# Semileptonic B decays – results and plans at Belle II Christoph Schwanda (HEPHY Vienna)

Semileptonic B decays at the junction of experiment and theory Torino, Jun 12-13, 2025

# **Belle II @ SuperKEKB**

- Luminosity frontier experiment to search for Physics beyond the Standard Model
  - $e^+e^-$  asymmetric collision at the  $\Upsilon(4S)$
  - High current / nano-beams, challenging background conditions
- Luminosity targets to achieve physics goals:

$$\mathscr{L} = 6 \times 10^{35} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}, \,\int \mathscr{L}dt =$$







### The Belle II detector







Updated on 2025/01/06 16:16 JST

### New luminosity record Dec 27, 2024





# **2025c operation schedule**

### [Baseline]

	4	5	6	7	8
FY2024			<b>←</b> Tsu	kuba Hall	roof renov
FY2025		10 m	onths	shutd	own Port
FY2026 Assuming the solution as FY2	ame 2025				

### Faint colors for the machine start-up time



MR start at Nov 5 at the earliest



# What I'm going to talk about

- New Belle II  $B \to D\ell\nu$  result and impact on  $|V_{ch}|$ 
  - Shown at Moriond EW 2025, collaboration paper in '48h display'
- My view on  $|V_{ch}|$  inclusive and what we plan to do about it
  - Towards an untagged measurement of the inclusive semileptonic branching fraction

# What I'm not going to talk about



https://hflav-eos.web.cern.ch/hflav-eos/semi/spring25/html/RDsDsstar/RDRDs.html

### Semileptonic *B* decays **Determination of the CKM elements** $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$

- SL B decays are studied to determine the CKM elements  $|V_{ch}|$  and  $|V_{\mu h}|$ 
  - $|V_{xb}|$  are limiting the global constraining power of UT fits
  - Important inputs in predictions of SM rates for ultrarare decays such as  $B_s \rightarrow \mu \nu$  and  $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu$
- The determinations can be
  - *Exclusive* from a single final state
  - *Inclusive* sensitive to all SL final states



	Experiment	Theory
Exclusive  V <sub>cb</sub>	$B \rightarrow Dlv, D^*lv$ (low backgrounds)	Lattice QC light cone s rules
Inclusive  V <sub>cb</sub>	B → Xlv (higher background)	Operator pro expansio



## Inclusive/exclusive anomaly



~3 $\sigma$  difference between *inclusive* and *exclusive*  $|V_{xb}|$ 

## "Once you eliminate the impossible, whatever remains, no matter how improbable, must be the truth."

Sir Arthur Conan Doyle a.k.a. Sherlock Holmes

# $|V_{ch}|$ exclusive status circa 2020

- Dominated by  $B^0 \to D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu$ 
  - $|V_{cb}| = (38.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-3} \sim 2\%$  uncertainty [PRD 100, 052007 (2019)]
- Extractions from  $B \rightarrow D\ell\nu$  significantly less precise
  - $|V_{ch}| = (39.86 \pm 1.33) \cdot 10^{-3} \sim 3\%$  uncertainty [PRD 93, 032006 (2016)]

### **Possible issues** $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu$

- Experimental
  - Slow pion from  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
  - Only one isospin state accessible (Coulomb correction)
- Theory
  - Three form-factors
  - Uncertainties BGL truncation



13



### **Possible issues tagging**



high signal yield (+) high backgrounds (-) poor neutrino reconstruction (-)





### **Tagged:**

 $B_{\rm sig}$  and  $B_{\rm tag}$  are reconstructed

signal yield O(10<sup>3</sup>) lower (-) low backgrounds (+) good neutrino reconstruction (+) tag calibration (-)



# Hadronic tagging at Belle II

### Comput Softw Big Sci (2019) 3: 6.



- The hadronic FEI employs over 200 boosted decision trees to reconstruct 10000 B decay chains
  - $\epsilon_{B^+} \approx 0.5 \%$ ,  $\epsilon_{B^0} \approx 0.3 \%$  at low purity (about 50% increase with respect to the Belle tag)



$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 / 4 - (p_{B_{tag}}^{cm})^2} > 5.27 \; {
m GeV}/c^2$$



Thus we need...

