.95 bar)
  - Stave L5\_19 still running, but the operational margin on the cooling is very small
  - During LS3, we plan to intervene on the Half-Barrel



#### **Reconstruction, simulation and data preparation**

- Geometry: ITS Staves described to a high level of detail, but still some elements described in a rough way
- Reconstruction:
  - GPU developments
  - Treatment of tracks with shared clusters
  - Use information about sensor acceptance/inefficiency in the tracking
  - ...
- Alignment: current alignment produces good physics results, but work in progress to improve σp<sub>T</sub> and remove DCA biases
- Impact parameter: material features visible
- ... many other studies
  - Detection efficiency from tracks
  - Studies on PID (color runs)
  - Efficiency vs. occupancy studies
  - Occupancy: Data/MC matching
  - QC: systematic checks of reconstructed data and MC samples
  - Parallel worlds for MC simulation

- ..

### Alignment

- 2025 where are we?
  - Use new cosmic data acquired this year (x3 statistics, >300 hours of data taking)
  - New 2025 alignment  $\rightarrow$  overall improvements visible, some problematic regions remain
  - − Tested in D<sup>0</sup> raw yield analysis  $\rightarrow$  significance improved
  - New alignment will be used from now on







#### Impact parameter resolution



- 2025 where are we?
  - ITS2 TDR: factor 3 improvement over ITS1
  - Current status: factor 2 improvement over ITS1
  - Current simulations predict a factor 2.5 improvement over ITS1 (partially due to increase material budget)



A Large Ion Collider Experiment

#### Impact parameter resolution

- Goal: understand the observed discrepancy between data and MC simulations
- Impact parameter resolution affected by the material budget due to:
  - multiple scattering for low pT particles
  - intrinsic point resolution of each tracking layer
- Understand the influence of the material budget inhomogeneity
  - Potential contributions arising from the decoupling capacitors on the stave
  - − Every capacitor of size 1 mm x 0.5 mm  $\rightarrow$  adding about 3-4% X0

2

3

4

- The effect becomes less pronounced for increasing particle momentum
- Studies ongoing

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

-4

x (cm)

IP std. deviation - AllParticles - 0.5 < p < 0.6 GeV/c - ClusterSize integrated

z (cm)







### **Exploring the full potential of ITS2**





#### **Detection efficiency from tracks**

- Reconstructed tracks in ITS do not have missing hits in the central layers
- This prevents us from calculating the efficiency in the "classical way"
- Estimate the ITS detection efficiency by exploiting the staves overlaps

#### Studies on PID - Color run

Usage of Time over Threshold information to access the particle energy loss in ALPIDE sensitive layer

- Front-end tuned to achieve analogue pulse length proportional to deposited charge
- High readout rate (2.2 MHz) to oversample analogue front-end response
- Only feasible at < 1 kHz of pp collisions



### **Milestones**



#### 2024

		Data prevista per il completamento	Descrizione	Completamento al 30.06.2024 (%)	Commenti al 30.06.2024	Completamento al 31.12.2024 (%)	Commenti al 31.12.2024
3	ITS	30/11/2024	Ottimizzazione software di ricostruzione tracce per interazioni pPb	100	Il software di ricostruzione è stato validato nella sua versione completa. Possibili ottimizzazioni per GPU sono possibili e permetteranno di ridurre il tempo di elaborazione dei dati	100	

#### 2025

		Data prevista per il completamento	Descrizione	Completamento al 30.06.2025 (%)	Commenti al 30.06.2025	Completamento al 31.12.2025 (%)	Commenti al 31.12.2025
24	ITS	31/12/2025	Partecipazione con alta efficienza alla presa dati durante tutti i periodi di fascio	40%	L'ITS ha partecipato alla presa dati della prima metà 2025 in modo continuo, mostrando prestazioni stabili e ottimali		

### **Milestones**



	Data prevista per il completamento	Descrizione	Completamento al 30.06.2026 (%)	Commenti al 30.06.2026	Completamento al 31.12.2026 (%)	Commenti al 31.12.2026
ITS	30/06/2026	Partecipazione con alta efficienza alla presa dati durante tutti i periodi di fascio				
ITS	31/03/2026	Risoluzione problema Power Board, tale da garantire prestazioni stabili da qui alla fine del Run 3				

#### **Financial requests 2026**

M&OB 78 kEUR (CERN RRB Apr. 2025)





### **Backup slides**

### Inner Tracking System (ITS2)

- The first Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS) based detector at LHC
- ITS2 design objectives:
  - Higher granularity:
    - 7 pixel layers ITS1: 6 layers
  - Improved impact parameter resolution:
    - Closer to the interaction vertex 22 mm ITS1: 39 mm Reduced material budget ~  $0.36\% X_0$ /inner layer - ITS1: ~1.14%  $X_0$ Reduced pixel size ~  $30 \times 30 \mu m^2$  - ITS1: ~  $50 \times 425 \mu m^2$
  - Fast readout, continuous mode, at higher interaction rates:
    - Pb-Pb up to 50 kHz (previously 1 kHz) pp up to 1 MHz
- Timeline:
  - 2013 TDR
  - 2019 Start of on-surface commissioning
  - 2021, spring Installation in the ALICE cavern
  - 2022, July Start of LHC run 3
  - Next: Inner Barrel replacement during Long Shutdown  $3 \rightarrow ITS3$

# ALICE

#### 12.6 billion pixels



### 2023 Pb background



- Pb208 electromagnetic dissociation into crystal collimators in IP7
- Pb207 isotopes hitting bottom jaw of TCT, 117m away from IP2
- Keeping TCT in parking position solves background but not affordable for the LHC due to radiation load
- Orbit bump on beam 1, shifting Pb207 trajectories in the z direction  $\rightarrow$  nuclei missing the TCT (Oct 05<sup>th</sup>)



### **Detection efficiency from tracks**

- New studies on B=0 data in different years
- Excluded chips adjacent to switched-off chips, to avoid biases
- Correlation with applied threshold
  - Higher efficiency for higher thresholds
  - Under investigation





