Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
Sezione di Pavia

Marco Radici

Pedagogical overview of SIDIS and TMD phenomenology

Useful references

- Lecture notes
  - V. Barone - Cabeo School https://www.fe.infn.it/cabeo_school/2010/cabeo_school_2010.pdf
  - A. Bacchetta - Trento School https://www2.pv.infn.it/~bacchett/teaching/Bacchetta_Trento2012.pdf
  - R. Jaffe - Erice School https://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-ph/9602236.pdf
  - P. Mulders - GGI School http://www.nat.vu.nl/~mulders/tmdreview-vs3.pdf
- Books
  - V. Barone, P. Ratcliffe - *Transverse Spin Physics*
  - J. Collins - *Foundations of perturbative QCD*
  - R. Devenish, A. Cooper-Sarkar - *Deep Inelastic Scattering*
  - T. Muta - *Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics*



- Papers
  - EPJ-A topical issue: The 3D structure of the nucleon https://link.springer.com/journal/10050/topicalCollection/AC_628286e999d9a60c9a780398df15f93d
  - M. Diehl - *Introduction to GPDs and TMDs* https://inspirehep.net/literature/1408303
  - A. Metz, A. Vossen - *Parton fragmentation functions* https://inspirehep.net/literature/1475000

- Why SIDIS?

SIDIS: 1) access fragmentation



inclusive DIS: no sensitivity to fragmentation



inclusive DIS: no sensitivity to fragmentation



SIDIS



e^+e^- annihilation

check universality of FFs

(simpler picture than hadronic collisions)

SIDIS: 2) access intrinsic partonic ⊥ motion



inclusive DIS: - hard scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- no further scale to probe proton interior



inclusive DIS: - hard scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- no further scale to probe proton interior



semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS):
- hard scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- soft scale: detect hadron h with $P_{hT}^2 \sim M^2 \ll Q^2$

center-of-mass frame



with these two scales, the process is factorizable into a hard photon-quark vertex and a quark→hadron fragmentation

$$\mathbf{P}_{hT} = z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{k}_\perp^2/Q^2)$$

z = fractional energy of h (analogous of x)

hadron P_{hT} arises from struck quark $k_\perp$ and transverse momentum $P_\perp$ generated during fragmentation



inclusive DIS: - hard scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- no further scale to probe proton interior



semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS):
- hard scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- soft scale: detect hadron *h* with $P_{hT}^2 \sim M^2 \ll Q^2$

center-of-mass frame



with these two scales, the process is factorizable into a hard photon-quark vertex and a quark→hadron fragmentation

$$\mathbf{P}_{hT} = z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{k}_\perp^2/Q^2)$$

z = fractional energy of *h* (analogous of *x*)

hadron P_{hT} arises from struck quark $k_\perp$ and transverse momentum $P_\perp$ generated during fragmentation

measure P_{hT} → get to $k_\perp$



DIS

chirality = helicity for a spin-1/2 object
chiral-odd structures mix quark helicities:

$$\langle + | .. | - \rangle , \langle - | .. | + \rangle$$

hence, chiral-odd structures can appear only paired to another chiral-odd structure because cross section is chiral even
chiral-odd structures suppressed in DIS



DIS

chirality = helicity for a spin-1/2 object
chiral-odd structures mix quark helicities:

$$\langle + | .. | - \rangle , \langle - | .. | + \rangle$$

hence, chiral-odd structures can appear only paired to another chiral-odd structure because cross section is chiral even
chiral-odd structures suppressed in DIS



SIDIS

chiral-odd structures possible by pairing with a chiral-odd fragmentation function

Outline

- A short recap of inclusive DIS and collinear factorization

Internal hadron structure is best explored with a powerful “microscopic lense”
need a process with a hard scale; example: inclusive lepton-proton scattering

$\ell + N(P) \to \ell' + X$

Kinematic invariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^2 &= M^2 \\
Q^2 &= -q^2 \approx 2EE'(1-\cos\theta_e) = 4EE'\sin^2\theta_e/2 \\
\nu &= \frac{P\cdot q}{M} \overset{\text{TRF}}{=} E-E' && \text{transferred energy} \\
y &= \frac{P\cdot q}{P\cdot \ell} \overset{\text{TRF}}{=} \frac{E-E'}{E} && \text{fraction of " " } 0\leq y\leq 1 \\
x_B &= \frac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q} \overset{\text{TRF}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu} && \text{inelastic } 0<x\leq 1 \text{ elastic} \\
W^2 &= (P+q)^2 = M^2 + Q^2(1/x-1) \geq M^2 && \text{invariant mass}
\end{aligned}
$$





“Deep-Inelastic” kinematics

Internal hadron structure is best explored with a powerful “microscopic lense”
need a process with a hard scale; example: inclusive lepton-proton scattering

$\ell + N(P) \rightarrow \ell' + X$

Kinematic invariants

$$P^2 = M^2$$
$$Q^2 = -q^2 \approx 2EE'(1-\cos\theta_e) = 4EE'\sin^2\theta_e/2$$
$$\nu = \frac{P\cdot q}{M} \stackrel{\text{TRF}}{=} E - E' \quad \text{transferred energy}$$
$$y = \frac{P\cdot q}{P\cdot \ell} \stackrel{\text{TRF}}{=} \frac{E-E'}{E} \quad \text{fraction of " "} \; 0\le y \le 1$$
$$x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q} \stackrel{\text{TRF}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu} \quad \text{inelastic } 0<x\le 1 \text{ elastic}$$
$$W^2 = (P+q)^2 = M^2 + Q^2(1/x - 1) \ge M^2 \quad \text{invariant mass}$$



$P = (M,0,0,0)$ initially at rest

$q = (\nu,0,0,|\mathbf{q}|)$

$P' = (\sqrt{M^2 + P_z'^2},0,0,P_z')$

$P_z' = |\mathbf{q}| \gg M$

$P' \approx (|\mathbf{q}|,0,0,|\mathbf{q}|)$

$P' \approx (P_+' = \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{q}|, P_-' \approx 0, \mathbf{0}_\perp)$

in general $P_+' \gg P_-'$

Deep-Inelastic regime:

$$\begin{cases} Q^2 \rightarrow \infty \\ x_B = \dfrac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q} \quad \text{finite} \end{cases}$$

Light-Cone coordinates:

$$a^\mu = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_+, a_-, \mathbf{a}_\perp)$$
$$a_\pm = \frac{a_0 \pm a_3}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \mathbf{a}_\perp = (a_1, a_2)$$



$n_\pm^2 = 0$
$n_+ \cdot n_- = 1$

Internal hadron structure is best explored with a powerful “microscopic lense”
need a process with a hard scale; example: inclusive lepton-proton scattering

$\ell + N(P) \to \ell' + X$

Kinematic invariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^2 &= M^2 \\
Q^2 &= -q^2 \approx 2EE'(1-\cos\theta_e) = 4EE'\sin^2\theta_e/2 \\
\nu &= \frac{P\cdot q}{M} \overset{\text{TRF}}{=} E-E' && \text{transferred energy} \\
y &= \frac{P\cdot q}{P\cdot \ell} \overset{\text{TRF}}{=} \frac{E-E'}{E} && \text{fraction of '' '' } 0\le y\le 1 \\
x_B &= \frac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q} \overset{\text{TRF}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu} && \text{inelastic } 0<x\le 1 \text{ elastic} \\
W^2 &= (P+q)^2 = M^2 + Q^2(1/x-1) \ge M^2 && \text{invariant mass}
\end{aligned}
$$



$P = (M,0,0,0)$ initially at rest

$\downarrow$ $q = (\nu,0,0,|\mathbf{q}|)$

$P' = (\sqrt{M^2 + P_z'^2},0,0,P_z')$

$P_z' = |\mathbf{q}| \gg M$

$P' \approx (|\mathbf{q}|,0,0,|\mathbf{q}|)$

$P' \approx (P_+' = \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{q}|, P_-' \approx 0, \mathbf{0}_\perp)$

in general $P_+' \gg P_-'$

Deep-Inelastic regime: $\begin{cases} Q^2 \to \infty \\ x_B = \dfrac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q} \text{ finite} \end{cases}$

In this regime, only one single dominant component of proton momentum, P_+

Light-Cone coordinates:

$$a^\mu = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_+, a_-, \mathbf{a}_\perp)$$

$$a_\pm = \frac{a_0 \pm a_3}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \mathbf{a}_\perp = (a_1, a_2)$$



inclusive Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS): **1 dominant direction of momenta**
→ all partons collinear to proton



Basics of Feynman parton model:

- DIS regime and relativistic corrections: the virtual photon probes a frozen ensemble of partons
- **factorisation** between hard collision and proton structure

collinear framework

inclusive Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS): **1 dominant direction of momenta**
→ all partons collinear to proton



Basics of Feynman parton model:

- DIS regime and relativistic corrections: the virtual photon probes a frozen ensemble of partons
- **factorisation** between hard collision and proton structure



inclusive Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS): **1 dominant direction of momenta → all partons collinear to proton**



Basics of Feynman parton model:

- DIS regime and relativistic corrections: the virtual photon probes a frozen ensemble of partons
- **factorisation** between hard collision and proton structure
- **1D imaging of proton structure**, parametrised by collinear Parton Distribution Functions **PDF(x,Q²)**



More rigorously:

one photon-exchange approximation



inclusive DIS



inclusive DIS

More rigorously:

inclusive DIS



one photon-exchange approximation



optical theorem



cut-diagram notation:
cross section = product of two amplitudes
particles entering cut are on-shell

inclusive DIS

More rigorously:

one photon-exchange approximation



optical theorem →



inclusive DIS



cut-diagram notation:
cross section = product of two amplitudes
particles entering cut are on-shell

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(\ell, \ell', \lambda_e)\, W^{\mu\nu}(q, P, S)$$

leptonic tensor ↩ calculable in QED

hadronic tensor ↪ linear combination of all tensor structures with q, P, S, subject to Hermiticity, gauge-, parity- and time reversal- invariance
→ parametrised with **four** structure functions

Collinear factorization theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \left\{ A(y) F_{UU,T} + B(y) F_{UU,L} + \lambda_e S_L C(y) F_{LL} + \lambda_e |\mathbf{S}_T| D(y) \cos\phi_S F_{LT} \right\}$$

each $F_{..}(x,Q^2)$

$F_{XY,Z}$: $X \leftarrow \ell$, $Y \leftarrow P$, $Z \leftarrow \gamma^*$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \left\{ A(y) F_{UU,T} + B(y) F_{UU,L} + \lambda_e S_L C(y) F_{LL} + \lambda_e |\mathbf{S}_T| D(y) \cos\phi_S F_{LT} \right\}$$

each F.. (x,Q^2)

$F_{XY,Z}$: X ← ℓ, Y ← P, Z ← γ^*

connection to standard notation

$$F_{UU,T} = 2x_B F_1$$

$$F_{UU,L} = F_2 - 2x_B F_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) F_2$$

$$F_{LL} = 2x_B g_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) g_2$$

$$F_{LT} = \mathcal{O}(\gamma)(g_1 + g_2)$$

$\gamma = \frac{2xM}{Q}$ target mass correction

Collinear factorization theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \left\{ A(y) F_{UU,T} + B(y) F_{UU,L} + \lambda_e S_L C(y) F_{LL} + \lambda_e |\mathbf{S}_T| D(y) \cos\phi_S F_{LT} \right\}$$

each F.. (x,Q²) $F_{XY,Z}$ ← ℓ, P, γ^*

connection to standard notation

$$F_{UU,T} = 2x_B F_1$$

$$F_{UU,L} = F_2 - 2x_B F_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) F_2$$

$$F_{LL} = 2x_B g_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) g_2$$

$$F_{LT} = \mathcal{O}(\gamma)(g_1 + g_2)$$

$\gamma = \frac{2xM}{Q}$ target mass correction

unpolarized: $\lambda_e = S_L = 0$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\, dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^4} \left[A(y)\, F_2(x, Q^2) - y^2\, F_L(x, Q^2) \right] \qquad Q^2 = sxy$$

$$F_i(x, Q^2) = \sum_f e_f^2 \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi}\, d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f}\left(\alpha_s, \frac{x}{\xi}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2} \right) \phi_f(\alpha_s, \xi, \mu_F) \equiv \sum_f e_f^2\, d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f} \otimes \phi_f$$

usually $\mu_R^2 = \mu_F^2 = Q^2$

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f} = d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\, d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f}^{(1)} + \ldots$$

Collinear factorization theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \left\{ A(y) F_{UU,T} + B(y) F_{UU,L} + \lambda_e S_L C(y) F_{LL} + \lambda_e |\mathbf{S}_T| D(y) \cos\phi_S F_{LT} \right\}$$

each $F_{..}(x,Q^2)$

$F_{XY,Z}$: X ← ℓ, Y ← P, Z ← γ^*

connection to standard notation

$F_{UU,T} = 2x_B F_1$

$F_{UU,L} = F_2 - 2x_B F_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) F_2$

$F_{LL} = 2x_B g_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) g_2$

$F_{LT} = \mathcal{O}(\gamma)(g_1 + g_2)$

$\gamma = \frac{2xM}{Q}$ target mass correction

unpolarized: $\lambda_e = S_L = 0$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\, dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^4} \left[A(y)\, F_2(x,Q^2) - y^2\, F_L(x,Q^2) \right] \qquad Q^2 = sxy$$

$$F_i(x,Q^2) = \sum_f e_f^2 \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi}\, d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f}\left(\alpha_s, \frac{x}{\xi}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) \phi_f(\alpha_s, \xi, \mu_F) \equiv \sum_f e_f^2\, d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f} \otimes \phi_f$$

usually $\mu_R^2 = \mu_F^2 = Q^2$

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f} = d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} d\hat{\sigma}_{i,f}^{(1)} + \ldots$$

QCD collinear factorization theorem at scale μ_F, valid at all orders

Physics does not depend on fictitious scale μ_F : DGLAP evolution equations

Factorization from another point of view: the OPE

1. Justification

consider the inclusive DIS



scattering amplitude $\mathcal{M} = \bar{u}(k')\,\gamma_\mu\, u(k)\,\frac{e^2}{Q^2}\,\langle P_X\,|\,J^\mu(0)\,|\,P\rangle$

phase space $dR = (2\pi)^4\,\delta(P+q-P_X)\,d^4P_X\,d^4k'$

cross section $d\sigma \propto \int_X |\,\mathcal{M}\,|^2\,dR \;=\; \frac{e^4}{Q^4}\,L_{\mu\nu}\,W^{\mu\nu}\,d^4k'$



$L_{\mu\nu} = 2k_\mu k'_\nu + 2k_\nu k'_\mu - Q^2\,g_{\mu\nu}$ leptonic tensor

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4P_X\,(2\pi)^4\,\delta(P_X - P - q)\times\langle P\,|\,\hat{J}^\mu(0)\,|\,P_X\rangle\,\langle P_X\,|\,J^\nu(0)\,|\,P\rangle$$

hadronic tensor

Factorization from another point of view: the OPE

1. Justification

consider the inclusive DIS



scattering amplitude $\mathscr{M} = \bar{u}(k')\,\gamma_\mu\,u(k)\,\frac{e^2}{Q^2}\,\langle P_X\,|\,J^\mu(0)\,|\,P\rangle$

phase space $dR = (2\pi)^4\,\delta(P+q-P_X)\,d^4P_X\,d^4k'$

cross section $d\sigma \,\propto\, \int_X |\,\mathscr{M}\,|^2\,dR \;=\; \frac{e^4}{Q^4}\,L_{\mu\nu}\,W^{\mu\nu}\,d^4k'$



optical theorem →



$L_{\mu\nu} = 2k_\mu k'_\nu + 2k_\nu k'_\mu - Q^2\,g_{\mu\nu}$ leptonic tensor

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4P_X\,(2\pi)^4\,\delta(P_X - P - q)\;\times\langle P\,|\,\hat{J}^\mu(0)\,|\,P_X\rangle\,\langle P_X\,|\,J^\nu(0)\,|\,P\rangle$$

hadronic tensor

matrix element of bilocal operator $= \int d\xi\,e^{iq\cdot\xi}\,\langle P\,|\left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi)\,,\hat{J}^\nu(0)\right]|\,P\rangle$

$\hat{J}^\mu = \bar{\psi}\,\gamma^\mu\,\psi$

parton e.m. current

check $\hat{J}^{\mu\dagger} = \hat{J}^\mu$

Factorization from another point of view: the OPE

1. Justification



consider the inclusive DIS

scattering amplitude $\mathscr{M} = \bar{u}(k')\,\gamma_\mu\, u(k)\,\frac{e^2}{Q^2}\,\langle P_X\,|\,J^\mu(0)\,|\,P\rangle$

phase space $dR = (2\pi)^4\,\delta(P+q-P_X)\,d^4P_X\,d^4k'$

cross section $d\sigma \,\propto\, \int_X |\,\mathscr{M}\,|^2\,dR \;=\; \frac{e^4}{Q^4}\,L_{\mu\nu}\,W^{\mu\nu}\,d^4k'$



optical theorem →



$L_{\mu\nu} = 2k_\mu k'_\nu + 2k_\nu k'_\mu - Q^2\,g_{\mu\nu}$ leptonic tensor

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4P_X\,(2\pi)^4\,\delta(P_X - P - q) \times \langle P\,|\,\hat{J}^\mu(0)\,|\,P_X\rangle\,\langle P_X\,|\,J^\nu(0)\,|\,P\rangle$$

hadronic tensor

matrix element of bilocal operator $= \int d\xi\, e^{iq\cdot\xi}\,\langle P\,|\left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi)\,,\hat{J}^\nu(0)\right]|\,P\rangle$

dominated by time-like short distances $\xi^2 \to 0$, but ill defined !

