New results on small-x resummation for splitting functions

Marco Bonvini

INFN, Sezione di Roma

DIS 2026

6 May 2026, Bologna, Italy

Based on a work with Stefano Frixione and Giovanni Stagnitto
2603.02312

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
Sezione di ROMA

Theoretical predictions with hadrons in the initial state



Collinear factorization theorem:

$$\tau = \frac{Q^2}{s} \qquad y = Y - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x_1}{x_2}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY\, dp_t \ldots} = \sum_{i,j} \int_\tau^1 dx_1 \int_\tau^1 dx_2\, f_i(x_1,Q^2)\, f_j(x_2,Q^2)\, C_{ij}\left(\frac{\tau}{x_1 x_2}, y, p_t, ..., \alpha_s, \alpha\right)$$

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} f_i(x,Q^2) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z}\, P_{ij}\left(z, \alpha_s(Q^2), \alpha(Q^2)\right) f_j\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2\right) \qquad \leftarrow \text{DGLAP evolution}$$

- coefficient functions $C_{ij}(x, y, p_t, ..., \alpha_s)$ (observable-dependent, perturbative)
- splitting functions $P_{ij}(x, \alpha_s, \alpha)$ (universal, perturbative)
- proton's parton distribution functions (PDFs) $f_i(x, Q^2)$ (universal, nonperturbative)

Proton's PDFs $f_i(x, Q_0^2)$ at a reference scale Q_0 are fitted from data

Theoretical predictions with leptons in the initial state



Collinear factorization theorem:

$$\tau = \frac{Q^2}{s} \qquad y = Y - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x_1}{x_2}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY\, dp_t \ldots} = \sum_{i,j} \int_\tau^1 dx_1 \int_\tau^1 dx_2\, f_i(x_1,Q^2)\, f_j(x_2,Q^2)\, C_{ij}\left(\frac{\tau}{x_1 x_2}, y, p_t, ..., \alpha_s, \alpha\right)$$

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} f_i(x,Q^2) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z}\, P_{ij}\left(z, \alpha_s(Q^2), \alpha(Q^2)\right) f_j\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2\right) \qquad \leftarrow \text{DGLAP evolution}$$

- coefficient functions $C_{ij}(x, y, p_t, ..., \alpha_s)$ (observable-dependent, perturbative)
- splitting functions $P_{ij}(x, \alpha_s, \alpha)$ (universal, perturbative)
- muon's parton distribution functions (PDFs) $f_i(x, Q^2)$ (universal, perturbative)

Muon's PDFs $f_i(x, m_\mu^2)$ can be computed perturbatively!
It describes (and resums) initial-state radiation in a convenient framework

Muon's initial-state radiation

In the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, the muon's PDFs are

$$f_\mu(x, Q^2) = \delta(1-x)$$

$$f_\gamma(x, Q^2) = 0$$

$$f_i(x, Q^2) = 0 \qquad i = \bar{\mu}, e^-, e^+, q, \bar{q}, g$$

Muon's initial-state radiation

In the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, the muon's PDFs are [Frixione 1909.03886]

$$f_\mu(x,Q^2) = \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\left(\log\frac{Q^2}{m_\mu^2(1-x)^2} - 1\right)\right]_+$$

$$f_\gamma(x,Q^2) = 0 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x}\left(\log\frac{Q^2}{m_\mu^2 x^2} - 1\right)$$

$$f_i(x,Q^2) = 0 + 0 \qquad i = \bar{\mu}, e^-, e^+, q, \bar{q}, g$$

Muon's initial-state radiation

In the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, the muon's PDFs are [Frixione 1909.03886]

$$f_\mu(x,Q^2) = \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\left(\log\frac{Q^2}{m_\mu^2(1-x)^2} - 1\right)\right]_+ + \dots$$

$$f_\gamma(x,Q^2) = 0 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x}\left(\log\frac{Q^2}{m_\mu^2 x^2} - 1\right) + \dots$$

$$f_i(x,Q^2) = 0 + 0 + \dots \qquad i = \bar{\mu}, e^-, e^+, q, \bar{q}, g$$

Now computed also to NNLO in QED [Stahlhofen 2508.16964] [Schnubel,Szafron 2509.09618]



These represent the initial condition (typically at $Q \sim m_\mu$) for the evolution

Evolution from the initial scale

DGLAP evolution

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} f_i(x,Q^2) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}\left(z, \alpha_s(Q^2), \alpha(Q^2)\right) f_j\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2\right)$$

Splitting functions $P_{ij}(z, \alpha_s(Q^2), \alpha(Q^2))$ known up to

- NNLO (α_s^3) and partial N^{3}LO (α_s^4) in QCD
- NLO (α^2) in QED
- NLO ($\alpha_s \alpha$) in mixed QED-QCD

Evolution starts from the muon scale ($m_\mu \sim 106$MeV)



Evolution from $Q \sim m_\mu$ to $Q \sim 1$GeV is in a non-perturbative regime of QCD

How to deal with this problem?

