



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BARI ALDO MORO



Axions from Neutron stars mergers: production and detection signatures


FRANCESCA LECCE

Overview

- ① AXION AND AXION
LIKE PARTICLES
- ② BINARY NEUTRON
STAR MERGERS
- ③ ALP PRODUCTION
AND CONVERSION
- ④ EXPERIMENTS
SENSITIVITY
- ⑤ PROBABILITY OF
JOINT DETECTION
- ⑥ CONCLUSIONS AND
IMPROVEMENTS

[arXiv:2504.02032](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.02032)

FL, Alessandro Lella, Giuseppe Lucente, Vimal Vijayan,
Andreas Bauswein, Maurizio Giannotti, Alessandro Mirizzi



Axion and Axion-Like Particles

The QCD axion is a hypothetical particle postulated by Wilzcek and Weinberg in relation to the Peccei-Quinn mechanism to solve the strong-CP problem of the QCD

[S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978)], [R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977)]

Axion-like particles (ALPs) are novel particles which behave similarly to the QCD axion. They emerge in UV completions of the Standard Model.

Axion and Axion-Like Particles

The QCD axion is a hypothetical particle postulated by Wilzcek and Weinberg in relation to the Peccei-Quinn mechanism to solve the strong-CP problem of the QCD

[S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978)], [R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977)]

Axion-like particles (ALPs) are novel particles which behave similarly to the QCD axion. They emerge in UV completions of the Standard Model.

Axion and ALPs could interact with all the Standard model particles.
In this work we will use the coupling of ALPs with nucleons and photons.

Axion and Axion-Like Particles

The QCD axion is a hypothetical particle postulated by Wilzcek and Weinberg in relation to the Peccei-Quinn mechanism to solve the strong-CP problem of the QCD

[S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978)], [R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977)]

Axion-like particles (ALPs) are novel particles which behave similarly to the QCD axion. They emerge in UV completions of the Standard Model.


Axion and ALPs could interact with all the Standard model particles. In this work we will use the coupling of ALPs with nucleons and photons. In particular we use exemplary scenario inspired by the KSVZ axion model

$$g_{ap} = \frac{2\pi m_N}{\alpha} \frac{C_{ap}}{C_\gamma} g_{a\gamma}$$

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

Binary Neutron Star Merger (BNS) are ideal environments to produce ALPs.

[Source: N. Sarin and P. D. Lasky., Gen. Rel. and Grav., 53 (2021)]



Inspiral phase



Initial phase $\Delta t \gtrsim 85 \text{ Myr}$

Latter phase $O(1) \text{ min} \lesssim \Delta t \lesssim O(1) \text{ hrs}$

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

Binary Neutron Star Merger (BNS) are ideal environments to produce ALPs.

[Source: N. Sarin and P. D. Lasky., Gen. Rel. and Grav., 53 (2021)]



Initial phase $\Delta t \gtrsim 85 \text{ Myr}$


Latter phase $O(1) \text{ min} \lesssim \Delta t \lesssim O(1) \text{ hrs}$

$\Delta t \sim O(20) \text{ ms}$

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

Binary Neutron Star Merger (BNS) are ideal environments to produce ALPs.

[Source: N. Sarin and P. D. Lasky., Gen. Rel. and Grav., 53 (2021)]



Initial phase $\Delta t \gtrsim 85 \text{ Myr}$

Latter phase $O(1) \text{ min} \lesssim \Delta t \lesssim O(1) \text{ hrs}$

$\Delta t \sim O(20) \text{ ms}$

$\Delta t \sim O(1) \text{ s}$

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

↳ **Gravitational Waves**

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

↳ Gravitational Waves

↳ Kilonovae transient

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

- ↳ Gravitational Waves
- ↳ Kilonovae transient
- ↳ Short Gamma-Ray Bursts

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

- ↳ Gravitational Waves
- ↳ Kilonovae transient
- ↳ Short Gamma-Ray Bursts
- ↳ Burst of MeV or GeV neutrinos

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

- ↳ Gravitational Waves
- ↳ Kilonovae transient
- ↳ Short Gamma-Ray Bursts
- ↳ Burst of MeV or GeV neutrinos

The landmark in Binary Neutron Merger is the observation of ***GW170817***

[B.P. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 16 (2017)]

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

- ↳ Gravitational Waves
- ↳ Kilonovae transient
- ↳ Short Gamma-Ray Bursts
- ↳ Burst of MeV or GeV neutrinos

The landmark in Binary Neutron Merger is the observation of ***GW170817***

[B.P. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 16 (2017)]

Tag the ALP-induced photon signal with the Gravitational Wave signal.

