

The University of Manchester



Including energy awareness in computational needs of future experiments

CATERINA DOGLIONI SHE/HER

UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

Input and discussions by:

David Britton, Loic Lannelongue, Tobias Fitschen, Luis Villar Padruno, Michael Sparks, Nicolas Labra Cataldo, Ben Parkes, Alejandro Gallego Schmidt, Graeme Stewart, Sam Skipsey, Sofia Vallecorsa, Zach Marshall





HEP's (indirect) energy awareness

"I need to make my code faster"





HEP's (indirect) energy awareness

"I need to make my code faster"

Because I want results ASAP





Attribution link



Attribution link





HEP's (indirect) energy awareness

"I need to make my code faster"

Because I want results ASAP





Attribution link

Attribution link

Because l'm running on a time/latency-constrained environment







European Research Council

ablished by the European Cou

The University of Manchester

HEP's (indirect) energy awareness

"I need to make my code faster"



From energy awareness to resource awareness



https://www.iso.org/standard/37456.html, but also https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.14365 from our community Icons: https://fontawesome.com





Resource awareness: energy (and resource) costs of present/future computing aren't always visible to us, but <u>they matter</u> because





Resource awareness: energy (and resource) costs of present/future computing aren't always visible to us, but <u>they matter</u> because

(1) We don't want to be caught by surprise

If/when **we don't have the resources** to operate in the same way as we do now e.g. labs/funding agencies impose **restrictions on scarce resources**

(Plus, who doesn't want to do more physics with the same resources?)



Resource awareness: energy (and resource) costs of present/future computing aren't always visible to us, but <u>they matter</u> because

(1) We don't want to be caught by surprise

If/when **we don't have the resources** to operate in the same way as we do now e.g. labs/funding agencies impose **restrictions on scarce resources** (Plus, who doesn't want to do more physics with the same resources?)

(2) Our work must be <u>sustainable</u>

"Sustainability: meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (<u>UN Brundtland Commission</u>)



erc



Resource awareness: energy (and resource) costs of present/future computing aren't always visible to us, but <u>they matter</u> because

(1) We don't want to be caught by surprise

If/when we don't have the resources to operate in the same way as we do now

e.g. labs/funding agencies impose **restrictions on scarce resources**

(Plus, who doesn't want to do more physics with the same resources?)

(2) Our work must be <u>sustainable</u> (<u>HECAP+</u>, <u>ECR</u>)

Moreover, particle physics will be under increasing pressure to justify its impacts, and relying on grid decarbonization will not be enough to guarantee that large-scale experiments will be deemed viable.

<u>Jevon's paradox</u> <u>may not apply</u>, see also <u>arXiv:2411.03473v3</u>

facility. In this regard, the next flagship collider should be built and run in the most sustainable way, and collaboration with institutions from countries other than CERN member states should be increased (R. 4.14).

"Sustainability: meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (<u>UN Brundtland Commission</u>)







What can we measure, model, monitor, and improve?



Some (non-exhaustive) thoughts on resource/ energy-aware compute directions in the next slides

Also remember:

new technologies could also surprise us!







Relevant aspects

Software and languages

Constrain volume (software, techniques, computing models)

[']Sustainable software: software that will continue to be available in the future, on new platforms, meeting new needs" (Dan Katz's <u>blog</u>)

Sustainable software optimises use of human resources, see also E. Rodriguez's talk



Intro: from energy to sustainability

Relevant aspects

Measuring, modelling and accounting

Data and storage

Constrain volume (software, techniques, computing models)

Reduce power used by sites (e.g. optimise choice of hardware, cooling...)

The energy/carbon cost of storage is not negligible → if unchanged, future experiments will need computing models / sites that take this into account

In particular for high-pile-up machines where large amounts of simulated events are needed to match statistical precision of data

034	Run	\sqrt{s} (GeV)	Statistics	RAW data
<u>-</u>	Z	91.2	$3 \cdot 10^{12}$ Z decays (visible)	3–6 EB
	WW	160	$10^8 \mathrm{~W^+W^-} \mathrm{~events}$	0.1–0.2 PB
	ZH	240	10^6 ZH events	1-2 TB
arx	$t\overline{t}$	350, 365	$10^6 t\bar{t} events$	1–2 TB

AOD: o(PB) or less

Table 4. RAW data estimates for FCC-ee.

For **FCC-hh**: Total storage including MC: o(ZB) *Trigger challenge* for a sample detector:

- 250 TB/s for calorimeters and muons
- 2 PB/s for tracking

Updated numbers: G. Ganis's talk (2025)





DELL, Lifecycle analysis of r7515 server https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3630614.3630616 Example plot from arXiv:2506.14365, NEW!

"New" technologies (AI/heterogeneous and beyond)

Reduce power used by sites (e.g. optimise choice of hardware, cooling...)

Impact of AI workflows?

Example: modelling the training of LLMs on different devices



Estimation of GPU power consumption / optimisation already needed and in progress for (HL-)LHC

Reduce the cost, energy consumption, and carbon footprint of computing by being able to use a wide range of resources including Grid, public or private clouds, HPCs, and by expanding the architectures from the original x86 to include, for example, ARM, RISC-V, GPUs, and other technologies that may become available. Our strategy is to build agility so that opportunities can be seized.

WLCG EPPSU submission







The University of Manchester

Near and far (fetched) future...



Conclusions: measuring, modelling and improving

What should we be doing to improve resource/energy-awareness starting from HL-LHC and all the way to next generation colliders?

- Measure:
 - Software: many tools on the market, we can start practicing already [GreenAlgorithms, CodeCarbon, Scaphandre, a short review...]
 - Sites: ongoing work by WLCG + LHC experiments (& others)[December/May workshop]
 - Lifecycle / embodied: can collaborate with other fields (and encourage vendors to publish numbers)
- Model (important for extrapolations):
 - Software: see e.g. work in <u>ECO:DIGIT</u> for comprehensive modelling
 - Lifecycle / embodied: see recent work in [arXiv:2506.14365]
- Prepare to improve:
 - Include energy/resource-awareness considerations from the onset of computing models
 - Or make it part of a strategy, like <u>WLCG strategy 2024-2027</u>
 - Encourage transparency and publication of estimates (requires good accounting)
 - To discuss further: <u>WLCG sustainability forum in the works</u>, kick-off before summer break





The University of Manchester

Thank you for your attention!