

D. Boccanfuso, F. Ciotto, A. D'Avanzo, C. Di Fraia

GEANT4 SIMULATION REPORT


FCC Napoli weekly meeting, 09 May 2024

BSO LEAKAGE EFFECTS STUDY

- Potential outcome for future test beam is a lone BSO matrix without PWO encasing
 - Concern for energy leakage
- **Plan:** study energy resolution in a $42 \times 42 \times 150 \text{ mm}^3$ crystal with e^+ beam at several nominal energies
 - $E \rightarrow [1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100] \text{ GeV}$





Fraction of deposited energy




Energy resolution

- 2 regions, low energy and high energy





First region: new simulation

- **New simulation:** Infinite crystal approximation in the trasversal plane, length is still 16 cm




First region: new simulation

- Lower values at low energies now, it proves the effect is related to **lateral leakage**




Second region: bibliography

- High energy trend could be explained by this source
 - Related to **longitudinal leakage**



Energy Resolution



Shower leakage:

Fluctuations due to finite size of calorimeter; shower not fully contained ...


Lateral leakage: limited influence
Longitudinal leakage: strong influence

Typical expression when including leakage effects:

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \propto \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \right)_{f=0} \cdot [1 + 2f\sqrt{E}]$$




(f : average fraction of shower leakage)

Remark: other parameterizations exist ...



Closer to the idealized setup results

- BSO matrix, 5x5 units with 14x14 mm² area
 - 16 cm length






BACKUP


Timing (ps) PWO - Degree 0

Cerenkov
Scintillazione




Timing (ps) PWO - Degree 60

Cerenkov
Scintillazione




Timing (ps) PWO - Degree 90

Cerenkov
Scintillazione



Timing (ps) PWO - Degree 120

Cerenkov
Scintillazione



Timing (ps) PWO - Degree 180

Cerenkov
Scintillazione

