

Letter of intent for the 5th AGATA PREPAC- ²³⁸U beam

Lifetimes of excited states along and around the N=50 shell closure

A. Gottardo, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, A. Goasduff, F. Angelini, L. Corradi, E. Fioretto and the LNL
Gamma group

J. Dudouet, CNRS Lion

F. Galtarossa, D. Mengoni, G. Montagnoli, A. Stefanini and the INFN-PD Gamma group

M. Caamaño, Univ. Santiago

D. Verney, CNRS Orsay

F. Didierjean, G. Duchene, CNRS Strasbourg

Spokepersons: J.J. Valiente-Dobón, A. Gottardo

Physics case

Isotopes around ⁷⁸Ni are at the very edge of the present knowledge in nuclear shell structure. The $N = 50$ region nearby has been the object of an intense research in the last years, with the first spectroscopic study of ⁷⁸Ni having been published recently [1]. The development of deformation around the $N = 50$ shell closure [1, 2] as well as the reduction of the $N = 50$ shell gap [3, 4] when approaching ⁷⁸Ni, have motivated many different measurements. The $N = 50$ gap size has been deduced by mass measurements up to ⁸⁰Zn [3, 4], showing a decrease of the gap from $Z = 40$ to $Z = 32$, before increasing again towards ⁸⁰Zn [3, 4]. In these nuclei, an alternative estimate of the gap size is provided by the medium-spin states $5^+, 6^+, 7^+$ in $N = 50$ even-even isotones, and analogous $13/2^-$ and $15/2^-$ states in the odd isotones: their wave function involves one particle-one hole neutron excitations across $N = 50$ (the protons in the fp shells above $Z = 28$ can only generate spins up to 4^+ by breaking one pair). The lowering in the energy of the $N = 50$ core-breaking $5^+, 6^+$ and 7^+ levels in ⁸⁶Kr₅₀, ⁸⁴Se₅₀ and ⁸²Ge₅₀ [5, 6] mirrors the mass measurement until ⁸²Ge₅₀. However, the “spectroscopic gap” seems to continue decreasing in ⁸¹Ga [7], while no $5^+, 6^+, 7^+$ states are known in ⁸⁰Zn to verify a possible re-increase in analogy with the mass measurements [8].

Indeed, the first spectroscopy of ⁷⁸Ni and ⁷⁹Cu has opened some questions. In ⁷⁸Ni, recent large-scale shell- model calculations predict an intruder structure close to and even lower than the first 2^+ state, which is already lying at a rather low energy for a s doubly-magic nucleus. Gamma-ray spectroscopy of ⁷⁸Ni has provided tentative evidence of intruder states [1]. In ⁷⁹Cu the first spectroscopy via proton-knockout has revealed a number of states around the ⁷⁸Ni 2^+ energy quite difficult to disentangle [9], with no evidence of a low-lying proton $f_{7/2}$ strength coming from a $Z = 28$ core break, important for the ⁷⁸Ni 2^+ level energy [1, 2].

A strictly correlated issue is the appearance of shape coexistence close to ⁷⁸Ni. The discovery of a low-lying 0^+ state in $N = 48$ ⁸⁰Ge was interpreted as an evidence of shape coexistence [10], although a subsequent work could not observe this state [11]. Odd-even $N = 49$ isotones from $Z = 38$ to $Z = 30$, are characterized by the presence of intruder $1/2^+$ and $5/2^+$ states which lower to an excitation energy of only ~ 500 keV in ⁸³Se₄₉, at mid of the proton $Z = 28 - 40$ shell [12]. These non-yrast intruder states may appear as long-lived β -decaying isomers if they become the first excited state, like the $1/2^+$ isomer in ⁸¹Ge₄₉ and ⁷⁹Zn₄₉ [12], because their γ -ray decay to the $9/2^+$ ground state is hindered by the spin difference. The isomer in ⁷⁹Zn was found to have a

large mean square radius compared to the ground state [13], a convincing evidence of shape coexistence. Also in odd-odd $N = 49$ isotones experimental evidence of low-lying intruder states has been found [14].

From this discussion, it follows that there is a need to probe the wave functions of nuclei around the $N=50$ shell closure, to detect intruder structures as well as to understand the quadrupole collectivity developing towards the new predicted island of inversion below ^{78}Ni .

