PUT THE SENTENCES IN ORDER BASED ON THE VALUE YOU (AS A GROUP) ATTRIBUTE TO EACH OF THEM

- 1. Nanotechnologies are not intrinsically dangerous
- 2. Citizens don't know what nanotechnologies are
- 3. In case of uncertainty, the precautionary principle should guide policy
- 4. Scientists still know very little about toxicity of nanomaterials
- 5. In a scenario dominated by uncertainty, the optimistic and pessimistic approach deserve the same consideration
- 6. Citizens don't trust science
- 7. The duty of journalists is to make sure that their audience is informed in a timely fashion about every controversial and potentially dangerous aspect of a new technology, especially when it is widely used in commercial products
- 8. Scientists have more and more often conflicts of interest that may influence their judgment
- 9. Risks of nanotechnologies are possibly bigger that those linked to genetically modified organisms
- 10. The concept of biocompatibility doesn't make any sense at the nanoscale
- 11. In the light of the experience with the "nanomutated" fly, the use of products containing nanoparticles should be avoided by pregnant women
- 12. Scientific research shouldn't be stopped as a result of unreasonable fears
- 13. Scientists working on nanotechnologies should consider repeating the experience of the Asilomar Conference of 1975, in which scientists established a voluntary moratorium on certain types of recombinant DNA experiments until the hazards could be evaluated
- 14. The media tend to exaggerate fears in order to attract wider audiences and sell more