$\hat{J}^\mu = \bar{\psi}\,\gamma^\mu\,\psi$

parton e.m. current

check $\hat{J}^{\mu\dagger} = \hat{J}^\mu$

Operator Product Expansion

DIS regime:

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi \, e^{iq\cdot\xi} \langle P | \left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi) \, , \hat{J}^\nu(0) \right] | P \rangle$$

$Q^2 \to \infty$

$x = \left.\dfrac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q}\right|_{\mathrm{TRF}} = \dfrac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$ fixed

Target Rest Frame $\Rightarrow \nu \to \infty$

Operator Product Expansion

DIS regime:

$Q^2 \to \infty$

$x = \frac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q}\Big|_{\rm TRF} = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$ fixed

Target Rest Frame $\Rightarrow \nu \to \infty$

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi\, e^{iq\cdot\xi}\, \langle P|\left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi)\,,\hat{J}^\nu(0)\right]|P\rangle$$

Riemann - Lebesgue theorem:

for $|q\cdot\xi| \to \infty$, large oscillations and cancelations;

integral is dominated by terms with $|q\cdot\xi| \le K$ constant

Operator Product Expansion

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi \, e^{iq\cdot\xi} \langle P | \left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi) \, , \hat{J}^\nu(0) \right] | P \rangle$$

DIS regime:

$Q^2 \to \infty$

$x = \left.\frac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q}\right|_{\mathrm{TRF}} = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$ fixed

Target Rest Frame $\Rightarrow \nu \to \infty$

Riemann - Lebesgue theorem:
for $|q\cdot\xi| \to \infty$, large oscillations and cancelations;
integral is dominated by terms with $|q\cdot\xi| \leq K$ constant

Then, $(q\cdot\xi)|_{\mathrm{cm}} = \nu\,\xi^0 \leq K \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi^0 \leq \frac{K}{\nu} \xrightarrow{\nu\to\infty} 0$

space-like distances $\xi^2 < 0$ are forbidden by causality;
for time-like distances $\xi^2 \geq 0$, $\xi^2 = (\xi^0)^2 - \vec{\xi}^2 \geq 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (\xi^0)^2 \geq \vec{\xi}^2 \xrightarrow{\nu\to\infty} 0$

The integral is dominated by short time-like distances $\xi^2 \to 0$, but in this limit the bilocal operator is ill defined. Example: free neutron scalar field $\phi(x)$ with propagator $\Delta(x\text{-}y)$

Operator Product Expansion

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi \, e^{iq\cdot\xi} \langle P | \left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi) \, , \hat{J}^\nu(0) \right] | P \rangle$$

DIS regime:

$Q^2 \to \infty$

$x = \left. \dfrac{Q^2}{2P\cdot q} \right|_{\mathrm{TRF}} = \dfrac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$ fixed

Target Rest Frame $\Rightarrow \nu \to \infty$

Riemann - Lebesgue theorem:
for $|q\cdot\xi| \to \infty$, large oscillations and cancelations;
integral is dominated by terms with $|q\cdot\xi| \leq K$ constant

Then, $(q\cdot\xi)|_{\mathrm{cm}} = \nu\,\xi^0 \leq K \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi^0 \leq \dfrac{K}{\nu} \xrightarrow{\nu\to\infty} 0$

space-like distances $\xi^2 < 0$ are forbidden by causality;
for time-like distances $\xi^2 \geq 0$, $\xi^2 = (\xi^0)^2 - \vec{\xi}^2 \geq 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (\xi^0)^2 \geq \vec{\xi}^2 \xrightarrow{\nu\to\infty} 0$

The integral is dominated by short time-like distances $\xi^2 \to 0$, but in this limit the bilocal operator is ill defined. Example: free neutron scalar field $\phi(x)$ with propagator $\Delta(x\text{-}y)$

$$\langle 0 | \mathcal{T}[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)] | 0 \rangle = -\,i\,\Delta(x-y) = i \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip\cdot(x-y)}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon}$$

for $x \to y$, the integral is divergent :

$$= \frac{m}{4\pi^2} \frac{K_1\left(m\sqrt{-(x-y)^2 + i\varepsilon} \right)}{\sqrt{-(x-y)^2 + i\varepsilon}} - \frac{i}{4\pi}\,\delta\left((x-y)^2 \right) \xrightarrow{x\to y} \infty$$

K_1 modified Bessel funct. of 2º kind

Operator Product Expansion

2. Definition

$$\hat{A}(x)\,\hat{B}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i(x-y)\,\hat{O}_i\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

local operators, regular for $x \to y$, typically $\hat{O}_0 = \mathbf{I}$

Wilson coefficients, singular for $x \to y$, ordered in decreasing singularity

Operator Product Expansion

2. Definition

$$\hat{A}(x)\,\hat{B}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i(x-y)\,\hat{O}_i\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

local operators, regular for $x \to y$, typically $\hat{O}_0 = \mathbf{I}$

Wilson coefficients, singular for $x \to y$, ordered in decreasing singularity

Example: the Wick theorem

$$\lim_{x\to y} \mathscr{T}\left[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)\right] = :\phi(x)\,\phi(y): + \langle 0|\,\mathscr{T}\left[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)\right]|0\rangle$$
$$= 1\cdot\hat{O}_1 + C_0(x-y)\,\mathbf{I}$$

2. Definition

$$\hat{A}(x)\,\hat{B}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i(x-y)\,\hat{O}_i\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

local operators, regular for $x \to y$, typically $\hat{O}_0 = \mathbf{I}$

Wilson coefficients, singular for $x \to y$, ordered in decreasing singularity

Example: the Wick theorem

$$\lim_{x\to y} \mathscr{T}\left[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)\right] = :\phi(x)\,\phi(y): + \langle 0|\,\mathscr{T}\left[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)\right]|0\rangle$$
$$= 1\cdot\hat{O}_1 + C_0(x-y)\,\mathbf{I}$$

3. Application to inclusive DIS

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi\, e^{iq\cdot\xi}\,\langle P|\left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi)\,,\hat{J}^\nu(0)\right]|P\rangle \quad = \sum_{\{\alpha\}} C^{\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}\left(\frac{M}{Q}\right)^{t-2}$$

twist t = canonical dimension - spin of operator $\hat{O}_i$

2. Definition

$$\hat{A}(x)\,\hat{B}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i(x-y)\,\hat{O}_i\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

local operators, regular for $x \to y$, typically $\hat{O}_0 = \mathbf{I}$

Wilson coefficients, singular for $x \to y$, ordered in decreasing singularity

Example: the Wick theorem

$$\lim_{x\to y} \mathscr{T}\left[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)\right] = :\phi(x)\,\phi(y): + \langle 0|\,\mathscr{T}\left[\phi(x)\,\phi(y)\right]|0\rangle$$
$$= 1\cdot \hat{O}_1 + C_0(x-y)\,\mathbf{I}$$

3. Application to inclusive DIS

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi\, e^{iq\cdot\xi}\,\langle P|\left[\hat{J}^{\mu}(\xi)\,,\hat{J}^{\nu}(0)\right]|P\rangle \;= \sum_{\{\alpha\}} C^{\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}\left(\frac{M}{Q}\right)^{t-2}$$

twist t = canonical dimension - spin of operator $\hat{O}_i$

twist expansion \ perturbative expansion		leading order LO	NLO	NNLO	…
		1	α_s	α_s^2	…
leading twist 2	1	parton model	perturbative QCD →		
subleading twist 3	$1/Q$	↓ OPE			
twist 4	$1/Q^2$				
…	…				

OPE rigorous proof only for inclusive processes. It can be effectively extended to all semi-inclusive hard processes.

Operator Product Expansion

4. Factorization

By applying the same technique of Wick theorem, it can be shown that the dominant contribution to the hadronic tensor of inclusive DIS comes from the so-called "handbag" diagram:

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi \, e^{iq\cdot\xi} \langle P | \left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi) \, , \hat{J}^\nu(0) \right] | P \rangle \quad \sim$$



4. Factorization

By applying the same technique of Wick theorem, it can be shown that the dominant contribution to the hadronic tensor of inclusive DIS comes from the so-called "handbag" diagram:

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi \, e^{iq\cdot\xi} \langle P | \left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi) \, , \hat{J}^\nu(0) \right] | P \rangle \quad \sim$$



Φ bilocal quark-quark correlator:

$$\Phi_{f\,ij}(k, P, S) = \int d^4P_X \, \delta(P - k - P_x) \, \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}_{f\,j}(0) | P_X \rangle \, \langle P_X | \psi_{f\,i}(0) | P, S \rangle$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4} \, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}_{f\,j}(\xi) \, \psi_{f\,i}(0) | P, S \rangle$$

4. Factorization

By applying the same technique of Wick theorem, it can be shown that the dominant contribution to the hadronic tensor of inclusive DIS comes from the so-called "handbag" diagram:

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int d\xi\, e^{iq\cdot\xi} \langle P | \left[\hat{J}^\mu(\xi)\, , \hat{J}^\nu(0) \right] | P \rangle \quad \sim$$



Φ bilocal quark-quark correlator:

$$\Phi_{f\,ij}(k,P,S) = \int d^4P_X\, \delta(P-k-P_x)\, \langle P,S | \bar{\psi}_{f\,j}(0) | P_X \rangle \langle P_X | \psi_{f\,i}(0) | P,S \rangle$$
$$= \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi} \langle P,S | \bar{\psi}_{f\,j}(\xi)\, \psi_{f\,i}(0) | P,S \rangle$$

By taking suitable projections, one can extract from the "structure" the leading-twist part, the subleading part at twist 3, at twist 4, etc..

parton-parton correlator

$$\Phi_f(k,P,S) = \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_f(\xi)\,\psi_f(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$



non-local correlator

parton-parton correlator

$$\Phi_f(k,P,S) = \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_f(\xi)\,\psi_f(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$



non-local correlator

➡ $\Phi(k, P, S)$ = linear combination of all tensor structures with k, P, S, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)

parton-parton correlator

$$\Phi_f(k,P,S) = \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi}\,\langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi_f(\xi)\,\psi_f(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$



non-local correlator

- $\Phi(k, P, S)$ = linear combination of all tensor structures with k, P, S, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)

- DIS regime $\to P^+$ dominant component; OPE on $\Phi(k, P, S) \to$ expansion in powers of M/P_+

  Caveat

  canonical OPE on local operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$; expansion in twist = dim($\hat{\mathcal{O}}$) - spin($\hat{\mathcal{O}}$)

  Here, Φ is non-local, but can be expanded in local operators of same twist

  "working" definition of twist = 2 + powers of M/P_+

$$\Phi_f(k,P,S) = \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_f(\xi)\,\psi_f(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$



non-local correlator

- Φ(*k*, *P*, *S*) = linear combination of all tensor structures with *k*, *P*, *S*, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)

- DIS regime $\rightarrow P^+$ dominant component; OPE on Φ(*k*, *P*, *S*) → expansion in powers of M/P₊

  Caveat
  canonical OPE on local operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$; expansion in twist = dim($\hat{\mathcal{O}}$) - spin($\hat{\mathcal{O}}$)
  Here, Φ is non-local, but can be expanded in local operators of same twist
  "working" definition of twist = 2 + powers of M/P_+

- P^+ dominant component → take partons collinear, $k^+ = xP^+$, and integrate other components:

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int dk_+ dk_- d\mathbf{k}_T\, \delta(k_+ - xP_+) \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}(\xi)\,\psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$

$$= \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_j(\xi)\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$



non-locality along "-" direction

$$\Phi_f(k,P,S) = \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-i\,k\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_f(\xi)\,\psi_f(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$



non-local correlator

- $\Phi(k, P, S)$ = linear combination of all tensor structures with k, P, S, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)

- DIS regime $\to P^+$ dominant component; OPE on $\Phi(k, P, S) \to$ expansion in powers of M/P_+

  Caveat
  canonical OPE on local operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$; expansion in twist = dim($\hat{\mathcal{O}}$) - spin($\hat{\mathcal{O}}$)
  Here, Φ is non-local, but can be expanded in local operators of same twist
  "working" definition of twist = 2 + powers of M/P_+

- P^+ dominant component → take partons collinear, $k^+ = xP^+$, and integrate other components:

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int dk_+ dk_- d\mathbf{k}_T\, \delta(k_+ - xP_+) \int \frac{d^4\xi}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}(\xi)\,\psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$

$$= \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_j(\xi)\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$

$\Phi(k,P,S)$ expanded in powers of M/P_+



non-locality along "-" direction

OPE → PDFs

OPE on $\Phi(k, P, S)$ → expansion in powers of M/P_+ → keeping only leading twist

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int dk_+ dk_- d\mathbf{k}_T \, \delta(k_+ - xP_+) \, \Phi(k,P,S)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1(x)\,\gamma_- +$$

$$g_1(x)\, S_L \gamma_5 \gamma_- +$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^\mu , \gamma^\nu\right] \qquad h_1(x)\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\, \gamma_5 S_T^\nu \Big]$$

OPE → PDFs

OPE on $\Phi(k, P, S)$ → expansion in powers of M/P_+ → keeping only leading twist

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int dk_+ dk_- d\mathbf{k}_T\, \delta(k_+ - xP_+)\, \Phi(k,P,S)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1(x)\, \gamma_- +$$

$$g_1(x)\, S_L \gamma_5 \gamma_- +$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\,[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \qquad h_1(x)\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\, \gamma_5 S_T^\nu \Big]$$

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi\, \gamma_+\big] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+]}$$

$$S_L\, g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi\, \gamma_+ \gamma_5\big] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+\gamma_5]}$$

$$(S_T)_i\, h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi\, i\sigma_{+i}\, \gamma_5\big] \equiv \Phi^{[i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5]}$$

Let's define

$$\Phi^{[\Gamma]}(x) = \frac{1}{P^+}\int dk^-\, d\mathbf{k}_T\, \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\big(\Phi(k,P,S)\big)_{ji}\, (\Gamma)_{ij} \Big]\Big|_{k^+ = xP^+}$$

OPE on Φ(*k*, *P*, *S*) → expansion in powers of M/P_+ → keeping only leading twist

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int dk_+ dk_- d\mathbf{k}_T\, \delta(k_+ - xP_+)\, \Phi(k,P,S)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1(x)\, \gamma_- +$$ unpolarized Parton Distribution Function (PDF)

$$g_1(x)\, S_L \gamma_5 \gamma_- +$$ longitudinally polarized PDF (requires hadron long. pol. S_L)

$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$

$$h_1(x)\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\, \gamma_5 S_T^\nu \Big]$$ transversely polarized PDF (requires hadron transv. pol. S_T)

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi\, \gamma_+\big] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+]}$$ (fractional) momentum distribution

$$S_L\, g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi\, \gamma_+ \gamma_5\big] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+ \gamma_5]}$$ helicity distribution

$$(S_T)_i\, h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi\, i\sigma_{+i}\, \gamma_5\big] \equiv \Phi^{[i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5]}$$ transversity distribution



PDFs (x; Q^2) at leading twist for a spin-1/2 hadron (Nucleon)

polarizations

quark



nucleon



		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		
	L		$g_1 =$ –	
	T			$h_1 =$ –

The PDF table



PDFs (x; Q²) at leading twist for a spin-1/2 hadron (Nucleon)

polarizations

quark



nucleon



		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		
	L		$g_1 =$ −	
	T			$h_1 =$ −

probabilistic interpretation

probability density of finding an unpol. quark in an unpol. nucleon

probability density of finding a long. pol. quark in a long. pol. nucleon

probability density of finding a transv. pol. quark in a transv. pol. nucleon

N.B. Using appropriate projections $\Phi^{[\Gamma]}$ one can extract also subleading-twist PDFs, but no probabilistic interpretation

connection of PDFs with measurable structure functions

at leading order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$ and leading twist

$$F_{UU,T}(x_B, Q^2) = x_B \sum_q e_q^2 \, f_1^q(x_B, Q^2) \qquad F_{UU,L}(x_B, Q^2) \approx 0$$

$$F_{LL}(x_B, Q^2) = x_B \sum_q e_q^2 \, g_1^q(x_B, Q^2) \qquad F_{LT}(x_B, Q^2) \approx 0$$

hard cross section $d\hat{\sigma} = 1 + c_1 \alpha_s + \dots$
produce F_L , $F_{LT} \neq 0$



connection of PDFs with
measurable structure functions

at leading order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$ and leading twist

$$F_{UU,T}(x_B,Q^2) = x_B \sum_q e_q^2\, f_1^q(x_B,Q^2) \qquad F_{UU,L}(x_B,Q^2) \approx 0$$

$$F_{LL}(x_B,Q^2) = x_B \sum_q e_q^2\, g_1^q(x_B,Q^2) \qquad F_{LT}(x_B,Q^2) \approx 0$$

hard cross section $d\hat{\sigma} = 1 + c_1\alpha_s + \dots$
produce F_L , F_{LT} $\neq 0$



Transversity PDF does not appear in inclusive DIS cross section!

It happens because transverse polarization mixes quark helicities:

$$\langle \uparrow | .. | \uparrow \rangle \propto \langle + | .. | - \rangle , \langle - | .. | + \rangle$$

chirality = helicity for a spin-1/2 object; hence, $h_1(x)$ is a chiral-odd PDF and can appear in the cross section only paired to another chiral-odd structure.

