# Study of the ITS cluster size of tracked cascades **ALICE-EPIC Meeting** 30 April 2025

#### Alberto Calivà, Francesca Ercolessi











### Introduction and Physics Motivation



ITS cluster size could be used for track-by-track identification of  $\Xi^{\pm}$  candidates

#### Measurement of $\Xi^{\pm}$ -nucleus (Si) elastic scattering





#### **ITS Cluster Size**

#### J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 41 (2014) 087002



Schematic cross section of a MAPS pixel

Electrons in the depletion region drift toward the NWELL diode, generating a signal Some carriers diffuse to neighboring diodes, forming a cluster Cluster size is proportional to ionization (similar to dE/dx behavior)



Charged particles crossing the silicon sensor create electron-hole pairs (~60 e-/µm for MIPs)



#### The Strangeness Tracker







Cascade reconstructed from its weak decay daughters

Strangeness tracker associates hits in inner ITS layers compatible with the cascade trajectory



### Data Set and Event Selection

#### Dataset: LHC220 pass7 minBias small

#### **Event selection**

- Sel8
- |*z*<sub>vtx</sub>| < 10 cm

Number of selected events =  $2.32 \times 10^9$ 

Analysis task: https://github.com/AliceO2Group/O2Physics/blob/master/PWGLF/Tasks/QC/ trackedCascadeProperties.cxx







#### Candidate Selection



Invariant mass selections:

•  $\Xi$  candidates:  $1.315 < m_{\Xi} < 1.328 \text{ GeV}/c$ 

■ Ω candidates:  $1.665 < m_{\Omega} < 1.680 \text{ GeV}/c + m_{\Xi} \notin [1.315, 1.328] \text{ GeV}/c$ 



GeV/c $\text{GeV}/c + m_{\Xi} \notin [1.315, 1.328] \text{ GeV}/c$ 



#### Invariant Mass Distributions

counts



Central core of the distributions fitted with a Gaussian function

Extraction of pole mass and resolution







#### Invariant Mass vs. Momentum



Pole mass very close to the PDG value for both  $\Xi$  and  $\Omega$ 



### Invariant Mass Resolution

resolution

mass



Invariant mass resolution ( $\sigma$  of gaussian core) pprox 0.2% for both  $\Xi$  and  $\Omega$ Values in  $GeV/c^2$  in backup







#### **Correction for Geometrical Effects**



•  $\beta \gamma = p/M_{PDG}$ •  $\lambda = \text{track inclination wrt vertical}$  $\rightarrow \cos(\lambda) = \frac{p_{T}}{p}$  used to correct for trivial geometrical effects



### **Cluster Size Distribution**



Distribution is asymmetric

 $\rightarrow$  fitted with Gaussian + exp tail (formally identical to TOF signal function)







## Charge Independence



<ITS cluster size · cos( $\lambda$ )> behaves similarly to dE/dx (as expected) Cluster size is charge independent for both  $\Xi$  and  $\Omega$ 



#### **Resolution of the Cluster Size Distribution**



#### Results





#### Results



- New ITS provides particle identification via <ITS cluster size  $\cdot \cos(\lambda)$ >  $\rightarrow$  behaves similarly as the Bethe Bloch
- This allows, for the first time, to identify cascades on a track-by-track basis





#### Decay Radius



■ Cascade decay radius as low as ~ 3 cm ■ However,  $n_{\rm ITS}^{\rm cls} \ge 4$  for tracked cascades



| Layer | Barrel Segment | Radius (cm) |
|-------|----------------|-------------|
| 0     | Inner Barrel   | 2.2         |
| 1     | Inner Barrel   | 2.8         |
| 2     | Inner Barrel   | 3.6         |
| 3     | Middle Layer   | 20.0        |
| 4     | Middle Layer   | 22.0        |
| 5     | Outer Layer    | 37.0        |
| 6     | Outer Layer    | 39.0        |



#### Bias in the Cluster Size Calculation



- ITS hits of bachelor track closer to decay point might be wrongly associated to the tracked cascade
- Removed after refit but still associated to the ITStrack  $\rightarrow$  bias in the cluster size calculation!
- Fixed for future apass, but present up to apass1 of 2024

**Temporary fix:** calculate cluster size using only hits in ITS layers with radius < decay radius https://github.com/AliceO2Group/O2Physics/pull/11018



# Backup slides

#### Tau parameter



The parameter  $\tau$  is constrained in the range  $0.7 < \tau < 0.9$ 

Ь



Ь

#### Invariant mass resolution





### Average Cls Size Calculation

for (const auto& trackedCascade : trackedCascades) {

```
const auto track = trackedCascade.track as<FullTracks>();
const auto trackITS = trackedCascade.itsTrack as<FullTracks>();
const auto& casc = trackedCascade.cascade();
const auto& btrack = casc.bachelor as<FullTracks>();
double dx = trackedCascade.decayX();
double dy = trackedCascade.decayY();
double r = std::sqrt(dx * dx + dy * dy);
int nClsCascade = findBin(edgesItsLayers, r);
// Calculate (Average) Cluster Size
double averageClusterSize(0);
int nCls(0);
for (int i = 0; i < nClsCascade; i++) {</pre>
  int clusterSize = trackITS.itsClsSizeInLayer(i);
  averageClusterSize += static cast<double>(clusterSize);
  if (clusterSize > 0)
   nCls++;
averageClusterSize = averageClusterSize / static cast<double>(nCls);
```



#### Results