This Lol thus has two aims:

- Searching for single-particle E2 or suppressed M1 transitions in $^{85,87}\text{Se}$, $^{82,83}\text{Ge}$, $^{80,81,82}\text{Ga}$, ^{80}Zn . The energy of states breaking the $N=50$ shell closure, as well as of intruder states, is a crucial probe for both the $N=50$ spherical gap as well as the correlations moving towards ^{78}Ni . Such states will decay with weak, if not suppressed, transitions to the normal spherical configurations. On the contrary, intruder states should be linked by large E2 strengths.
- Measuring lifetimes of yrast and yrare 2^+ , 4^+ and 6^+ states in ^{86}Se , ^{88}Se , ^{84}Ge (to confirm the large $B(E2)$ found at GANIL) and ^{86}Ge . The lifetime of the 4^+ of ^{80}Zn could also be an aim. Here the aim is to understand how rotational/triaxial collective structures develop in the valence space beyond $N=50$, a crucial probe for how well shell-model interactions can describe this largely unexplored region of the Segré chart.

Experiment

We propose to use a ^{238}U beam at 6.3 MeV/u and 0.4 pA on a ^9Be target (around 1.8 mg/cm²). The degrader will be either Mg or Nb, with a thickness around 5 mg/cm² in the case of Mg. PRISMA will be placed at an angle as forward as feasible with the counting rate, typically around 24-26 degree. The foreseen beam time request is 21 days.

The gain with the LNL Prisma-AGATA compared to the previous VAMOS-AGATA setup at GANIL is quantified in the table below.

	AGATA-VAMOS	AGATA-PRISMA	Gain factor
Beam	^{238}U @ 6.3 MeV/u, 25nA: 0.2 pA at 28 degrees	^{238}U @ 7.2 MeV/u: ~0.4 pA at 28 degrees	~2
Dead Time	0.5kHz of trigger (no deadtime)	1kHz (no deadtime)	1
Crystals	24	33	
Agata position	Compact (14cm to target)	Compact	
Single efficiency	~2% *	~6.5% (measured at 1 MeV)	3
Target	^9Be , 10um (1.85mg/cm ²)	^9Be , 10um (1.85 mg/cm ²)	1
Beam Time	6 days	21 days	3.5
Acceptance	$\Delta\theta \pm 6^\circ; \Delta\phi \pm 10^\circ$	$\Delta\theta \pm 6^\circ; \Delta\phi \pm 9^\circ$	0.8
Total			18

With the predicted gain in the product yield, we propose to run for three weeks with a ^{238}U beam at 6.2 MeV/u (0.5 pA) on a ^9Be target with the AGATA-PRISMA setup.

*Private communication: Dudouet measured the efficiency for ^{100}Zr add-back for 497.3 keV transition $6^+ \rightarrow 4^+$. The efficiency was 2.75%: scaled for 1 MeV it was ~ 2%, not the predicted 4%.

- [1] R. Taniuchi *et al.*, Nature **569**, 53 (2019).
- [2] F. Nowacki, A. Poves, E. Caurier, and B. Bounthong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 272501 (2016).
- [3] J. Hakala *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 052502 (2008).
- [4] S. Baruah *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 262501 (2008).
- [5] T. Rzaca-Urban *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C76**, 027302 (2007).
- [6] D. Thisse *et al.*, The European Physical Journal A **59**, 153 (2023).
- [7] J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C100**, 011301(R) (2019).
- [8] S. Leoni, C. Michelagnoli, and J. N. Wilson, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento **45**, 461 (2022).
- [9] L. Olivier, S. Franchoo, M. Niikura, Z. Vajta, D. Sohler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 192501 (2017).
- [10] A. Gottardo, D. Verney, C. Delafosse, F. Ibrahim, B. Roussiere, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 182501 (2016).
- [11] F. Garcia *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 172501 (2020).
- [12] C. Wraith *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B771**, 385 (2017).
- [13] X. F. Yang, C. Wraith, L. Xie, C. Babcock, J. Billowes, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 182502 (2016).
- [14] A. Etile *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C91**, 064317 (2015).