Transversity is not suppressed (as expected in perturbative QCD as m_q/Q),
it can be extracted in processes with at least two hadrons

The gauge link

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_j(\xi)\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$

this non-local operator is not color-gauge invariant under $\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha^a(x)\,t^a}\,\psi(x) \equiv U(x)\,\psi(x)$

The gauge link

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_j(\xi)\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$

this non-local operator is not color-gauge invariant under $\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha^a(x)\,t^a}\,\psi(x) \equiv U(x)\,\psi(x)$

↓

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar{\psi}_j(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$



gauge-link operator $U_{[a,b]} = \mathscr{P}\exp\left[-ig\int_a^b d\eta_\mu\, A^\mu(\eta)\right]$

it transforms as $U_{[\xi,0]} \to U(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,U^\dagger(0)$ so that $\Phi(k,P,S)$ is invariant

The gauge link

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \langle P,S|\bar\psi_j(\xi)\,\psi_i(0)|P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$

this non-local operator is not color-gauge invariant under $\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha^a(x)\,t^a}\,\psi(x) \equiv U(x)\,\psi(x)$

↓

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \langle P,S|\bar\psi_j(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,\psi_i(0)|P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$



gauge-link operator $U_{[a,b]} = \mathscr{P}\exp\left[-ig\int_a^b d\eta_\mu\, A^\mu(\eta)\right]$

it transforms as $U_{[\xi,0]} \to U(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,U^\dagger(0)$ so that $\Phi(k,P,S)$ is invariant

$\Phi(x,S)$ involves only the LC "-" direction: $\int d\xi_- \;\ldots|_{\xi_+,\xi_T=0}$

trick: $\Phi(x,S) \propto \langle P,S|\bar\psi(\xi_-)\,U_{[\xi_-,0]}\,\psi(0)|P,S\rangle = \langle P,S|\bar\psi(\xi_-)\,U_{[\xi_-,\infty_-]}\,U_{[\infty_-,0]}\,\psi(0)|P,S\rangle \equiv \langle P,S|\{\bar\psi(\xi_-)\}\,\{\psi(0)\}|P,S\rangle$



$\{\psi(x_-)\} = U_{[\infty_-,x_-]}\,\psi(x_-)$

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi_j(\xi)\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$

this non-local operator is not color-gauge invariant under $\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha^a(x)\,t^a}\,\psi(x) \equiv U(x)\,\psi(x)$

↓

$$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi_j(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\,\psi_i(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$





gauge-link operator $U_{[a,b]} = \mathscr{P}\exp\left[-ig\int_a^b d\eta_\mu\, A^\mu(\eta)\right]$

it transforms as $U_{[\xi,0]} \to U(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,U^\dagger(0)$ so that $\Phi(k,P,S)$ is invariant

$\Phi(x,S)$ involves only the LC "-" direction: $\int d\xi_- \,\dots|_{\xi_+,\xi_T=0}$

trick: $\Phi(x,S) \propto \langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi(\xi_-)\,U_{[\xi_-,0]}\,\psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle = \langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi(\xi_-)\,U_{[\xi_-,\infty_-]}\,U_{[\infty_-,0]}\,\psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle \equiv \langle P,S\,|\,\{\bar\psi(\xi_-)\}\,\{\psi(0)\}\,|\,P,S\rangle$



factorisation is preserved

$\{\psi(x_-)\} = U_{[x_-,\infty_-]}\,\psi(x_-)$

Recap

- hadron structure better explored in processes with a hard scale (much bigger than involved masses, $Q^2 \gg M^2$) ; on the Light-Cone, it implies one dominant direction → collinear framework natural choice



- hadron structure better explored in processes with a hard scale (much bigger than involved masses, $Q^2 \gg M^2$) ; on the Light-Cone, it implies one dominant direction → collinear framework natural choice



- Example: inclusive DIS, cross section $d\sigma \sim L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$ can be parametrised in terms of 4 structure functions (including polarization)



Recap

- hadron structure better explored in processes with a hard scale (much bigger than involved masses, $Q^2 \gg M^2$) ; on the Light-Cone, it implies one dominant direction → collinear framework natural choice



- Example: inclusive DIS, cross section $d\sigma \sim L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$ can be parametrised in terms of 4 structure functions (including polarization)



- OPE on $W^{\mu\nu}$ → factorisation of hadron structure in parton-parton non-local correlator Φ. It can be made color-gauge invariant by inserting proper gauge link



- hadron structure better explored in processes with a hard scale (much bigger than involved masses, $Q^2 \gg M^2$) ; on the Light-Cone, it implies one dominant direction → collinear framework natural choice



- Example: inclusive DIS, cross section $d\sigma \sim L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$ can be parametrised in terms of 4 structure functions (including polarization)



- OPE on $W^{\mu\nu}$ → factorisation of hadron structure in parton-parton non-local correlator Φ. It can be made color-gauge invariant by inserting proper gauge link



- Expansion of Φ in powers of *M/Q* (effective twist) contains operator-definition of collinear PDFs, that can be extracted by suitable projections



Recap

- hadron structure better explored in processes with a hard scale (much bigger than involved masses, $Q^2 \gg M^2$) ; on the Light-Cone, it implies one dominant direction → collinear framework natural choice



- Example: inclusive DIS, cross section $d\sigma \sim L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$ can be parametrised in terms of 4 structure functions (including polarization)



- OPE on $W^{\mu\nu}$ → factorisation of hadron structure in parton-parton non-local correlator Φ. It can be made color-gauge invariant by inserting proper gauge link



- Expansion of Φ in powers of *M/Q* (effective twist) contains operator-definition of collinear PDFs, that can be extracted by suitable projections



- Leading-twist PDFs have nice probabilistic interpretations, and can be connected to structure functions (except the chiral-odd transversity PDF)



- Why TMDs ?

Evidences of going beyond the collinear framework
Example #1: the "Spin Crisis"

*Ashmann et al. (EMC), P.L. **B206** (88) 364*

- In 1988, the EMC Collaboration at CERN measures the F_{LL} structure function in the polarized inclusive DIS process $\vec{\mu} + \vec{p} \rightarrow \mu' + X$. Surprisingly, the sum of quark helicities Δq contributes at most 25% of spin 1/2 of the proton (depending on Q^2).
- There has been an intense activity to measure the gluon helicity Δg, which is currently known with a large error → there is room for contribution from the orbital motion of partons
- Contribution from the orbital angular momentum of partons L^q, L^g → need to be sensitive also to intrinsic transverse components of parton momentum

$$\frac{1}{2} = \sum_q \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta q(Q^2) + L^q(Q^2) \right) + \Delta g(Q^2) + L^g(Q^2)$$



Example #2: elastic p-p scattering



$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}}$$

$p^{\uparrow} p \to p\, p$ versus $p^{\downarrow} p \to p\, p$



for a review, see
Krisch, E.P.J. **A31** (07) 417

Example #2: elastic p-p scattering



$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^\uparrow - d\sigma^\downarrow}{d\sigma^\uparrow + d\sigma^\downarrow}$$

$p^\uparrow p \to p\,p$ versus $p^\downarrow p \to p\,p$

correlation between spin of the proton
and k_T of partons

↔ orbital motion

for a review, see
Krisch, E.P.J. **A31** (07) 417



Example #3: semi-inclusive p-p collisions

$$p^{\uparrow}\, p \longrightarrow \pi\, X$$



$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}}$$ single-spin asymmetry

perturbative QCD $\propto \frac{m_q}{p_T}\,\alpha_s \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$

Kane, Pumplin, Repko, P.R.L. **41** ('78) 1689





Persisting also up to $\sqrt{s}$ = 200 GeV

Adams *et al.* (STAR), PRL **92** (04) 171801

Example #3: semi-inclusive p-p collisions

$$p^{\uparrow}\, p \longrightarrow \pi\, X$$



$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}}$$ single-spin asymmetry

perturbative QCD $\propto \frac{m_q}{p_T}\alpha_s \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$

Kane, Pumplin, Repko, P.R.L. **41** ('78) 1689

Instead, large asymmetries observed.
Evidence of correlation between spin of the proton and k_T and flavor of partons





Persisting also up to $\sqrt{s}$ = 200 GeV

STAR

Adams *et al.* (STAR), PRL **92** (04) 171801

Outline

- The "TMD zoo"
  - factorisation theorem and general properties (generalising same steps that lead to PDFs)
  - specific properties

Need semi-inclusive process



inclusive DIS: - **hard** scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- factorisation → isolate PDFs
- no further scale to probe proton interior



semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS):
- **hard** scale $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg M^2$ to "see" partons
- **soft** scale: detect hadron *h* with $P_{hT}^2 \sim M^2 \ll Q^2$
- factorisation → isolate TMDs

*Ji, Yuan, Ma, P.R. D**71** (05)*
*Rogers & Aybat, P.R. D**83** (11)*
Collins, "Foundations of Perturbative QCD" (11)
*Echevarria, Idilbi, Scimemi, JHEP **1207** (12)*

center-of-mass frame



with these **two** scales, the process is factorizable into a hard photon-quark vertex and a quark→hadron fragmentation

$$\mathbf{P}_{hT} = z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{k}_\perp^2/Q^2)$$

z = fractional energy of *h* (analogous of *x*)

hadron P_{hT} arises from struck quark $k_\perp$ and transverse momentum $P_\perp$ generated during fragmentation

measure P_{hT} → get to $k_\perp$

The TMD framework



ECG

↓



cardio MR

A new paradigm:
3D imaging of hadron structure
(in momentum space)

parametrised by Transverse-Momentum Dependent PDFs
TMD PDF(x, k⊥; Q²)



one photon-exchange approximation



optical theorem



SIDIS



same invariants as inclusive DIS plus

$$z_h = \frac{P \cdot P_h}{P \cdot q}$$

"energy fraction" of fragmenting parton carried by final hadron

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S dz_h d\phi_h dP_{hT}^2} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2 z_h Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(\ell, \ell', \lambda_e) W^{\mu\nu}(q, P, S, P_h)$$

new dependence

(for unpolarized hadron, S_h=0)

one photon-exchange approximation



optical theorem



SIDIS



same invariants as inclusive DIS plus

$$z_h = \frac{P \cdot P_h}{P \cdot q}$$

"energy fraction" of fragmenting parton carried by final hadron

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B\, dy\, d\phi_S\, dz_h\, d\phi_h\, dP_{hT}^2} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2 z_h Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(\ell, \ell', \lambda_e)\, W^{\mu\nu}(q, P, S, P_h)$$

new dependence (for unpolarized hadron, S_h=0)

leptonic tensor

hadronic tensor

parametrised with
8 structure functions at leading twist
(**18** including subleading twist)

SIDIS



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S dz_h d\phi_h dP_{hT}^2} =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \Big[A(y)\, F_{UU,T} + B(y)\, \cos 2\phi_h\, F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}$$

$$+ S_L\, \sin 2\phi_h\, F_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$$

$$+ \lambda_e\, S_L\, C(y)\, F_{LL}$$

$$+ S_T \big[A(y)\, \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} + B(y)\, \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)\, F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)} + B(y)\, \sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)} \big]$$

$$+ \lambda_e\, S_T\, C(y)\, \cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \Big] \quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M}{Q}\right)$$

each $F_{...}(x_B, z_h, P_{hT}^2, Q^2)$

$F_{XY,Z}$: X ← ℓ, Y ← P, Z ← γ^*

SIDIS



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S dz_h d\phi_h dP_{hT}^2} =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \Big[A(y)\, F_{UU,T} + B(y)\, \cos 2\phi_h\, F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}$$

$$+ S_L \sin 2\phi_h\, F_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$$

$$+ \lambda_e S_L\, C(y)\, F_{LL}$$

$$+ S_T \big[A(y)\, \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)} + B(y)\, \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)\, F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)} + B(y)\, \sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)} \big]$$

$$+ \lambda_e S_T\, C(y)\, \cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)} \Big] \quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M}{Q}\right)$$

each $F_{\ldots}(x_B, z_h, P_{hT}^2, Q^2)$

$F_{X Y, Z}$ ↑ ↑ ↑ ℓ P γ^*

OPE not possible, use diagrammatic approach (select dominant diagram by counting powers of divergences)



+ higher twists (suppressed)

$$2MW^{\mu\nu}(q,P,S,P_h) = 2z_h\,\mathscr{C}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi(x_B,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S)\,\gamma^\mu\,\Delta(z_h,\mathbf{P}_\perp)\,\gamma^\nu\big]\Big]$$

$$\mathscr{C}\big[\ldots\big] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT})\big[\ldots\big]$$

OPE not possible, use diagrammatic approach (select dominant diagram by counting powers of divergences)



+ higher twists (suppressed)

$$2MW^{\mu\nu}(q,P,S,P_h) = 2z_h\,\mathscr{C}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi(x_B,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S)\,\gamma^\mu\,\Delta(z_h,\mathbf{P}_\perp)\,\gamma^\nu\big]\Big]$$

$$\mathscr{C}\big[\ldots\big] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT})\big[\ldots\big]$$

<u>non-local correlator</u>:

from collinear $$\Phi(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_-}{2\pi}\,e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\,\langle P,S|\,\bar\psi(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,\psi(0)\,|P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=\xi_T=0}$$

to $$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \int \frac{d\xi_- d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3}\,e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\,\langle P,S|\,\bar\psi(\xi)\,U_{[\xi,0]}\,\psi(0)\,|P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$



$$x_B \sim x = \frac{k_+}{P_+} \qquad \xi_- \leftrightarrow k_+ \qquad \xi_T \leftrightarrow k_\perp$$

SIDIS : factorisation

non-local correlators

$z_h \sim z = \frac{P_{h-}}{k_-}$ $\quad \xi_+ \leftrightarrow k_-$

$\xi_T \leftrightarrow k_\perp$

$$\Delta(z, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi_+ d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \, \langle 0 | \psi(0) | X, P_h \rangle \langle X, P_h | \bar{\psi}(\xi) | 0 \rangle_{\xi_-=0}$$





hard cross section

$d\hat{\sigma} = 1 + c_1 \alpha_s + \dots$

$$\Phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, S) = \int \frac{d\xi_- d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \, \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}(\xi) \, U_{[\xi,0]} \, \psi(0) | P, S \rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$



$x_B \sim x = \frac{k_+}{P_+}$ $\quad \xi_- \leftrightarrow k_+$

$\xi_T \leftrightarrow k_\perp$

non-local correlators

$z_h \sim z = \frac{P_{h-}}{k_-}$ $\quad \xi_+ \leftrightarrow k_-$ $\quad \xi_T \leftrightarrow k_\perp$

$$\Delta(z, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi_+ d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \langle 0 | \psi(0) | X, P_h \rangle \langle X, P_h | \bar{\psi}(\xi) | 0 \rangle_{\xi_-=0}$$





hard cross section
$d\hat{\sigma} = 1 + c_1\alpha_s + \ldots$

flipping LC-dominant direction

$$\Phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, S) = \int \frac{d\xi_- d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\, \psi(0) | P, S \rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$



$x_B \sim x = \frac{k_+}{P_+}$ $\quad \xi_- \leftrightarrow k_+$ $\quad \xi_T \leftrightarrow k_\perp$

flipping LC-dominant direction

Definitions: $x = \dfrac{k^+}{P^+}$ $\qquad x_B = \dfrac{-q^2}{2P\cdot q} = \dfrac{-2q^+q^-}{2P^+q^-} = -\dfrac{q^+}{P^+}$

Parton model → elastic kinematics → $x \approx x_B$

flipping LC-dominant direction

Definitions: $x = \frac{k^+}{P^+}$ $\qquad x_B = \frac{-q^2}{2P \cdot q} = \frac{-2q^+q^-}{2P^+q^-} = -\frac{q^+}{P^+}$

Parton model → elastic kinematics → $x \approx x_B$

initial parton $k = \{k^+, k^-, \mathbf{k}_\perp\} = \left\{ xP^+, \frac{k^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2xP^+}, \mathbf{k}_\perp \right\} \approx \{xP^+, 0, \mathbf{k}_\perp\}$

$k^2 = 2k^+k^- - \mathbf{k}_T^2$

+ component dominant

flipping LC-dominant direction

Definitions: $x = \frac{k^+}{P^+}$ $x_B = \frac{-q^2}{2P\cdot q} = \frac{-2q^+q^-}{2P^+q^-} = -\frac{q^+}{P^+}$

Parton model → elastic kinematics → $x \approx x_B$

initial parton $k = \{k^+, k^-, \mathbf{k}_\perp\} = \left\{ xP^+, \frac{k^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2xP^+}, \mathbf{k}_\perp \right\} \approx \{xP^+, 0, \mathbf{k}_\perp\}$

$k^2 = 2k^+k^- - \mathbf{k}_T^2$

+ component dominant

momentum transfer $q = \{q^+, q^-, \mathbf{0}_T\} = \left\{ -xP^+, \frac{Q^2}{2xP^+}, \mathbf{0}_T \right\}$

$q^2 = 2q^+q^-$

flipping LC-dominant direction

Definitions: $x = \frac{k^+}{P^+}$ $\quad x_B = \frac{-q^2}{2P\cdot q} = \frac{-2q^+q^-}{2P^+q^-} = -\frac{q^+}{P^+}$

Parton model → elastic kinematics → $x \approx x_B$

initial parton $\quad k = \{k^+, k^-, \mathbf{k}_\perp\} = \left\{ xP^+, \frac{k^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2xP^+}, \mathbf{k}_\perp \right\} \approx \{xP^+, 0, \mathbf{k}_\perp\}$

