¹⁹Ne

1/2⁻ lifetime measurement

 $egin{pmatrix} V_{
m ud} & V_{
m us} & V_{
m ub} \ V_{
m cd} & V_{
m cs} & V_{
m cb} \ V_{
m td} & V_{
m ts} & V_{
m tb} \end{pmatrix}$

- Isospin symmetry and selection rules
- Isospin preservation
- Isospin mixing in nuclei
- Conclusions

Superallowed Fermi beta decays



Z of daughter

 \rightarrow <Gv> & <Vud> & unitarity of CKM

Superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ Fermi beta decays



Electromagnetic Transition Probabilities



- E1 (ΔT=0) transitions in N=Z nuclei are forbidden
- E1 transition in mirror pairs have identical strength
 - Pure isovector character
 - Isospin symmetry validity with increasing A and Z

Isospin mixing

- The presence of the **Coulomb interaction** inside the nucleus causes a **mixing** between states with different isospin (**isospin mixing**)
- In a perturbative way the mixing probability in the nuclear ground state is defined as:

Mixing parameter

$$\alpha^{2} = \frac{|\langle I = 1 | H_{c} | I = 0 \rangle|^{2}}{\Delta E^{2}}$$
$$|A\rangle = \beta |0\rangle + \alpha |1\rangle$$

Isospin mixing

Satula et al., PRL 103 (2009)

Mixing parameter

$$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ \hline N=Z \ nuclei\\ EDF \ with \ SLy4\\ 4\\ \hline 0\\ 20\\ 28\\ 36\\ 4 \\ 52\\ \hline 0\\ 20\\ 28\\ 36\\ 44\\ 52\\ 60\\ 68\\ 76\\ 84\\ 92\\ 100\\ \alpha^2 = \frac{|\langle I=1|H_c|I=0\rangle|^2}{\Delta E^2}\\ |A\rangle = \beta|0\rangle + \alpha|1\rangle \end{array}$$

Transition probabilities in ³¹P and ³¹S: A test for isospin symmetry

9/2+

7/2*

3/2+



Table 1

Branching ratios, multipole mixing ratios and electromagnetic transition properties. The first section concerns the decay of the $7/2^-$ state in ³¹P with the corresponding lifetime reported, the second one that of the mirror state in ³¹S. The first column presents transitions by their initial and final angular momentum and parity assignments I_k^{π} , the experimental γ energies are given in the second column. The experimentally derived branching ratios, multipole mixing ratio M2/E1, theoretically calculated and experimentally derived values of the B(E1) and B(M2) from the present dataset and B(E1) in Ref. [2] are presented in the following columns.

Transition	Ef (keV)	Br. ratio %	δ	B(E1) _{th}	B(E1)	B(E1) [2]	B(M2)	B(M2) _{th}
				e ² fm ²			μ_N^2 fm ²	
³¹ P, $\tau = 597(45)$ f	s			67 mm 1				
$7/2^1 \rightarrow 5/2^+_2$	1135.6	37.0(1)	-0.03(7)	2.2×10^{-4}	$2.7(2)\times 10^{-4}$	$2.9(5) \times 10^{-4}$	22(11)	2.8
$7/2^1 \rightarrow 5/2^+_1$	2197.0	58.1(1)	-0.03(3)	2.4×10^{-4}	$0.58(4) \times 10^{-4}$	$0.52(4) \times 10^{-4}$	1.3(24)	2.5
$7/2^1 \rightarrow 7/2^+_1$	1016.4	4.9(1)			$0.5(1) \times 10^{-4}$ -		*	
³¹ S, $\tau = 543(49)$	s							
$7/2^1 \rightarrow 5/2^+_2$	1163.9	99(1)	-0.07(8)	7.9×10^{-4}	$7.2(7) \times 10^{-4}$	$3.9(8) \times 10^{-4}$	307(+586-307)*	1.9
$7/2^1 \rightarrow 5/2^+_1$	2213.0	< 1(1)		6.9×10^{-4}	$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$< 1.15 \times 10^{-6}$		6.1