The strong coupling α_s at low scales

Analytic extension of the running of α_s to lower scales adding a non-perturbative contribution [Webber 9805484] [Frixione,Stagnitto 2309.07516]



[Frixione,Stagnitto 2309.07516]

Note that α_s reaches values as high as 0.7-0.9...

A rather different approach has been adopted in [Garosi,Marzocca,Trifinopoulos 2303.16964] and [Han,Ma,Xie 2103.09844], where QCD is switched off below some scale, introducing an IR sensitivity

The PDFs for a muon collider

After evolving the muon's PDFs as described, using LO QED+QCD evolution, this plot is obtained at $Q = 30\text{GeV}$

The dominant PDFs at medium/small x are the photon and the gluon.



[Frixione,Stagnitto 2309.07516]

A precise determination of the gluon PDF in the muon requires the resummation of small-x logarithms

[MB,Frixione,Stagnitto (work in progress)]

Small-x logarithms in gluon-gluon splitting function

$P_{gg}(x, \alpha_s)$ splitting function



Logarithms start to grow for $x \lesssim 10^{-2} \rightarrow$ **perturbative instability**

Small-x logarithms in gluon-gluon splitting function

$P_{gg}(x, \alpha_s)$ splitting function



Logarithms start to grow for $x \lesssim 10^{-2} \rightarrow$ **perturbative instability**

Resumation obtained with the HELL public code [MB,Marzani,Peraro EPJC 76(2016)11] [MB,Marzani,Muselli JHEP 12(2017)117] [MB,Marzani JHEP 06(2018)145]

following works of [Altarelli,Ball,Forte] [Catani,Ciafaloni,Colferai,Hautmann,Salam,Stasto] [Thorne,White]

Small-x resummation at large α_s

Problem: HELL 3.0 could only reach values of α_s as high as $\alpha_s \sim 0.3$
But now we need to reach $\alpha_s \sim 0.8$!!

First part of the solution:
Improving various parts of the code, both numerical and conceptual aspects

But there still is a problem:
One ingredient, γ_{qg}, is not really resummed to all orders. The first coefficients of its expansion have been computed long ago [Catani,Hautmann 9405388]

$$\gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N) = \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} T_R \left\{ 1 + \frac{5}{3}\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N} + \frac{14}{9}\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N}\right)^2 + \left[\frac{82}{81} + 2\,\zeta_3\right]\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N}\right)^3 + \left[\frac{122}{243} + \frac{25}{6}\,\zeta_3\right]\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N}\right)^4 + \left[\frac{146}{729} + \frac{14}{3}\,\zeta_3 + 2\,\zeta_5\right]\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N}\right)^5 + \ldots \right\}$$

More coefficients have been later computed numerically [Altarelli,Ball,Forte 0802.0032]

The HELL implementation was based on a finite number of these coefficients
$\rightarrow$ so it's not really all-order ☹

$$\left(\bar{\alpha}_s = \frac{C_A}{\pi}\alpha_s\right)$$

The resummation of γ_{qg}

$\gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N)$ can be extracted from the equation for the factorization of the quark Green function [Catani,Hautmann 9405388]

$$G_{qg}^{(0)}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon) = G_{qg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon)\, \Gamma_{gg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon) + \Gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon)$$

with $(S_\epsilon = e^{-\epsilon\psi(1)}/4\pi)$

$$\Gamma_{gg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{\alpha_s S_\epsilon} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}\, \gamma_{gg}(\alpha, N)\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{\alpha_s S_\epsilon} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}\, \gamma_{qg}(\alpha, N)\Gamma_{gg}(\alpha/S_\epsilon)$$

$$G_{qg}^{(0)}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon) = \frac{\alpha_s S_\epsilon}{3\pi\epsilon} T_R \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s S_\epsilon}{N}\right)^k \sum_{j=-k}^{\infty} d_{kj}\epsilon^j$$

where d_{kj} are complicated coefficients known recursively.

Requiring that $G_{qg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon)$ is finite for $\epsilon \to 0$ it is possible to solve the equation order by order both for $G_{qg}(\alpha_s, N, \epsilon)$ and for $\gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N)$.

But this is an order-by-order extraction, not a resummation!