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

- ↳ Gravitational Waves
- ↳ Kilonovae transient
- ↳ Short Gamma-Ray Bursts
- ↳ Burst of MeV or GeV neutrinos

The landmark in Binary Neutron Merger is the observation of ***GW170817***

[B.P. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 16 (2017)]

Tag the ALP-induced photon signal with the Gravitational Wave signal.

Equal mass system of two Neutron Stars with $1.375 M_{\odot}$ and EOS DD2

ALPs production from Binary Neutron Star Mergers

The merger of a Binary Neutron Star system has four predicted outcomes:

- ↳ Gravitational Waves
- ↳ Kilonovae transient
- ↳ Short Gamma-Ray Bursts
- ↳ Burst of MeV or GeV neutrinos

The landmark in Binary Neutron Merger is the observation of ***GW170817***


[B.P. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 16 (2017)]

Tag the ALP-induced photon signal with the Gravitational Wave signal.

Equal mass system of two Neutron Stars with $1.375 M_{\odot}$ and EOS DD2





NN Bremsstrahlung





$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \int dV dt^* \frac{d^2 n_a}{dt^* dE^*} \alpha_{\text{GR}}^{-1}(r)$$

NN Bremsstrahlung



$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \int dV dt^* \boxed{\frac{d^2 n_a}{dt^* dE^*}} \alpha_{\text{GR}}^{-1}(r)$$

NN Bremsstrahlung



$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \int dV dt^* \frac{d^2 n_a}{dt^* dE^*} \alpha_{\text{GR}}^{-1}(r)$$

The lapse factor encodes the **strong gravitational field effects**

$$dt = dt^*(r) \alpha_{\text{GR}}^{-1}(r)$$
$$E = E^*(r) \alpha_{\text{GR}}(r)$$

ALP-photon conversion in the remnant and in the Milky Way

ALPs can convert into photons while propagating in external magnetic fields due to the ALP-photon coupling [G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D 37 , 1237 (1988)].

ALP-photon conversion in the remnant and in the Milky Way

ALPs can convert into photons while propagating in external magnetic fields due to the ALP-photon coupling [G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D 37 , 1237 (1988)].

In this work we consider:

→ fields in the remnant to be of the order of $10^{15} - 10^{16}$ G
[R.Ciolfi, Gen. Rel. Grab. 52, 59 (2020)].

ALP-photon conversion in the remnant and in the Milky Way


ALPs can convert into photons while propagating in external magnetic fields due to the ALP-photon coupling [G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D 37 , 1237 (1988)].

In this work we consider:


→ fields in the remnant to be of the order of $10^{15} - 10^{16}$ G
[R.Ciolfi, Gen. Rel. Grav. 52, 59 (2020)].

→ the **Jansson-Farrar model** as benchmark model for the Milky Way regular magnetic field
[R. Jansson and G. R. Farrar, Astro. J. 757, 14 (2012)].

ALP-photon conversion in the remnant and in the Milky Way



ALP-photon conversion in the remnant and in the Milky Way




$$\frac{d\phi_\gamma}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\pi L^2} \frac{dN}{dE} P_{a\gamma}(E, m, d, l, b, g_{a\gamma})$$

with d is the length of the region where $\vec{B}$ is present, $g_{a\gamma} = 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ from a generic source located in the same position of the GW170817 event at $L = 40 \text{ Mpc}$

Sensitivities of current and proposed γ -ray experiments to the ALP-induced signal

We quantified the sensitivity of Fermi-LAT and of the proposed e-ASTROGRAM, AMEGO-X, GRAMS balloon, GRAMS satellite and MAST experiment to the photon-ALP coupling, by studying the observed gamma-ray flux

[A. De Angelis et al., Exp. Astr. 44.1, 25 (2017)], [T. Aramaki et al., Astr. Phys. 114, 107-114 (2020)], [R. Caputo et al., Jou. Astr. Tel. (2022)],
[T. Dzhatdov and E. Podlesnyi, Astropart. Phys. 112 (2019) 1]



[Source:ESA]


Sensitivities of current and proposed γ -ray experiments to the ALP-induced signal

We quantified the sensitivity of Fermi-LAT and of the proposed e-ASTROGRAM, AMEGO-X, GRAMS balloon, GRAMS satellite and MAST experiment to the photon-ALP coupling, by studying the observed gamma-ray flux.

[A. De Angelis et al., Exp. Astr. 44.1, 25 (2017)], [T. Aramaki et al., Astr. Phys. 114, 107-114 (2020)], [R. Caputo et al., Jou. Astr. Tel. (2022)],
[T. Dzhatdov and E. Podlesnyi, Astropart. Phys. 112 (2019) 1]


Background free model:

$$N_{event} \gtrsim 3$$



[Source:ESA]

Sensitivities of current and proposed γ -ray experiments to the ALP-induced signal



Probability of joint GW- γ detection

The typical rate at which a gamma-ray signal and a GW signal from a BNS can be detected in coincidence is given by:

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

The typical rate at which a gamma-ray signal and a GW signal from a BNS can be detected in coincidence is given by:

↳ the probability of detecting the BNS merger event with GW detector

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

The typical rate at which a gamma-ray signal and a GW signal from a BNS can be detected in coincidence is given by:

- ↳ the probability of detecting the BNS merger event with GW detector
- ↳ the probability of detecting the ALP-induced gamma ray event

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

The typical rate at which a gamma-ray signal and a GW signal from a BNS can be detected in coincidence is given by:

- ↪ the probability of detecting the BNS merger event with GW detector
- ↪ the probability of detecting the ALP-induced gamma ray event

Starting with the estimated rate of BNS in the Milky Way, one can extrapolate it to extra-galactic

[N. Pol, M. McLaughlin and D.R.Lorimer *Astro. J.* 870 , 71 (2019)]

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{GW}} = \mathcal{R}_{\text{MW}} \left(\frac{L_{\text{total}}(d)}{L_{\text{MW}}} \right)$$

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

Choosing as a GW detector horizon 100 Mpc, as in the case of advanced LIGO

[N. Pol, M. McLaughlin and D.R.Lorimer *Astro. J.* 870 , 71 (2019)]

$$\mathcal{R}_{GW} \sim 0.18^{+0.13}_{-0.06} \times \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ Mpc}} \right)^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}.$$

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

Choosing as a GW detector horizon 100 Mpc, as in the case of advanced LIGO

[N. Pol, M. McLaughlin and D.R.Lorimer *Astro. J.* 870 , 71 (2019)]

$$\mathcal{R}_{GW} \sim 0.18^{+0.13}_{-0.06} \times \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ Mpc}} \right)^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}.$$

Finally, one can estimate the time interval between two joint detection events by advanced LIGO and gamma-ray detectors

$$T_{\text{joint}} \simeq (\mathcal{R}_{LIGO} \times P_{\text{on}} \times P_{\text{FoV}})^{-1}$$

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

Choosing as a GW detector horizon 100 Mpc, as in the case of advanced LIGO
[N. Pol, M. McLaughlin and D.R.Lorimer *Astro. J.* 870 , 71 (2019)]

$$\mathcal{R}_{GW} \sim 0.18^{+0.13}_{-0.06} \times \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ Mpc}} \right)^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}.$$

Finally, one can estimate the time interval between two joint detection events by advanced LIGO and gamma-ray detectors

$$T_{\text{joint}} \simeq (\mathcal{R}_{LIGO} \times P_{\text{on}} \times P_{\text{FoV}})^{-1}$$

Experiment	T_{joint}		
	$d = 4 \text{ Mpc}$	$d = 40 \text{ Mpc}$	$d = 100 \text{ Mpc}$
<i>Fermi</i> -LAT, e-ASTROGRAM, AMEGO-X, MAST	$\sim (3\text{--}8) \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$	$\sim (3\text{--}8) \times 10^2 \text{ yr}$	$\sim 20\text{--}50 \text{ yr}$
GRAMS-balloon, GRAMS-satellite	$\sim (1\text{--}3) \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$	$\sim (1\text{--}3) \times 10^2 \text{ yr}$	$\sim 8\text{--}20 \text{ yr}$

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

These results highlight the importance of achieving **wider sky coverage**.

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

These results highlight the importance of achieving **wider sky coverage**.

Assuming the employment of the three most sensitive experiments in orbit at different points of the sky at the same time:

$$P_{\text{FoV}} \sim 88 \%$$

Probability of joint GW- γ detection

These results highlight the importance of achieving **wider sky coverage**.

Assuming the employment of the three most sensitive experiments in orbit at different points of the sky at the same time:

$$P_{\text{FoV}} \sim 88 \%$$

Under such conditions, the joint detection of a BNS event at 100 Mpc

$$T_{\text{joint}} \simeq (\mathcal{R}_{LIGO} \times P_{\text{FoV}})^{-1} \simeq 4 - 9 \text{ yr}$$

Conclusions and improvements

We have:

- ↳ used GW signal as **external trigger** to tag the gamma-ray signal
- ↳ can reach sensitivities down to $g_{a\gamma} \gtrsim \text{few} \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$,
assuming ALP coupled with photons and nucleons as in a canonical KSVZ mode
- ↳ shown that a joint detection could happen within 5-10 years (100 Mpc);

Conclusions and improvements

We have:

- ↳ used GW signal as **external trigger** to tag the gamma-ray signal
- ↳ can reach sensitivities down to $g_{a\gamma} \gtrsim \text{few} \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$,
assuming ALP coupled with photons and nucleons as in a canonical KSVZ mode
- ↳ shown that a joint detection could happen within 5-10 years (100 Mpc);

These results could be improved:

- ↳ with **stacked analysis** over time

Conclusions and improvements

We have:


- ↳ used GW signal as **external trigger** to tag the gamma-ray signal
- ↳ can reach sensitivities down to $g_{a\gamma} \gtrsim \text{few} \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$,
assuming ALP coupled with photons and nucleons as in a canonical KSVZ mode
- ↳ shown that a joint detection could happen within 5-10 years (100 Mpc);

These results could be improved:

- ↳ with **stacked analysis** over time
- ↳ with new generation GW detectors (2030s),
which can give us the location hours or days in advance!



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BARI ALDO MORO



f.lecce5@phd.uniba.it


francesca.lecce@ba.infn.it

3rd General Meeting of COST Action COSMIC WISPers


Sofia September 10, 2025

[Source:UC Berkeley]

Hydrodynamic Binary Neutron Star Mergers



Lapse factor Binary Neutron Star Mergers



ALP-photon conversion

From the wave equation for time-varying part of the vector potential and for the ALP field we obtain the following Klein-Gordon equation of motion

$$\left[E_a^2 + \partial_z^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 2E_a^2(n_{\perp} - 1) & 2E_a^2 n_R & 0 \\ 2E_a^2 n_R & 2E_a^2(n_{\parallel} - 1) & g_{a\gamma} B_T E_a \\ 0 & g_{a\gamma} B_T E_a & -m_a^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} A_{\perp}(z) \\ A_{\parallel}(z) \\ a(z) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

We considered a photon beam traveling through a single magnetic domain, where the field is assumed to be homogeneous. Additionally, the optical activity is disregarded

$$n_R = 0$$

Moreover, since we are focusing on the regime where $E_a \gg m_a$ the short-wavelength approximation is valid and the Klein-Gordon can be linearized

$$\left(i \frac{d}{dz} + E_a + \mathcal{M} \right) \begin{pmatrix} A_{\parallel}(z) \\ a(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

ALP-photon conversion

it is more convenient to work with the polarization density matrix and in a single magnetic domain the mixing matrix can be brought into a diagonal form. By introducing a rotating matrix we obtain

