# Optical identifications of celestial high energy sources with the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo

#### **DR. SARA TURRIZIANI**

**University of Rome Tor Vergata** 

Jan 20<sup>th</sup> 2012 – YRMR 2012

## optical band sky



## gamma-ray band (E>100 MeV)



0.0002	0.0004	0.0006	0.0008	0.001	
					a

### CRAB NEBULA

### Cen A



@ Different Wavelengths → Different emission mechanisms at work

"The problem of optical identification of high energy (X- and  $\gamma$ -ray) sources is a <u>classic</u> in modern astronomy. It is only through the optical studies that one can gain complete understanding of objects"

Matter of interest

(G. F. Bignami, 1991)

Known Issues Currently available astronomical public optical databases <u>do not</u> provide an adequate support for a <u>systematic</u> high energy sources identification work:

i. poorly positioned high energy sources

*ii. the optical limiting magnitude represents a severe limitation* 

### *i. "poorly" positioned high energy sources*



#### 1FGL1254.4+2209



Swift/XRT

**Optical** (r)

ii. the optical limiting magnitude limitation: the deepest flux limits reached by X-ray surveys require of course similarly deeper optical catalogs to homogeneously sample the available parameter space.



## **Telescopio Nazionale Galileo** (TNG)



Fundación Galileo Galilei - INAF Telescopio Nazionale Galileo 28°45'14.4"N 17°53'20.6"W 2387.2m A.S.L



- 3.58m telescope, equipped with an active optics system
  - @ Island of San Miguel de La Palma, Canary Islands
- 5 instruments permanently mounted and operating

DOLORES (Device Optimized for the LOw RESolution) allows imaging and spectroscopic observations

**Long-Slit Spectroscopy**: technique used to obtain both spatial and spectral information at the same time.

Rapid variability in the X-ray band is recognized as a hallmark of all AGN.

It plays a key role in constraining the size of the AGN central engine.

# Matter of interest

# **Open Questions**

How does the X-ray variability depend on luminosity, redshift, wavelength and time delay?

**<u>IDEA</u>**: Ensemble Structure Function analysis as done in the optical band



# The SwiftFT Survey

#### Puccetti et al. 2011, A&A, 528, 122



> long exposure times + low background = DEEP Survey! Flux limit (0.5-2 kev)~ 7X10<sup>-16</sup>erg cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)

> a rather uniform sky sensitivity.

> rare objects!

> unbiased investigation of the properties of the X-ray sources

X-ray screndipitous point-like sources detected in the fields of Gamma Ray Burst followed by XRT from January 2005 to December 2008 with exposure time  $\geq 10$  ks: 374 fields (~32.55 deg<sup>2</sup>), 254 fields (~22.15 deg<sup>2</sup>) at high Galactic latitude, i.e. | b| $\geq 20^{\circ}$ 



The GRBs explode randomly in the sky, with isotropic distribution (Briggs 1996) and SwiftFT does not suffer of possible biases towards already known bright X-ray sources, as the large serendipitous surveys based on X-ray archival data, like Einstein, ROSAT, Chandra and XMM-Newton data.







SwiftFT--- SDSS DR7 (27 obj)

Vagnetti, Turriziani, Trevese, 2011, A&A, 536, A84

Some well sampled lightcurves

## A spectroscopic campaign to identify *Swift* serendipitous sources with the TNG



**TNG proposal** (PI: *Turriziani*) IDEA: estimate redshifts for 34 sources in 5 GRB fields observed by *Swift* selected to have:



- at least 100 photons in the X-ray lc

- an optical counterpart in the SDSS brighter than g=21.5



15 sources observed (IRAF spectral analysis --> z)



