Group A	Group B	Group C	Group D
12	12	12	4
2	3	1	2
3	2	5	14
7	4	2	7
4	7	4	8
5	8	8	12
14	11	9	3
13	1	11	13
10	13	3	5
1	14	13	11
11	6	7	9
9	5	14	10
6	9	10	1
8	10	6	6

- 1. Nanotechnologies are not intrinsically dangerous
- 2. Citizens don't know what nanotechnologies are
- 3. In case of uncertainty, the precautionary principle should guide policy
- 4. Scientists still know very little about toxicity of nanomaterials
- 5. In a scenario dominated by uncertainty, the optimistic and pessimistic approach deserve the same consideration
- 6. Citizens don't trust science
- 7. The duty of journalists is to make sure that their audience is informed in a timely fashion about every controversial and potentially dangerous aspect of a new technology, especially when it is widely used in commercial products
- 8. Scientists have more and more often conflicts of interest that may influence their judgment
- Risks of nanotechnologies are possibly bigger that those linked to genetically modified organisms
- 10. The concept of biocompatibility doesn't make any sense at the nanoscale
- 11. In the light of the experience with the "nanomutated" fly, the use of products containing nanoparticles should be avoided by pregnant women
- 12. Scientific research shouldn't be stopped as a result of unreasonable fears
- 13. Scientists working on nanotechnologies should consider repeating the experience of the Asilomar Conference of 1975, in which scientists established a voluntary moratorium certain types of recombinant DNA experiments until the hazards could be evaluated
- 14. Media tend to exaggerate fears in order to attract wider audiences and sell more