### Belle II $B \rightarrow D\ell\nu$ untagged [Moriond EW 2025, paper to be submitted]

# Signal reconstruction

• The Belle II measurement is performed using  $B^0$  and  $B^+$  decays without explicitly reconstructing the partner *B* meson from the  $Y(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$  decay

 $\circ \quad D^{-} \to K^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \text{ and } D^{0} \to K^{-} \pi^{+}$ 

The signal is extracted using the cos θ<sub>BY</sub>
 variable where Y represents the DI system

$$\cos \theta_{BY} = \frac{2 E_B^* E_Y^* - M_B^2 - l}{2|p_B^*||p_Y^*|}$$

### Preliminary



# Binning in $w = v \cdot v'$



 $\mathcal{B}(B \to D^- \ell^+ \nu)$  $\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu)$ 

### The signal is extracted from a 2D binned template fit of $\cos\theta_{RY}$ : w split in 10 bins each The fit is performed simultaneously on 4 separate channels $D^0e^2$ , $D^0\mu^2$ , $D^+e^2$ and $D^+\mu^2$ to extract the individual branching fractions and a lepton flavour universality test



# **Determination of** $|V_{ch}|$

- The differential decay rate  $\Delta\Gamma/\Delta w$  in 10 w bins is obtained from the same fit
- QCD constraints  $\rightarrow$  extraction of  $|V_{cb}|$  and BCL form factor parameters



The obtained values of  $\Delta\Gamma/\Delta w$  are fitted to the differential rate expressed using the Bourrely, Caprini, Lellouch (BCL) form factor parametrisation with a  $\chi^2$  fit with lattice



### $= (39.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$

 $|V_{cb}|_{\text{excl.}} = (39.77 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-3} \quad |V_{cb}|_{\text{incl.}} = (41.97 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-3}$ 



## **Result for BCL expansion**

$$r = M_D/M_B$$
  $G^2(w) = \frac{4r}{(1+r)^2} f_+^2(w)$   $f_0(w_{\max}) = f_+(w_{\max})$ 

$$f_{+}(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}/M_{+}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_{k} \left[ z^{k} - (-1)^{k-N} \frac{k}{N} z^{N} \right]$$

Values

$$\begin{array}{rl} a_0^+ & 0.8959(92) \\ a_1^+ & -8.03(15) \\ a_2^+ & 49.3(31) \\ a_0^0 & 0.7813(73) \\ a_1^0 & -3.38(15) \end{array}$$

Measured parameters of the N = 3 BCL expansion

BCL expansion: <u>PRD 79,</u> 013008 (2009)

$$f_0(q^2) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2/M_0^2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_k z^k$$

Correlation coefficients

1	0.26	-0.38	0.95	0.51
	1	0.17	0.33	0.86
		1	-0.31	0.16
			1	0.47
				1

### Preliminary



# Systematic uncertainty $|V_{ch}|$

Fractional contributions to the total relative uncertainty of  $|V_{cb}|$ 

 $|V_{cb}| = (39.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.6 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.5 \text{ (theo.)}) \times 10^{-3}$ 

Preliminary

Uncertainty	[%]
	0.9
	0.5
	0.5
	0.1
	0.3
	0.3
	0.5
	0.3
	0.2
	0.5
	0.3
	0.4
	0.1
	0.5
	0.3
	0.1
	1.5
	1.2
	0.4
	1.3
	2.1
	Uncertainty

# **Electroweak and QED corrections**

Short-distance electroweak corrections are well understood •  $\eta_{FW} = (1.0066 \pm 0.0002) [Nucl. Phys. B 196, 83 (1982)]$ 

Long-distance QED corrections arise from photon exchange between the D meson and the charged lepton (Coulomb correction)

•  $\delta_{Coulomb} = (1 + \alpha \pi) = 1.023 [Phys. Rev. D 41, 1736 (1990)]$ 

A nuisance parameter  $\theta$  is introduced to take into account the isospin-breaking Ο effect of the Coulomb correction which modifies the B lifetime ratio

 $\quad \quad \tau_{0+} \to \tau_{0+}(1 + \alpha \pi \theta)$ 

This is an important information that cannot be accessed in  $B \rightarrow D^* I v$ Ο measurements where the D<sup>\*</sup> is usually reconstructed via D<sup>\*</sup> ( $\rightarrow$  D<sup>0</sup>  $\pi^+$ )

Intermediate summary:

The goal of the research was reached but it did not bring us closer to understanding the issue...

Another idea is that the problem lies with the...

# Inclusive semileptonic BR

### $|V_{ch}|$ from inclusive decays

$$\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} | \mathbf{v} \qquad \Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 m_b^5}{192\pi^3} |V_{cb}|^2 (1 + 1)^{1/2} |V_{cb}|^2 (1 + 1)^{1/2} |V_{cb}|^2 (1 + 1)^{1/2} |V_{cb}|^2 |V_{cb}$$

- Based on the Operator Product Expansion (OPE)
- <O<sub>i</sub>>: hadronic matrix elements (non-perturbative) **C**<sub>i</sub>: coefficients (perturbative)

