

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU







# 4D vertexing with Graph Neural Networks

### Jyoti Babbar (University of Trieste) for the CMS Collaboration

ICSC Spoke2 WP2 meeting- May 06, 2025



ICSC Italian Research Center on High-Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing







#### **OUTLINE**

- HL -LHC
- MIP Timing Detector
- 4D vertex reconstruction with Graph Neural Network
  - Edge Convolutional
  - GravNet
- Clustering Algorithms
- Conclusions









#### HL-LHC

- High-luminosity LHC era (HL-LHC) starting in ~2030
  - x3-4 instantaneous luminosity
  - up to x5 pileup (PU) interactions
  - x10 integrated luminosity
- Crucial to isolate interaction of interest and mitigate effects of PU on object reconstruction
- Current global event reconstruction relies on track-vertex association in CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN space Data recorded: 2016-Oct-14 09:56:16.733952 GMT

**Challenge: keep current** performance during HL-LHC phase









#### **PRECISION TIMING AT CMS IN HL-LHC**

- Minimum Ionizing Particle Timing Detector (MTD) proposed for the CMS experiment Phase2 upgrade
- MTD will provide timing information for MIPs with a 30-40 ps resolution → smaller than the pp collision spread in time of 180-200 ps
- The vertical yellow lines indicate 3Dreconstructed (i.e. no use of timing information) vertices





4D vertex reconstruction — Use timing information to separate vertices that overlap in space





# **MIP TIMING DETECTOR**

- Thin layer between tracker and calorimeters
- Almost hermetic ( $|\eta| < 3$ )
- Different regions adopt different technologies, suited to the level of radiation dose:
  - Barrel Timing Layer (BTL)—arrays of LYSO crystal bars readout by SiPMs
  - Endcap Timing Layer (ETL)—Low Gain Avalanche Detector (LGAD) module









# **4D VERTEXING AND GNN**

- New 4D vertexing algorithm shows significant improvement in separating close by vertices <u>CMS-DP-2024-085</u>
- However, incorporating Machine Learning architectures for track clustering particularly under high pileup conditions, could further improve performance.
- Graph Neural Network (GNNs) are suited for track clustering and identifying track time/mass hypothesis
- Two promising GNN architecture are studied :
  - GNN combined with Edge Convolutional for message passing with a static precomputed graph
  - GravNet architecture with dynamic graph where k-NN search is performed at each convolutional layer
- For sanity checks of model architecture and directly comparing 3D vertexing with Deterministic Annealing (DA), we are just using the spatial information.











### **GNN + EDGE CONVOLUTIONAL**

- The GNN+EdgeConv architecture is adapted from HGCAL trackster linking algorithm (HGCAL trackster), for nodes representing tracks and connection between them as edges.
- The model's output is given by an edge score between 0 and 1, with 1 indicating a good or true edge.







## **BUILDING GRAPH**

- Build graph with tracks as nodes
  - Node features : z, dz
  - Edge features : track-track z distance, and track -track dz significance
  - Possible edges between tracks with z-separation < 3 mm
- Defining True edges labels: that come from same vertex in an signal/PU event in BX == 0, same event ID and being the leading vertex of the event











#### **CLUSTERING**

- The edge score for the tracks is calculated from the model to tracks and we decide a threshold to accept the good tracks
- Once the threshold is given, the algorithm cluster the tracks by finding the connected neighbors to a node, and which are above this threshold.
- We worked with two clustering algorithm to cluster the good connected tracks to form vertex candidate
  - **Depth First Search (DFS)**
  - **Density-based spatial clustering of** applications with noise (DBSCAN). This model need two inputs provide two parameters  $\epsilon$  (eps) and the minimum number of points required to form a dense region (minPts)









**CLUSTERING** 

- We compare the results with Deterministic Annealing currently used by CMS for vertex reconstruction.
- DBSCAN and DFS algorithms are reconstructing less vertices than Deterministic Annealing



Log10(Vertex track multiplicity)



Both algorithms are not an appropriate choice for vertex reconstruction—> significantly less number of total vertices. It was chaining the tracks and clustering far-apart tracks together.

Vertex Track Multiplicity









#### **GRAVNET**

 GravNet model is the potential based model, i.e on the distance emphasizing the influence of close neighbors.

$$V_{ij} = exp(-d_{ij})$$

 The exponential decay ensures that nearby hits in the embedding space exert a larger influence.



Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no.7, 608 (2019)

# **Output of Model**

#### • Embeddings:

- Project tracks into a learned space where clustering can be performed.
- Tracks from the same vertex are encouraged to be close in embedding space.

#### +β:

- This indicates the "attractiveness" or importance of each track as a potential cluster center.
- Tracks with higher values are more likely to be seeds for clusters (vertices).









# **LOSS FUNCTION & INFERENCE**

- Object Condensation method is used as the loss function following [2]. This method is independent of assumption on object-size, and can be generalized for graphs or point clouds.
- The total loss term in this method is composed of three main components : Attractive Potential Loss Term, Repulsive Potential Loss term and  $\beta$  loss term (followed by [1] and [2])
- The clustering of tracks is done based on  $\beta$  confidence output of the model [1]
  - Tracks are sorted in descending order of  $\beta$  value
  - Clustering starts with the highest  $\beta$  track, and within td distance parameter tracks are clustered and become a vertex candidate
  - Then, the process is repeated with the  $\beta$  ranking order.
- Highest  $\beta$  track is the condensation point (or seed) of a cluster
- 1.S. R.Qasim, J. Kieseler, Y.Iiyama and M. Pierini, Learning representations of irregular particle-detector geometry with distanceweighted graph networks, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no.7, 608 (2019), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7113-9.

<sup>2.</sup> J. Kieseler, Object condensation: one-stage grid-free multi-object reconstruction in physics detectors, graph and image data, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, no.9, 886 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08







# **RESULTS (WORK IN PROGRESS)**

- Better separation of real vertices with smaller z difference.
- The number of reconstructed vertices as a function of the number of PU vertices for real and fake vertices
  - We get less real and more fakes than DA.
  - We are over-splitting the vertices.
- We are currently refining the Loss Function to improve the model performance











# **COMPUTATIONAL STUDIES**

- The inference of the model is consuming too much time and memory,
- We have started running the Inference on lxplus GPUs.
- We are testing our model with the SONIC framework (Services for Optimized Network Inference on Coprocessors) to do the inference of the model on Nvidia Triton Inference Server.













- The timing information of MTD is important to mitigate the effects of PU in HL-LHC
- EdgeConv with DFS and DBSCAN is currently underperforming relative to expectations.
- GravNet shows encouraging potential compared to EdgeConv, though model development and optimization are ongoing.
- Work is in progress to incorporate timing and additional input features into model training, along with loss function refinement for improved performance.