$k^2 = 2k^+k^- - \mathbf{k}_T^2$

+ component dominant

momentum transfer $\quad q = \{q^+, q^-, \mathbf{0}_T\} = \left\{ -xP^+, \frac{Q^2}{2xP^+}, \mathbf{0}_T \right\}$

$q^2 = 2q^+q^-$

final parton $\quad k' = k + q = \left\{ 0, \frac{Q^2}{2xP^+}, \mathbf{k}_\perp \right\} \approx \{0, Q, \mathbf{k}_\perp\}$

- component dominant

linear combination of all tensor structures with *k*, *P*, *S*, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)



expansion of Φ in powers of M/P+ . At leading twist:

$$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1\,\gamma_- - f_{1T}^\perp\,\frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp\times\mathbf{S}_T)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}\,\gamma_- + g_{1L}\,S_L\,\gamma_5\gamma_- + g_{1T}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{S}_T}{M}\,\gamma_5\gamma_- + h_{1T}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,S_T^\nu + h_{1L}^\perp\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,S_L\,\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M} + h_{1T}^\perp\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{S}_T}{M}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M} - h_1^\perp\,\sigma_{-\nu}\,\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}\Big]$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\,[\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu]$$

Notations:

parton-parton correlator

linear combination of all tensor structures with *k*, *P*, *S*, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)



expansion of Φ in powers of M/P+ . At leading twist:

$$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1\,\gamma_- - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot \hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}\,\gamma_-$$
$$+ g_{1L}\,S_L\,\gamma_5\gamma_- + g_{1T}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{S}_T}{M}\,\gamma_5\gamma_-$$
$$+ h_{1T}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,S_T^\nu + h_{1L}^\perp\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,S_L\,\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}$$
$$+ h_{1T}^\perp\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{S}_T}{M}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M} - h_1^\perp\,\sigma_{-\nu}\,\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}\Big]$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\,[\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu]$$

Notations: $t_{1X}^{(\perp)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$

- $(\perp)$: waited by $k_\perp{}^i$
- 1: leading twist
- $X = L$ longitudinally polarized hadron
- $X = T$ transversely polarized hadron

$t = f$ unpolarized parton
$t = g$ longitudinally polarized parton
$t = h$ transversely polarized parton

parton-parton correlator

linear combination of all tensor structures with *k*, *P*, *S*, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)
expansion of Φ in powers of M/P+ . At leading twist:



$$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1\,\gamma_- - f_{1T}^{\perp}\,\frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp\times\mathbf{S}_T)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}\,\gamma_-$$
$$+ g_{1L}\,S_L\,\gamma_5\gamma_- + g_{1T}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{S}_T}{M}\,\gamma_5\gamma_-$$
$$+ h_{1T}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,S_T^{\nu} + h_{1L}^{\perp}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,S_L\,\frac{k_\perp^{\nu}}{M}$$
$$+ h_{1T}^{\perp}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{S}_T}{M}\,i\sigma_{-\nu}\,\gamma_5\,\frac{k_\perp^{\nu}}{M} - h_1^{\perp}\,\sigma_{-\nu}\,\frac{k_\perp^{\nu}}{M}\Big]$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\,[\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu]$$

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+]}$ → 2 TMDPDFs for unpol. parton

Notations: $t_{1X}^{(\perp)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$

- (⊥): waited by $k_\perp{}^i$
- 1: leading twist
- $X = L$ longitudinally polarized hadron
- $X = T$ transversely polarized hadron

t = *f* unpolarized parton
t = *g* longitudinally polarized parton
t = *h* transversely polarized parton

linear combination of all tensor structures with k, P, S, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)

expansion of Φ in powers of M/P+ . At leading twist:



$$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1 \gamma_- - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot \hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}\gamma_-$$

$$+ g_{1L} S_L \gamma_5 \gamma_- + g_{1T} \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{S}_T}{M} \gamma_5 \gamma_-$$

$$+ h_{1T}\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\gamma_5 S_T^\nu + h_{1L}^\perp\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\gamma_5 S_L \frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}$$

$$+ h_{1T}^\perp \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{S}_T}{M}\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\gamma_5 \frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M} - h_1^\perp \sigma_{-\nu}\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}\Big]$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+]}$ → 2 TMDPDFs for unpol. parton

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+\gamma_5] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+\gamma_5]}$ → 2 TMDPDFs for long. pol. parton

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\, i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5] \equiv \Phi^{[i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5]}$ → 4 TMDPDFs for transv. pol. parton along i

Notations: $t_{1X}^{(\perp)}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$

- $(\perp)$: waited by $k_\perp^i$
- 1: leading twist
- $X = L$ longitudinally polarized hadron
- $X = T$ transversely polarized hadron

$t = f$ unpolarized parton
$t = g$ longitudinally polarized parton
$t = h$ transversely polarized parton

linear combination of all tensor structures with k, P, S, subject to Hermiticity and parity-invariance (see later about time reversal)

expansion of Φ in powers of M/P₊ . At leading twist:



$$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1 \gamma_- - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}\gamma_-$$

$$+ g_{1L} S_L \gamma_5 \gamma_- + g_{1T} \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{S}_T}{M}\gamma_5\gamma_-$$

$$+ h_{1T}\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\gamma_5 S_T^\nu + h_{1L}^\perp\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\gamma_5 S_L \frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}$$

$$+ h_{1T}^\perp \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{S}_T}{M}\, i\sigma_{-\nu}\gamma_5 \frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M} - h_1^\perp \sigma_{-\nu}\frac{k_\perp^\nu}{M}\Big]$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu]$$

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+]}$ → **2 TMDPDFs** for unpol. parton

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+\gamma_5] \equiv \Phi^{[\gamma_+\gamma_5]}$ → **2 TMDPDFs** for long. pol. parton

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\, i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5] \equiv \Phi^{[i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5]}$ → **4 TMDPDFs** for transv. pol. parton along i

Notations: $t_{1X}^{(\perp)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$

- waited by $k_\perp^i$ (for the $(\perp)$ superscript)
- leading twist (for the subscript 1)
- $X = L$ longitudinally polarized hadron
- $X = T$ transversely polarized hadron

$t = f$ unpolarized parton
$t = g$ longitudinally polarized parton
$t = h$ transversely polarized parton

survive upon $\int d\mathbf{k}_\perp$ → collinear PDF



TMD PDFs (x, $k_\perp$; Q^2) at leading twist for a spin-1/2 hadron (Nucleon)

Mulders & Tangerman, N.P. **B461** (96)
Boer & Mulders, P.R. D**57** (98)

polarizations

quark



nucleon



Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Quark polarization: Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Quark polarization: Transversely Polarized (T)
U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp = \; - $
L		$g_1 = \; - $	$h_{1L}^\perp = \; - $
T	$f_{1T}^\perp = \; - $	$g_{1T} = \; - $	$h_1 = \; - $ $h_{1T}^\perp = \; - $

Each entry has a nice probabilistic interpretation

N.B. Using appropriate projections $\Phi^{[\Gamma]}$ one can extract also subleading-twist TMD PDFs, but no probabilistic interpretation



TMD PDFs (x, k⊥; Q²) at leading twist for a spin-1/2 hadron (Nucleon)

polarizations

quark

nucleon

Mulders & Tangerman, N.P. **B461** (96)
Boer & Mulders, P.R. D**57** (98)

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ −
	L		$g_1 =$ −	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ −
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ −	$g_{1T} =$ −	$h_1 =$ − $h_{1T}^\perp =$ −

Sivers — worm gear

nomenclature:
- no-name — Boer-Mulders
- helicity — Kotzinian-Mulders
- transversity
- pretzelocity

Each entry has a nice probabilistic interpretation

N.B. Using appropriate projections $\Phi^{[\Gamma]}$ one can extract also subleading-twist TMD PDFs, but no probabilistic interpretation



TMD FFs (z, P⊥; Q²) at leading twist (and $S_h \leq 1/2$)

Mulders & Tangerman, N.P. **B461** (96)
Boer & Mulders, P.R. **D57** (98)

polarizations: quark / hadron

		Quark polarization			nomenclature	
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)		
Nucleon Polarization	U	D_1		$H_1^\perp$	no-name	Collins
	L		G_{1L}	$H_{1L}^\perp$	…	….
	T	$D_{1T}^\perp$	G_{1T}	H_1 $H_{1T}^\perp$	…	….
		polarising FF	…			

Each entry has a nice probabilistic interpretation

N.B. Using appropriate projections $\Phi^{[\Gamma]}$ one can extract also subleading-twist TMD FFs, but no probabilistic interpretation



TMD PDFs (x, $k_\perp$; Q^2) at leading twist for a spin-1/2 hadron (Nucleon)

Mulders & Tangerman, N.P. **B461** (96)
Boer & Mulders, P.R. D**57** (98)

polarizations: quark / nucleon

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Quark polarization: Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Quark polarization: Transversely Polarized (T)	nomenclature
U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ −	no-name; Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1 =$ −	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ −	helicity; Kotzinian-Mulders
T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ −	$g_{1T} =$ −	$h_1 =$ − $h_{1T}^\perp =$ −	transversity; pretzelocity
	Sivers	worm gear		

Each entry has a nice probabilistic interpretation

N.B. Using appropriate projections $\Phi^{[\Gamma]}$ one can extract also subleading-twist TMD PDFs, but no probabilistic interpretation

The unpolarized TMD PDF



$f_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$ probability density of finding a quark *q* with "longitudinal" (along "+" LC direction) fraction *x* of nucleon momentum, and transverse momentum $\mathbf{k}_\perp$

polarizations

quark

nucleon

Nucleon Polarization		Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Quark polarization: Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Quark polarization: Transversely Polarized (T)
	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \to f_1 - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}$$



$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$

The Sivers TMD PDF

polarizations

quark 

nucleon



Nucleon Polarization		Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Quark polarization: Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Quark polarization: Transversely Polarized (T)
	U	$f_1 =$ ⊙		$h_1^\perp =$ ⊙ - ⊙
	L		$g_1 =$ ⊙ - ⊙	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ ⊙ - ⊙
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ ⊙ - ⊙	$g_{1T} =$ ⊙ - ⊙	$h_1 =$ ⊙ - ⊙ $h_{1T}^\perp =$ ⊙ - ⊙

Bacchetta et al., P.L. ***B827*** *(22) 136961, arXiv:2004.14278*





$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \to f_1 - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}$$



$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$

Sivers effect: how the momentum distribution of quarks is distorted by the transverse polarization of parent nucleon ("spin-orbit" correlation)

Sivers $f_{1T}^\perp$ → indirect access to quark orbital angular momentum

Burkardt, P.R. D66 (2002) 114005;
N.P. **A735** (2004) 185
Bacchetta & Radici, P.R.L. **107** (2011) 212001
Ji et al., N.P. **B652** (2003) 383

The Boer-Mulders TMD PDF

polarizations

quark

nucleon

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\, i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5] \to \ \dots + h_1^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{s}_T)\cdot \hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}$$



$s_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$

Boer-Mulders effect: "spin-orbit" correlation at partonic level

Forbidden combinations

polarizations

quark

nucleon

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$	?	$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L	?	$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

Why?

Forbidden combinations

polarizations

quark

nucleon

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$	**?** *	$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L	**?**	$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

Why?

prohibited by parity invariance

$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$

$\mathbf{S}_L \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P} = 0$

not enough vectors for $f_{1L}^\perp$!

* similarly for "swapped" combination

The chiral-odd TMD PDFs



all TMD PDFs belonging to right column involve transverse polarization of quarks, hence they are "chiral-odd" and are suppressed in perturbative QCD as m_q/Q.
Similarly to transversity h_1, they can appear in the cross section at leading twist if paired to another chiral-odd structure. For SIDIS, they must be paired to a chiral-odd TMD FF.

Transversity



- transversity is the prototype of chiral-odd structures
- the only chiral-odd structure that survives in collinear kinematics
- only way to determine the tensor charge $\delta^q(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx\, h_1^{q-\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$

Transversity properties

both defined in
Infinite Mom. Frame



boosted Nucleon

g_1 =



helicity

h_1 =



transversity

Transversity properties

both defined in
Infinite Mom. Frame



boosted Nucleon

g_1 = 

helicity

h_1 = 

transversity

=

Non-relativistic theory:
boosts & rotations commute

Differences
=> info on relativistic motion of quarks

Transversity properties

both defined in
Infinite Mom. Frame



boosted Nucleon



helicity



transversity

=

Non-relativistic theory:
boosts & rotations commute

Differences
=> info on relativistic motion of quarks

In a spin-1/2 hadron,
no transversity of gluons



singlet and
non-singlet
evolution

only
non-singlet
evolution

In a spin-1 hadron, gluon transversity possible
because transverse tensor polariz. => Δλ=2
but $h^g_{1,TT} \equiv h^g_1$ is only a TMD and T-odd



Jaffe & Manohar (1989), Artru & Mekhfi (1990), Bacchetta & Mulders (2000)

Transversity properties

both defined in
Infinite Mom. Frame



boosted Nucleon



helicity



transversity

Non-relativistic theory:
boosts & rotations commute

=

Differences
=> info on relativistic motion of quarks

In a spin-1/2 hadron,
no transversity of gluons



singlet and non-singlet evolution

only non-singlet evolution

In a spin-1 hadron, gluon transversity possible
because transverse tensor polariz. => Δλ=2
but $h^g_{1,TT} \equiv h^g_1$ is only a TMD and T-odd



Jaffe & Manohar (1989), Artru & Mekhfi (1990), Bacchetta & Mulders (2000)

Soffer bound: $|h_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + g_1)$ for any (x,Q²)

Transversity properties

$g_1 =$ 

helicity

$h_1 =$ 

transversity

charges connected to hadronic matrix elements of local operators (calculable on lattice)

$$\langle P, S_L | \bar{q}\, \gamma^\mu \gamma_5\, q | P, S_L \rangle = S_L P^\mu\, g_A^q$$

axial current <=> axial charge

$$= S_L P^\mu \int_0^1 dx\, g_1^{q+\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$$

connected to C-even structure

$$\langle P, S | \bar{q}\, \sigma^{\mu\nu}\, q | P, S \rangle = P^{[\mu} S^{\nu]}\, \delta^q(Q^2)$$

tensor current <=> tensor charge

$$= P^{[\mu} S^{\nu]} \int_0^1 dx\, h_1^{q-\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$$

connected to C-odd structure

Transvervity properties

$g_1 =$ 

helicity

$h_1 =$ 

transversity

charges connected to hadronic matrix elements of local operators (calculable on lattice)

$$\langle P, S_L | \bar{q}\, \gamma^\mu \gamma_5\, q | P, S_L \rangle = S_L P^\mu\, g_A^q$$

axial current <=> axial charge

$$= S_L P^\mu \int_0^1 dx\, g_1^{q+\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$$

connected to C-even structure

$$\langle P, S | \bar{q}\, \sigma^{\mu\nu}\, q | P, S \rangle = P^{[\mu} S^{\nu]}\, \delta^q(Q^2)$$

tensor current <=> tensor charge

$$= P^{[\mu} S^{\nu]} \int_0^1 dx\, h_1^{q-\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$$

connected to C-odd structure

anomalous dim. $\Delta\gamma^{(1)} = 0$

=> $g_A{}^q$ is constant

anomalous dim. $\delta\gamma^{(1)} = -\, C_F/2$ $\quad C_F = \dfrac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c}$

=> δ^q scales with Q^2

Transversity properties

g_1 =  $\neq$ h_1 = 

helicity transversity

charges connected to hadronic matrix elements of local operators (calculable on lattice)

$$\langle P, S_L | \bar{q}\, \gamma^\mu \gamma_5\, q | P, S_L \rangle = S_L P^\mu\, g_A^q$$

axial current <=> axial charge

$$= S_L P^\mu \int_0^1 dx\, g_1^{q+\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$$

connected to C-even structure

$$\langle P, S | \bar{q}\, \sigma^{\mu\nu}\, q | P, S \rangle = P^{[\mu} S^{\nu]}\, \delta^q(Q^2)$$

tensor current <=> tensor charge

$$= P^{[\mu} S^{\nu]} \int_0^1 dx\, h_1^{q-\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$$

connected to C-odd structure

anomalous dim. $\Delta\gamma^{(1)} = 0$

=> g_A^q is constant

anomalous dim. $\delta\gamma^{(1)} = -\,C_F/2$

$$C_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c}$$

=> δ^q scales with Q^2

helicity and transversity are very different !

Potential for BSM discovery ?

Tensor (and chiral-odd) structures do not appear in the Standard Model Lagrangian at tree level.

Is it a possible low-energy footprint of BSM physics at higher scale ?



Potential for BSM discovery ?

Tensor (and chiral-odd) structures do not appear in the Standard Model Lagrangian at tree level.

Is it a possible low-energy footprint of BSM physics at higher scale ?



neutron β-decay

$n \rightarrow p\, e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} \sim G_F V_{ud}\, \bar{e}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e\, \bar{p}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)n$$

$$+\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} \sim G_F V_{ud}\, g_T\, \varepsilon_T\, \bar{e}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\nu_e\, \bar{p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}n$$

precision => BSM scale $\frac{M_W^2}{M_{\rm BSM}^2} \approx g_T\, \varepsilon_T$ ← BSM coupling ?

$g_T = \delta u - \delta d$ isovector tensor charge

Potential for BSM discovery ?

