





ER1 Activities

babyMOSS characterization and Future Perspectives Bari

Angelo Colelli, Francesco Barile, Giuseppe Eugenio Bruno, Domenico Colella, Shyam Kumar, Rajendra Patra, Triloki Triloki et Al. *University of Bari and INFN sez. Bari*

Setup Configuration

- DAQ board ID: DAQ-0009012905D1273D, Raiser board ID: Raiser-2041, Chip ID: babyMOSS-2_4_W20E1
- Testing at V_{sub} = 0 V (Zero-ohm shunt resistor in SUB)
- No Temperature control



Outline

- 1. Test-bench setup, functional and pixel matrix tests, along with a comparison of the results with tests conducted at CERN.
- 2. Detailed study of THR and FHR as a function of V_{casb} scans, cross-talk study of neighbouring regions.
- 3. ⁵⁵Fe spectra analysis using Time-over-Threshold (ToT) method.
- 4. Future Plan

Summary of babyMOSS test scans

	Scans	babyMOSS-2_4_W20E1		
	Power on	ОК		
Eurotional tosta	Register	ОК		
Functional lesis	Shift register	ОК		
	DAC	ОК		
Roadout and	Digital	ОК		
pixel matrix	Analogue	ОК		
tests	FHR	ОК		
	Threshold	ОК		
⁵⁵ Fe characterization	ToT	Ongoing		



Threshold Scan



• Region 1 of the bottom half unit is found to be noisy, as also reported by CERN tests.

Threshold scan tb: comparison with CERN tests



• Threshold values are matching with CERN test results. Similar results for the bottom region are reported in backup.

Threshold Scan at different V_{casb} values



- To avoid cross-talk, one region is scanned while others remain inactive during a single scan.
- V_{casb} = 15 (as default).
- The higher the V_{casb} the lower the threshold
- TB region 3 has higher threshold
- BB region 3 has lower threshold

FHR Scan at different V_{casb} values



- To avoid cross-talk, one region is scanned while others remain inactive during a single scan.
- V_{casb} = 15 (as default).
- The higher the V_{casb} the higher the FHR
- Neighbouring region influence (cross-talk) presents in all regions.

Threshold and FHR scans with VCASB

			MOSSL	<u>Jser Manual</u>
Thresholds	Region 0	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3
ТОР	104 e	108 e	130 e	106 e
BOTTOM	104 e	104 e	104 e	85 e

— bb Region 0 45 bb Region 2 -- tb Region 3 ----- bb Region 3 40 25 20 20 25 35 10 15 30 VCASB 10^{-4} bb Region 0 -面tb Region 1 bb Region 1 tb Region 2 bb Region 2 tb Region 3 bb Region 3 10-5 Fake Hit Rate -01 FHR requirement < 1e-06 10-7 10⁻⁸ 20 30 35 10 15 25 VCASB

• At $V_{casb} > 20$:

Thresholds	Observed	Expected
ТОР	TH1 \lesssim TH0 = TH3 << TH2	TH0 <th3<th1<th2< td=""></th3<th1<th2<>
BOTTOM	TH0 \gtrsim TH2 >> TH3 (reg1 masked)	TH0=TH1=TH2>>TH3

• At $V_{casb} = 25$:

FHR	Observed				
ТОР	FHR2 << FHR0, FHR1, FHR3				
BOTTOM	FHR3 >> FHR2 >> FHR0 (reg1 masked)				

Setup for ⁵⁵Fe babyMOSS testing

- ⁵⁵Fe X-ray energy spectrum measurement using **Time-over-Threshold** (ToT) method.
- n_events: 50M
- I_{reset}: variable
- V_{casb}: 10
- All other DAC parameters: DEFAULT
- V_{sub}: 0 V



babyMOSS-2_4_W20E1 | TOT distribution | SourceTotAnalysis







DPTS paper

⁵⁵Fe ToT Scan at different I_{reset} values



• As the I_{reset} decreases, the main peak distribution shifts to high ToT values.

ToT measurement of single pixels

- Is it possible to resolve Si_{esc} -peak or K_{β} -peak low I_{reset} ?
- Single pixel ToT measurement can be useful to avoid the gain calibration of the matrix Region, column, row
- Separating the clusters of charge sharing



For example:

- Neighbouring pixels: [TotHit(region=1, column=127, row=87, tot=23.93), TotHit(region=1, column=127, row=88, tot=11.96)]
- Single pixel: [TotHit(region=1, column=127, row=87, tot=35.91)]
- Away pixels: [TotHit(region=1, column=167, row=144, tot=27.93), TotHit(region=1, column=127, row=87, tot=35.91)]

⁵⁵Fe ToT Source Stuck Readout Scan



- Method based on readout of the same pixel when the strobe is activated
- The resolution is higher than the Tot one
- Calibration and correction tecnique under investigation

Summary

- BabyMOSS test set-up has been assembled in Bari and different scans have been performed at $V_{sub} = 0 V$
- Threshold scan, FHR scan with varying V_{casb} are performed
 - Operating range of V_{casb} can be found
- Characterization with ⁵⁵Fe source:
 - ToT peak value increases with higher I_{reset}
- Talk at WP3:
 - <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1513821/contributions/6369949/attachments/3012365/5311585/babyMOSS_testing_Bari_110225_its3_wp3.pdf</u>

Future Plans

- Perform babyMOSS testing and ⁵⁵Fe measurements at Vsub = -1.2V
- Avaiability to test the babyMOSAIX chip of ER2 in Bari.