All-order expression for γ_{qg}

The rational part of γ_{qg} was actually known to all orders [Catani,Hautmann 9405388]

$$\gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N) = \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} T_R \frac{1}{4}\left[3\exp\frac{2\bar{\alpha}_s}{N} + \exp\frac{2\bar{\alpha}_s}{3N}\right] + \text{terms with } \zeta_k$$

All-order expression for γ_{qg}

The rational part of γ_{qg} was actually known to all orders [Catani,Hautmann 9405388]

$$\gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N) = \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} T_R \frac{1}{4}\left[3\exp\frac{2\bar{\alpha}_s}{N} + \exp\frac{2\bar{\alpha}_s}{3N}\right] + \text{terms with } \zeta_k$$

We have been able to find a complete closed form for γ_{qg}

$$\gamma_{qg}(\alpha_s, N) = \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} T_R \, h_{qg}(\gamma_{gg}(\alpha_s, N))$$

$$h_{qg}(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma\chi(\gamma)}{4}\left[3\exp\Big(2\Omega(\gamma)\Big) + \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}\Omega(\gamma)\right)\right]$$

$$\Omega(\gamma) = -\gamma^2 \int_0^1 dx \, \frac{x\chi'(x\gamma)}{\chi(x\gamma)}$$

$$\chi(\gamma) = 2\psi(1) - \psi(\gamma) - \psi(1-\gamma) \qquad \text{(BFKL kernel at LO)}$$

We also have analytic results for the coefficients, and a closed all-order form for G_{qg} at $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$

How does the resummed P_{qg} look like



Previous HELL 3.0 implementation is good at small α_s, but it gets worse and worse as α_s increases

How does the resummed P_{qg} look like



Previous `HELL 3.0` implementation is good at small α_s, but it gets worse and worse as α_s increases

How does the resummed P_{qg} look like



Previous `HELL 3.0` implementation is good at small α_s, but it gets worse and worse as α_s increases

How does the resummed P_{qg} look like



Previous `HELL 3.0` implementation is good at small α_s, but it gets worse and worse as α_s increases

Resummed splitting functions to high α_s



Resummed splitting functions to high α_s



Effect of small-x resummation on muon's PDFs

Preliminary results on the effect of small-x resummation on the gluon PDF in the muon (no resummed matching conditions so far) [MB,Frixione,Stagnitto (work in progress)]



Conclusions

- Motivated by an accurate description of ISR for future muon colliders, we have extended the small-x resummation "HELL" approach to high values of α_s
- New analytic all-order results for the qg anomalous dimension γ_{qg}
  $\rightarrow$ all splitting functions are now really resummed ☺
- New analytic all-order results for the quark Green function G_{qg}
  $\rightarrow$ may be useful to push resummation to the next logarithmic order
- New resummed results available through `HELL 4.0`

Backup slides

Stability of P_{qg} upon variation of the Mellin inversion path



Kinematic reach of a 20 TeV muon collider

Typical values of x contributing at different invariant masses and rapidities



For example, Higgs production at a **20** TeV muon collider needs x as small as $x \sim 4 \cdot 10^{-5}$, with typical values in the range $x \sim 10^{-4} \div 10^{-3}$

Low x at HERA

Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) data from HERA extend down to $x \sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$ in the "perturbative region" $Q^2 > 2\,\text{GeV}^2$

Tension between HERA data at low Q^2 and low x with fixed-order theory



Also leads to a deterioration of the χ^2 of PDF fits when including low-Q^2 data



The first PDF fits with small-x resummation

Small-x resummation available from the HELL code

NNPDF3.1sx [1710.05935]	xFitter [1802.00064, see also 1902.11125]
NeuralNet parametrization of PDFs	polynomial paramterization
MonteCarlo uncertainty	Hessian uncertainty
charm PDF is fitted	charm PDF perturbatively generated
DIS+tevatron+LHC ($\sim$ **4000** datapoints)	only HERA data ($\sim$ **1200** datapoints)
NLO, NLO+NLLx, NNLO, NNLO+NLLx	NNLO, NNLO+NLLx

The quality of the fit improves substantially including small-x resummation

$\chi^2/N_{\rm dat}$	NNLO	NNLO+NLLx
xFitter	**1.23**	**1.17**
NNPDF3.1sx	**1.130**	**1.100**
		smaller!

Stable upon inclusion of low-x data $\rightarrow$



Impact of small-x resummation on PDFs: the gluon





Dramatic effect of resummation on the gluon PDF at $x \lesssim 10^{-3}$

Significant impact expected for LHC and future high-energy collider phenomenology

At colliders $x_{\min} = Q^2/s \to$ small-x resummation more relevant at **low invariant masses** and at **higher collider energies**

Impact of small-x resummation at LHC and future colliders

$gg \to H$ inclusive cross section

[MB EPJC 78(2018)10]





ggH cross section at FCC-hh $\sim 10\%$ larger than fixed order!