Tensor (and chiral-odd) structures do not appear in the Standard Model Lagrangian at tree level.

Is it a possible low-energy footprint of BSM physics at higher scale ?



---

neutron β-decay

n → p e⁻ $\bar{\nu}_e$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} \sim G_F\, V_{ud}\, \bar{e}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e\, \bar{p}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)n$$

$$+\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} \sim G_F\, V_{ud}\, g_T\, \varepsilon_T\, \bar{e}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\nu_e\, \bar{p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}n$$

precision => BSM scale $\dfrac{M_W^2}{M_{\rm BSM}^2} \approx g_T\, \varepsilon_T$ ← BSM coupling ?

$g_T = \delta u - \delta d$ isovector tensor charge

SMEFT with strong CP violation

permanent Electric Dipole Mom.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SMEFT} \to \sum_{f=u,d,s,c} d_f\, \bar{\psi}_f\, \sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\, \psi_f\, F^{\mu\nu} \quad ?$$

↖ quark EDM

neutron EDM $d_n = \delta u\, d_u + \delta d\, d_d + \delta s\, d_s + \ldots$

exp. data + tensor charge => constrain amount of CP violation

polarizations

quark

nucleon



		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \to f_1 - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot \hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}$$



$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$



$\mathbf{s}_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\, i\sigma_{+i}\gamma_5] \to \ldots + h_1^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{s}_T)\cdot \hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}$$

Sivers and Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs vanish without gauge link *U*

$$\Phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, S) = \int \frac{d\xi\_d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\, \psi(0) | P, S\rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$

$$U_{[a,b]} = \mathscr{P} \exp\left[-ig \int_a^b d\eta_\mu\, A^\mu(\eta) \right]$$

They are generated by interference of different channels.
(for example, $f_{1T}^\perp$ can be reproduced by interference of model LC wave functions with different orbital angular momentum)



Gauge link *U* represents the residual color interactions that generate the necessary phase difference for the interference. As such, time reversal puts no constraints on these structures.

Sivers and Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs are conventionally named "T-odd" TMD PDFs

Boer & Mulders, P.R. D**57** (98)

The gauge link

$$\Phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, S) = \int \frac{d\xi\_d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \langle P, S\,|\,\bar{\psi}(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\, \psi(0)\,|\,P, S\rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$

TMD factorisation for SIDIS process suggests a trick similar to collinear framework case:

$$\langle P, S\,|\,\bar{\psi}(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\, \psi(0)\,|\,P, S\rangle = \langle P, S\,|\,\bar{\psi}(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,\infty\underline{\xi}]}\, U_{[\infty\underline{\xi},\infty_T]}\, U_{[\infty_T,\infty_-]}\, U_{[\infty_-,0]}\, \psi(0)\,|\,P, S\rangle$$

$$= \langle P, S\,|\,\{\bar{\psi}(\xi)\}\,\{\psi(0)\}\,|\,P, S\rangle$$



The gauge link

$$\Phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, S) = \int \frac{d\xi\_ d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{-ik\cdot\xi} \, \langle P, S \,|\, \bar{\psi}(\xi) \, U_{[\xi,0]} \, \psi(0) \,|\, P, S \rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$

TMD factorisation for SIDIS process suggests a trick similar to collinear framework case:

$$\langle P, S \,|\, \bar{\psi}(\xi) \, U_{[\xi,0]} \, \psi(0) \,|\, P, S \rangle = \langle P, S \,|\, \bar{\psi}(\xi) \, U_{[\xi,\infty^\xi_-]} \, U_{[\infty^\xi_-,\infty_T]} \, U_{[\infty_T,\infty_-]} \, U_{[\infty_-,0]} \, \psi(0) \,|\, P, S \rangle$$

$$= \langle P, S \,|\, \{\bar{\psi}(\xi)\} \, \{\psi(0)\} \,|\, P, S \rangle$$



In Drell-Yan process, TMD factorisation gives the following path for gauge link:



The gauge link

$$\Phi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S) = \int \frac{d\xi\_d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^3}\, e^{-ik\cdot\xi}\, \langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\, \psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle_{\xi_+=0}$$

TMD factorisation for SIDIS process suggests a trick similar to collinear framework case:

$$\langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,0]}\,\psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle = \langle P,S\,|\,\bar\psi(\xi)\, U_{[\xi,\infty^\xi_-]}\, U_{[\infty^\xi_-,\infty_T]}\, U_{[\infty_T,\infty_-]}\, U_{[\infty_-,0]}\,\psi(0)\,|\,P,S\rangle$$

$$= \langle P,S\,|\,\{\bar\psi(\xi)\}\,\{\psi(0)\}\,|\,P,S\rangle$$



In Drell-Yan process, TMD factorisation gives the following path for gauge link:



Notations: gauge link $U_{[+]}$ for SIDIS; $U_{[-]}$ for Drell-Yan

Important result: T-even TMD $PDF_{[+]}$ = TMD $PDF_{[-]}$
T-odd TMD $PDF_{[+]}$ = - TMD $PDF_{[-]}$

← breaking universality!
(but in a calculable way)

Process dependence

Sivers $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-]}$

Boer-Mulders $h_1^{\perp\,[+]} = -\,h_1^{\perp\,[-]}$

SIDIS Drell-Yan

Prediction of QCD based on interplay between time-reversal and (color) gauge symmetry

Intense experimental work to test this prediction

Intuition: in SIDIS, gauge link $U_{[+]}$ describes color final-state interactions between struck parton and spectators

in Drell-Yan, gauge link $U_{[-]}$ describes color initial-state interactions between struck parton and spectators



SIDIS



Drell-Yan

Gluon TMDs are phenomenologically unknown.
Why ?

- gluons carry no electric charge → in SIDIS they appear only at higher orders
- gluons carries "two color charges" → in general, difficult to neutralise them all
- in hadronic collisions, gluons appear at tree level, but :
    - factorisation theorem available only for Drell-Yan processes
    - for $H_1+H_2 \to h+X$ no factor. th. but also no counterexample disproving it
- useful processes under study:



Boer et al., P.R.L. **108** (12) 032002
den Dunnen et al., P.R.L. **112** (14) 212001
Mukherjee & Rajesh, arXiv:1609.05596
Boer et al., arXiv:1605.07934
Godbole et al., arXiv:1703.01991
D'Alesio et al., arXiv:1705.04169
Rajesh et al., arXiv:1802.10359
Zheng et al., arXiv:1805.05290
Bacchetta et al., arXiv:1809.02056
D'Alesio et al., arXiv:1908.00446
D'Alesio et al., arXiv:1910.09640
....

gluon TMDs

- First classification given in

  *Mulders & Rodrigues, P.R. D**63** (01) 094021, arXiv:hep-ph/0009343*

- Factorization, evolution & universality studied in

  *Ji et al., JHEP **07** (05) 020, arXiv:hep-ph/0503015*
  *Buffing et al., P.R. D**88** (13) 054027, arXiv:1306.5897*
  *Boer & Van Dunnen, N.P. **B886** (14) 421, arXiv:1404.6753*
  *Echevarria et al., JHEP **07** (15) 158 [E: **05** (17) 073], arXiv:1502.05354*

polarization: gluon / nucleon

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
U	f_1^g	✘	$h_1^{\perp,g}$
L	✘	g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp,g}$
T	$f_{1T}^{\perp,g}$	g_{1T}^g	$h_1^g, h_{1T}^{\perp,g}$

T-odd TMDs

gluon TMDs

- First classification given in

  *Mulders & Rodrigues, P.R. D**63** (01) 094021, arXiv:hep-ph/0009343*

- Factorization, evolution & universality studied in

  *Ji et al., JHEP **07** (05) 020, arXiv:hep-ph/0503015*
  *Buffing et al., P.R. D**88** (13) 054027, arXiv:1306.5897*
  *Boer & Van Dunnen, N.P. **B886** (14) 421, arXiv:1404.6753*
  *Echevarria et al., JHEP **07** (15) 158 [E: **05** (17) 073], arXiv:1502.05354*

gluon polarization / nucleon polarization

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
U	f_1^g	✘	$h_1^{\perp,g}$
L	✘	g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp,g}$
T	$f_{1T}^{\perp,g}$	g_{1T}^g	$h_1^g\,,\,h_{1T}^{\perp,g}$

T-odd TMDs

gluons carry "two color charges" → intricate non-universality



two-jets SIDIS



color structure [+,+]



gluon fusion to Higgs



[-,-]

T-even: $f_1^{[+,+]} = f_1^{[-,-]}$

T-odd: $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+,+]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-,-]}$

gluon TMDs

- First classification given in

  *Mulders & Rodrigues, P.R. D**63** (01) 094021, arXiv:hep-ph/0009343*

- Factorization, evolution & universality studied in

  *Ji et al., JHEP **07** (05) 020, arXiv:hep-ph/0503015*
  *Buffing et al., P.R. D**88** (13) 054027, arXiv:1306.5897*
  *Boer & Van Dunnen, N.P. **B886** (14) 421, arXiv:1404.6753*
  *Echevarria et al., JHEP **07** (15) 158 [E: **05** (17) 073], arXiv:1502.05354*

gluon polarization / nucleon polarization

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Quark polarization: Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Quark polarization: Transversely Polarized (T)
U	f_1^g	✘	$h_1^{\perp,g}$
L	✘	g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp,g}$
T	$f_{1T}^{\perp,g}$	g_{1T}^g	$h_1^g\,,\,h_{1T}^{\perp,g}$

T-odd TMDs

gluons carry "two color charges" → intricate non-universality



two-jets SIDIS



color structure [+,+]



gluon fusion to Higgs



[-,-]

T-even: $f_1^{[+,+]} = f_1^{[-,-]}$

T-odd: $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+,+]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-,-]}$

WW-type TMDs

gluon TMDs

- First classification given in

  *Mulders & Rodrigues, P.R. D**63** (01) 094021, arXiv:hep-ph/0009343*

- Factorization, evolution & universality studied in

  *Ji et al., JHEP **07** (05) 020, arXiv:hep-ph/0503015*
  *Buffing et al., P.R. D**88** (13) 054027, arXiv:1306.5897*
  *Boer & Van Dunnen, N.P. **B886** (14) 421, arXiv:1404.6753*
  *Echevarria et al., JHEP **07** (15) 158 [E: **05** (17) 073], arXiv:1502.05354*

gluon polarization / nucleon polarization

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
U	f_1^g	✘	$h_1^{\perp,g}$
L	✘	g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp,g}$
T	$f_{1T}^{\perp,g}$	g_{1T}^g	h_1^g , $h_{1T}^{\perp,g}$

T-odd TMDs

gluons carry "two color charges" → intricate non-universality



two-jets SIDIS



color structure [+,+]



gluon fusion to Higgs



[-,-]

T-even: $f_1^{[+,+]} = f_1^{[-,-]}$

T-odd: $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+,+]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-,-]}$

WW-type TMDs



p p → γ^*+jet



[+,-]



[-,+]

T-even: $f_1^{[+,-]} = f_1^{[-,+]}$

T-odd: $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+,-]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-,+]}$

gluon TMDs

- First classification given in

  *Mulders & Rodrigues, P.R. D**63** (01) 094021, arXiv:hep-ph/0009343*

- Factorization, evolution & universality studied in

  *Ji et al., JHEP **07** (05) 020, arXiv:hep-ph/0503015*
  *Buffing et al., P.R. D**88** (13) 054027, arXiv:1306.5897*
  *Boer & Van Dunnen, N.P. **B886** (14) 421, arXiv:1404.6753*
  *Echevarria et al., JHEP **07** (15) 158 [E: **05** (17) 073], arXiv:1502.05354*

polarization: gluon / nucleon

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
U	f_1^g	✘	$h_1^{\perp,g}$
L	✘	g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp,g}$
T	$f_{1T}^{\perp,g}$	g_{1T}^g	h_1^g , $h_{1T}^{\perp,g}$

T-odd TMDs

gluons carry "two color charges" → intricate non-universality



two-jets SIDIS



color structure [+,+]



gluon fusion to Higgs



[-,-]

T-even: $f_1^{[+,+]} = f_1^{[-,-]}$

T-odd: $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+,+]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-,-]}$

WW-type TMDs



p p → γ^*+jet



[+,-]



[-,+]

T-even: $f_1^{[+,-]} = f_1^{[-,+]}$

T-odd: $f_{1T}^{\perp\,[+,-]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp\,[-,+]}$

≠

dipole-type TMDs

gluon TMDs

many papers exploring useful channels at colliders to extract WW and dipole gluon TMDs. Handy pocket list: *Boer, talk at IWHSS 2020*

$f_1^{g\,[+,+]}$	$pp \to \gamma\, J/\psi\, X$	LHC
	$pp \to \gamma\, \Upsilon\, X$	LHC
$f_1^{g\,[+,-]}$	$pp \to \gamma\, \text{jet}\, X$	LHC & RHIC
$h_1^{\perp\, g\,[+,+]}$	$e\,p \to e'\, Q\,\overline{Q}\, X$	EIC
	$e\,p \to e'\, \text{jet}\,\text{jet}\, X$	EIC
	$pp \to \eta_{c,b}\, X$	LHC & NICA
	$pp \to H\, X$	LHC
$h_1^{\perp\, g\,[+,-]}$	$pp \to \gamma^*\, \text{jet}\, X$	LHC & RHIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[+,+]}$	$e\,p^\uparrow \to e'\, Q\,\overline{Q}\, X$	EIC
	$e\,p^\uparrow \to e'\, \text{jet}\,\text{jet}\, X$	EIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[-,-]}$	$p^\uparrow p \to \gamma\,\gamma\, X$	RHIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[+,-]}$	$p^\uparrow A \to \gamma^{(*)}\, \text{jet}\, X$	RHIC
	$p^\uparrow\, A \to h\, X \;\; (x_F < 0)$	RHIC & NICA

(see also recent review on quarkonium physics)

Boer et al., arXiv:2409.03691

gluon TMDs

many papers exploring useful channels at colliders to extract WW and dipole gluon TMDs. Handy pocket list:

Boer, talk at IWHSS 2020

$f_1^{g\,[+,+]}$ $f_1^{g\,[+,-]}$	$pp \to \gamma\, J/\psi\, X$ $pp \to \gamma\, \Upsilon\, X$ $pp \to \gamma\, \text{jet}\, X$	LHC LHC LHC & RHIC
$h_1^{\perp\, g\,[+,+]}$ $h_1^{\perp\, g\,[+,-]}$	$e\,p \to e'\, Q\overline{Q}\, X$ $e\,p \to e'\, \text{jet jet}\, X$ $pp \to \eta_{c,b}\, X$ $pp \to H\, X$ $pp \to \gamma^*\, \text{jet}\, X$	EIC EIC LHC & NICA LHC LHC & RHIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[+,+]}$ $f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[-,-]}$ $f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[+,-]}$	$e\,p^\uparrow \to e'\, Q\overline{Q}\, X$ $e\,p^\uparrow \to e'\, \text{jet jet}\, X$ $p^\uparrow p \to \gamma\,\gamma\, X$ $p^\uparrow A \to \gamma^{(*)}\, \text{jet}\, X$ $p^\uparrow\, A \to h\, X\ (x_F < 0)$	EIC EIC RHIC RHIC RHIC & NICA

(see also recent review on quarkonium physics)

Boer et al., arXiv:2409.03691

- TMD factorization $\xrightarrow{\text{small x}}$ UGD k_t factorization

WW $f_1^{g\,[+,+]}$ ⟶ # density of gluons in CGC

dipole $f_1^{g\,[+,-]}$ ⟶ Fourier Transform of color-dipole cross section in CGC

*Dominguez et al., P.R.L. **106** (11) 022301, arXiv:1009.2141*
*Dominguez et al., P.R. D**83** (11) 105005, arXiv:1101.0715*

gluon TMDs

many papers exploring useful channels at colliders to extract WW and dipole gluon TMDs. Handy pocket list:

Boer, talk at IWHSS 2020

$f_1^{g\,[+,+]}$	$pp \to \gamma\, J/\psi\, X$	LHC
	$pp \to \gamma\, \Upsilon\, X$	LHC
$f_1^{g\,[+,-]}$	$pp \to \gamma\, \text{jet}\, X$	LHC & RHIC
$h_1^{\perp\, g\,[+,+]}$	$e\,p \to e'\, Q\overline{Q}\, X$	EIC
	$e\,p \to e'\, \text{jet jet}\, X$	EIC
	$pp \to \eta_{c,b}\, X$	LHC & NICA
	$pp \to H\, X$	LHC
$h_1^{\perp\, g\,[+,-]}$	$pp \to \gamma^*\, \text{jet}\, X$	LHC & RHIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[+,+]}$	$e\,p^\uparrow \to e'\, Q\overline{Q}\, X$	EIC
	$e\,p^\uparrow \to e'\, \text{jet jet}\, X$	EIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[-,-]}$	$p^\uparrow p \to \gamma\, \gamma\, X$	RHIC
$f_{1T}^{\perp\, g\,[+,-]}$	$p^\uparrow A \to \gamma^{(*)}\, \text{jet}\, X$	RHIC
	$p^\uparrow\, A \to h\, X\ (x_F < 0)$	RHIC & NICA

(see also recent review on quarkonium physics)

Boer et al., arXiv:2409.03691

- TMD factorization $\xrightarrow{\text{small } x}$ UGD k_t factorization

WW $f_1^{g\,[+,+]}$ ⟶ # density of gluons in CGC

dipole $f_1^{g\,[+,-]}$ ⟶ Fourier Transform of color-dipole cross section in CGC

*Dominguez et al., P.R.L. **106** (11) 022301, arXiv:1009.2141*
*Dominguez et al., P.R. D**83** (11) 105005, arXiv:1101.0715*

- small-x limit of T-odd gluon TMDs:

WW $\quad f_{1T}^\perp, h_1, h_{1T}^\perp \;\to\; 0$

spin-dependent T-odd part of dipole amplitude describes the colorless C-odd t-channel 3-gluon exchange

dipole $\quad xf_{1T}^\perp = xh_1 = xh_{1T}^\perp \;\to -\dfrac{k_T^2 N_c}{4\pi\alpha_s}\, O_{1T}^\perp(x, k_T^2)$ spin Odderon

*Boer et al., P.R.L. **116** (16) 122001, arXiv:1511.03485*

gluon TMDs : only models

- Available experimental information on gluon TMDs is scarce.
- Very few attempts of phenomenological studies:

*Lansberg et al., P.L. **B784** (18) 217 [E: P.L. **B791** (19) 420], arXiv:1710.01684*
*D'Alesio et al., P.R. D**96** (17) 036011, arXiv:1705.04169*
*D'Alesio et al., P.R. D**99** (19) 036013, arXiv:1811.02970*
*D'Alesio et al., P.R. D**102** (20) 094011, arXiv:2007.03353*

- Many models on the market (list of references too long).

