



Alberto Acevedo-Rentería advised by Eric Vázquez-Jáuregui Physics Institute, UNAM

Collaboration meeting at Ferrara, July 1st, 2025



GEANT4 models: Atomic de-excitation

- Activated for process
 - Photoelectric effect
 - Ionization
 - Compton scattering
- Available for some physics packages:
 - Livermore
 - Penelope
 - Standard Electromagnetic
 - GEANT4-DNA

GEANT4 models: Atomic de-excitation

- Simulation of atomic relaxation GEANT4
 - Creation of a vacancy by a primary process, handled by any GEANT4 Electromagnetic Physics Package (like Livermore or Penelope)
 - manage the primary interaction, photoelectric, Compton scattering and ionization
 - Generation of the relaxation cascade, handled by the Atomic Relaxation Package
 - secondaries are then passed to GEANT4 tracking and manage as any other particle in the simulation



Atomic Relaxation Options in GEANT4:

- Fluorescence
 - Activated by default in G4EmLivermore, G4EmLivermorePolarized and G4EmPenelope
- PIXE
- Auger
- The library used in GEANT4 to provide the binding energy and the radiative (fluorescence) and non-radiative (Auger and Coster-Kronig electrons) transition probabilities is Evaluated Atom Data

Library, EADL (<u>https://www.iaea.org/resources/databases/evaluated-nuclear-data-file</u>)

Fluorescence

- Lines data set
 - Default
 - binding energies & radiative transitions probabilities from EADL
 - Bearden data
 - binding energy from EADL, radiative transitions probabilities from Bearden (1967)
 - XDB (X-ray Data Booklet) (<u>https://xdb.lbl.gov/</u>)
 - XDB & EADL for binding energies, EADL for radiative transitions probabilities
 - ANSTO
 - binding energies from EADL, radiative transitions probabilities from library developed by the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO)

PIXE

- Cross section model
 - Shell ionization
 - Empirical, empirical and semi-empirical compilations from Paul et al (K-shell) and Orlic et al (L-shell)
 - ECPSSR_Analytical, ECPSSR theory for K-shell and L-shell
 - ECPSSR_FormFactor, an empirical polynomial approximation
 - Taborda et al, X-ray Spectrometry 40, 3, 2011 (<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/xrs.1305</u>)
 - Taborda et al, X-ray Spectrometry 42, 4, 2013 (<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/xrs.2483</u>)
 - ECPSSR_ANSTO, theoretical work from Brandt and Lapicki (ECPSSR)
 - For electrons (needed only for simulations that use continuous ionization process)
 - Livermore
 - Penelope

GEANT4 PIXE Empirical and Analytical cross section sets only generate K and L vacancies

PIXE ANSTO

- ANSTO uses Hartree-Fock while EADL uses Hartree-Slater
 - HF better PIXE experimental values than HS
- ANSTO and EADL equivalent results for the K and L shells, but there are differences at M shell



EMLOW 6.50 en Geant4 10.3 EMLOW 6.54 en Genat4 10.4 beta

Bakr S., et al NIM-B, 507 2021 (<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2021.09.009</u>) Bakr S., et al NIM-B, 532 2022 (<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2022.10.010</u>)

Simulations for BULLKID (Sapienza)

- To analyze the different PIXE models and the Fluorescence model for BULLKID at Sapienza
 - Gamma spectrum simulated inside the cryostat for each model
 - \circ Considered gamma flux at Sapienza 13.47 γ cm⁻²s⁻¹



Simulations for BULLKID, G4EMLOW8.2 GEANT4 version 11.1.3





• Not significant difference found for this case (same behavior)

Simulations for BULLKID (Sapienza): ²¹⁰Pb contribution in holder

- Considering experimental set up:
 - gamma spectrum simulated outside the cryostat
 - Simulation of ²¹⁰Pb for the holder
- From the last slide, it seems we can use any model. Fluorescence model used



Simulations for BULLKID

- Data points from Daniele (0.2-1 keV) and Matteo (3-100 keV) [digest may 14th]
- Units:
 - gamma simulations dru
 - Data dru for 0.2-1 keV, re-scaled (arbitrary units) for 3-100 keV
 - ²¹⁰Pb re-scaled (arbitrary units)



Simulations for BULLKID (Sapienza)



Summary

- All models for the atomic de-excitation show the x-ray characteristics of Lead from K and L shell
 - Only ANSTO and FromFactor include x-ray characteristics from M shell
- In the GEANT4 version used for the simulations, it seems that there is no considerable difference between the four PIXE models and the Fluorescence model
- Simulation for gammas and ²¹⁰Pb does not fit the rise observed at Sapienza independent of the model considered for PIXE
- Keep search and continue study/understanding models

Auxiliary histograms