Mirror 67 at GAMMASPHERE



The Coulomb interaction, <u>mixing in a different way the level wave functions</u> in the two mirror nuclei, is presumably at the origin of the observed asymmetries. Its effect could be enhanced when a pair of levels having equal J^{π} lie, accidentally, close together. For example, this could have been the case for the <u>two 7/2</u>-<u>levels lying between 640 and 1100 keV in ⁶⁷As and ⁶⁷Se</u>



: IS/IV~ 0.35(20)

Nucleus	${\mathop{\rm E}_{\gamma}}_{ m (keV)}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{E}_{\gamma} & \mathrm{Branching} & R_{ADO} \\ \mathrm{keV}) & \mathrm{Ratio} & 162.7^{\circ}/90^{\circ} \end{array}$		δ	δ^2 (average)	B(E1) (w.u.)	B(M2) (w.u)
⁶⁷ As ⁶⁷ Se	725 717	0.59(2) 0.77(16)	0.28(4) 1.7(6)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.16^{+0.08}_{-0.13} \\ 0.47 < \delta < 3.49 \end{array}$	0.044(38) 6.19(5.97)	$1.3(4) \cdot 10^{-6} \\ 0.5(4) \cdot 10^{-6}$	$0.5(4) \\ 7(6)$
⁶⁷ As ⁶⁷ Se	319 303	0.30(1) 0.09(5)	0.50(11) not avail.	$0.004^{+0.144}_{-0.064}$ not avail.	0.011(11) not avail.	$8.2(2.4) \cdot 10^{-6}$ $1.3(9) \cdot 10^{-6}$	7(7) < 108
⁶⁷ As ⁶⁷ Se	$1422 \\ 1365$	$0.12(2) \\ 0.14(8)$	$1.14(58)^{\dagger}$ not avail.	> -0.19 not avail.	not avail. not avail.		< 0.08(3) < 0.05(4)

Measure **isospin mixing at the g.s.** using pure E1 between J=1/2 states

- Mirror 13: ¹³N 1/2⁻ g.s. The 1/2+ is proton unbound 34 keV wide
- Mirror 15: ¹⁵O 1/2⁻ g.s. The 1/2+ is fast 8(1) fs
- Mirror 19: ¹⁹Ne has a 1/2⁺ g.s.
 lifetime 61 ps → plunger
- Mirror 29: ²⁹P 1/2+ g.s. The 1/2- is proton unbound 16 keV wide
- Mirror 31: ³¹S 1/2+ g.s.
 The 1/2- is not identified > 8 MeV



Goal

- Measure ~ 60 ps lifetime of 1/2⁻ with precision comparable to previous measurement: 5%.
- Most precise direct measurement of isospin purity in states that are being use for the calculation of V_{UD} element of the CKM matrix.
- Possible outcome:
 - (a) Previous measurement **is disproven** an isospin mixing will be quantified with **impact in the unitarity** of the CKM matrix.
 - (b) In case the B(E1) obtained for ¹⁹Ne will be compatible with that of its mirror ¹⁹F, this will be the **best experimental constrain to isospin mixing** setting a stringent upper limit.

OCTOBER 1970

Past measurement

Nuclear Lifetime of the Ne¹⁹ 275-keV Level

K. Bharuth-Ram, * R. D. Gill, and K. P. Jackson Nuclear Physics Laboratory, Oxford, England

and

B. Povh Physikalisches Institut der Universität, Heidelberg, Germany

and

E. K. Warburton†‡

Nuclear Physics Laboratory, Oxford, England, and Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973 (Received 21 May 1970)

The mean life of the 275-keV second excited state of Ne¹⁹ was measured to be 61.4 ± 3.0 psec.

The He³(Ne²⁰, α)Ne¹⁹ reaction and the gas-target recoil-distance technique were used. The 275-keV state decays by *E*1 emission to the ground state. The strength of this *E*1 transition is equal within errors to that of the mirror transition in F¹⁹. This result is discussed.



One 15 cc Ge(Li) detector
 = 1/20 of a single AGATA
 crystal



Fig. 1. Target chamber for the lifetime measurement. The beam enters the gas target (1) through the thin Ni window (2). The gas is fed into the chamber through the hole (3). The chamber is electrically insulated from the rest of the pipe by the insulating layer (4)

Р

Past measurement

- ²⁰Ne(³He, ⁴He)
 32 MeV 2.5 pnA
 Oxford University Van de Graaff accelerator
- One 15 cc Ge(Li) detector
 = 1/20 of a single AGATA
 crystal



FIG. 1. The γ -ray spectra obtained at D=1.66 and 7.66 mm. The solid lines are drawn through the points by hand.