Let me advertise our one, for first time providing systematically all T-even and T-odd gluon TMDs at leading twist:

*Bacchetta et al., E.P.J.C **80** (20) 733, arXiv:2005.02288* T-even

*Bacchetta et al., E.P.J.C **84** (24) 576, arXiv:2402.17556* T-odd

spectator model of gluon TMDs

- Nucleon = gluon + spectator on-shell
  spin-1/2 particle





- T-odd generated by gluon-spectator FSI via 1 gluon-exchange
- Spectator mass takes continuous range of values through a parametric spectral function
- Parameters fixed by reproducing collinear gluon PDFs f_1 and g_1 from NNPDF3.0

*Bacchetta et al., E.P.J.C **80** (20) 733, arXiv:2005.02288*
*Bacchetta et al., E.P.J.C **84** (24) 576, arXiv:2402.17556*

S_L $-S_L$

spectator model of gluon TMDs

- Nucleon = gluon + spectator on-shell spin-1/2 particle





- T-odd generated by gluon-spectator FSI via 1 gluon-exchange
- Spectator mass takes continuous range of values through a parametric spectral function
- Parameters fixed by reproducing collinear gluon PDFs f_1 and g_1 from NNPDF3.0

Bacchetta et al., E.P.J.C ***80*** *(20) 733, arXiv:2005.02288*
Bacchetta et al., E.P.J.C ***84*** *(24) 576, arXiv:2402.17556*

y

xf_1^g



$xf_1^g + (p_y/M)\, xf_{1T}^{\perp\, g}$



“propeller” $h_{1L}^{\perp\, g}$



x





inclusive DIS: QCD corrections generate soft and collinear divergences

sum of real and virtual diagrams cancel soft divergences

collinear divergences reabsorbed in collinear PDFs

factorisation scale μ determines what is perturbative (calculable) from what is non perturbative (inside PDFs) → scale dependence given by DGLAP evolution eq.'s

More on factorisation → evolution



inclusive DIS: QCD corrections generate soft and collinear divergences

sum of real and virtual diagrams cancel soft divergences

collinear divergences reabsorbed in collinear PDFs



factorisation scale μ determines what is perturbative (calculable) from what is non perturbative (inside PDFs)
→ scale dependence given by DGLAP evolution eq.'s



SIDIS: soft divergences do not cancel anymore
new class of light-cone (rapidity) divergences

need to introduce a **soft factor** convoluted with TMD PDFs and FFs





inclusive DIS: QCD corrections generate soft and collinear divergences

sum of real and virtual diagrams cancel soft divergences

collinear divergences reabsorbed in collinear PDFs

factorisation scale μ determines what is perturbative (calculable) from what is non perturbative (inside PDFs) → scale dependence given by DGLAP evolution eq.'s



SIDIS: soft divergences do not cancel anymore
new class of light-cone (rapidity) divergences

need to introduce a **soft factor** convoluted with TMD PDFs and FFs

need to introduce a new **"rapidity scale" ζ** that regulates the rapidity divergences and splits the soft factor content between TMD PDFs and FFs → new scale dependence

DGLAP eq.'s $\frac{d \log \text{TMD}}{d \log \mu} = \gamma_D(\mu, \zeta)$ CSS eq.'s $\frac{d \log \text{TMD}}{d \log \sqrt{\zeta}} = K(\mu)$

TMDs in position space

TMD evolution from initial (μ_0,ζ_0) scales is better studied in position space b_T ($\leftrightarrow P_{hT}$)

In fact, by Fourier transforming the complicate convolution between internal transverse momenta gets broken

$$2MW^{\mu\nu}(q,P,S,P_h) = 2z_h\,\mathscr{C}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi(x_B,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S)\,\gamma^\mu\,\Delta(z_h,\mathbf{P}_\perp)\,\gamma^\nu\big]\Big]$$

$$\mathscr{C}\big[\ldots\big] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT})\big[\ldots\big]$$

$$\int d^2\mathbf{b}_T\,e^{-i\mathbf{b}_T\cdot\mathbf{P}_{hT}}\ \ldots\ \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp\,e^{i\mathbf{b}_T\cdot\mathbf{P}_\perp}\ \ldots\ \int d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,e^{i\mathbf{b}_T\cdot\mathbf{k}_\perp}\ \ldots$$

TMD evolution from initial (μ_0,ζ_0) scales is better studied in position space b_T ($\leftrightarrow P_{hT}$)

In fact, by Fourier transforming the complicate convolution between internal transverse momenta gets broken

$$2MW^{\mu\nu}(q,P,S,P_h) = 2z_h\,\mathcal{C}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}\big[\Phi(x_B,\mathbf{k}_\perp,S)\,\gamma^\mu\,\Delta(z_h,\mathbf{P}_\perp)\,\gamma^\nu\big]\Big]$$

$$\mathcal{C}\big[\ldots\big] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT})\big[\ldots\big]$$

$$\int d^2\mathbf{b}_T\,e^{-i\mathbf{b}_T\cdot\mathbf{P}_{hT}}\ \ldots\ \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp\,e^{i\mathbf{b}_T\cdot\mathbf{P}_\perp}\ \ldots\ \int d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,e^{i\mathbf{b}_T\cdot\mathbf{k}_\perp}\ \ldots$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dz\,dq_T\,dQ} \sim \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{SIDIS}}(Q^2)\,\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty db_T\,b_T\,J_0(b_T,q_T)\ \ \tilde{f}_1^q(x,b_T^2;Q^2)\ \ \tilde{D}_1^{q\to h}(z,b_T^2;Q^2)$$

hard part — TMDPDF — TMDFF

$$q_T^2 = \frac{P_{hT}^2}{z^2} \ll Q^2$$



More on factorisation → evolution

For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \quad \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu, \zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_0, \zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$$



More on factorisation → evolution

For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \quad \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu, \zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_0, \zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓

$$\exp\Big[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu, \zeta) + K(\mu_0) \log \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\Big]$$



More on factorisation → evolution

For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu,\zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_0, \zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓

$$\exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu,\zeta) + K(\mu_0) \log \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\right]$$

OPE on PDFs ↘

$$= \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i}(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0) \otimes f_1^i(x, \mu_0)\right]$$

small b_T (large k_T) from perturbative splitting





More on factorisation → evolution

For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \quad \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu, \zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_0, \zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓ (applies to Evo)

OPE on PDFs ↘ (applies to $f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$)

$$\exp\Big[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu, \zeta) + K(\mu_0) \log \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\Big] \qquad = \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i}(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0) \otimes f_1^i(x, \mu_0)\right]$$

For large b_T perturbation theory breaks down; need to find a suitable function that smoothly connects the two regions



For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \quad \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu,\zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_0,\zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓

$$\exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu,\zeta) + K(\mu_0) \log\frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\right]$$

OPE on PDFs ↘

$$= \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i}(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0) \otimes f_1^i(x, \mu_0)\right]$$

For large b_T perturbation theory breaks down; need to find a suitable function that smoothly connects the two regions

$\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ factorization scheme suggests the following scale:

$$\mu_0 = \sqrt{\zeta_0} = \mu_b = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b^*(b_T)}$$

$$b_{min} = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{Q} \leq b^*(b_T) \leq \quad b_{max} = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$$



For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \quad \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu,\zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_0,\zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓   ↘ OPE on PDFs

$$\exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu,\zeta) + K(\mu_0) \log \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\right] \qquad = \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i}(x, b_T^2; \mu_0, \zeta_0) \otimes f_1^i(x, \mu_0)\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) = \frac{f_1^q(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta)}{f_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); \mu, \zeta)} \, f_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); \mu, \zeta)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{NP}(x, b_T^2; Q_0^2)$$

Q_0 = scale at which the nonperturbative term is parametrised



For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); \mu, \zeta) = \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu,\zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_b, \zeta_0)\Big] \quad f_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); \mu_b, \zeta_0) \quad \times \quad F_{NP}(b_T; Q_0^2)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓

$$\exp\Big[\int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu,\zeta) + K(\mu_b) \log \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\Big]$$

OPE on PDFs ↘

$$= \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i}(x, b^*(b_T); \mu_b, \zeta_0) \otimes f_1^i(x, \mu_b) \right]$$

$$\mu_b = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b_*(b_T)}$$

$$K \to K + g_{NP}(b_T)$$

conventional choice: $\mu = \sqrt{\zeta} = Q \qquad \mu_0 = \sqrt{\zeta_0} = \mu_b = \dfrac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b^*(b_T)}$



More on factorisation → evolution

For $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ perturbation theory is valid

$$f_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); \mu, \zeta) = \text{Evo}\Big[(\mu,\zeta) \leftarrow (\mu_b, \zeta_0)\Big] \qquad f_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); \mu_b, \zeta_0) \qquad \times \quad F_{NP}(b_T; Q_0^2)$$

DGLAP+CSS eqs. ↓

$$\exp\Big[\int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(\mu,\zeta) + K(\mu_b) \log \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_0}}\Big]$$

↓

$$K \to K + g_{NP}(b_T)$$

OPE on PDFs ↓

$$= \sum_i \big[C_{q\to i}(x, b^*(b_T); \mu_b, \zeta_0) \otimes f_1^i(x, \mu_b)\big]$$

$$\mu_b = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b^*(b_T)}$$

Final formula

$$f_1^q(x, b^*; Q^2) = \exp\Big[\int_{\mu_b}^{Q} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(Q) + K(\mu_b) \log\left(\frac{Q}{\mu_b}\right) + g_{NP}(b_T) \log\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)\Big] \sum_i \big[C_{q\to i} \otimes f_1^i\big](x, b^*, \mu_b)\, F_{NP}(b_T, Q_0^2)$$

Collins, Soper, Sterman, N.P. ***B250*** *(85)*
Collins, "Foundations of Perturbative QCD" (2011)
*Rogers and Aybat, P.R. D**83** (11)*

More on factorisation → evolution

others schemes possible:

Laenen, Sterman Vogelsang, P.R.L. ***84*** *(00)*
Bozzi et al., N.P. ***B737*** *(06)*
Echevarria et al., E.P.J. ***C73*** *(13) …*

CSS evolution formula for TMD

$$f_1^q(x, b^*; Q^2) = \exp\Big[\int_{\mu_b}^{Q} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(Q) + K(\mu_b) \log\left(\frac{Q}{\mu_b}\right) + g_{NP}(b_T) \log\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right) \Big] \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i} \otimes f_1^i \right](x, b^*, \mu_b) \, F_{NP}(b_T, Q_0^2)$$

$$\mu_b = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b^*(b_T)}$$

others schemes possible:

Laenen, Sterman Vogelsang, P.R.L. **84** (00)
Bozzi et al., N.P. **B737** (06)
Echevarria et al., E.P.J. **C73** (13) ...

CSS evolution formula for TMD

$$f_1^q(x, b^*; Q^2) = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_b}^{Q} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_D(Q) + K(\mu_b) \log\left(\frac{Q}{\mu_b}\right) + g_{NP}(b_T) \log\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)\right] \sum_i \left[C_{q\to i} \otimes f_1^i\right](x, b^*, \mu_b) F_{NP}(b_T, Q_0^2)$$

$$\mu_b = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b^*(b_T)}$$

arbitrariness of nonperturbative components

- choice of $b_*(b_T)$ functional form
- choice of $g_{NP}(b_T)$ functional form
- choice of $F_{NP}(b_T,Q_0)$ functional form

each one affects evolution: how $k_\perp$-distribution changes with scale
→ source of theoretical bias/uncertainty
need to be constrained by experimental data with large lever arm in Q^2
EIC is the suitable machine for that

Quality parameters of TMD extraction

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdzdq_TdQ} \sim \mathcal{H}^{\text{SIDIS}}(Q^2)\, \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty db_T\, b_T\, J_0(b_T, q_T)\;\; \tilde{f}_1^q(x, b^*(b_T); Q^2)\;\; \tilde{D}_1^{q\to h}(z, b^*(b_T); Q^2)$$

$$f_1^q(x, b^*; Q^2) = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_b}^{Q} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'}\gamma_D(Q) + K(\mu_b)\,\log\left(\frac{Q}{\mu_b}\right) + g_{NP}(b_T)\,\log\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)\right]\sum_i \left[C_{q\to i}\otimes f_1^i\right](x, b^*, \mu_b)\; F_{NP}(b_T, Q_0^2)$$

$$\gamma_D = \gamma_F - \gamma_K\,\log(\sqrt{\zeta}/\mu) \qquad \frac{dK}{d\log\mu} = -\gamma_K$$

cusp anomalous dimension

Quality parameters of TMD extraction

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdzdq_TdQ} \sim \mathcal{H}^{\rm SIDIS}(Q^2)\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty db_T\, b_T\ J_0(b_T,q_T)\ \tilde{f}_1^q(x,b^*(b_T);Q^2)\ \ \tilde{D}_1^{q\to h}(z,b^*(b_T);Q^2)$$

$$f_1^q(x,b^*;Q^2) = \exp\Big[\int_{\mu_b}^{Q}\frac{d\mu'}{\mu'}\gamma_D(Q)+K(\mu_b)\,\log\left(\frac{Q}{\mu_b}\right)+g_{NP}(b_T)\,\log\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)\Big]\ \sum_i\ [C_{q\to i}\otimes f_1^i](x,b^*,\mu_b)\ F_{NP}(b_T,Q_0^2)$$

$$\gamma_D = \gamma_F - \gamma_K\,\log(\sqrt{\zeta}/\mu) \qquad \frac{dK}{d\log\mu} = -\gamma_K$$

cusp anomalous dimension

perturbative accuracy (α_S^n)	$\mathcal{H}$ and C	K and γ_F	γ_K	PDF and α_S evol.	FF
LL	0	-	1	-	-
NLL	0	1	2	LO	LO
NLL'	1	1	2	NLO	NLO
NNLL	1	2	3	NLO	NLO
NNLL'	2	2	3	NNLO	NNLO
$N^3LL(-)$	2	3	4	NNLO	NLO
N^3LL	2	3	4	NNLO	NNLO

nonperturbative accuracy: quality of the fit from χ^2 value e number of data points

Outline

- Where to find TMDs


pion, Kaon
Δ
q
Φ
proton, deuteron,…

link TMD ↔ structure functions

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -



		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
	U	D_1		$H_1^{\perp}$

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^{\perp} =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^{\perp} =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp} =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^{\perp} =$ -



each structure function ~

$$F \sim d\hat{\sigma}(Q^2)\,\mathscr{C}\left[\mathrm{TMDPDF}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\,,\mathrm{TMDFF}(z,\mathbf{P}_\perp^2)\right]$$

$$\mathscr{C}[\ldots] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\, \delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT})\left[\ldots\right]$$

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
ion	U	D_1		$H_1^\perp$ = -

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	f_1 =		$h_1^\perp$ = -
	L		g_1 = -	$h_{1L}^\perp$ = -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ = -	g_{1T} = -	h_1 = - $h_{1T}^\perp$ = -

target polariz.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\, dy\, dz\, d\phi_h\, dP_{hT}^2} \sim$$

$$A(y)\, F_U \quad + \quad B(y)\, \cos 2\phi_h\, F_U^{\cos 2\phi_h}$$

$$+\, C(y)\, F_{LL} \quad + \quad B(y)\, \sin 2\phi_h\, F_L^{\sin 2\phi_h}$$

$$+\; A(y)\, \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_T^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$$

$$+\; B(y)\, \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)\, F_T^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)}$$

$$+\; B(y)\, \sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_T^{\sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)}$$

$$+\; C(y)\, \cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$$

each structure function ~

$$F \sim d\hat{\sigma}(Q^2)\, \mathscr{C}\left[\mathrm{TMDPDF}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\, , \mathrm{TMDFF}(z, \mathbf{P}_\perp^2)\right]$$

$$\mathscr{C}[\ldots] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\, \delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT}) \left[\ldots\right]$$

link TMD ↔ structure functions

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
...ion	U	D_1		$H_1^\perp$ -