• Possible activity in the development and characterization of babyMOSS chip bent in synergy with other INFN sites.



27/03/2025

TOT measurement: Source Scan

MOSS ToT measurement: Source Scan



27/03/2025 ToT study, M. Menzel

TOT measurement: Source Stuck Readout Scan

MOSS pixel read-out

How can we make the RO get stuck intentionally?

- Assert long STROBE ~ 400 μs
- When OUTD activates, hit gets stored in latch
- TESTOUT configured such that it asserts if any pixel fired
- RO-command on TESTOUT, will read hit, reset latch
- As STROBE & OUTD still active, latch will store same hit again
- Priority-encoder will read out same pixel again
- Pixel will be read-out n times until OUTD deactivates*



* assuming STROBE still active

5

27/03/2025

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1479059/contributions/6229956/attachments/2983389/5254072/24_12_10_ToT_StuckRO.pdf

Lab. set up of babyMOSS testing



27/03/2025

babyMOSS prototype summary



- MOnolithic Stiched Sensor (MOSS) is made of ten repeated sensor units (RSUs).
 babyMOSS is equivalent to pixel matrix of the One RSU.
- Each RSU is composed of two half-units (HUs), labeled top and bottom.

 $_{27/03/2025}$ half-unit contains four **matrices**, also referred to as regions.

babyMOSS pixel structure

• There are different front-end variants within a HU:

	Region 0	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	
ТОР	Standard	Larger input transistor (M1)	Larger discriminator input transistor (M11)	Larger common-source transistor (M2)	DC
BOTTOM	Standard	Standard	Standard	Slightly different layout	

 For nominal settings with pwell/psub at 0V and Cin = 5 fF, simulated thresholds are:

Thresholds	Region 0	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3
ТОР	104 e	108 e	130 e	106 e
BOTTOM	104 e	104 e	104 e	85 e

- In "standard layout" a parasitic capacitance added to improves the stability of the circuit. This capacitance, however, also reduces the frontend gain (red curve).
- In **"slightly different layout**" parasitic capacitance was slightly reduced, so front-end gain increases (yellow curve).





DAC scans

- Each parameter is scanned with changing DAC values 0 - 255
- DAC Scan produces 20 plots of different combination of the dac parameters
- Few of the DAC scan results are shown

babyMOSS-2 4 W20E1 | VCASB all units and regions | DacAnalysis









Mean: 9.62

RMS: 1.495

15

Bandgap trimming settings | babyMOSS-2_4_W20E1

22

Digital scan: bb



babyMOSS-2 4 W20E1 | bb noisy pixels | DigitalAnalogScanAnalysis

Digital Scan test performed after masking the noisy region. •

Digital scan: tb

babyMOSS-2_4_W20E1 | tb inefficient pixels | DigitalAnalogScanAnalysis

Digital scan is found to be okay. Bottom region scan is in back-up. ۲

Analogue scan: tb

babyMOSS-2_4_W20E1 | tb inefficient pixels | DigitalAnalogScanAnalysis

ER1 Activities - Angelo Colelli -

Analogue scan: bb

babyMOSS-2 4 W20E1 | bb inefficient pixels | DigitalAnalogScanAnalysis

BB region 1 is noisy •

Threshold scan: stability test

- Thresholds of each regions are consistent across different measurements within 1 ADC.
- The measurements were taken using the default DAC settings, with no temperature control.

Threshold scan with VCASB

Scans at lower VCASB

ER1 Activities - Angelo Colelli -

Threshold scan: Scatter plot of noisy pixels

VCASB = 15

VCASB = 25

- Noise increases with the higher VCASB, as the THR decreases.
- Noise along the rows are possibly due to the metallic lines on chips.
- Difference in the FHR between the left and right part of the pixel matrix for the columns. Similar

observation is reported by <u>Styliani Paniskaki</u> in ITS WP3 meeting. 27/03/2025 ER1 Activities - Angelo Colelli -

FakeHitRate scan with VCASB

Data of 13.02.25

fhr scan vs VCASB tb

27/03/2025

ER1 Activities - Angelo Colelli -

FHR Scan

Fake Hit Rate scan

Regions	TB 0	TB 1	TB 2	TB 3	BB 0	BB 1	BB 2	BB3	Test at	Comment
FHR	4.36E-08	0	1.53E-09	0	3.54E-08		1.46E-08	3.23E-08	Bari	
FHR	6.58E-08	1.53E-10	1.07E-09	1.53E-10					CERN	bb: "No MOSS Packets in events",

STROBE_LENGTH = 200 (default)

Threshold and FHR scans with VCASB

• We can find the operating VCASB for each region provided that the FHR of ITS3 limit of <1E-6

ToT measurement of single pixels with IRESET = 8

33

ToT measurement of single pixels with IRESET = 5

byMOSS-2 4 W20E1 | TOT distribution (1, 75, 194) | SourceTotAnaly: byMOSS-2 4 W20E1 | TOT distribution (1, 52, 169) | SourceTotAnaly:

by MOSS-2 4 W20E1 | TOT distribution (1, 107, 194) | SourceTotAnaly

byMOSS-2_4_W20E1 | TOT distribution (2, 62, 194) | SourceTotAnaly:

