Study of the CALO BGO response

Work done by Laura Buonincontri on behalf of the Turin group

FOOT Collaboration Meeting 26/05/2025





The FOOT experiment

• Purpose: measure the fragmentation cross sections for light ion beams impinging on p/C rich targets

• Useful to optimize hadron therapy treatments (where p/C ions are impinged to patients) and radio-protection in space



- FOOT measurements will allow to improve accuracy in dose calculation released by secondary fragments
- Goal: measure the beam and projectile fragment production cross sections with an accuracy better than 5%



Hadronic inelastic interactions may lead to:

• **Target** fragmentation (p beam on C/O) • **Projectile** fragmentation (C beam on H) • Both **target** and **projectile** fragmentation (C on C/O)

Experimental setup

Electronic spectrometer







Beam particles: 4He, 12C, 16O

Targets: polyethylene, polymethyl methacrylate (C₅O₂H₈), polyethylene (C₂H₄)

Emulsion spectrometer

Calorimeter Calibration

- Calorimeter composed by BGO crystals
- Readout system:
 - SiPM board
 - WaveDAQ



• Goof fitting of experimental data



- Turin group focused on the **ADC-Energy calibration** of the calorimeter
 - Fit with the Modified Birk's Function (MBF)

$$ADC(E) = \frac{p_0 E^2}{1 + P_1 E + P_2 E^2}$$
$$\frac{|E_{fit} - E_{ADC}|}{E_{fit}} < 1\%$$





The Calorimeter Calibration

• The calorimeter response linearity is affected by the Birk's law

$$\frac{dS}{dx} = \frac{A\frac{dE}{dx}}{1 + KB\frac{dE}{dx}}$$

• The fit function is the Modified Birk's Function, that depends on three parameters:

$$ADC(E) = \frac{p_0 E^2}{1 + P_1 E + P_2 E^2}$$

• Parameters dependence on Z, modeled with the power law function

$$\frac{P_x}{P_{x_{Carbon}}} = a_{0,x} Z^{a_{1,x}}$$

• There is an (unknown) dependence on Z

The goal of these studies is to understand these assumptions and the variation of the BGO response curve due to:

- Particle range variation
- Non-linearity related to optical pile up in the SiPM
- Different crossing ions species



BGO crystal simulation with GEANT4



2x2x24cm BGO crystal within the world envelope



E=400MeV/u Carbon sent against the crystal



Bragg curve for Carbon E=100,150,200,250,300,350,400 MeV/u

Calculation of the integral of the Birk's law

Birk's law:

$$\frac{dS}{dx} = \frac{A\frac{dE}{dx}}{1 + KB\frac{dE}{dx}}$$

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{dS}{dX} \cdot dx$$

 $S_r = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{dS}{dX} \cdot f(x) \cdot dx$

Light yield without range correction

Light yield with range correction





$$f(x) = A \cdot [R \cdot e^{-\alpha(L-x)} + (1-R)e^{-\alpha(L+x)}]$$



Beam	Maximum Amplitude	
	α (m ⁻¹)	R(%)
<i>p70</i>	4.0 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 1.4
<i>p170</i>	3.8 ± 2.6	10 ± 4
<i>C115</i>	3.9 ± 0.2	10.2 ± 0.4
C260	3.5 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.4

• The signal Maximum Amplitude decreases as a function of the distance from the front side of the BGO crystal (see *N. Bartosik et al 2025 JINST 20 P03021*)

Calculation of photon pile-up

Basic idea: each pixel in the SiPM has a recovery time τ -: Signal loss may be due to **photon Pile-Up**

Goal: calculation of the Pile-Up at the **maximum of the Wave Form**, within a time window (=7-10 ns)

• In the analysis, the ADC value for a certain energy is taken at the maximum WF amplitude

LY = 8000 ph/MeV BGO Light Yield

$$N_{ph,scint}^{peak} = LY \cdot E_{particle} (MeV) \frac{A_{tot,SiPM}}{A_{Crystal}} \cdot PDE \cdot \frac{WF_{peak}}{WF_{integral}} \quad \text{Num}$$

$$D_{ph} = \frac{N_{ph}}{cell} = N_{ph,scint}^{peak} \cdot \frac{A_{microcell}}{A_{tot,SiPM}}$$

Photon density per cell





nber of photons at peak

- PDE assumed 25%
- SiPM surface does not cover the entire 2.8x2.8 cm² crystal face



Pile-Up calculation $p(D_{ph},k) = \frac{D_{ph}^{k}}{k!} \cdot e^{-D_{ph}}$

Probability to have k photons given a D_{ph} number of photons per cell

Correction factor to account for signal loss:

$$y=1-\frac{1-p(D_{ph},0)-p(I)}{1-p(D_{ph},0)}$$





Calculation of best KB to match data

• Best KB for protons determined by minimizing the

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i} \frac{(S(E_{i}) - ADC(E_{i}))^{2}}{\sigma_{ADC(E_{i})}^{2}}$$

• Two crystals have been tested in Heidelberg: crystal 1 (used for KB determination)



Best match Helium



In literature <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2015.07.127</u>, KB(He)=0.01 mm/MeV

1 1

Best match Carbon and Oxygen



In literature <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2015.07.127</u> KB (C)=0.0048 mm/MeV

> 1 2

In literature <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2015.07.127</u> KB (O)=0.0029 mm/MeV

Comparison with HIT2022-crystal 0

- Two crystals have been tested in Heidelberg: crystal 1 (used for KB determination)
- Cross check on the other **crystal 0**



¹ 3

Comparison with HIT2022-crystal 0





Summary and conclusion

- The goal of these studies is to understand the variation of the BGO response curve due to:
 - Particle range variation
 - Non-linearity related to optical pile up in the SiPM
 - Different crossing ions species
- The photon **pile-up** on SiPM may lead to a **non negligible signal reduction**, in particular for Z>1 particles
 - the SiPM **dead time** may impact the amount of signal loss, but it not seems to be much difference between 7ns and 10 ns
- Non-linearity in ADC vs Energy trends of data may be reproduced by including range correction, quenching and pile-up in the simulations
- Values of KB are of the same order of the one found in literature

Oxygen paper value



1 6

Carbon paper value