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

target polariz.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\, dy\, dz\, d\phi_h\, dP_{hT}^2} \sim$$

$$A(y)\, F_U \quad + \quad B(y)\, \cos 2\phi_h\, F_U^{\cos 2\phi_h}$$

$$+\, C(y)\, F_{LL} \quad + \quad B(y)\, \sin 2\phi_h\, F_L^{\sin 2\phi_h}$$

$$+ \; A(y)\, \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_T^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$$

$$+ \; B(y)\, \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)\, F_T^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)}$$

$$+ \; B(y)\, \sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_T^{\sin(3\phi_h - \phi_S)}$$

$$+ \; C(y)\, \cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)\, F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$$

each structure function ~

$$F \sim d\hat{\sigma}(Q^2)\, \mathscr{C}\left[\mathrm{TMDPDF}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\, , \mathrm{TMDFF}(z, \mathbf{P}_\perp^2)\right]$$

$$\mathscr{C}[\ldots] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\, \delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT}) \left[\ldots\right]$$

link TMD ↔ structure functions



target polariz.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dy\,dz\,d\phi_h\,dP_{hT}^2} \sim$$

$$A(y)\,F_U \quad + \quad B(y)\,\cos 2\phi_h\,F_U^{\cos 2\phi_h}$$

$$+\,C(y)\,F_{LL} \quad + \quad B(y)\,\sin 2\phi_h\,F_L^{\sin 2\phi_h}$$



$$+\;A(y)\,\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)\,F_T^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$$
$$+\;B(y)\,\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)\,F_T^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)}$$
$$+\;B(y)\,\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)\,F_T^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)}$$
$$+\;C(y)\,\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)\,F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$$

each structure function ~

$$F \sim d\hat{\sigma}(Q^2)\,\mathscr{C}\left[\mathrm{TMDPDF}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\,,\,\mathrm{TMDFF}(z,\mathbf{P}_\perp^2)\right]$$

$$\mathscr{C}[\ldots] = \int d\mathbf{P}_\perp d\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\delta^{(2)}(z\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_{hT})\,[\ldots]$$



$$\mathbf{q}_T = \mathbf{p}_{Te} + \mathbf{p}_{Tj} \ll \mathbf{p}_T = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{Te} - \mathbf{p}_{Tj}}{2}$$

"familiar" expression

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy_j d\mathbf{p}_T d\mathbf{q}_T} = \overset{f_1}{F_{UU}} + \lambda_e S_L \overset{g_{1L}}{F_{LL}}$$

$$+ S_T \sin(\phi_j - \phi_S) \overset{f_{1T}^{\perp}}{F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_j - \phi_S)}} + \lambda_e S_T \cos(\phi_j - \phi_S) \overset{g_{1T}}{F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_j - \phi_S)}}$$

$$F_{UU} \sim H(Q)\, J(p_T R, Q)\, \{f_1(x, q_T, Q)\}$$

hard jet "dressed" TMD

similarly for other *F*..

Kang et al., arXiv:2106.15624



$$\mathbf{q}_T = \mathbf{p}_{Te} + \mathbf{p}_{Tj} \ll \mathbf{p}_T = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{Te} - \mathbf{p}_{Tj}}{2}$$

$$F_{UU} \sim H(Q)\,\mathrm{TMDJFF}(z_h, p_T R, Q)\,\{f_1(x, q_T, Q)\}$$

hard jet "dressed" TMD

similarly for other *F*..

"familiar" expression

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy_j d\mathbf{p}_T d\mathbf{q}_T} = \underbrace{F_{UU}}_{f_1\,\mathcal{D}_1} + \cos(\phi_j - \phi_h)\,\underbrace{F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_j-\phi_h)}}_{h_1^\perp\,\mathcal{H}_1^\perp} + \lambda_e S_L\,\underbrace{F_{LL}}_{g_{1L}\,\mathcal{D}_1}$$

$$+ S_L \sin(\phi_j - \phi_h)\,\underbrace{F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi_j-\phi_h)}}_{h_{1L}^\perp\,\mathcal{H}_1^\perp}$$

$$+ S_T \sin(\phi_j - \phi_S)\,\underbrace{F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_j-\phi_S)}}_{f_{1T}^\perp\,\mathcal{D}_1} + \lambda_e S_T \cos(\phi_j - \phi_S)\,\underbrace{F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_j-\phi_S)}}_{g_{1T}\,\mathcal{D}_1}$$

$$+ S_T \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)\,\underbrace{F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}}_{h_1\,\mathcal{H}_1^\perp} + S_T \sin(2\phi_j - \phi_h - \phi_S)\,\underbrace{F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_j-\phi_h-\phi_S)}}_{h_{1T}^\perp\,\mathcal{H}_1^\perp}$$

Kang et al., arXiv:2106.15624

TMDs with jets: hybrid factorisation



hybrid scheme:

- TMD framework for TMD **fragmentation**
- collinear framework for PDF

Factorization theorem for $j_T \ll Q$

universality for TMD **fragmentation**

*Kang, Liu, Ringer, Xing, JHEP **1711** (17), arXiv:1705.08443*
*Kang, Prokudin, Ringer, Yuan, P.L. **B774** (17), arXiv:1707.00913*

Outline

- Pause

Outline

- Phenomenology of TMDs



$f_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$ probability density of finding a quark q with "longitudinal" (along "+" LC direction) fraction x of nucleon momentum, and transverse momentum $\mathbf{k}_\perp$

Most recent extractions of unpolarized TMD f_1

	Accuracy	SIDIS: HERMES	SIDIS: COMPASS	DY	W / Z production	N of points	χ^2/N_{points}
PV 2017 arXiv:1703.10157	NLL	✔	✔	✔	✔	8059	1.5
SV 2017 arXiv:1706.01473	NNLL'	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	1.23
BSV 2019 arXiv:1902.08474	NNLL'	✘	✘	✔	✔	457	1.17
SV 2019 arXiv:1912.06532	$N^3LL(-)$	✔	✔	✔	✔	1039	1.06
PV 2019 arXiv:1912.07550	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	353	1.07
SV19 + flavor dep. arXiv:2201.07114	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	<1.08>
MAPTMD 2022 arXiv:2206.07598	$N^3LL(-)$	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.06
ART23 arXiv:2305.07473	N^4LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	627	0.96
MAPTMD 2024 arXiv:2405.13833	N^3LL	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.08
MAPNN 2025 arXiv:2502.04166	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	482	0.97

first use of Neural Networks

Most recent extractions of unpolarized TMD f_1

	Accuracy	SIDIS HERMES	SIDIS COMPASS	DY	W / Z production	N of points	χ^2/N_{points}
PV 2017 arXiv:1703.10157	NLL	✔	✔	✔	✔	8059	1.5
SV 2017 arXiv:1706.01473	NNLL′	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	1.23
BSV 2019 arXiv:1902.08474	NNLL’	✘	✘	✔	✔	457	1.17
SV 2019 arXiv:1912.06532	N^3LL(-)	✔	✔	✔	✔	1039	1.06
PV 2019 arXiv:1912.07550	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	353	1.07
SV19 + flavor dep. arXiv:2201.07114	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	<1.08>
MAPTMD 2022 arXiv:2206.07598	N^3LL(-)	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.06
ART23 arXiv:2305.07473	N^4LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	627	0.96
MAPTMD 2024 arXiv:2405.13833	N^3LL	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.08
MAPNN 2025 arXiv:2502.04166	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	482	0.97

increasing accuracy & precision

Most recent extractions of unpolarized TMD f_1

		SIDIS					
	Accuracy	HERMES	COMPASS	DY	W / Z production	N of points	χ^2/N_{points}
PV 2017 arXiv:1703.10157	NLL	✔	✔	✔	✔	8059	1.5
SV 2017 arXiv:1706.01473	NNLL′	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	1.23
BSV 2019 arXiv:1902.08474	NNLL’	✘	✘	✔	✔	457	1.17
SV 2019 arXiv:1912.06532	$N^3LL(-)$	✔	✔	✔	✔	1039	1.06
PV 2019 arXiv:1912.07550	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	353	1.07
SV19 + flavor dep. arXiv:2201.07114	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	<1.08>
MAPTMD 2022 arXiv:2206.07598	$N^3LL(-)$	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.06
ART23 arXiv:2305.07473	N^4LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	627	0.96
MAPTMD 2024 arXiv:2405.13833	N^3LL	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.08
MAPNN 2025 arXiv:2502.04166	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	482	0.97

only four global fits

increasing accuracy & precision

Most recent extractions of unpolarized TMD f_1

SIDIS

	Accuracy	HERMES	COMPASS	DY	W / Z production	N of points	χ^2/N_{points}
PV 2017 arXiv:1703.10157	NLL	✔	✔	✔	✔	8059	1.5
SV 2017 arXiv:1706.01473	NNLL′	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	1.23
BSV 2019 arXiv:1902.08474	NNLL’	✘	✘	✔	✔	457	1.17
SV 2019 arXiv:1912.06532	$N^3LL(-)$	✔	✔	✔	✔	1039	1.06
PV 2019 arXiv:1912.07550	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	353	1.07
SV19 + flavor dep. arXiv:2201.07114	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	309	<1.08>
MAPTMD 2022 arXiv:2206.07598	$N^3LL(-)$	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.06
ART23 arXiv:2305.07473	N^4LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	627	0.96
MAPTMD 2024 arXiv:2405.13833	N^3LL	✔	✔	✔	✔	2031	1.08
MAPNN 2025 arXiv:2502.04166	N^3LL	✘	✘	✔	✔	482	0.97

MAPTMD24 : introduce **flavor sensitivity of k_T-dependence**

The MAPTMD24 data sets

N_{data} after cuts

Drell Yan { 233 fixed target / 251 collider

1547 SIDIS

2031 data points



kinematic cuts

$\langle Q \rangle > 1.4$ GeV

$0.2 < z < 0.7$

Drell-Yan $\quad q_T < 0.2\,Q$

SIDIS $\quad P_{hT} < \min\left[\min[0.2\,Q,\, 0.5\,Qz] + 0.3\,\text{GeV},\, zQ\right]$

“Normalized” MAPTMD24 TMD PDF

$$\frac{f_1(x, k_T; Q)}{f_1(x, 0; Q)}$$



th. error band = 68% of all replicas

- very different k_T behavior
- it changes with x
- potential impact on the extraction of W mass parameter from collider data

Bacchetta et al., P.L. **B788** (19) 542, arXiv:1807.02101
Bozzi & Signori, Adv.HighEn.Phys. **2019** (19) 2526897, arXiv:1901.01162

Data-driven nonperturbative TMD



at given (x,Q²),
different slopes for different z

at given z,
different slopes for different x

if we model nonperturbative TMDs with Gaussians, we need
x- and z-dependent widths!

MAPTMD22: validity of TMD region?

cut of baseline fit



validity of TMD factorization seems to extend well beyond $P_{hT}/z << Q$!

Collins-Soper evolution kernel

universal flavor-independent $K(b_T, \mu_{b_*}) = K(b_*, \mu_{b_*}) + g_K(b_T)$

drives evolution in rapidity ζ

perturbative (computed) | non-perturbative (fitted)

Bermudez Martinez, Vladimirov, arXiv:2206.01105



TMD phenomenology

Lattice QCD

Avkhadiev, Shanahan, Wagman, Zhao, arXiv:2307.12359



Bacchetta, ePIC 2025 general meeting

The EIC impact at x=0.01





$$\frac{\mathrm{TMD}^q - \langle \mathrm{TMD}^q \rangle}{\langle \mathrm{TMD}^q \rangle} \quad x=0.01$$

MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
5x41	1273	2.85
10x100	1611	51.3
18x275	1648	10







L. Rossi, Ph.D. Thesis

The EIC impact with 10x100 at x=0.01

$$\frac{\mathrm{TMD}^q - \langle \mathrm{TMD}^q \rangle}{\langle \mathrm{TMD}^q \rangle}$$ **x=0.01**

MAPTMD24 2031

EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
10x100	1611	51.3

(simulation campaign of May 2024)











courtesy L. Rossi

The EIC impact with 10x100 at x=0.01





$$\frac{TMD^q - \langle TMD^q \rangle}{\langle TMD^q \rangle}$$ **x=0.01**

MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
10x100	1611	5

(early Science conditions)







courtesy L. Rossi

Early Science Conditions

Proposal for EIC Science Program in the First Years

Year - 1

Start with Phase 1 EIC
New Capability:
Commission electron polarization in parallel
Run:
10 GeV electrons on 115 GeV/u heavy ion beams (Ru or Cu)
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 2

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
New Capability:
Commission proton polarization in parallel
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 130 GeV/u Deuterium
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
Last weeks 10 GeV electrons and 130 GeV polarized protons
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 3

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
+ proton polarization
New Capability:
Commission running with hadron spin rotators
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 130 GeV transverse polarized protons
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
Last weeks switch to longitudinal proton polarization
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 4

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
+ proton polarization
+ operation of hadron spin rotators
New Capability:
Commission hadron accelerator to operate with not centered orbits
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 100 GeV Au
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
10 GeV electrons on 250 GeV transverse and longitudinal polarized protons
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 5

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
+ proton polarization
+ operation of hadron spin rotators
+ operation of hadron beams with not centered orbits
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 100 GeV Au
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
10 GeV electrons on 166 GeV transverse and longitudinal polarized He-3
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Time to install additional ESR RF and HSR PS to reach design Current and max. Energies

Early Science Conditions

Proposal for EIC Science Program in the First Years

Year - 1

Start with Phase 1 EIC
New Capability:
Commission electron polarization in parallel
Run:
10 GeV electrons on 115 GeV/u heavy ion beams (Ru or Cu)
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 2

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
New Capability:
Commission proton polarization in parallel
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 130 GeV/u Deuterium
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
Last weeks 10 GeV electrons and 130 GeV polarized protons
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 3

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
+ proton polarization
New Capability:
Commission running with hadron spin rotators
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 130 GeV transverse polarized protons
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
Last weeks switch to longitudinal proton polarization
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 4

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
+ proton polarization
+ operation of hadron spin rotators
New Capability:
Commission hadron accelerator to operate with not centered orbits
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 100 GeV Au
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
10 GeV electrons on 250 GeV transverse and longitudinal polarized protons
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Year - 5

Phase 1 EIC
+ electron polarization
+ proton polarization
+ operation of hadron spin rotators
+ operation of hadron beams with not centered orbits
Run:
10 GeV polarized electrons on 100 GeV Au
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Run:
10 GeV electrons on 166 GeV transverse and longitudinal polarized He-3
Physics:
Add your preferred science topic

Time to install additional ESR RF and HSR PS to reach design Current and max. Energies

Early Science Conditions

ep Luminosity for Phase-1

High Divergence	Lumi per Fill (5 h)	Lumi per Year	Low Divergence	Lumi per Fill (5 h)	Lumi per Year
5 GeV e x 250 GeV p	9.26 pb^{-1}	6.48 fb^{-1}	5 GeV e x 250 GeV p	6.81 pb^{-1}	4.78 fb^{-1}
10 GeV e x 250 GeV p	13.12 pb^{-1}	9.18 fb^{-1}	10 GeV e x 250 GeV p	8.8 pb^{-1}	6.19 fb^{-1}
5 GeV e x 130 GeV p	6.3 pb^{-1}	4.36 fb-1	5 GeV e x 130 GeV p	5.8 pb^{-1}	4.1 fb^{-1}
10 GeV e x 130 GeV p	7.6 pb^{-1}	5.33 fb^{-1}	10 GeV e x 130 GeV p	7.1 pb^{-1}	4.95 fb^{-1}

Compare to HERA integrated luminosity 1992 – 2007: 0.6 fb^{-1}

Remember:
high divergence: higher lumi, but reduced acceptance for low forward particle p_T^{min}
low divergence: lower lumi, but increased acceptance for low forward particle p_T^{min}
→ important for exclusive processes



EIC impact in Early Science Conditions



For each (x,Q^2) bin:

 from MAPTMD24, max. uncertainty of $f_1^q(x,k_T;Q)$ over all k_T and all flavors q

 including EIC pseudodata, color code indicates the flavor with max. reduction in uncertainty over all k_T

EIC impact in Early Science Conditions



50% reduction on down at large x

For each (x,Q^2) bin:

- from MAPTMD24, max. uncertainty of $f_1^q(x,k_T;Q)$ over all k_T and all flavors q
- including EIC pseudodata, color code indicates the flavor with max. reduction in uncertainty over all k_T

The EIC impact with 10x130 at x=0.16

MAPTMD24 2031

EIC	# pts.	lumi [fb^{-1}]
10x130	~1620	5

(early Science conditions)

$$\frac{\text{TMD}^q - \langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}{\langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}$$

x=0.16, Q=1.77 GeV



courtesy L. Rossi

polarizations

quark

nucleon

		Quark polarization		
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ -
	L		$g_1 =$ -	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ -
	T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ -	$g_{1T} =$ -	$h_1 =$ - $h_{1T}^\perp =$ -

Bacchetta et al.,
P.L. ***B827*** *(22) 136961,*
arXiv:2004.14278





$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi\,\gamma_+] \rightarrow f_1 - f_{1T}^\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{S}_T)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{M}$$



$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{P}$

Sivers effect: how the momentum distribution of quarks is distorted by the transverse polarization of parent nucleon ("spin-orbit" correlation)

Sivers $f_{1T}^\perp$ → indirect access to quark orbital angular momentum

Burkardt, P.R. D66 (2002) 114005;
N.P. **A735** (2004) 185
Bacchetta & Radici, P.R.L. **107** (2011) 212001
Ji et al., N.P. **B652** (2003) 383

Most recent Sivers extractions

	Framework	SIDIS	DY	W/Z production	forward EM jet	e+e-	N. of points	χ^2/N	
JAM 2020 arXiv:2002.08384	generalized parton model	✔	✔	✔	✘	✔	517	1.04	
PV 2020 arXiv:2004.14278	LO+NLL	✔	✔	✔	✘	✘	125	1.08	
EKT 2020 arXiv:2009.10710	NLO+N^{2}LL	✔	✔	✔	✘	✘	226/452	0.99 /1.45	SIDIS / +STAR
BPV 2020 arXiv:2012.05135 arXiv:2103.03270	ζ prescription	✔	✔	✔	✘	✘	76	0.88	
TO-CA 2021 arXiv:2101.03955	generalized parton model	✔	✘	✘	✔	✘	238	$1.05^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	SIDIS + reweighting
JAM 2022 arXiv:2205.00999	generalized parton model	✔	✔	✔	✘	✘	255	1.10	+ A_N^{π} data
Fernando-Keller arXiv:2304.14328	generalized parton model	✔	✔	✘	✘	✘	732	1.66	first using Neural Networks

lower accuracy and less data w.r.t. unpolarized TMD

Most recent Sivers extractions

first k_T-moment $f_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(x)$



all parametrizations are in fair agreement for x-dependence of valence flavors

k_T-dependence is still much unconstrained



*Bacchetta et al., P.L. **B827** (22) 136961 arXiv:2004.14278*

sea-quarks ~ $O(10^{-3})$ smaller, large errors

=> impact of EIC



Sivers extraction using Neural Networks

first k$_T$-moment $f_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(x)$



*Fernando & Keller, P.R.D**108** (23) 054007 arXiv:2304.14328*

but limited analysis: - parton model => no TMD evolution
- no consistent knowledge of unpolarized TMD in denominator of spin asymmetry

Sign change puzzle



π-p↑ Drell-Yan

spin asymmetry

$A_T \sim f_{1,\pi} \otimes f_{1T,p}^{\perp}$

Aghasyan et al., P.R.L. ***119*** *(17) 112002*



sign change

no sign change





p-p↑ → W+X

p-p↑ → Z⁰+X

$A_N \sim f_{1,p} \otimes f_{1T,p}^{\perp}$

Adamczyk et al., P.R.L. ***116*** *(16) 132301*



still not enough to confirm sign change ?