²⁰Ne(d,t) ¹⁹Ne

- ²⁰Ne beam at 8 AMeV
- Ti deuterated target on a plunger device
- Sauron detector covers 25-45 degrees
 - Target pushed backward so that we cover 17-30 degree
- AGATA as backward as possible

- → Gain a factor 400 in gamma efficiency
- → Adding a gamma-triton coincidence
 + feeding under control







TAC comments

- Can you specify the angles of TRACE? → Sauron
- This kind of target has never been tested with Plunger.
- AGATA cannot be put backward, but at 90° with respect to TRACE.
 → with Sauron it is possible
- Could you afford to reduce the energy of the beam keeping reasonable cross-section? Done: 8 instead of 10 AMeV
- Can it be reasonable to postpone this experiment to 0° campaign? ...

Thanks

Mirror 19: selection rule respected

1261.6

1348.8

1458.7

95.3

208.4

1356.9

1444.2

1554.0

10.7 5

68.8 9

20.5 7

<0.14

<0.011

92.6 2

4.85 12

2.55 10

81

M1+E2

E1+(M2)

м1

81 M1

	E(level) (keV)	XREF	J ⁿ (level)	$T_{1/2}$ (level)	E(Y) (keV)	Ι(γ)	M (Y) Fi	nal Leve	ls	E _i (leve	1)	J_i^{π}	E_{γ}	I_{γ}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	J_f^{π}	Mult.	
	0.0	A CDE G IJKLM	1/2+	17.22 s 2 % ε = 100							238.27 5/ 275.09 1/ 1507.56 5/ 1536.0 3/ 1615.6 3/	$5/2^+$ 2:	238.3	100	0.0	$\frac{1/2^{+}}{1/2^{+}}$	E2	B(E2)(W.u.)=13.25 B(E1)(W.u.)=0.001065	
	238.27 11	DE G JKLM	5/2+	18.0 ns 5	238.3	100	E2	:	0.0	1/2+		6 5	12	1222.5	88 3 12 3 5 3 95 3 70 4	275.09 238.27 275.09 238.27 275.09	$1/2^{-1}$ $1/2^{-1}$ $1/2^{-1}$ $1/2^{-1}$ $1/2^{-1}$	E1 E2	B(E1)(W,u) = 0.001005 B(E2)(W,u) = 58.20
	275.09 13	DE G J L	1/2-	42.6 ps 21	275.1	100	E1		0.0	1/2+		0 5	12	252.5				E1 E1 M1 M1	$B(E1)(W_{H}) = 0.000057.25$
101	1507.56 <i>30</i>	DE G J L	5/2-	0.97 ps 40	1232.5 1269.3	88 3 12 3	8 E2 8 E1	:	275.09 238.27	1/2- 5/2+		3	3/2 ⁺ 1260.9 1297.7 3/2 ⁻ 1340.5	1260.9			9 1/2-		B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0010 7
19Ne	1536.0 4	DE G JKL	3/2+	19 fs 8	1260.9 1297.7	5 3 95 3	8 E1 8 M1		275.09 238.27	1/2- 5/2+		3		1340.5			$5/2^+$ $1/2^-$		B(M1)(W.u.)=0.50.28 B(M1)(W.u.)=0.063.16
	1615.6 5	DEG JL	3/2-	99 fs 21	1340.5 1377 1616	70 4 10 3 20 3	M1 B E1 B E1		275.09 238.27 0.0	1/2- 5/2+ 1/2+				1377 1616	10 3 20 3	238.2 0.0	7 $5/2^+$ $1/2^+$	E1 E1	B(E1)(W.u.)=0.00036 14 B(E1)(W.u.)=0.00045 14
	org.ezproxy	₂- ın 19Ne: .cern.ch/pr	https://jou rc/abstract	irnals-aps t/10.1103	s- /PhysRe	evC.2	.121	0											
	E(level) (keV)	XREF		J ^e (level)	T _{1/2} (level)	E (Y) (keV)	I (Y)	м(ү)	Final Leve	ls	E _i (level)	J_i^{π}	Ey	Iy	E	$\frac{J_{f}}{f}$	Mult.	δ	Comments
	0.0 1	GR KLMNOPORST XYZA	cdefghijklmnopqrstuv	1/2+	STABLE						109.894	1/2- 5/2+	109.9	100	0.0	1/2*	EI		B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0012 /
	109.894 5 E	G KMN RT Yal	bodfi rtv	5/2+	0.591 ns 7	109.9 10	0.06	#1	0.0	1/2+	1911145	-14	197.1	100	0.0	1/2+	E2		B(E2)(W.u.)=6.95 8
						197.1 10	0	82	0.0	1/2+	1345.67	5/2-	1148.5	3.2 10	197.143	5/2+	E1	0.0.7	B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0000069 23
	1345.67 13	GR LMN RT YA	coer)	5/2-	2.86 ps 4	1235.8 9	6.8 10	E2+(M3)	197.143	1/2-	1458.7	3/2-	113.0	<0.2	1345.67	5/2-	E2+(M3)	0.0 /	B(E2)(w.u.)=21.04
405	1458.7.3	GR MN R Y I	bodef i m t	3/2-	62 fs 14	113.0 <	0.2		1345.67	5/2-			10000	10 7 7	100 1 10	a in h	17 A		The second secon