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\text{pl}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{\text{pl}} \cos^2 \theta + \Delta_{a\gamma} \sin 2\theta + \Delta_a \sin^2 \theta & -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\text{pl}} \sin 2\theta + \Delta_{a\gamma} (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \sin 2\theta \\ 0 & (\Delta_a - \Delta_{\text{pl}}) \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta + \Delta_{a\gamma} \cos 2\theta & -\Delta_{\text{pl}} \sin^2 \theta - \Delta_{a\gamma} \sin 2\theta + \Delta_a \cos^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

from which we obtain the mixing matrix

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{2\Delta_{a\gamma}}{\Delta_{\text{pl}} - \Delta_a} \right)$$


the resulting density matrix will be

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (e^{iD_2 d} - e^{iD_3 d})(e^{-iD_2 d} - e^{-iD_3 d}) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta & (e^{iD_2 d} - e^{iD_3 d})(e^{-iD_2 d} \sin^2 \theta - e^{-iD_3 d} \cos^2 \theta) \\ 0 & (e^{iD_2 d} - e^{iD_3 d})(e^{-iD_2 d} - e^{-iD_3 d}) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta & (e^{iD_2 d} \sin^2 \theta + e^{iD_3 d} \cos^2 \theta)(e^{-iD_2 d} \sin^2 \theta - e^{-iD_3 d} \cos^2 \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

ALP-photon conversion

ALPs can convert into photons while propagating in external magnetic fields thanks to the ALP-photon coupling [*G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D 37 , 1237 (1988)*].

$$i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} A_\perp(z) \\ A_\parallel(z) \\ a(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_\parallel \sin^2 \theta + \Delta_\perp \cos^2 \theta & (\Delta_\parallel - \Delta_\perp) \cos \theta \sin \theta & \Delta_{a\gamma} \sin \theta \\ (\Delta_\parallel - \Delta_\perp) \cos \theta \sin \theta & \Delta_\parallel \cos^2 \theta + \Delta_\perp \sin^2 \theta & \Delta_{a\gamma} \cos \theta \\ \Delta_{a\gamma} \sin \theta & \Delta_{a\gamma} \cos \theta & \Delta_a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_\perp(z) \\ A_\parallel(z) \\ a(z) \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\text{With } \Delta_{\parallel,\perp} = \Delta_{\text{pl}} + \Delta_{\text{QED}}^{\parallel,\perp} + \Delta_{\text{CMB}}, \quad \Delta_a = -\frac{m_a^2}{2E_a} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{a\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} g_{a\gamma} B_T$$


Gamma-ray experiment characterization

Experiment	FoV (sr)	$\delta\theta$ ($^\circ$)	N_{bkg} (counts s $^{-1}$)
e-ASTROGRAM [87]	$\gtrsim 2.5$	$\lesssim 1.5$	0.06
AMEGO-X [88]	2.5	3	0.25
<i>Fermi</i> -LAT [89]	2.4	$\lesssim 0.15$	0.08
GRAMS-balloon [90]	6.3	3	0.27
GRAMS-satellite [90]	6.3	1.8	0.35
MAST [91]	2.5	$\lesssim 1$	0.0004

with δE energy resolution, FoV observable portion of the sky at once, $\delta\theta$ angular resolution and N_{bkg} is the number of background events. In the case in which the background is given in terms of the flux

$$N_{\text{bkg}} = \int_0^\infty dE \frac{d\phi_{\gamma,\text{bkg}}}{dE} W(E) A_{\text{eff}}(E) 2\pi (1 - \cos \delta\theta)$$

Gamma-ray experiment characterization



Gamma-ray detection probability

Experiment	P_{on}	P_{FoV}	P_{tot}
<i>Fermi</i> -LAT, e-ASTROGRAM, AMEGO-X, MAST	85%	19%	16%
GRAMS-balloon, GRAMS-satellite	85%	50%	43%

$$P_{\text{tot}} = P_{\text{on}} \times P_{\text{FoV}}$$

where P_{on} is the probability of the gamma-ray experiment being active during the occurrence of

the BNS event and $P_{\text{FoV}} = \frac{\text{FoV}}{4\pi}$ is the probability of the event falling within the experiment FoV.

P_{on} has been evaluated by assuming a survey mode similar to that of Fermi -LAT accounting the turning off on the SAA

Sensitivities of current and proposed γ -ray experiments to the ALP-induced signal