- transversity is the prototype of chiral-odd structures
- the only chiral-odd structure that survives in collinear kinematics
- only way to determine the tensor charge $\delta^q(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx\, h_1^{q-\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)$

Analyzers of transversity at leading twist



Collins effect

$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{P}_{hT}$

Collins, N.P. **B396** (93) 161

$\propto h_1(x, k_\perp) \otimes H_1^\perp(z, P_\perp)$

TMD framework

SIDIS



di-hadron mechanism

$\mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{P}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{S}_T \cdot \mathbf{P}_h \times \mathbf{R}_T$

Collins et al., N.P. **B420** (94)

$\propto h_1(x)\, H_1^{\sphericalangle}(z, R_T^2 \propto M_{h_1 h_2}^2)$

collinear framework

SIDIS

$p\, p^\uparrow$



hadron-in-jet Collins effect

$j_T^2 \ll Q^2 = (P_T^{jet})^2$

Yuan, P.R.L. **100** (08)

$\propto h_1(x)\, [C(z, \mu) \otimes H_1^\perp(z_h, j_T, P_T^{\rm jet} r)]$

hybrid framework   SIDIS $p\, p^\uparrow$



Λ spin transfer

Jaffe, P.R. D**54** (96)

$\propto h_1(x)\, H_1(z)$

collinear framework

SIDIS

$p\, p^\uparrow$

Analyzers of transversity at leading twist



Collins effect

$S_T \cdot k \times P_{hT}$

Collins, N.P. **B396** (93) 161

$\propto h_1(x, k_\perp) \otimes H_1^\perp(z, P_\perp)$

TMD framework

SIDIS



di-hadron mechanism

$S_T \cdot P_2 \times P_1 = S_T \cdot P_h \times R_T$

Collins et al., N.P. **B420** (94)

$\propto h_1(x)\, H_1^{\sphericalangle}(z, R_T^2 \propto M_{h_1 h_2}^2)$

collinear framework

SIDIS

p p↑

mechanisms used so far
to extract transversity from data

$H_1^\perp(z_h, j_T, P_T^{\text{jet}} r)]$

SIDIS p p↑



Λ spin transfer

Jaffe, P.R. **D54** (96)

$\propto h_1(x)\, H_1(z)$

collinear framework

SIDIS

p p↑

single-polarised Drell-Yan

Boer, P.R. **D60** (99)

$\propto h_1^\perp(x_1, k_{\perp 1}) \otimes h_1(x_2, k_{\perp 2})$

TMD framework

π p↑

Most recent extractions

Collins effect	Framework	e+e-	SIDIS	Drell-Yan A_N	Lattice
Anselmino 2015 P.R. **D92** (15) 114023	parton model	✔	✔	✘	✘
Kang et al. 2016 P.R. **D93** (16) 014009	TMD / CSS	✔	✔	✘	✘
Lin et al. 2018 P.R.L. **120** (18) 152502	parton model	✘	✔	✘	✔ g_T
D'Alesio et al. 2020 (CA) P.L. **B803** (20) 135347	parton model	✔	✔	✘	✘
JAM3D-20 P.R. **D102** (20) 054002	parton model	✔	✔	✔	✘
JAM3D-22 P.R. **D106** (22) 034014	parton model	✔	✔	✔	✔ g_T
Boglione et al. 2024 (TO) P.L. **B854** (24) 138712	parton model	✔	✔	✔ reweighting	✘

Dihadron mechanism	e+e- unpol. $d\sigma^0$	e+e- asymmetry	SIDIS	p-p collisions	Lattice
Radici & Bacchetta 2018 P.R.L. **120** (18) 192001	PYTHIA (separately)	✔ (separately)	✔	✔	✘
Benel et al. 2020 E.P.J. **C80** (20) 5	PYTHIA (separately)	✔ (separately)	✔	✘	✘
JAMDIFF 2024 P.R.L. **132** (24) 091901	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔ δu, δd

Transversity



D. Pitonyak, QCD Evolution 24

* JAM3D includes $\bar{u} = -\bar{d}$ w.r.t. JAM22

consistency of phenomenological extractions from a variety of exp. data with different approaches
(provided that no LQCD points are included in the fit)



Pheno - lattice : tensor charge

$g_T = \delta u - \delta d$



adapted from C. Alexandrou, QCD Evolution 24



green N_f=2+1+1

open symbols = no continuum extrapolation

yellow N_f=2+1

tension between pheno and lattice ?



adapted from D. Pitonyak, QCD Evolution 24

Pheno - lattice : tensor charge

$g_T = \delta u - \delta d$



adapted from C. Alexandrou, QCD Evolution 24



green N_f=2+1+1

open symbols = no continuum extrapolation

yellow N_f=2+1

tension between pheno and lattice ?

Including lattice data,
JAM finds **compatibility,**
still under discussion…

Experiment	N_{dat}	χ^2_{red} With LQCD	χ^2_{red} No LQCD
Belle (cross section) [63]	1094	1.01	1.01
Belle (Artru-Collins) [92]	183	0.74	0.73
HERMES [94]	12	1.13	1.10
COMPASS (p) [95]	26	1.24	0.75
COMPASS (D) [95]	26	0.78	0.76
STAR (2015) [96]	24	1.47	1.67
STAR (2018) [64]	106	1.20	1.04
ETMC δu [28]	1	0.71	…
ETMC δd [28]	1	1.02	…
PNDME δu [25]	1	8.68	…
PNDME δd [25]	1	0.04	
Total χ^2_{red} (N_{dat})		1.01 (1475)	0.98 (1471)



adapted from D. Pitonyak, QCD Evolution 24

Pheno - lattice : tensor charge

$g_T = \delta u - \delta d$



adapted from C. Alexandrou, QCD Evolution 24



green N_f=2+1+1

open symbols = no continuum extrapolation

yellow N_f=2+1

tension between pheno and lattice ?

Including lattice data, JAM finds **compatibility, still under discussion…**

But most data insensitive to tensor charge

For data sensitive to δu, δd

$\chi^2 = 203 \rightarrow 239$

$\chi^2/N_{dat} = 1.02 \rightarrow 1.21$

Experiment	N_{dat}	χ^2_{red} With LQCD	χ^2_{red} No LQCD
Belle (cross section) [63]	1094	1.01	1.01
Belle (Artru-Collins) [92]	183	0.74	0.73
HERMES [94]	12	1.13	1.10
COMPASS (*p*) [95]	26	1.24	0.75
COMPASS (*D*) [95]	26	0.78	0.76
STAR (2015) [96]	24	1.47	1.67
STAR (2018) [64]	106	1.20	1.04
ETMC δu [28]	1	0.71	…
ETMC δd [28]	1	1.02	…
PNDME δu [25]	1	8.68	…
PNDME δd [25]	1	0.04	…
Total χ^2_{red} (N_{dat})		1.01 (1475)	0.98 (1471)



adapted from D. Pitonyak, QCD Evolution 24

Future

New data already available:

- Compass SIDIS spin asymmetry on deuteron target with Collins effect & di-hadron mechanism S. Asatryan, DIS 2024

  COMPASS Alexeev *et al.*, arXiv:2401.00309

- updated Hermes SIDIS spin asymmetry Airapetian *et al.*, JHEP **12** (20) 010

  $p^{\uparrow} + p \to \Lambda^{\uparrow} + X$

- Compass π-p↑ Drell-Yan A_T asymmetry Alexeev *et al.*, arXiv:2312.17379

- STAR asymmetry in $p^{\uparrow} + p \to \text{jet} + \pi^{\pm} + X$ hadron-in-jet Collins effect

  X. Chu, DIS 2024

- STAR asymmetry in $p^{\uparrow} + p \to \Lambda^{\uparrow} + X$ Λ spin transfer STAR, P.R. D**109** (24) 012004

- STAR asymmetry in $p^{\uparrow} + p \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-} + X$ di-hadron mechanism

  B. Surrow, DIS 2024



Collins effect

proton + ³He

Abdul-Khalek *et al.*
(EIC Yellow Report),
N.P. **A1026** (22) 122447



di-hadron mechanism

1) **ETMC '19** *Alexandrou et al., arXiv:1909.00485*

2) **Mainz '19** *Harris et al., P.R. D**100** (19) 034513*

3) **LHPC '19** *Hasan et al., P.R. D**99** (19) 114505*

4) **JLQCD '18** *Yamanaka et al., P.R. D**98** (18) 054516*

5) **PNDME '18** *Gupta et al., P.R. D**98** (18) 034503*

6) **ETMC '17** *Alexandrou et al., P.R. D**95** (17) 114514; (E) P.R. D**96** (17) 099906*

7) **RQCD '14** *Bali et al., P.R. D**91** (15) 054501*

8) **LHPC '12** *Green et al., P.R. D**86** (12) 114509*

EIC impact on tensor charge



Collins effect

proton + ³He

Abdul-Khalek *et al.*
(EIC Yellow Report),
N.P. **A1026** (22) 122447

expected precision close to (or higher than) lattice



di-hadron mechanism

1) **ETMC '19** *Alexandrou et al., arXiv:1909.00485*
2) **Mainz '19** *Harris et al., P.R. D**100** (19) 034513*
3) **LHPC '19** *Hasan et al., P.R. D**99** (19) 114505*
4) **JLQCD '18** *Yamanaka et al., P.R. D**98** (18) 054516*
5) **PNDME '18** *Gupta et al., P.R. D**98** (18) 034503*
6) **ETMC '17** *Alexandrou et al., P.R. D**95** (17) 114514; (E) P.R. D**96** (17) 099906*
7) **RQCD '14** *Bali et al., P.R. D**91** (15) 054501*
8) **LHPC '12** *Green et al., P.R. D**86** (12) 114509*

Hadron-in-jet Collins effect

$$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \text{jet} + \pi^{\pm} + X$$

M. Grosse-Perdekamp, Transversity 2022



STAR 2010-11 $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV

D'Alesio et al., P.L. **B773** (17) 300



π+

π-

no evolution

TMD evolution

Kang et al., P.L. **B774** (17) 635

π+

π-



STAR 2012-15 $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV

Future: EIC impact

hadron-in-jet Collins effect



electron - hadron-in-jet azimuthal correlations

$|p_T^e + p_T^{\text{jet}}| \ll |p_T^e - p_T^{\text{jet}}|/2 \Rightarrow$ factorization theorem

theory uncertainty
bands from



Arratia *et al.*, P.R. D**102** (20) 074015

Summary



TMD PDFs (x, k⊥; Q²) at leading twist for a spin-1/2 hadron (Nucleon)

polarizations

quark

nucleon

Nucleon Polarization	Quark polarization: Unpolarized (U)	Quark polarization: Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Quark polarization: Transversely Polarized (T)	nomenclature
U	$f_1 =$		$h_1^\perp =$ −	no-name / Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1 =$ −	$h_{1L}^\perp =$ −	helicity / Kotzinian-Mulders
T	$f_{1T}^\perp =$ −	$g_{1T} =$ −	$h_1 =$ − $h_{1T}^\perp =$ −	transversity / pretzelocity

Sivers (U column, T row) worm gear (L column, T row)

- very good knowledge of x-dependence of f_1 and g_1
- good knowledge of k$_T$-dependence of f_1
- fair knowledge of x-dependence of h_1 and k$_T$-moments of $f_{1T}^\perp$
- some hints about all others

List of latest extractions

Unpol. TMD	MAP 22 arXiv:2206.07598, ART23 2305.07473, MAP24 arXiv:2405.13833
Helicity	arXiv:2409.08110, MAP24, arXiv:2409.18078
Transversity	arXiv:1505.05589, arXiv:1612.06413, arXiv:2205.00999
Sivers	MAP20 arXiv:2004.14278, arXiv:2009.10710, arXiv:2103.03270, arXiv:2205.00999, arXiv:2304.14328
Boer-Mulders	arXiv:2004.02117, arXiv:2407.06277
Worm-gear g1T	arXiv:2110.10253, arXiv:2210.07268
Worm-gear h1L	
Pretzelosity	arXiv:1411.0580

not mentioned pion TMDs, TMD fragmentation functions, nuclear TMDs

The Nanga Parbat fitting framework

Nanga Parbat: a TMD fitting framework

Nanga Parbat is a fitting framework aimed at the determination of the non-perturbative component of TMD distributions.

Download

You can obtain NangaParbat directly from the github repository:

https://github.com/MapCollaboration/NangaParbat

The Artemide fitting framework

https://teorica.fis.ucm.es/artemide/

arTeMiDe







News

12 Dec 2019: Version 2.02 released (+manual update).

23 Feb 2019: Version 1.4 released (+manual update).

21 Jan 2019: Artemide now has a repository.

Archive of older links/news.

Articles, presentations & supplementary materials

Extra pictures for the paper arXiv:1902.08474

Seminar of A.Vladimirov in Pavia 2018 on TMD evolution.

Link to the text in Inspire.

Archive of older links/news.

Download

Recent version/release can be found in repository

About us & Contacts

If you have found mistakes, or have suggestions/questions, please, contact us.

Some extra materials can be found on Alexey's web-page

Alexey Vladimirov Alexey.Vladimirov@physik.uni-regensburg.de

Ignazio Scimemi ignazios@fis.ucm.es

The TMDLib and TMDPlotter tools

https://tmdlib.hepforge.org/



Outline

- Backup

The EIC impact at x=0.001

$$\frac{TMD^q - \langle TMD^q \rangle}{\langle TMD^q \rangle} \quad x=0.001$$









MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
5x41	1273	2.85
10x100	1611	51.3
18x275	1648	10

(simulation campaign of May 2024)



L. Rossi, Ph.D. Thesis

The EIC impact with 10x100 at x=0.001





$$\frac{\text{TMD}^q - \langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}{\langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}$$

x=0.001

MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
10x100	1611	51.3

(simulation campaign of May 2024)







L. Rossi, Ph.D. Thesis

The EIC impact with 10x100 at x=0.001

$$\frac{\text{TMD}^q - \langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}{\langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle} \qquad \mathbf{x=0.001}$$

MAPTMD24 2031

EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
10x100	1611	5

(early Science conditions)











courtesy L. Rossi

The EIC impact at x=0.1

$$\frac{TMD^q - \langle TMD^q \rangle}{\langle TMD^q \rangle}$$ **x=0.1**

MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
5x41	1273	2.85
10x100	1611	51.3
18x275	1648	10











L. Rossi, Ph.D. Thesis

The EIC impact with 10x100 at x=0.1





$$\frac{\mathrm{TMD}^q - \langle \mathrm{TMD}^q \rangle}{\langle \mathrm{TMD}^q \rangle} \qquad \mathbf{x=0.1}$$

MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
10x100	1611	51.3

(simulation campaign of May 2024)







L. Rossi, Ph.D. Thesis

The EIC impact with 10x100 at x=0.1



$$\frac{\text{TMD}^q - \langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}{\langle\text{TMD}^q\rangle}$$

x=0.1

MAPTMD24	2031	
EIC	**# pts.**	**lumi [fb^{-1}]**
10x100	1611	5

(early Science conditions)



courtesy L. Rossi

"Normalized" MAPTMD24 TMD FF

$$\frac{D_1(z,P_T;Q)}{D_1(z,0;Q)}$$





MAPTMD 2024
arXiv:2405.13833

Correlation matrix



Average transverse momenta



clusters = 68% of all replicas