197.143 5/2+

109.894 1/2-

1345.67 5/2-

197.143 5/2+

109.894 1/2-

0.0 1/2+

1458.7

0.0 1/2+

3/2-

1554.038 3/2*

10.7 5

68.8 9

20.5 7

< 0.14

< 0.011

92.6 2

4.85 /2

2.55 10

1261.6

1348.8

1458.7

95.3

208.4

1356.9

1444.2

1554.0

197.143 5/2+

109.894 1/2-

1345.67 5/2-

197.143 5/2+

1/2*

3/2-

1/2-

 $1/2^{+}$

0.0

109.894

0.0

1458.7

EI

MI

E1

MI

M1+E2

E1+(M2)

0.248 20

0.01 3

B(E1)(W.u.)=0.00081 19

B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0010 2

B(M1)(W.u.)=2.3 14

B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0044 26

B(M1)(W.u.)=0.043 25

1554.038 9

XYZa cdef ikm rt

RST

3/2+

3.5 fs 21

Why isospin mixing 3/3: supernova nuclesynthesis

 Isospin Mixing used for spin parity assignement:

"observed the β-delayed γ decay of a ³¹S state at Ex=6390.2(7) keV, with a 30P(p,γ)31S resonance energy of Er=259.3(8) keV, in the middle of the 30P(p,γ)31S Gamow window for peak nova temperatures. <u>This state exhibits isospin</u> <u>mixing with the nearby isobaric analog</u> <u>state at Ex=6279.0(6) keV, giving it an</u> <u>unambiguous spin and parity of 3/2+</u> and making it an important I=0 resonance for proton capture on ³⁰P"



Isospin Mixing Reveals <mark>30P(p,γ)31S</mark> Resonance Influencing Nova Nucleosynthesis M. B. Bennett, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 102502 – Published 8 March 2016

Why isospin mixing 3/3: NeNa cycle

- We wish to report our observation of beta-delayed proton emission from AI proceeding via its isobaric analog state (IAS) in Mg (E*=7.795 MeV, 1 =5/2+, T=3/2).
- 23Al is the lightest nucleon-stable member of the A =4n+3, T,=-3l2 mass series, and the only member of this series in which delayed proton emission from the IAS is potentially observable. We detected this group at a laboratory energy Elab = 223 +- 20 keV, representing the lowest-energy identified proton group observed to date. Proton decay from the IAS does not conserve isospin, and can therefore only occur due to isospin mixing. A comparison between the proton width of the 23Mg IAS determined in the present work and the prediction of a full 1s-Od configuration shell model calculation which includes isospin mixing of the IAS <u>indicates the observed</u> <u>isospin mixing is stronger by a factor of -10 than</u> <u>predicted by shell model.</u>