11 AGN (~ 80%) 2 stars 1 CV candidate 1 normal galaxy

## **The Observed Sample**

NAME	Ra ⊥	(J2(	)00) I	Dec	(J2(	)00)	r L L	g _	Bmag	TARGET_NAME	zobs	
J114557+600204	11	45	57.8	60	02	04.8	17.2	18.0	18.55	GRB060319	star	
J114604+600043	11	46	04.3	60	00	43.2	18.5	18.8	19.11	GRB060319	2.8563	
J123325+210606	12	33	25.2	21	06	06.2	20.8	20.7	20.82	GRB050416A	1.8526	
J133053+350050	13	30	53.5	35	00	50.8	20.8	20.8	20.97	GRB060206	1.881	
J133108+345742	13	31	08.5	34	57	42.8	21.0	20.8	20.88	GRB060206	1.7328	
J133201+350407	13	32	01.6	35	04	07.6	20.4	20.7	21.01	GRB060206	1.32	
J133207+350928	13	32	07.0	35	09	28.1	19.3	19.1	19.18	GRB060206	0.59	AGN
J151319+304612	15	13	19.8	30	46	12.4	20.1	20.2	20.42	GRB051117A	1.5031	
J151335+304711	15	13	35.7	30	47	11.2	20.7	21.0	21.31	GRB051117A	0.871	
J151409+305544	15	14	09.1	30	55	44.7	20.5	20.7	20.96	GRB051117A	2.6576	
J151419+305847	15	14	19.7	30	58	47.2	20.7	21.0	21.31	GRB051117A	1.1978	
J200001+091041	20	00	01.0	09	10	41.3	19.4	19.7	20.01	GRB050607	1.501	
J200018+091330	20	00	18.8	09	13	30.5	14.3	15.1	15.65	GRB050607	star	
J200025+085846	20	00	25.1	08	58	46.9	18.5	18.8	19.11	GRB050607	CV cand:	idate
J200031+085903	20	00	31.6	08	59	03.7	14.3	14.8	15.20	GRB050607	0.023	

#### As expected, ~ 80% of extragalactic X-ray sources are AGN

Turriziani et al., in preparation

In collaboration with: V. Zitelli, F. Vagnetti, D. Trevese

## **The New (Preliminary) Structure Function**



SF extended up to  $\tau_{rest}$ ~40 d



Turriziani et al., in preparation

In collaboration with: V. Zitelli, F. Vagnetti, D. Trevese

## Not only AGN... just a taste of binaries: A candidate CV



Accretion disc dominated spectrum Absence of HeII  $\lambda$ 4686 Å  $F_X/F_V \sim 3-4$   $= \frac{1}{10^{-6}} + \frac{1}{10^{-6}} + \frac{1}{10^{-6}} + \frac{1}{10^{-6}} + \frac{1}{10^{-4}} + \frac{1}{10^{-3}} + \frac{1}{0.01} + \frac{1}{0.1} + \frac$ 

No (X-ray) periodicities found

Analysis of UVOT lighcurves: on-going (to detect the orbital period)



Turriziani et al., in preparation

In collaboration with: G. Israel, F. Verrecchia, and others

The fully operational Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope is providing an unprecedented view of the  $\gamma$ -ray sky. This is producing large and homogeneous sample of  $\gamma$ -ray sources, a large fraction of which are being associated to blazars.

# Matter of interest

# **Open Questions**

- *i.* Lots of Un-ID  $\gamma$ -ray sources!!!!
- *ii.* Classifications necessary to properly investigate the statistical properties of the population of blazars such as their LogN-LogS, luminosity function and evolution, and to study the differences between FSRQs and BL Lacs.



## **OPTICAL TNG SPECTROSCOPY OF 64 FERMI LAT BLAZARS**

Piranomonte S., Turriziani S., D'Elia V., Sbarufatti B. to be submitted to A&A

54 unclassified extragalactic LAT sources observed at TNG between 2009-2010:

→ 16 already updated in 1LAC
→ 21 new redshifts (7 in 1LAC, 14 new)
+
10 unclassified LAT sources observed by the end of march 2011

~85 % BL Lac objects (~20% redshift)
✓ Others: all FSRQs with redshift



All Confirmed Blazars!!



Spectrum of 1FGL2117.8+0016 FSRQ @ z = 0.463

Featureless spectrum of 2FGL1836.2+3137 BL Lac object – no z



# Thank you!

# Long-Slit Spectroscopy I.

Long-slit spectrometers get their name from a long slit which blocks most of the field, allowing only a narrow strip to pass through.





This radiation then passes through a dispersive device such as a prism or diffraction grating which breaks it into its component wavelengths.

# Long-Slit Spectroscopy II.

In a long-slit spectral image, the orizontal axis no longer corresponds to a spatial direction in the sky – it now represents wavelenght.



The vertical axis is still spatial, so that for an extended source, a long-slit spectral image contains several spectra, each corresponding to a different position in the slit, or more precisely, a different part of the source along the slit.



# Why optical spectroscopy?

### **Classification and characterization of different astronomical sources**



**EXTRAGALACTIC OBJECTS** 

**STARS** 



