

Exploiting two-proton transfer reactions for probing shape coexistence

J. Pellumaj¹, R.M.Pérez-Vidal², M. Polettini³, A. Gadea²

1. INFN-Padova and University of Padova, Italy

2. IFIC, CSIC-University of Valencia, Spain

3. GSI, Germany

And the AGATA collaboration

The regions of the nuclear chart displaying a rapid change of the collectivity with respect to the proton or neutron number, are of a particular interest for testing nuclear models [1]. Such kind of behaviour has been noticed along the iron isotopic chain as moving from the stable towards the N=50 shell closure. Theoretical calculations performed with the LNPS interaction which was developed to study the Fe isotopes predicts an island of inversion around the N=40 with strong quadrupole correlations in Fe for N \geq 30 [2]. Similar conclusions were drawn also by the shell model employing pairing and multipole interaction [3]. Therefore Fe isotopes with N \geq 28 are a good testing ground for rapid shape evolution from a spherical to a well deformed region close to N=40.

Two proton transfer reactions employing ^{14}C and ^{18}O beams have been used in the past for performing spectroscopy of several elements such as: ^{34}Si , ^{44}Ti , ^{62}Fe , ^{68}Ni [4-6].

We aim to use a ^{18}O beam with 63 MeV on a target of ^{64}Ni to populate excited states in ^{62}Fe and perform lifetime measurements via RDDS/DSAM techniques employing the AGATA array coupled to the Sauron silicon detector. Based on Fresco calculations the cross section for the 0^+_{2-} and 2^+_{2-} states in ^{62}Fe are peaked at the forward angles [7]. Lifetime measurements of the 0^+_{2-} and 2^+_{2-} states could be used to benchmark the structure of ^{62}Fe nucleus and could help to predict features at more neutron rich isotopes, towards the N=40 island of inversion.

[1] M. Klintefjord et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 024312 (2017)

[2] S. M. Lenzi, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, and K. Sieja, Phys. Rev. C 82, 054301 (2010)

[3] K. Kaneko, T. Otsuka, B. A. Brown, and T. Mizusaki, Phys. Rev. C 78, 064312

[4] M. Bernas et al., Physical Review C, 24 (1981) 2

[5] R. H. Siemssen et al., Physical Review Letters, 28 (1972) 10

[6] Z. Phys. A - Atoms and Nuclei 319, 287-293 (1984)

[7] N. Keeley - Private communication