	Kinetic	1S
	[JHEP 1109 (2011) 055]	[PRD70, 094017 (2004)]
O(1)	m <sub>b</sub> , m <sub>c</sub>	m <sub>b</sub>
O(1/m² <sub>b</sub> )	$μ^2_π$ , $μ^2_G$	$\lambda_1, \lambda_2$
O(1/m³ <sub>b</sub> )	$ρ^3$ <sub>D</sub> , $ρ^3$ <sub>LS</sub>	ρ <sub>1</sub> , τ <sub>1-3</sub>



• Parton-hadron duality  $\rightarrow$  the hadronic ME depend only on the initial state

### **Recent results for** $|V_{ch}|$ inclusive **OPE-based** analyses

•  $E_{\ell}$  and  $M_X^2$  moments [M. Bordone, B. Capdevila, and P. Gambino, Phys. Lett. B 822 (2021) 136679]

•  $q^2$  moments ( $\mathscr{B}_{SL}$  is input) [F. Bernlochner et al., JHEP 10 (2022) 068]

 $|V_{cb}| = (41.69 \pm 0.63) \times 10^{-3} \quad \mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to X_c \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell) = (10.48 \pm 0.13)\%$ 

•  $E_{\ell}$ ,  $M_X^2$  and  $q^2$  moments [M. Bordone, B. Capdevila, and P. Gambino, Phys. Lett. B 822 (2021) 136679]



 $|V_{cb}| = (42.16 \pm 0.30(th) \pm 0.32(exp) \pm 0.25(\Gamma)) \times 10^{-3} \qquad \mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to X_c \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell) = (10.66 \pm 0.15)\%$ 

 $|V_{cb}| = (41.97 \pm 0.27(\exp) \pm 0.31(\th) \pm 0.25(\Gamma)) \times 10^{-3} \quad \mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to X_c \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell) = (10.63 \pm 0.15)\%$ 



### Rescaling JHEP 10 (2022) 068 to the same $\mathscr{B}_{\rm SL}$

•  $q^2$  moments ( $\mathscr{B}_{SL}$  is input) [F. Bernlochner et al., JHEP 10 (2022) 068]





### $\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to X_c \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell) = (10.48 \pm 0.13)\%$

 $\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to X_c \ell^- \overline{\nu}_\ell) = (10.63 \pm 0.19)\%$ 

### Partial BR measurements Inputs to global analyses



$E_0[\mathrm{GeV}]$	$\mathcal{B}[10^{-2}]$
0.6	$10.30~\pm~0.06~\pm~0.24$
0.8	$9.61~\pm~0.05~\pm~0.20$
1.0	$8.65~\pm~0.04~\pm~0.17$
1.2	$7.31~\pm~0.04~\pm~0.14$
1.5	$4.79~\pm~0.03~\pm~0.09$

### (2004 table)

 $\Delta$  BR × 10<sup>-2</sup>



Belle

Hadronic tag [Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 032001]

$E_{\rm cut}[{\rm GeV}]$	$\Delta \mathcal{B} \; [10^{-2}]$
0.4	$10.44 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.22$
0.6	$10.07\pm0.18\pm0.21$
0.8	$9.42 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.19$
1.0	$8.41 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.17$
1.2	$7.11 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.14$
1.4	$5.52 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11$
1.6	$3.71\pm0.09\pm0.07$
1.8	$1.93 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$
2.0	$0.53 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02$

### **Phys.Rev.D 95 (2017) 7, 072001** BaBar publication on $|V_{ub}|$ inclusive





### Revisiting the measurement of $\mathcal{B}_{\rm SL}$

- There is ample motivation for revisiting the measurement of the inclusive, semileptonic BR measurement
  - Only few recent measurements, issue with consistency?
  - $\mathcal{B}_{\rm SL}$  has a very significant impact on the value of  $\mid V_{cb} \mid$  inclusive
- A new measurement should be inclusive to avoid issues with tagging
  - Requires excellent understanding of backgrounds and detector systematics

5

### Belle II $B \rightarrow X \ell \nu$ inclusive [arXiv:2111.09405] **Preliminary conference result**



double-tag measurement a la BaBar?

Pure inclusive measurement seems hard due to significant backgrounds,

# Summary

- $|V_{ch}|$  exclusive
  - confidence in the present value for  $|V_{ch}|$  exclusive
  - spectral data will be uploaded to HepData prior to submission
- $|V_{ch}|$  inclusive
  - could play a major role in understanding the exclusive-inclusive puzzle
  - results soon as this will be a challenging task

• The new Belle II measurement of  $B \to D\ell \nu$  shows no major surprise but strengthens the

• The collaboration paper should be submitted to the journal in a couple of days, detailed

• Imho the inclusive, semileptonic BR did not receive enough experimental scrutiny though it

• Work towards an untagged measurement is underway in my group but please don't expect

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

Backup