At LHC $+1\%$ effect

Larger effect expected at differential level in certain kinematic regions

Preliminary parton-level results for fully differential Higgs production [Bernardini,MB,Silvetti (work in progress)]



Fully differential small-x resummation: Heavy-quark pair production at LHC

Fully differential heavy-quark pair production at small x [MB,Silvetti EPJC 83(2023)4]





At large p_t a larger perturbative instability, cured by resummation of small-x logs

Induced by kinematic constraint $x\, e^{2|y|} \leq \dfrac{1}{1+\frac{p_t^2}{m^2}}$ in $C(x, y, p_t, \alpha_s)$

$b\bar{b}$ and $c\bar{c}$ sensitive to very small $x \to$ can constrain PDFs! [Gauld,Rojo 1610.09373]

Heavy-quark pair production at LHC

$p_t = 2$ GeV

$p_t = 20$ GeV









How small can x be at pp colliders?

$$y = Y - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x_1}{x_2}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY\, dp_t \ldots} = \sum_{i,j=g,q} \int_\tau^1 dx_1 \int_\tau^1 dx_2\, f_i(x_1,Q^2) f_j(x_2,Q^2)\, C_{ij}\left(\frac{\tau}{x_1 x_2}, y, p_t, \ldots, \alpha_s\right)$$

The longitudinal variables $x_1, x_2,\ x = \dfrac{\tau}{x_1 x_2}$ can get as small as $\tau = \dfrac{Q^2}{s}$

τ	Higgs	low mass Drell-Yan	$b\bar{b}$	$c\bar{c}$
LHC (13 TeV)	10^{-4}	$\sim 10^{-6}$	$\sim 10^{-6}$	$\sim 10^{-7}$
FCC-hh (100 TeV)	10^{-6}	$\sim 10^{-8}$	$\sim 10^{-8}$	$\sim 10^{-9}$

FCC-hh probes two orders of magnitude smaller x

High-energy (small-x) logarithms $\log\dfrac{1}{x}$ become more relevant at **low invariant masses** and at **higher collider energies**

Parton luminosities at LHC

gg:



qg:



Difference more pronounced in differential distributions at large rapidity

gg:



qg:



Parton luminosities at FCC-hh

gg:



qg:



Large effects also at the EW scale, especially at large rapidities

gg:



qg:



Why is the effect of resummation mostly driven by the PDFs?

Let's consider again the collinear factorization formula

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY...} = \int_\tau^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int d\hat{y}\, f_i\left(\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{z}} e^{\hat{y}}, Q^2\right) f_j\left(\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{z}} e^{-\hat{y}}, Q^2\right) \frac{dC_{ij}}{dy...}(z, Y-\hat{y}, ..., \alpha_s)$$

The small z integration region, where logs in C are large, is weighted by the PDFs at large momentum fractions $x = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{z}} e^{\pm \hat{y}}$
Since PDFs die fast at large x, especially the gluon, the small-z region is suppressed!

Rather, the large z region is enhanced by the gluon-gluon luminosity
In that region, the difference between fixed-order and resummed PDFs is large

LHC:



FCC:



Higgs production: parton-level results













Parton luminosities for ggH













$$\sigma(\tau,Q^2) = \sigma_0(Q^2) \sum_{i,j=g,q} \int_\tau^1 \frac{dz}{z} C_{ij}(z,\alpha_s)\, \mathscr{L}_{ij}\left(\frac{\tau}{z},\mu_{\rm F}^2\right) \qquad \tau = \frac{m_H^2}{s}$$

Towards N^{3}LO evolution

Recent impressive progress towards N^{3}LO splitting functions

[Davies,Vogt,Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren 1610.07477] [Moch,Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren,Vogt 1707.08315]

At small x, approximate predictions from NLLx resummation [MB,Marzani 1805.06460]



Large uncertainties from subleading logs

N^{3}LO splitting functions are much more unstable at small $x \to$ need resummation!

Fit results: description of the HERA data





The better description mostly comes from a larger resummed F_L

$$\sigma_{r,\mathrm{NC}} = F_2(x_{\mathrm{Bj}}, Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{1+(1-y)^2} F_L(x_{\mathrm{Bj}}, Q^2) \qquad y = \frac{Q^2}{x_{\mathrm{Bj}} s}$$

Matching conditions at the charm threshold





The perturbatively generated charm PDF is much less dependent on the matching scale when small-x resummation is included!

Do we need to worry about small-x logs in QED evolution?

There are small-x logs in QED splitting functions, appearing already at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ in $P_{\gamma f}$
Are they enhanced?

Yes, but much less than in QCD

QCD: Single-log enhancement, due to non-abelian nature of strong interactions



QED: Half-log enhancement (one extra power of the log every two powers of α), due to the abelian nature of EM interactions



Given also that $\alpha \ll \alpha_s$, their resummation is definitely not needed